

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Mocan, Naci; Altindag, Duha T.

Working Paper Education, Cognition, Health Knowledge, and Health Behavior

Working Paper, No. 1310

Provided in Cooperation with: Koç University - TÜSİAD Economic Research Forum, Istanbul

Suggested Citation: Mocan, Naci; Altindag, Duha T. (2013) : Education, Cognition, Health Knowledge, and Health Behavior, Working Paper, No. 1310, Koç University-TÜSİAD Economic Research Forum (ERF), Istanbul

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/108626

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

KOÇ UNIVERSITY-TÜSİAD ECONOMIC RESEARCH FORUM WORKING PAPER SERIES

EDUCATION, COGNITION, HEALTH KNOWLEDGE, AND HEALTH BEHAVIOR

Naci Mocan Duha T. Altindag

Working Paper 1310 April 2013

KOÇ UNIVERSITY-TÜSİAD ECONOMIC RESEARCH FORUM Rumelifeneri Yolu 34450 Sarıyer/Istanbul

Education, Cognition, Health Knowledge, and Health Behavior

Naci Mocan¹ mocan@lsu.edu

Duha T. Altindag² altindag@auburn.edu

Abstract

Using data from NLSY97 we analyze the impact of education on health behavior. Controlling for health knowledge does not influence the impact of education on health behavior, supporting the productive efficiency hypothesis. Accounting for cognitive ability does not significantly alter the relationship between education and health behavior. Similarly, the impact of education on health behavior is the same between those with and without a learning disability, suggesting that cognition is not likely to be a significant factor in explaining the impact of education on health behavior.

Keywords: Health inputs; Cognition; Learning; Productive efficiency. *JEL Codes*: 112, 120

¹ Louisiana State University (Department of Economics, 3039 Business Education Complex, Baton Rouge, LA 70803), National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER), and Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA).

² Auburn University (Department of Economics, 0334 Haley Center, Auburn, AL 36849).

I. Introduction

Schooling impacts health outcomes. More educated people are healthier than the less educated (Grossman 2006, 2008). This positive relationship between education and health is robust whether one analyses aggregates (e.g. mortality or morbidity rates), or micro units (e.g. individuals' self-reported health status, or sick days).

If the effect of education on health is causal, then the impact of education on individual wellbeing is pronounced. For example, it is well-established that education raises wages (Card, 2000). It is also documented that an improvement in health is associated with increased labor productivity, and that an improvement in health outcomes of a given generation produces an improvement in health of their offspring (see Currie, 2011 and the literature she cites). This means that an increase in education not only has a direct positive impact on the earnings of the individual, but it also has an additional effect on productivity and earnings through an improvement in health. These increases in earnings improve the well-being of the individual in addition to the increase in utility generated by enhanced health. Improved education and health also have an impact on the level of education and health of the individual's children, transmitting the benefit of enhanced education to the second generation (Currie and Moretti, 2003; Sacerdote, 2002).

In standard models of health production, schooling has a causal impact on health because schooling increases the efficiency of health production (Grossman 1972, 1975). An alternative hypothesis, which is also consistent with the observed positive relationship between schooling and health, is that of the allocative efficiency. According to this hypothesis, more educated individuals choose input allocations that produce more output (better health) than those who have less education (see Rosenzweig and Schultz 1982, and the papers discussed in Grossman 2006). Under allocative efficiency, education expands individuals' knowledge base about health, and an increase in health knowledge alters health behaviors (i.e., consumption of health inputs with both positive and negative marginal products, such as medical care and cigarette smoking), which in turn influence health outcomes. Both the productive efficiency and the allocative efficiency hypotheses rely on the assumption that education has a causal

impact on health. Empirically, the impact of education on health could emerge as an artifact of omitted variables that could influence both education and health. One example of such a variable is time preference (Fuchs, 1982).

This paper has three aims. The first is to analyze whether the negative effects of schooling on smoking and heavy drinking are causal, using a novel feature of the National Longitudinal Study of Youth 1997 (NLSY97). The design of the NLSY97 has generated exogenous increases in the amount of schooling for different individuals in the sample between the two survey years. As explained in detail in the data section, two identical individuals who were both surveyed in 1997 and 2002 could have received significantly differential amounts of schooling (up to 24 months) between these years due to the timing of the 1997 and the 2002 surveys. Thus, individuals are exposed to different amounts of schooling between the two surveys, which is not related in any way to their personal or family background characteristics. Using these plausibly exogenous changes in schooling between the survey years, the paper shows that education reduces smoking and heavy drinking.

Second, the paper investigates whether education has an impact on input allocation through its impact on health knowledge. Specifically, we employ, for the first time in this literature, a panel data set to analyze the validity of the allocative efficiency hypothesis. Our basic framework is similar to Kenkel (1991), where the impact of schooling on health inputs is estimated. If the influence of schooling on health is working through allocative efficiency (i.e., if schooling improves allocative efficiency by increasing the health knowledge of the individual), schooling should have little or no direct effect on health inputs in a regression that controls for health knowledge. Kenkel (1991) uses cross-sectional data from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) and focuses on health inputs (behavior) such as smoking, drinking and exercise. His data set also contains information about the knowledge of the subjects regarding the health consequences of smoking, drinking and exercise. He finds that inclusion or exclusion of measures of health knowledge does not alter the magnitude of the education coefficients in regressions that explain health behavior, indicating that allocative efficiency is not the main reason schooling is related to health behavior. The same approach was taken by Cutler and Lleras-Muney

(2010), who employed cross-sectional data from the NHIS to investigate the impact of knowledge about health risks on the estimated relationship between education and health behaviors. They too found that health knowledge has only a modest impact on how education impacts health behaviors.

Our study differs from Kenkel (1991) and Cutler and Lleras-Muney (2010) in two important ways. First, we employ a panel data of individuals, rather than a cross-section. Specifically, each person in the NLSY97 was asked questions on health knowledge both in 1997 and 2002. This allows for an investigation of the impact of health knowledge on health behavior by netting out time-invariant individual-specific unobservables that may impact both the intensity of the demand for health knowledge and the demand for health behavior. Second, as mentioned above, the design of the NLSY97 allows us to exploit exogenous variations in schooling received by different individuals. ³ We find that accounting for health knowledge has no impact on the relationship between education and health behaviors. This suggests that schooling does not cause health behavior through health knowledge, and calls into question the allocative efficieny hypothesis.

The third goal of the paper is to investigate the extent to which cognitive ability is responsible for the impact of education on health behavior. Cutler and Lleras-Muney (2010) analyze how the impact of education on health behaviors is influenced by the inclusion of various sets of variables to regression models. Using cross-sectional data sets, they find that the impact of education on health behaviors is diminished (but not eliminated) if income, health insurance and family background are controlled for, but that the extent of risk aversion or discounting for the future have no impact on the estimated coefficient of education. They also run regressions of health behavior on education with and without a measure of cognitive ability, and investigate how the estimated coefficient of education is altered. They find evidence suggesting that cognitive ability, measured by the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery

³ Also, the NLSY97 allows us to employ the number of months attended to school by the individual as a measure of education. As explained in more detail in the Data section below, the number of months attended to school is measured with a high degree of precision, and it better captures the individual's exposure to schooling. The conventional measure of education (years of completed schooling) contains substantial measurement error, generated by the timing of the survey, in a sample of young adults who are still in school.

(ASVAB) score, accounts for about 20 percent of the impact of education on the demand for health inputs.

The use of the NLSY97 allows us to employ the ASVAB score, as well as another alternative measure of conceptual thinking ability and cognition (Peabody Individual Achievement Test-PIAT), to investigate the same question. Entertaining the premise that test scores such as ASVAB are impacted by family background (Heckman et al., 2006; Hansen et al., 2004), we control for a large set of family background variables and find that that cognition, as measured by ASVAB or PIAT, does not have a meaningful influence on the impact of education on health behavior.

We also use information on whether the individual suffers from a learning disability. For any given amount of schooling, individuals with learning disability are expected to learn less in school in comparison to their peers who have no such disability. If learning in school is a determinant of the influence of education on health inputs, then a particular increase in schooling would have a smaller impact on health behavior for those with learning disability. However, our results show that learning disability does not influence the impact of schooling on health behaviors. In examining the sensitivity of the results, we investigate and find no evidence for the hypothesis that more attentive parents are more likely to report that their child has a learning disability. Nevertheless, this last set of results should be taken with caution because even though we control for a host of family background characteristics, it is possible that awareness and diagnosis of learning disability might be correlated with some other family attributes. Also, a child's learning disability may prompt the parents to involve a special education teacher or invest in other resources to counteract the disability. If this is the case, students with learning disability would have no significant learning disadvantage in comparison to students with no disability.

Because not each variable is reported for each person, the samples change between specifications. To make sure the results are not artifacts of changing sample compositions, we estimated all models using all samples and reported them in Appendix 4 in the Online Resource 1. In section II we describe the empirical implementation. Section III presents the data. Section IV incudes the discussion of the results, and Section V is the conclusion.

II. Empirical Specification

Consider equation (1) below

(1)
$$H_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Education_i + X_i \beta_2 + \varepsilon_i$$

where *H* stands for the demand for various health inputs which are deleterious to health, (such as the demand for cigarettes) for person (*i*). *Education* represents the level of schooling of the person, *X* is a vector of control variables, and ε is a standard error term.

Equation (2) is similar to equation (1), but it includes an additional variable, *Health Knowledge*_i, which measures the extent of the knowledge of person (*i*) regarding the health input *H*. For example, if *H* stands for consumption of cigarettes, *Health Knowledge* measures the extent of the person's knowledge about the health risks associated with smoking.

(2)
$$H_i = \delta_0 + \delta_1 Education_i + \delta_2 Health Knowledge_i + X_i \delta_3 + \omega_i$$

Kenkel (1991) and Cutler and Lleras-Muney (2010) estimate versions of equations (1) and (2) and investigate the difference between the estimated β_1 and δ_1 ; i.e. the extent to which health knowledge alters the impact of education on health inputs. Both papers employ cross-sectional data sets and they find that health knowledge has a modest (Cutler and Lleras-Muney) or negligible (Kenkel) impact on health behavior; that is, β_1 is not appreciably different from δ_1 .⁴

In this paper we employ panel data, which allow us to measure the demand for health inputs, the amount of schooling, and the extent of input-specific health knowledge of individuals in two time periods. Specifically, the respondents of the NLSY97 were asked questions about their health behaviors. Furthermore, information is obtained from survey participants regarding their health knowledge in the 1997 and 2002 waves of the survey along with information on schooling. Time variation in the data

⁴ Kenkel also runs instrumental variables regressions where health knowledge questions are instrumented with whether the individual received advice from a physician on life-style-related topics and for smoking, years of schooling completed after 1964 (the year of surgeon general's report on smoking), as well as indicator variables for occupation and industry and whether the person is employed in a health field. He obtains results similar to OLS (with larger standard errors), and concludes that the OLS results are not biased because of endogeneity.

allows us to entertain a specification as depicted by equation (3A) where the demand for health inputs for person (*i*) at time (*t*) depends on the same set of variables as in equation (2), and on an individual-specific, time-invariant heterogeneity component μ_i .

(3A)
$$H_{it} = \delta_0 + \delta_1 Education_{it} + \delta_2 Health Knowledge_{it} + X_{it} \Psi_1 + \mu_i + \omega_{it}$$

Because the health knowledge questions were administrated only in 1997 and 2002, we will employ data from these years. A valuable feature of the data is that among individuals who took the survey in 1997 and again in 2002, there is substantial variation in the distance between the timing of the survey. For example, while some individuals were surveyed as little as 4.5 years apart, the difference between the two surveys was more than 6 years for some others (The mean difference between the two surveys is 68 months). This exogenous variation in the distance between the two interviews translates into variation in schooling received by individuals between the two surveys.

Time-differencing Equation (3A) allows us to eliminate individual-specific unobservables (μ_i) that may be correlated with health behaviors as well as education and health knowledge. In equation (3B) Δ^p stands for p-month difference, where p represents the number of months between the surveys, which is different for different people.

(3B) $\Delta^{p}H_{it} = \delta_{1}\Delta^{p}Education_{it} + \delta_{2}\Delta^{p}Health Knowledge_{it} + \Delta^{p}X_{it}\Psi_{2} + \Delta^{p}\omega_{it}$

The vector X contains time-varying attributes of the individual. Because a higher value of (Δ^p Education) embodies the effect of increased schooling as well as aging, we also control for the difference in age between the two survey years. As mentioned earlier, Cutler and Lleras-Muney (2010) attribute some of the observed relationship between education and health to cognitive ability. They argue that schooling improves cognition and enhanced cognitive skills alter health behaviors and improve health outcomes. Along the same lines, Auld and Sidhu (2005) find that controlling for test scores has an impact on the estimated impact of education on self-reported health (Auld and Sidhu, 2005 use adjusted-AFQT scores as a measure of ability and find that schooling has an effect on health only for those with low schooling, and in particular with low ability).

To test this hypothesis, we estimate regressions very similar to Cutler and Lleras-Muney (2010). Specifically, we run cross-sectional models depicted by Equation 4 below.

(4)
$$H_i = \gamma_0 + \gamma_1 Education_i + \gamma_2 Cognitive Ability_i + \gamma_3 Health Knowledge_i + X_i \Psi_3 + v_i$$

where, following Cutler and Lleras-Muney (2010), *Cognitive Ability* is measured by the ASVAB score. Equation (4) allows us to investigate the sensitivity of the impact of education on health behavior (γ_1) to the inclusion/exclusion of Cognitive Ability. Note that as was the case in Cutler and Lleras-Muney (2010), the ASVAB score of each individual is constant over time. Thus, although each individual contributes two observations (one from 1997, the other from 2002), equation (4) is a pooled cross-section. In addition to ASVAB, we also employ the Peabody Individual Achievement Test (PIAT) as an alternative correlate of cognition.

To test this conjecture in a different framework, we hypothesize that if cognition matters, the impact of education on health behaviors should be different between those who suffer from a learning disability and those who do not. That is, if education improves cognition which in turn impacts health behavior, an additional amount of education should have *a smaller* impact on health behavior among those who have *a learning disability*. More specifically, the coefficient γ_2 should be negative in Equation (5) below, mitigating the impact of education on health behavior, where *Learning Disability* is an indicator that takes the value of one if the person suffers from a learning disability.

(5)
$$\Delta^{p}H_{it} = \gamma_{1}\Delta^{p}Education_{it} + \gamma_{2} (\Delta^{p}Education_{it} \times Learning Disability_{i}) + \gamma_{3}\Delta^{p}Health Knowledge_{it} + \Delta^{p}X_{it}\Psi + v_{it}$$

We have information, obtained from parents, on whether the individuals in the sample have a learning disability such as dyslexia or attention deficit disorder. This is indicator of the extent of cognitive difficulty of the individual, which is largely independent of family socio-economic circumstances. National Center for Learning Disabilities defines learning disability as "a neurological disorder that affects the brain's ability to receive, process, store, and respond to information." These disorders can be categorized according to the types of cognitive function that is impaired. The most common learning disability is dyslexia which can negatively affect reading, writing, spelling, and speaking. Other types of learning disabilities are dyscalculia (disorders involving math), dysgraphia (disorders involving visual information processing skills), and executive functioning disorder (involves disorders of executive functions such as planning, organizing, and remembering details). In addition to these learning disabilities, attention disorders such as AD/HD (Attention-Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder) can also impede learning. Medical studies point to defects in information processing parts of the brain, and environmental factors for causes of learning disabilities (Courtman and Mumby, 2008; Gillberg and Soderstrom, 2003; Cruz, E., and N. Brier, 1997). Certain genes are found to have an influence on learning disorders (Shilyansky, Lee, and Silva, 2010; Plomin and Walker, 2003) and individuals with learning disabilities are likely to have family members with similar disorders. In addition, learning disabilities can arise from traumas affecting brain cells of an individual. For example, serious illnesses during development period of the brain, or head injuries may give rise to learning disabilities (Courtman and Mumby, 2008; Gillberg and Soderstrom, 2003). Problems during pregnancy and birth such as illness or injury, or low birth weight and use of drug and alcohol during pregnancy are also listed among causes. National Joint Committee on Learning Disabilities (2011) and Cruz and Brier (1997) argue that learning disabilities are not caused by economic disadvantage or cultural differences.

As explained below, we investigate and find no evidence for the hypothesis that more attentive parents are more likely to report disability of their children. Note that the main effect of learning disability on health behavior cannot be identified in this specification because the indicator of learning disability is time-invariant.

The difference in education between the two survey years is measured by counting the number of months of school attendance between the survey years. The creation of this variable is described in the data section below. The difference in school attendance between two individuals between the two survey years could be in part due to attachment to school. For example, if more motivated people are more attached to school than the less-motivated, then the number of months of school attendance might be greater for more motivated students in comparison to the less motivated, even if they are surveyed on the

same date in both 1997 and 2002. To account for such potential confounding, we divide the sample into two groups based on whether they were in school with no interruption between 1997 and 2002. Individuals who went to school without interruption are those who were in school each year between 1997 and 2002. Such individuals constitute those who received "uninterrupted schooling." Those who stopped going to school, temporarily or permanently, between 1997 and 2002 constitute the second group. In this latter group are those who graduated from high school but did not pursue further education as well as those who dropped out of high school. Individuals who stopped going to school but later continued are also in this group of "interrupted schooling." We estimate our models separately for each group.

III. Data and Measurement of Variables

The data are obtained from the NLSY97, which contains a nationally representative sample of 8,984 youths who were aged 12–16 as of December 31st 1996. The respondents have been followed annually since the survey was initiated. The cohort born in 1983 was asked health knowledge questions in the 1997 and 2002 waves of the survey. Therefore, the bulk of our analysis uses data from these two waves.

The 1997 wave of the NLSY97 was administered between January 1997 and May 1998, and the 2002 survey was administered between November 2002 and July 2003. This means, for example, that a 9th grader in the 1997 wave could have been interviewed 54 months later in the 2002 wave of the survey, while another 9th grader could have been interviewed 78 months after the first survey. As the timing of the surveys is random and, therefore, not correlated with student or parent attributes, this design implies that the second student could have been exposed to 20 additional months of schooling in comparison to the first student (Altindag, Cannonnier, and Mocan, 2011) (The difference in exposure to schooling in this example is 20 months rather than 24 because there is no schooling in summer months).

Appendix 1 in the Online Resource 1 shows the number of individuals who are interviewed in the 1997 and 2002 waves and the months of these interviews. This table does not pertain to all individuals surveyed, but it is only for those who are in our sample. For example, as column 1 and row 2 of the table

in Appendix 1 shows, there are 59 people who were interviewed in February 1997 in the 1997 wave, and in November 2002 during the 2002 wave. Using these dates, we calculated the time between the interviews for all individuals. Figure 1 displays the distribution of the distance in months between 1997 and 2002 interviews. The average distance is 68 months and the standard deviation is 3. The correlation between the interview distance and observable household attributes is essentially zero. For example, the correlation between household size in 1997 and time between interview is 0.02 and the correlation between household income in 1997 and distance between interviews is -0.01.

[Figure 1 is about here.]

Tables 1A and 1B provide the summary statistics of the variables employed in the analysis for the two sub-samples. Table 1A pertains to individuals who had uninterrupted schooling between 1997 and 2002, and Table 1B pertains to all others as explained at the end of Section II above. Health behavior variables are cigarette smoking and alcohol consumption. Cigarettes per Day stands for the average number of cigarettes smoked by the individual during the last 30 days. *Smoker* is an indicator for smoking participation (smoked at least one cigarette per day). Cigarettes per Day among Smokers gives the number of cigarettes smoked among smokers. One Pack per Day is a measure of heavy smoking. It takes the value of one if an individual has smoked at least twenty cigarettes per day in the thirty days prior to the interview, and zero otherwise. Heavy Drinker is an indicator that takes the value of one if an individual has consumed more than sixty alcoholic drinks in the last thirty days (This cut-off of sixty drinks is not arbitrary. According to Dawson et al. (1995), individuals who consume more than 2 drinks every day are considered heavy drinkers). As Tables 1A and 1B show, the demographic characteristics are similar between of those with and without interrupted schooling. On the other hand, smoking and drinking propensity is higher in the group of students with interrupted schooling and their household income is lower. Summary statistics in Tables 1A and 1B suggest an increase between the two waves in smoking participation, number of cigarettes smoked per day and heavy alcohol consumption for the

individuals in our sample. Note also that average age has increased from 13 to 19 between the two survey waves.

We measure schooling by the number of *Months Attended*, which is the cumulative number of months the individual has attended any type of school (kindergarten to college) since the first interview in the 1997 wave (However, none of the individuals in our sample is in kindergarten or in primary school at the time of the first interview). This variable is created using monthly schooling status information available in the schooling event history of each wave of the NSLY97 between 1997 and 2002 waves. Note that the event history variables are not created by asking the individual about his/her enrollment status for each month. Instead, they are generated based on a series of questions to the respondents. First, the individuals are asked whether or not they have been enrolled in school since the last interview. They are then surveyed about the gaps in their enrollment (such as vacation, dropping out and so on) or whether they dropped out of school, and if so when they dropped out. As an example, consider an individual who is interviewed in April 2001 and then again in May 2002. Suppose he reported that he was enrolled in school in both April 2001 and May 2002 interviews. In the May 2002 interview, the interviewer probes about the gaps in his school attendance between the interviews. For example, assume that the individual reveals that he completed the 10th grade in May 2001, went on vacation in Summer 2001 (June, July and August) and started the 11th grade in September 2001 which was completed in May 2002. This information is then reflected in the monthly event history variables such that the individual is coded as enrolled in all months' educational attainment variables between April 2001 and May 2002 except for June – August 2001.

Note that *Months Attended*, which measures the number of months in school *since the 1997 interview*, can only take the value of zero (for those who were interviewed at a time when school semester was over) or one (for those who were interviewed in a month when school was in session). As shown in the table in Appendix 1 (Online Resource 1), most of the respondents were interviewed in school months during the 1997 survey. Consequently, the average of *Months Attended* variable in 1997 is close to 1. In Table 1A, the average value of *Months Attended* is 56 in the 2002 survey for those who went to school

without interruption between 1997 and 2002. It is about 38 in Table 1B in the sample of people whose schooling is interrupted between 1997 and 2002.

In some specifications, we run cross sectional regressions. For such regressions, the Months Attended variable is not usable because it does not measure all of the schooling the individual has completed. Rather, it measures the change in schooling between 1997 and 2002. Thus, instead of Months Attended, we use Months Attended-Ever in cross sectional regressions. This variable measures all of the attained schooling of the person until that particular year. More specifically, Months Attended-Ever measures the amount of schooling, in months, the individual has completed since they started their education. To construct this variable, we added the number of months of school attendance of the individual to their *Months Attended* variable. The number of months of school attendance prior to the first wave of interviews in the NSLY97 has not been recorded. Consequently, to proxy for previous schooling, we used information about the individual's highest grade completed at the 1997 interview date. Assuming that each school year consists of nine months of schooling, to obtain *Months Attended-Ever*, we added nine times the individual's highest grade completed as of the 1997 wave to Months Attended. For example, if an individual who was interviewed in September 1997 reported that their highest completed grade was 10, we added 90 months to the Months Attended variable to obtain Months Attended-Ever. The resulting variable is a measure of the stock of individual's schooling in 1997, and therefore, can be used in cross sectional regressions.

The variables *Smoking Knowledge* and *Drinking Knowledge* indicate the proportion of correctly answered questions about health risks of smoking and drinking, respectively. For *Smoking Knowledge*, the questions gauge whether the individual has correct information about the connection between smoking and heart disease, and smoking and AIDS. For *Drinking Knowledge*, the questions are based on the connection between drinking and liver disease, heart disease, arthritis, addiction to alcohol, and harm on unborn child. The list of the questions and the correct answers are listed in the Appendix 3 in the Online Resource 1. Summary statistics in Table 1 indicate that most of the individuals have high levels of

health knowledge about both smoking and drinking. The proportion of correct answers has increased between 1997 and 2002 in case of smoking.

We use the ASVAB score, as is the case in Cutler and Lleras-Muney (2010). About 80 percent of the respondents in the NLSY97 sample took the computer-adaptive form of the Armed Services Vocational Aptitude Battery (ASVAB) test. The ASVAB test consists of 12 subtests that measure vocational aptitude in areas such as arithmetic reasoning, assembling objects, auto information and so on. The variable used in our analysis is constructed based on age adjusted test scores of individuals in four sub tests: mathematical knowledge, arithmetic reasoning, word knowledge, and paragraph comprehension as obtained from the NLSY97 data set [These four subtests are used by the Department of Defense to calculate AFQT scores (Armed Forces Qualification Test scores)]. The final variable is the percentile in which the individual's test scores fall in comparison to other ASVAB takers.

As an alternative test score, we utilize individual's PIAT (Peabody Individual Achievement Test) math assessment scores. Specifically, we use the individual's percentile score for the PIAT. The version of PIAT administered for the NLSY97 respondents involved answering several mathematics questions. The difficulty of the questions is age-adjusted. Ninety-four percent of the individuals in our sample took the PIAT test during the 1997 wave.

Learning Disability is an indicator for whether the individual has a learning disability. This variable is constructed based on reports of parents, who were asked the following question. "*Does your child now have or has [he/she] ever had a learning or emotional problem that limits or has limited the kind of schoolwork or other daily activities [he/she] can perform, the amount of time [he/she] can spend on these activities or [his/her] performance in these activities?*" If the parent answered in the affirmative, a second question was asked as follows. "What (is/are) the condition(s)? (Select all that apply.) Learning disability (i.e., dyslexia) or attention disorder; Emotional/mental problem or behavior problem; Eating Disability variable to take the value of one if the parent declared the existence of learning disability (i.e., dyslexia) or attention disorder. In our sample about nine percent of the individuals have learning

disability. This is consistent with the findings of a CDC report by Pastor and Reuben (2008) who find that about eight to nine percent of all children aged between six and eleven have learning disorders.

It could be that the parent's report of their children's disability is non-random and instead it depends on the extent to which the parent is involved with their children. For example, more attentive parents could be more likely to report the diagnosis of their children's learning disability. We investigated this possibility by analyzing the correlation between proxies of parent's attentiveness and whether they reported a learning disability for their child. Specifically, we constructed four proxies that are indicators for whether the parent knows most things or everything about *their children's close friends, children's parents, who their children are with when they are not at home* and *who their teachers are and what they are doing in school*. Regressing the *Learning Disability* indicator on the parental attentiveness indicators separately resulted in insignificant coefficients presented in the Appendix 2 in Online Resource 1.

Time-dependent variables shown in Table 1 are included as control variables in the empirical analyses. All individuals in the sample are born in 1983 (This is because of the design of the survey. Only individuals in the cohort born in 1983 are asked health knowledge questions. These individuals make up our estimation sample). However, due to the differences in the interview date, there is variation in *Age*. On average, respondents age by about 6 years between the two survey waves. *Household Income* is deflated by 1,000. Unsurprisingly, none of the individuals in the 1997 wave were married, and very few were married as of the 2002 wave. *Cumulative Hours Worked* measures the total number of hours an individual has worked in the labor market. *Household Size* gives the number of individuals in the respondent's household.

The remaining variables in Table 1 are time-invariant individual characteristics. They are included in cross sectional sections as control variables. About half of the sample consists of males. Individuals who identify themselves as *Hispanic* and non-Hispanic *Black* make up 20% and 26% of the whole sample, respectively.

IV. Results

The Impact of Education and the Influence of Health Knowledge

The results obtained from estimating equation (3B) are presented in Tables 2A and 2B. In these tables (and in other tables), we provide only the coefficients of the variables of interest due to space limitations. Table 2A presents the results obtained from the sample of those who had uninterrupted education between 1997 and 2002, and Table 2B displays the results obtained from the sample that consists of individuals whose education was interrupted or completed before 2002. The estimates with the whole set of control variables are displayed in the tables in Online Resource 2.

In specification (3B), which is the basis for Tables 2A and 2B, all variables are in firstdifferences. Thus, *Months Attended* in the tables stands for the change in the number of months the individual attended school between the two survey years. For each health behavior, two columns of results are presented. The odd-numbered (even-numbered) columns exclude (include) individual's health knowledge about the health behavior. For example, columns (1) and (2) report the regression results where the dependent variable is whether the person is a smoker. Both columns are based on the same specification except that column (2) controls for smoking knowledge, and column (1) omits it.

In Table 2A education has negative impact on smoking, both at the extensive and intensive margins. An increase in *Months Attended* by one school year (9 months) decreases the propensity to smoke by 3.6 percentage points (0.4 x 9), which translates into a 16% decline. A one-year increase in schooling (9 months) reduces the daily number of cigarettes smoked by about 0.9 cigarettes for everyone. In Table 2A, education has no impact on heavy drinking. Note again, that we analyze the propensity for heavy drinking because questions on drinking knowledge are based on heavy drinking. An increase in knowledge about smoking has a negative impact on smoking, and an increase in drinking knowledge has a negative impact on heavy drinking, although these impacts are not significantly different from zero in any regression in Table 2A. The inclusion of the knowledge variables does not change the estimated coefficients of education.

Table 2B presents the same analysis using the sample of individuals who had interrupted education experience. There are observable differences between this group and those with uninterrupted schooling as revealed by descriptive statistics in Tables 1A and 1B. This group of individuals could also be different from those used in Table 2A regressions in unobservable ways such as motivation and time preference. The results in Table 2B, however, are similar to those displayed in Table 2A. Here, education has no statistically significant impact on the propensity to smoke, but it impacts the frequency of smoking as well as the propensity to drink heavily. Health knowledge has a negative impact on the number of cigarettes smoked, but controlling for health knowledge does not influence the magnitude of the coefficient of education.

As Tables 2A and 2B in the Online Resource 2 show, the point estimates for *Married* is negative but insignificant for most outcomes. The number of hours worked in the labor market is positively associated with smoking and also with heavy drinking.

These results suggest that education has causal impact on health behavior and that accounting for health knowledge does not eliminate or reduce the impact of education on health behavior. Thus, they indicate that allocative efficiency is not likely a primary mechanism through which education impacts health inputs.

An alternative schooling measure is *Highest Grade Completed*. We prefer *Months Attended* to *Highest Grade Completed* because the latter does not measure schooling with precision. For example, consider the case where some respondents are interviewed right after the end of the school year and others are interviewed right before the end of the school year. Those who are interviewed when the school was in session (but close to the end of the school year) will report a value for the number of years of completed schooling which is one year fewer in comparison to those who are interviewed right after the end of the school year. However, the actual difference in terms of schooling received is much smaller than one full year of schooling. Similarly, years of completed schooling will not reflect the true difference in schooling for two students who are interviewed in different months of the same academic year. In this case, the lack of precision in *Highest Grade Completed* translates into the inability to reflect the true

difference in education between individuals conditional on observables (such as age of the individual). In fact when we estimate the models displayed in Tables 2A and 2B using the Highest Grade Completed as the measure of education, we find that very few of the estimated coefficients of education are different from zero. These results are reported in Appendix Table 2C and 2D in Online Resource 2.

Cognition

In this section, we present the results of the analyses that investigate whether variations in cognitive ability is the reason behind the impact of education on health behaviors. Table 3A presents the results obtained from estimating versions of Equation (4) using the sample of individuals who had uninterrupted schooling. ASVAB is a measure of cognitive ability; it stands for the percentile ranking of the individual's ASVAB score, ranging from 0 to 100 where higher scores represent higher ability (Cutler and Lleras-Muney, 2010). In Tables 3A and 3B as well as in Tables 4A and 4B, we replicate Cutler and Lleras-Muney specifications by running cross-sectional regressions using data from 1997 (the first wave) as well as from 2002. These are the two years in which health knowledge questions were administered. We measure education by *Months Attended-Ever*. This variable measures the number of months the individual has attended any school *since* the individual started school, and it incorporates schooling both before and after the 1997 wave.

Models reported in Tables 3A and 3B include a host of family background variables, in addition to personal characteristics of the individuals such as family income, household size, and mother's education. As Table 3A demonstrates, education has a negative impact on smoking, and controlling for the ASVAB score reduces the magnitude of the coefficient of education only very slightly. For example, an additional month of schooling reduces the propensity to smoke by 0.4 percentage points in column (1) when the model does not include ASVAB, but the marginal effect of an extra month of schooling is 0.3 percentage points when the model contains the ASVAB score (column 2). The same is true for cigarettes smoked per day. These results are consistent with those reported by Cutler and Lleras-Muney (2010). Similar results are displayed in Table 3B. In the sample of individuals with interrupted education

displayed in this table, the coefficient of education does not change appreciably either when the model includes the ASVSAB score, and it even goes up slightly in absolute value (see columns 5 and 6 of Table 3B).⁵

We repeat the same exercise using the PIAT (the percentile score of the individual's Peabody Individual Achievement Test) score, instead of ASVAB (PIAT and ASVAB are highly correlated with a correlation coefficient of 0.72). The results are displayed in Tables 4A and 4B. Again, inclusion of the PIAT score does not reduce the magnitude of the estimated education coefficient appreciably. For example, in column 1 of Table 4A we observe that an additional month of education reduces the propensity to smoke by 0.5 percentage points. The regression result, reported in column 2 controls for the PIAT score; and in this specification the impact of an additional month of education on smoking propensity is the same as the one reported in column (1). Similar results are obtained for most outcomes reported in Table 4. In other words, controlling for cognition, as measured by the PIAT score, does not significantly alter the relationship between education and health behaviors in models that control for a host of personal and family attributes.⁶

These results should be read with one reservation in mind: The test scores we employ in our paper are likely to be measured with error. This is because, perfect measurement of individual's cognitive ability is not possible. For example, an individual's test score may not reflect their true ability depending on whether they are having a bad day or a lucky day with a lot of correct guesses in the tests. Also, the way the test is written could influence the accuracy of the measurement. A comprehensive measurement of all cognitive skills with one test is impossible. Such error in measures of cognitive ability will lead to estimates that are biased towards zero (in case of classical measurement error). In addition, if cognitive

⁵ It is plausible that the ASVAB score is not a reliable indicator of cognitive ability. For example, Heckman et al. (2006) and Hansen et al. (2004) stress that a person's schooling and family background at the time tests are taken affect test scores. Although we control for some family background characteristics in the regressions reported in Table 3A, it is likely that important family attributes are omitted.

⁶ We also run specifications that entertain nonlinear effect cognition (Callison and Kaestner 2011). In almost all specifications the quadratic term of cognition was insignificant and these specifications provided the results as those with linear cognition.

ability is correlated with other control variables, those will be biased, as well. These issues are discussed in several previous papers (for example, Hansen et al. (2004) and Conti and Heckman (2010)).

In line with the goals of this paper, the results in this section show that the estimate of education is is not sensitive to inclusion of cognitive ability measures into the regressions. Our measure of education is arguably exogenous (at least in the sample of individuals with uninterrupted schooling) due to the random timing of the surveys in NLSY97. Therefore, we can obtain consistent estimates of education on health inputs. The changes in the magnitude of the education variable when the cognitive ability measures are included in the regressions reflect the education's effect on health due to its correlation with those cognitive abilities. This is because, measurement error in measures of cognition is less likely to be correlated with our arguably exogenous measure of education. On the other hand, because our measures of cognitive ability are not fully reliable, we cannot speculate on the causality from cognitive ability to health.

To analyze whether the results are altered if we employ a different measure of cognition, we estimate models where an indicator for learning disability of the individual is employed. To make the results comparable to those obtained from the regressions with ASVAB and PIAT, we first use cross-sectional data from 1997 and 2002 and employ *Month Attended-Ever* as the measure of schooling. The results, which are presented in Tables 5A and 5B show that controlling for learning disability does not alter the estimated coefficient of education.

Table 6A presents the models that perform the same analysis, but here the panel nature of the data is exploited. These models are based on equation (5). We use the *Months Attended* in these regressions. Once again, exposure to additional months of schooling between the two survey years reduces the propensity to smoke and the number of cigarettes smoked. In the sample of individuals with interrupted education, it also reduces the propensity to be a heavy drinker. However, the impact of schooling is not different between students with and without learning disability. Controlling for learning disability does not alter the relationship between education and health behavior. Furthermore, the marginal effect of education on health behaviors is the same between those who have a learning disability and those who do

not. These results suggest that cognition does not impact the education gradient in health behaviors. More specifically, the results do not lend support to the hypothesis that education increases cognition, and enhanced cognition and intelligence enable people to make better health decisions.

Because variables such as education, health knowledge, disability, ASVAB and PIAT scores are not available for each observation, the sample composition is not identical behind each table. To make sure the variation in results is not due to the change in samples, we re-estimated all models in all samples. The results are reported in tables in the Appendix 4 in the Online Resource 1. For example, the regressions reported in Tables 2 use about 1,100 and 550 observations for the sample of individuals with uninterrupted and interrupted schooling, respectively. We re-estimated these models using the sample of individuals who have non-missing values for education, health knowledge and ASVAB. This is termed the ASVAB sample in Tables 2 in Appendix 4 and includes about 910 and 390 observations for the sample of individuals with uninterrupted and interrupted schooling, respectively. As Appendix 4 shows, the results are insensitive to the sample employed.

V. Summary and Conclusion

Using a panel data set of young individuals from the NLSY97, we pose three questions. The first question is whether the negative effect of schooling on smoking and heavy drinking is causal. We exploit the design of the NLSY97 that has generated an exogenous increase in schooling between the survey years of 1997 and 2002. More specifically, observationally identical individuals who were surveyed in 1997 and then in 2002 could have received differential amounts of schooling up to 24 months due to the timing of the surveys. Using this arguably exogenous increases in educational attainment between the survey years, we find that an increase in schooling has an impact on health behavior.

The second question is whether schooling increases the efficiency of health production. Productive efficiency hypothesis suggests that education has a direct impact on health, much like the impact of technology on production. More educated people are more efficient producers of health, perhaps because the marginal product of health inputs differs by education. An alternative hypothesis is

that of allocative efficiency, where more educated people make different choices about health inputs; i.e., they allocate inputs differently which in turn produce more health output. Under allocative efficiency, education has no direct influence on health as the impact of education is only working through the pathway of health inputs. For example, education provides knowledge about the benefits or harmful effects of health inputs (such as nutrition or smoking) and this knowledge alters health behavior and health outcomes.

To investigate the relative validity of these hypotheses, we estimate models of health behavior, where the change in various measures of smoking and heavy drinking between the two survey years are regressed on increases in educational attainment between the same years and on the change in the relevant health knowledge. We find that accounting for health knowledge does not eliminate or reduce the impact of education on health behavior. This finding supports the productive efficiency hypothesis.

We also investigate whether cognitive ability is responsible for the impact of education on health behavior. Using the ASVAB and PIAT scores as alternative measures of cognitive ability we find that accounting for ability does not significantly alter the relationship between education and health behaviors in models that control for a host of personal and family attributes.

Finally, we perform another test to investigate how cognitive ability impacts the relationship between education and health behaviors. The test involves a comparison of health input demands of two individuals who are observationally identical except for one dimension: One of them has a learning disability such as dyslexia or attention disorder. The individual with the learning disability is expected to learn less in school compared to the individual without the disorder for a given level of schooling. If what is learned in school is a determinant of the influence of education on health inputs, then a particular increase in schooling would have a smaller impact on health behavior for those with learning disability. Our results, however, show that learning disability does not influence the impact of schooling on health behaviors. An increase in schooling has the same impact on health behaviors for those who have a learning disability as for those who don't have a learning disability. These findings, taken together

suggest that cognition is unlikely to be a primary factor in explaining the relationship between education and the demand for health inputs.

References

- Altindag, D., C. Cannonier, and N. Mocan. 2011. "The impact of education on health knowledge." *Economics of Education Review* 30(5), 792-812.
- Auld, M., and N. Sidhu . 2005 "Schooling, cognitive ability and health." Health Economics 14, 1019–34.
- Card, D. 2000. "The causal effect of education on earnings." In O. Ashenfelter , and D. Card (Eds.) <u>The</u> <u>Handbook of Labor Economics</u>, Vol. 3, New York: North Holland.
- Courtman, S., and D. Mumby. 2008. "Children with learning disabilities." *Pediatric Anesthesia* 18, pp.198-207.
- Cruz, E., and N. Brier. 1997. "An examination of the relationship between form level ratings on the Rorschach and learning disability status." *Journal of Psychology* 131(2), pp. 167-74.
- Cutler, D., and A. Lleras-Muney. 2010. "Understanding differences in health behaviors by education." *Journal of Health Economics* 29, 1-28.
- Currie, J. 2011. "Inequality at birth: some causes and consequences." NBER Working Papers No.16798.
- Currie, J., and E. Moretti. 2003. "Mother's education and the intergenerational transmission of human capital: evidence from college openings." *The Quarterly Journal of Economics* 118(4), 1495-1532.
- Dawson, D., B. Grant, and P. Chou. 1995. "Gender differences in alcohol intake." In W. Hunt, and S. Zakhari (Eds.), <u>Stress, Gender, and Alcohol-Seeking Behavior</u>, National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Research Monograph No. 29. NIH Pub. No. 95–3893. Bethesda, MD: the Institute, 1–21.
- Fuchs, V. 1982. "Time preference and health: an exploratory study." In V. Fuchs (Ed.), <u>Economic Aspects of Health</u>, pp. 93-120, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Gillberg, C., and H. Soderstrom. 2003. "Learning disabilities." Lancet 362, pp. 811-21.
- Grossman, M. 2008. "The relationship between health and schooling." *Eastern Economic Journal* 34, 281-92.
- Grossman, M. 2006. "Education and non-market outcomes." In: E. Hanushek, and F. Welch (Eds.), <u>Handbook of Economics of Education</u>, Vol. 1, pp. 577-633, Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Grossman, M. 1975. "The correlation between health and schooling." In N. Terleckyj (Ed.), <u>Household</u> <u>Production and Consumption</u>, Vol. 40 Studies in Income and Wealth , pp. 147–211, New York: Columbia University Press for the National Bureau of Economic Research.

- Grossman, M. 1972. "On the concept of health capital and the demand for health." *Journal of Political Economy* 80(2), pp. 223-55.
- Hansen K., J. Heckman, and K. Mullen. 2004. "The effect of schooling and ability on achievement test scores." *Journal of Econometrics* 121, pp. 39-98.
- Heckman J., J. Stixrud, and S. Urzua. 2006. "The effects of cognitive and noncognitive abilities on labor market outcomes and social behavior." *Journal of Labor Economics* 24(3), pp. 411-82.
- Kenkel, D. 1991. "Health behavior, health knowledge and schooling." *Journal of Political Economy* 99(2), pp. 287-305.
- National Joint Committee on Learning Disabilities. 2011. "Learning disabilities: implications for policy regarding research and practice: a report by The National Joint Committee on Learning Disabilities." *Learning Disability Quarterly* 34(4), pp. 237-241.
- Pastor, P., and C. Reuben. 2008. "Diagnosed attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and learning disability: United States, 2004–2006." National Center for Health Statistics, <u>Vital Health</u> <u>Statistics</u>, 10(237).
- Plomin, R., and S. Walker. 2003. "Genetics and educational psychology." British Journal of Educational Psychology 73(1), pp. 3-14
- Rosenzweig, M., and T. Schultz. 1982. "The behavior of mothers as inputs to child health: the determinants of birth weight, gestation, and rate of fetal growth." In V. Fuchs (Ed.), <u>Economic</u> <u>Aspects of Health</u>, pp. 53-92, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Sacerdote, B. 2002. "The nature and nurture of economic outcomes." *American Economic Review* 92(2), 344-48.
- Shilyansky, C., Y. Lee, and A. Silva. 2010. "Molecular and cellular mechanisms of learning disabilities: a focus on NF1." *Annual Review of Neuroscience* 33(1), pp. 221-243.

		1997 Wa	ve	2002 Wave			
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	
Smoker 0/1	1241	0.07	0.26	1119	0.28	0.45	
Cigarettes Per Day	1241	0.19	1.25	1119	2.02	5.05	
Cigarettes Per Day Among Smokers	88	2.68	3.95	312	7.23	7.33	
One Pack Per Day 0/1	1241	0.00	0.04	1119	0.03	0.17	
Heavy Drinker 0/1	1240	0.00	0.07	1116	0.08	0.28	
Months Attended	1241	0.98	0.15	1119	56.35	6.96	
Months Attended Ever	1241	66.48	7.09	1119	121.84	9.49	
Smoking Knowledge	1241	0.90	0.21	1119	0.94	0.17	
Drinking Knowledge	1240	0.83	0.17	1119	0.83	0.18	
Learning Disability	1102	0.09	0.28	998	0.09	0.28	
ASVAB	1004	50.20	29.17	923	50.70	29.16	
PIAT	1172	53.40	34.32	1056	54.23	34.44	
Age	1241	13.35	0.50	1119	19.02	0.30	
Household Income	1241	38.91	44.09	1119	51.44	58.44	
Married	1241	0.00	0.00	1119	0.02	0.14	
Cumulative hours worked (1,000s)	1241	0.00	0.06	1119	2.31	1.66	
Household size	1241	4.55	1.43	1119	4.01	1.68	
Male	1241	0.50	0.50	1119	0.49	0.50	
Black	1241	0.25	0.43	1119	0.25	0.43	
Hispanic	1241	0.20	0.40	1119	0.20	0.40	
Mother High school graduate	1241	0.78	0.41	1119	0.79	0.41	

 Table 1A–Summary Statistics for Those with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997-2002

		1997 Wa	ve	2002 Wave			
Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	
Smoker 0/1	550	0.20	0.40	510	0.48	0.50	
Cigarettes Per Day	550	0.87	2.80	510	5.32	8.75	
Cigarettes Per Day Among Smokers	112	4.27	4.91	244	11.11	9.79	
One Pack Per Day 0/1	550	0.01	0.09	510	0.11	0.31	
Heavy Drinker 0/1	549	0.01	0.10	509	0.11	0.31	
Months Attended	550	0.91	0.28	510	37.87	9.80	
Months Attended Ever	550	66.59	8.54	510	103.52	14.29	
Smoking Knowledge	550	0.90	0.20	510	0.91	0.19	
Drinking Knowledge	550	0.82	0.17	510	0.80	0.20	
Learning Disability	485	0.09	0.29	457	0.09	0.29	
ASVAB	416	33.84	25.87	392	33.77	25.93	
PIAT	517	39.78	32.89	479	39.71	32.81	
Age	550	13.42	0.51	510	19.05	0.34	
Household Income	550	26.18	29.26	510	31.34	44.45	
Married	550	0.00	0.00	510	0.08	0.27	
Cumulative hours worked (1,000s)	550	0.00	0.03	510	3.02	2.23	
Household size	550	4.66	1.70	510	3.98	1.97	
Male	550	0.55	0.50	510	0.55	0.50	
Black	550	0.28	0.45	510	0.28	0.45	
Hispanic	550	0.21	0.41	510	0.22	0.41	
Mother High school graduate	550	0.62	0.48	510	0.62	0.48	

Table 1B –Summary Statistics for Those with Interrupted Schooling between 1997-2002

	individuals with Chinterrupted Schooling between 1777 and 2002												
	Smoker		Cigarettes/day		Cigarettes/day among smokers		One Pack/day		Heavy Drinker				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)			
Months Attended	-0.004*	-0.004*	-0.085***	-0.085***	-0.033	-0.034	-0.002**	-0.002**	-0.000	-0.000			
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.024)	(0.024)	(0.052)	(0.052)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)			
Health Knowledge		-0.028		-0.304		0.784		-0.012		-0.064			
		(0.056)		(0.543)		(1.779)		(0.020)		(0.039)			
Observations	1108	1108	1108	1108	339	339	1108	1108	1105	1105			

Table 2A– Health Knowledge, School Attendance and Health Behaviors – First Differences Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

Notes: Months Attended is the cumulative number of months attended to any school. The outcome variables are listed at the top of columns. Odd (even) numbered columns exclude (include) Health Knowledge (Smoking or Drinking). Health Knowledge is measured as the share of the correct responses individual provided to the questions related to potential health risks of smoking or of heavy alcohol consumption. OLS is employed on the first differenced data. Robust standard errors are in parentheses. *, **, and *** indicate significance at 10%, 5% and 1% levels, respectively. Only the coefficients of the variables of interest are reported. For the full coefficients of the full set of control variables, refer to Online Resource 2.

^a The sample include individuals who were smokers in the 1997 wave or in the 2002 wave.

^b Indicator for whether individual drinks more than 2 alcoholic drinks every day for a month as defined by Dawson et.al. (1995).

Table 2B– Health Knowledge, School Attendance and Health Behaviors – First Differences
Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smo	oker	Cigaret	ttes/day	Cigaret among	ttes/day smokers	One Pa	ack/day Heavy Drink		Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended	-0.001	-0.001	-0.120***	-0.122***	-0.111*	-0.115*	-0.004**	-0.004**	-0.003**	-0.003**
	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.043)	(0.043)	(0.063)	(0.062)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Health Knowledge		-0.009		-3.023**		-4.419*		-0.069		-0.067
		(0.098)		(1.534)		(2.573)		(0.046)		(0.052)
Observations	505	505	505	505	272	272	505	505	505	505

See notes to Table 2A.

	Smoker		Cigarettes/day		Cigaret	ttes/day smokers	One Pack/day		Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended-Ever	-0.004***	-0.003**	-0.036***	-0.032**	-0.065	-0.077	-0.001	-0.001**	-0.000	0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.050)	(0.052)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health Knowledge	0.040	0.051	0.186	0.229	1.142	1.005	-0.012	-0.014	-0.034	-0.027
	(0.037)	(0.037)	(0.372)	(0.373)	(2.286)	(2.281)	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.029)	(0.030)
ASVAB		-0.001***		-0.004		0.012		0.000*		-0.000
		(0.000)		(0.004)		(0.015)		(0.000)		(0.000)
Observations	1927	1927	1927	1927	326	326	1927	1927	1925	1925

Table 3A: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without ASVAB – Cross Section Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

Notes: Months Attended-Ever stands for total months of schooling obtained as of the survey date. Asvab is the individual's percentile score in the math and verbal sections of the ASVAB test. See notes to Table 2A.

Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002											
	Smoker		Cigarettes/day		Cigarettes/ smo	day among kers	One Pack/day		Heavy Drinker		
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	
Months Attended-Ever	-0.005**	-0.004**	-0.117***	-0.111**	-0.160***	-0.165***	-0.003**	-0.003**	-0.001	-0.001	
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.041)	(0.044)	(0.055)	(0.062)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	
Health Knowledge	-0.009	0.012	-3.214**	-3.081**	-8.814**	-8.889**	-0.049	-0.047	-0.044	-0.042	
	(0.079)	(0.080)	(1.549)	(1.537)	(4.003)	(3.969)	(0.038)	(0.039)	(0.044)	(0.045)	
ASVAB		-0.002*		-0.011		0.008		-0.000		-0.000	
		(0.001)		(0.013)		(0.023)		(0.000)		(0.001)	
Observations	808	808	808	808	270	270	808	808	808	808	

 Table 3B: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without ASVAB – Cross Section

 Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

Notes: Months Attended-Ever stands for total months of schooling obtained as of the survey date. Asvab is the individual's percentile score in the math and verbal sections of the ASVAB test. See notes to Table 2A.

	Smo	oker	Cigaret	ttes/day	Cigarettes smo	/day among okers	One Pa	One Pack/day		Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended-Ever	-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.056***	-0.054***	-0.095**	-0.102**	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.042)	(0.043)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health Knowledge	0.063*	0.072**	0.473	0.502	2.360	2.242	0.001	0.000	-0.028	-0.028
	(0.034)	(0.035)	(0.306)	(0.308)	(2.004)	(1.996)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.027)	(0.027)
PIAT		-0.001**		-0.002		0.008		0.000		0.000
		(0.000)		(0.002)		(0.009)		(0.000)		(0.000)
Observations	2228	2228	2228	2228	380	380	2228	2228	2225	2225

Table 4A: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without PIAT – Cross Section Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

Notes: Months Attended-Ever stands for total months of schooling obtained as of the survey date. PIAT is the individual's percentile score in the math section of the PIAT test. See notes to Table 2A.

	individuals with interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002											
	Smoker		Cigarettes/day		Cigarettes, smc	/day among okers	One Pack/day		Heavy Drinker			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)		
Months Attended-Ever	-0.003**	-0.003*	-0.077***	-0.072**	-0.139***	-0.135***	-0.002**	-0.002**	-0.001	-0.001		
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.030)	(0.029)	(0.050)	(0.051)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)		
Health Knowledge	0.036	0.051	-1.925	-1.755	-6.274*	-6.158*	-0.016	-0.013	-0.031	-0.027		
	(0.070)	(0.069)	(1.263)	(1.255)	(3.389)	(3.393)	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.040)	(0.040)		
PIAT		-0.001**		-0.014**		-0.007		-0.000		-0.000		
		(0.001)		(0.006)		(0.014)		(0.000)		(0.000)		
Observations	996	996	996	996	337	337	996	996	995	995		

 Table 4B: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without PIAT – Cross Section

 Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

Notes: Months Attended-Ever stands for total months of schooling obtained as of the survey date. Piat is the individual's percentile score in the math section of the PIAT test. See notes to Table 2A.

	Cigarettes/day among									
	Sme	oker	Cigaret	ttes/day	smo	okers	One Pack/day		Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended-Ever	-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.055***	-0.056***	-0.088*	-0.090*	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.046)	(0.046)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.001)
Health Knowledge	0.092***	0.094***	0.473	0.451	2.066	1.877	-0.005	-0.007	-0.041	-0.042
	(0.035)	(0.035)	(0.338)	(0.337)	(2.448)	(2.432)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.027)	(0.027)
Learning Disability		0.015		-0.167		-0.836		-0.015		-0.010
		(0.035)		(0.308)		(1.080)		(0.010)		(0.017)
Observations	2100	2100	2100	2100	362	362	2100	2100	2097	2097

Table 5A: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability – Cross Section Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

Notes: Months Attended-Ever stands for total months of schooling obtained as of the survey date. Learning Disability is an indicator that takes the value of one if the parent of the individual reported that the individual has a learning disability such as dyslexia or attention deficit disorder. See notes to Table 2A.

Table 5B: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability – Cross Section Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Cigarettes/day among									
	Smo	oker	Cigarette	es/day	smokers			day	Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended-Ever	-0.005**	-0.004**	-0.094***	-0.092***	-0.139***	-0.138***	-0.003***	-0.003***	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.050)	(0.050)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health Knowledge	0.039	0.052	-2.099	-1.927	-7.131**	-6.803*	-0.033	-0.029	-0.061	-0.063
	(0.072)	(0.072)	(1.346)	(1.347)	(3.579)	(3.667)	(0.035)	(0.035)	(0.042)	(0.043)
Learning Disability		0.148**		1.908**		1.595		0.040		-0.011
		(0.060)		(0.839)		(1.315)		(0.030)		(0.025)
Observations	942	942	942	942	324	324	942	942	943	943

Notes: Months Attended-Ever stands for total months of schooling obtained as of the survey date. Learning Disability is an indicator that takes the value of one if the parent of the individual reported that the individual has a learning disability such as dyslexia or attention deficit disorder. See notes to Table 2A.

					Cigare	ttes/day				
	Smoker Cigar		Cigare	Cigarettes/day amor		smokers	One Pa	ack/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended	-0.005*	-0.005*	-0.092***	-0.092***	-0.023	-0.024	-0.002**	-0.002**	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.056)	(0.056)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Months attended * Disability	-0.001	-0.001	-0.007	-0.007	-0.028	-0.029	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health Knowledge		-0.023		-0.323		1.112		-0.013		-0.075*
		(0.058)		(0.600)		(2.172)		(0.022)		(0.041)
Observations	989	989	989	989	308	308	989	989	986	986

Table 6A: The Impact of School Attendance on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability – First Differences Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

Notes: Months Attended is the cumulative number of months the individual has attended any school. Learning Disability is an indicator that takes the value of one if the parent of the individual reported that the individual has a learning disability such as dyslexia or attention deficit disorder. See notes to Table 2A.

Table 6B: The Impact of School Attendance on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability–First Differences Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

					Cigarettes	/day among				
	Sme	oker	Cigare	ttes/day	sme	okers	One Pa	ack/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended	-0.002	-0.002	-0.128***	-0.132***	-0.130*	-0.135**	-0.004***	-0.004***	-0.003**	-0.003**
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.046)	(0.046)	(0.068)	(0.067)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Months attended * Disability	0.001	0.001	0.061	0.057	0.054	0.041	0.004**	0.004**	0.001	0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.040)	(0.041)	(0.054)	(0.059)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health Knowledge		-0.052		-2.946*		-5.181*		-0.074		-0.081
		(0.105)		(1.712)		(2.967)		(0.049)		(0.056)
Observations	453	453	453	453	246	246	453	453	454	454

Notes: Months Attended is the cumulative number of months the individual has attended any school. Learning Disability is an indicator that takes the value of one if the parent of the individual reported that the individual has a learning disability such as dyslexia or attention deficit disorder. See notes to Table 2A.



Figure 1: Distribution of Time Between the Interviews in Months

Education, Cognition, Health Knowledge, and Health Behavior; European Journal of Health Economics

Online Resource 1

Appendix 1

	Interview Dates in 1997 and 2002 Waves and Number of Respondents												
	Nov-02	Dec-02	Jan-03	Feb-03	Mar-03	Apr-03	May-03	Jun-03	Jul-03				
Jan-97	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0				
Feb-97	59	77	53	17	12	8	3	4	0				
Mar-97	64	93	75	31	15	9	4	3	0				
Apr-97	63	126	88	38	17	7	4	4	0				
May-97	49	110	86	30	19	4	2	1	1				
Jun-97	45	64	59	23	7	4	4	4	1				
Jul-97	22	27	22	8	8	4	3	0	0				
Aug-97	10	16	12	8	2	3	0	1	0				
Sep-97	2	5	3	2	2	0	1	0	0				
Mar-98	8	13	3	4	2	1	0	0	0				
Apr-98	7	9	8	1	2	2	0	0	0				
May-98	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0				

Notes: Columns (Rows) denote the month and year of the 2002 (1997) interview date. The numbers in each cell refer to the number of individuals interviewed. For example, 59 people were interviewed in February 1997 (during 1997 wave). The same 59 people were interviewed in November 2002 during the 2002 wave.

Online Resource 1

Appendix 2

I arent s Attentiveness and Reporting on Th		ch ș Lital	ning Disabii	ny
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Parent knows most things or everything about				
Their children's close friends	-0.018			
	(0.015)			
Their children's close friends' parents		-0.010		
		(0.015)		
Their children are with when they are not at home			-0.039**	
			(0.017)	
Their children's teachers and what they are doing in school				0.014
				(0.016)
Observations	1429	1429	1428	1414

Parent's Attentiveness and Reporting on Their Children's Learning Disability

Notes: The dependent variable is the indicator for whether the individual has learning disability.

Online Resource 1

Appendix 3

Health Knowledge Questions in the NLSY 97 and the Correct Answers

1. Does smoking one or more packs of cigarettes per day, INCREASE THE RISK (chance) of getting heart disease?

Correct Answer: Yes

Sources:

- 1990 Surgeon General Report⁷
- American Heart Association, <u>http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=4545</u> (Accessed December 30, 2009)
- 2. Does having 5 or more drinks of alcohol once or twice each week, INCREASE THE RISK (chance) of damaging the liver?

Correct Answer: Yes

Sources:

- 1988 Surgeon General Report⁸. "Excessive use of alcohol is also associated with liver disease..."
- American Liver Foundation, <u>http://www.liverfoundation.org/education/info/alcohol/</u> (Accessed December 30, 2009)
- Does having 5 or more drinks of alcohol once or twice each week, INCREASE THE RISK (chance) of getting heart disease?
 Correct Answer: Yes

Sources:

- 1988 Surgeon General Report⁹
- American Heart Association, <u>http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=4488</u> (Accessed December 30, 2009); Cardiovascular Institute of the South, <u>http://www.medhelp.org/general/alcohol.HTM</u> (Accessed December 30, 2009)

⁷ US Department of Health and Human Services. 1990. *The Health Benefits of Smoking Cessation: A Report of the Surgeon General*. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control, Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion, Office on Smoking and Health. <u>http://profiles.nlm.nih.gov/NN/B/B/C/T/_/nnbbct.pdf</u> (accessed on May 19, 2010)

⁸ US Department of Health and Human Services. 1988. *The Surgeon General's Report on Nutrition and Health.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control. <u>http://profiles.nlm.nih.gov/NN/B/C/Q/G/ /nnbcqg.pdf</u> (accessed on May 19, 2010)

⁹ US Department of Health and Human Services. 1988. *The Surgeon General's Report on Nutrition and Health.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control. <u>http://profiles.nlm.nih.gov/NN/B/C/Q/G/ /nnbcqg.pdf</u> (accessed on May 19, 2010)

4. Does having 5 or more drinks of alcohol once or twice each week, INCREASE THE RISK (chance) of getting arthritis?

Correct Answer: No

Sources:

- Voight, L, et al. (1994) find that "Post menopausal women who averaged more than 14 alcoholic drinks per week had a reduced risk of rheumatoid arthritis." (p. 525)¹⁰
- Science Daily, <u>http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2007/06/070615110218.htm</u> (Accessed December 30, 2009)
- 5. Does having 5 or more drinks of alcohol once or twice each week, INCREASE THE RISK (chance) of becoming addicted to alcohol?

Correct Answer: Yes.

Sources:

- 1988 Surgeon General Report¹¹
- American Heart Association, <u>http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=4488</u>, <u>http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=4422</u> (Accessed December 30, 2009)
- Does having 5 or more drinks of alcohol once or twice each week, INCREASE THE RISK (chance) of harming an unborn child? Correct Answer: Yes

Sources:

- 1988 Surgeon General Report¹²
- American Heart Association, <u>http://www.americanheart.org/presenter.jhtml?identifier=3017032</u> (Accessed December 30, 2009)

¹⁰ Voight, Lynda F, Thomas D.Koepsell, J. Lee Nelson, Carin E. Dugowson and Janet R. Daling. 1994. "Smoking, Obesity, Alcohol Consumption, and the Risk of Rheumatoid Arthritis." *Epidemiology*, volume 88, pp. 525-532. ¹¹ US Department of Health and Human Services. 1988. *The Surgeon General's Report on Nutrition and Health.*

Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control. <u>http://profiles.nlm.nih.gov/NN/B/C/Q/G/ /nnbcqg.pdf</u> (accessed on May 19, 2010)

¹² US Department of Health and Human Services. 1988. *The Surgeon General's Report on Nutrition and Health.* Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service, Centers for Disease Control. <u>http://profiles.nlm.nih.gov/NN/B/C/Q/G/ /nnbcqg.pdf</u> (accessed on May 19, 2010)

Online Resource 1

Appendix 4

Replication of the Tables in the Paper with Different Samples

In this section, we replicate the tables presented in the paper using different sample. The definitions of samples are provided below: *ASVAB Sample*: Observations of the Individuals who have non-missing education, health knowledge information in addition to ASVAB scores. *PIAT Sample*: Observations of the Individuals who have non-missing education, health knowledge information in addition to PIAT scores. *Disability Sample*: Observations of the Individuals who have non-missing education, health knowledge information, and whose parents answered questions about their children's disabilities.

Replication of Tables with PIAT Sample

Table 2A– Health Knowledge, School Attendance and Health Behaviors – First Differences Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smoker		Cigarettes/day		Cigarettes/da	y among smokers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended	-0.003	-0.003	-0.091***	-0.091***	-0.054	-0.058	-0.002**	-0.002**	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.024)	(0.024)	(0.051)	(0.051)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health Knowledge		-0.049		-0.170		2.461		-0.002		-0.062
		(0.056)		(0.570)		(2.018)		(0.020)		(0.041)
Observations	1047	1047	1047	1047	320	320	1047	1047	1043	1043

 Table 2B– Health Knowledge, School Attendance and Health Behaviors – First Differences

 Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Sme	oker	Cigaret	ttes/day	Cigarettes/da	y among smokers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended	-0.001	-0.001	-0.113**	-0.114**	-0.105	-0.108*	-0.004**	-0.004**	-0.003*	-0.003*
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.045)	(0.045)	(0.065)	(0.064)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Health Knowledge		-0.007		-2.929*		-4.199		-0.061		-0.045
		(0.101)		(1.609)		(2.627)		(0.047)		(0.053)
Observations	477	477	477	477	258	258	477	477	475	475

Replication of Tables with PIAT Sample Continued

	Smo	oker	Cigaret	tes/day	Cigarettes/da	y among smokers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy l	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended ever	-0.004***	-0.003*	-0.039***	-0.036**	-0.076	-0.093*	-0.001	-0.001**	-0.000	0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.050)	(0.051)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	0.043	0.055	0.291	0.322	1.663	1.480	-0.006	-0.009	-0.036	-0.029
	(0.038)	(0.039)	(0.368)	(0.368)	(2.267)	(2.246)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.030)	(0.032)
Asvab		-0.001***		-0.003		0.019		0.000**		-0.000*
		(0.000)		(0.004)		(0.014)		(0.000)		(0.000)
Observations	1848	1848	1848	1848	316	316	1848	1848	1846	1846

Table 3A: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without ASVAB – Cross Section Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

 Table 3B: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without ASVAB – Cross Section

 Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smo	oker	Cigaret	tes/day	Cigarettes/day	y among smokers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended ever	-0.006**	-0.005**	-0.116***	-0.110**	-0.157***	-0.161**	-0.003**	-0.003**	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.043)	(0.046)	(0.057)	(0.064)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	-0.006	0.010	-3.184*	-3.070*	-9.128**	-9.191**	-0.041	-0.040	-0.045	-0.041
	(0.082)	(0.083)	(1.626)	(1.611)	(4.242)	(4.208)	(0.038)	(0.039)	(0.046)	(0.047)
Asvab		-0.001		-0.010		0.006		-0.000		-0.000
		(0.001)		(0.014)		(0.024)		(0.000)		(0.001)
Observations	766	766	766	766	257	257	766	766	764	764

Replication of Tables with PIAT Sample Continued

		marvia		innier ruptee	i benooning be	tween 1777 and	2002			
	Sm	oker	Cigare	ttes/day	Cigarettes/da	y among smokers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended ever	-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.057***	-0.057***	-0.098**	-0.099**	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.046)	(0.047)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	0.094***	0.096***	0.546	0.537	2.357	2.240	-0.000	-0.002	-0.043	-0.043
	(0.036)	(0.036)	(0.344)	(0.342)	(2.522)	(2.512)	(0.014)	(0.013)	(0.029)	(0.029)
Learning disability		0.019		-0.076		-0.578		-0.014		-0.007
		(0.037)		(0.325)		(1.140)		(0.010)		(0.018)
Observations	1998	1998	1998	1998	346	346	1998	1998	1994	1994

 Table 5A: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability – Cross Section

 Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

 Table 5B: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability – Cross Section

 Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Sm	oker	Cigaret	ttes/day	Cigarettes/da	y among smokers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy I	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended ever	-0.005**	-0.004**	-0.094***	-0.093***	-0.147***	-0.146***	-0.003**	-0.003**	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.033)	(0.033)	(0.052)	(0.052)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	0.040	0.050	-1.644	-1.537	-6.131*	-5.949	-0.014	-0.011	-0.044	-0.047
	(0.075)	(0.074)	(1.368)	(1.380)	(3.671)	(3.774)	(0.033)	(0.034)	(0.043)	(0.043)
Learning disability		0.161**		1.691*		1.148		0.038		-0.016
		(0.065)		(0.870)		(1.262)		(0.032)		(0.027)
Observations	890	890	890	890	307	307	890	890	889	889

Replication of Tables with PIAT Sample Concluded

	11	uiviuuis w		upicu Belloo	ing between	1777 unu 2002				
	Sm	oker	Cigare	ttes/day	Cigarettes/day among smokers		One pack/day		Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended	-0.004	-0.004	-0.096***	-0.096***	-0.045	-0.048	-0.003**	-0.003**	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.055)	(0.054)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Months attended * Learning disability	-0.000	-0.000	-0.005	-0.005	-0.019	-0.022	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.028)	(0.028)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge		-0.026		-0.135		2.919		-0.003		-0.077*
		(0.060)		(0.633)		(2.387)		(0.023)		(0.044)
Observations	941	941	941	941	293	293	941	941	937	937

Table 6A: The Impact of School Attendance on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability – First Differences Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

Table 6B: The Impact of School Attendance on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability–First Differences Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Sm	oker	Cigare	ttes/day	Cigarettes/da	y among smokers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy l	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended	-0.002	-0.002	-0.122**	-0.125***	-0.121*	-0.125*	-0.004***	-0.004***	-0.003*	-0.003*
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.048)	(0.048)	(0.070)	(0.069)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Months attended * Learning disability	-0.000	-0.000	0.033	0.030	0.018	0.006	0.004*	0.003*	0.000	0.000
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.035)	(0.036)	(0.047)	(0.052)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge		-0.050		-2.777		-5.111*		-0.063		-0.060
		(0.108)		(1.774)		(3.013)		(0.049)		(0.057)
Observations	429	429	429	429	233	233	429	429	428	428

Replication of Tables with ASVAB Sample

	Indiv	viduals with	Uninterrup	ted Schooling	g between 19	97 and 2002				
	Smo	oker	Cigarettes/day		Cigarettes/day among smokers		One pack/day		Heavy Drinke	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended	-0.002	-0.002	-0.061**	-0.060**	-0.027	-0.033	-0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.001
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.026)	(0.026)	(0.064)	(0.063)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health Knowledge		-0.068		-0.379		1.872		-0.014		-0.052
		(0.060)		(0.614)		(2.105)		(0.022)		(0.046)
Observations	914	914	914	914	277	277	914	914	912	912

Table 2A– Health Knowledge, School Attendance and Health Behaviors – First Differences Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

Table 2B- Health Knowledge, School Attendance and Health Behaviors – First DifferencesIndividuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smo	oker	Cigaret	tes/day	Cigarettes, smc	/day among okers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended	-0.001	-0.001	-0.176***	-0.172***	-0.154*	-0.153*	-0.005**	-0.005**	-0.003	-0.003
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.057)	(0.057)	(0.079)	(0.078)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Health Knowledge		-0.082		-4.736**		-7.401**		-0.121**		-0.083
		(0.118)		(1.938)		(3.024)		(0.053)		(0.053)
Observations	390	390	390	390	213	213	390	390	389	389

Table 4A: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without PIAT – Cross Section Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	11101		••••••••							
	Smo	oker	Cigare	ttes/day	Cigarettes/day among smokers		One pa	ick/day	Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended ever	-0.004***	-0.003**	-0.039***	-0.036***	-0.076	-0.077	-0.001	-0.001	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.050)	(0.050)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	0.043	0.051	0.291	0.327	1.663	1.642	-0.006	-0.006	-0.036	-0.036
	(0.038)	(0.038)	(0.368)	(0.370)	(2.267)	(2.267)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.030)	(0.031)
Piat		-0.001**		-0.004		0.002		0.000		0.000
		(0.000)		(0.003)		(0.010)		(0.000)		(0.000)
Observations	1848	1848	1848	1848	316	316	1848	1848	1846	1846

Replication of Tables with ASVAB Sample Continued

	Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002														
	Smo	oker	Cigaret	tes/day	Cigarettes/e smol	day among kers	One pa	ick/day	Heavy Drinker						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)					
Months attended ever	-0.006**	-0.005**	-0.116***	-0.107**	-0.157***	-0.143**	-0.003**	-0.003**	-0.001	-0.001					
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.043)	(0.043)	(0.057)	(0.060)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)					
Health knowledge	-0.006	0.005	-3.184*	-3.012*	-9.128**	-8.835**	-0.041	-0.036	-0.045	-0.041					
	(0.082)	(0.082)	(1.626)	(1.608)	(4.242)	(4.203)	(0.038)	(0.038)	(0.046)	(0.047)					
Piat		-0.001*		-0.015*		-0.020		-0.000		-0.000					
		(0.001)		(0.008)		(0.018)		(0.000)		(0.000)					
Observations	766	766	766	766	257	257	766	766	764	764					

Table 4B: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without PIAT – Cross Section Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

Table 5A: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability – Cross Section Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Sm	oker	Cigaret	tes/day	Cigarettes/ smo	day among kers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended ever	-0.004***	-0.004***	-0.037***	-0.038***	-0.060	-0.062	-0.001	-0.001*	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.053)	(0.053)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	0.067*	0.067*	0.213	0.194	0.761	0.700	-0.015	-0.016	-0.044	-0.045
	(0.037)	(0.038)	(0.410)	(0.408)	(2.921)	(2.898)	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.030)	(0.030)
Learning disability		-0.001		-0.193		-1.083		-0.013		-0.007
		(0.038)		(0.359)		(1.440)		(0.012)		(0.021)
Observations	1756	1756	1756	1756	297	297	1756	1756	1754	1754

Replication of Tables with ASVAB Sample Continued

	Ind	ividuals wit	h Interrupte	d Schooling	between 1997	and 2002				
	Smo	oker	Cigaret	tes/day	Cigarettes/ smo	day among kers	One pa	ick/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended ever	-0.006**	-0.006**	-0.128***	-0.126***	-0.174***	-0.174***	-0.004***	-0.003***	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.045)	(0.044)	(0.057)	(0.056)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	-0.016	-0.008	-3.414**	-3.308*	-9.409**	-9.089**	-0.062	-0.059	-0.058	-0.063
	(0.084)	(0.083)	(1.679)	(1.685)	(4.203)	(4.310)	(0.041)	(0.042)	(0.046)	(0.046)
Learning disability		0.190**		2.339**		2.435*		0.060		-0.032
		(0.075)		(0.980)		(1.434)		(0.038)		(0.025)
Observations	739	739	739	739	252	252	739	739	738	738

Table 5B: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability – Cross Section Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

Table 6A: The Impact of School Attendance on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability – First Differences Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smo	oker	Cigare	ttes/day	Cigarettes/ smo	day among kers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended	-0.004	-0.004	-0.072**	-0.071**	-0.041	-0.049	-0.002*	-0.002*	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.029)	(0.029)	(0.068)	(0.068)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Months attended * Learning disability	-0.001	-0.001	-0.006	-0.006	-0.016	-0.018	0.000	0.000	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.033)	(0.032)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge		-0.069		-0.461		2.288		-0.018		-0.065
		(0.063)		(0.671)		(2.471)		(0.025)		(0.047)
Observations	834	834	834	834	254	254	834	834	832	832

Replication of Tables with ASVAB Sample Concluded

Ĩ	In	dividuals wi	ith Interrupt	ed Schooling	between 199	7 and 2002	8 .			
	Sm	oker	Cigare	ttes/day	Cigarettes/ smc	/day among okers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended	-0.002	-0.002	-0.193***	-0.194***	-0.183**	-0.185**	-0.006***	-0.006***	-0.002	-0.002
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.061)	(0.060)	(0.083)	(0.081)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Months attended * Learning disability	-0.003	-0.003	0.031	0.016	0.030	-0.014	0.004**	0.004*	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.040)	(0.042)	(0.054)	(0.063)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge		-0.125		-5.160**		-9.247***		-0.133**		-0.094*
		(0.125)		(2.086)		(3.304)		(0.056)		(0.056)
Observations	358	358	358	358	197	197	358	358	358	358

Table 6B: The Impact of School Attendance on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability–First Differences

Replication of Tables with Disability Sample

Table 2A- Health Knowledge, School Attendance and Health Behaviors - First Differences Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smo	oker	Cigaret	tes/day	Cigarettes/ smc	'day among kers	One pa	ick/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended	-0.005*	-0.005*	-0.092***	-0.092***	-0.029	-0.031	-0.002**	-0.002**	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.056)	(0.055)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health Knowledge		-0.023		-0.328		0.930		-0.013		-0.074*
		(0.058)		(0.601)		(2.158)		(0.023)		(0.041)
Observations	989	989	989	989	308	308	989	989	985	985

Replication of Tables with Disability Sample Continued

		Individ	duals with In	terrupted Sci	hooling betw	een 1997 and	1 2002			
	Sme	oker	Cigaret	ttes/day	Cigarettes, smc	/day among okers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended	-0.002	-0.002	-0.126***	-0.131***	-0.125*	-0.131*	-0.004***	-0.004***	-0.003**	-0.003**
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.046)	(0.046)	(0.068)	(0.067)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Health Knowledge		-0.054		-3.060*		-5.370*		-0.081*		-0.082
		(0.105)		(1.686)		(2.879)		(0.047)		(0.055)
Observations	453	453	453	453	246	246	453	453	453	453

Table 2B– Health Knowledge, School Attendance and Health Behaviors – First Differences Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

Table 3A: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without ASVAB – Cross Section Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smo	oker	Cigaret	ttes/day	Cigarettes/ smc	/day among okers	One p	ack/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended ever	-0.004***	-0.003*	-0.037***	-0.033**	-0.060	-0.074	-0.001	-0.001**	-0.000	0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.014)	(0.015)	(0.053)	(0.056)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	0.067*	0.077**	0.213	0.251	0.761	0.602	-0.015	-0.017	-0.044	-0.038
	(0.037)	(0.038)	(0.410)	(0.410)	(2.921)	(2.915)	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.030)	(0.031)
Asvab		-0.001**		-0.004		0.015		0.000*		-0.000
		(0.000)		(0.004)		(0.016)		(0.000)		(0.000)
Observations	1756	1756	1756	1756	297	297	1756	1756	1754	1754

Replication of Tables with Disability Sample Continued

Table 3B: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without ASVAB – Cross Section Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smo	oker	Cigaret	tes/day	Cigarettes/ smo	'day among kers	One pa	ck/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended ever	-0.006**	-0.005**	-0.128***	-0.123**	-0.174***	-0.177***	-0.004***	-0.003**	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.045)	(0.048)	(0.057)	(0.063)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	-0.016	0.005	-3.414**	-3.275**	-9.409**	-9.469**	-0.062	-0.060	-0.058	-0.056
	(0.084)	(0.085)	(1.679)	(1.664)	(4.203)	(4.163)	(0.041)	(0.042)	(0.046)	(0.046)
Asvab		-0.002*		-0.011		0.006		-0.000		-0.000
		(0.001)		(0.014)		(0.024)		(0.000)		(0.001)
Observations	739	739	739	739	252	252	739	739	738	738

Table 4A: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without PIAT – Cross Section Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smo	oker	Cigaret	tes/day	Cigarettes/ smo	'day among kers	One pa	ick/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended ever	-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.057***	-0.055***	-0.098**	-0.108**	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.046)	(0.047)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	0.094***	0.102***	0.546	0.567	2.357	2.168	-0.000	-0.001	-0.043	-0.044
	(0.036)	(0.036)	(0.344)	(0.346)	(2.522)	(2.507)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.029)	(0.029)
Piat		-0.001**		-0.002		0.012		0.000		0.000
		(0.000)		(0.003)		(0.010)		(0.000)		(0.000)
Observations	1998	1998	1998	1998	346	346	1998	1998	1994	1994

Replication of Tables with Disability Sample Concluded

Table 4B: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without PIAT – Cross Section
Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Sm	oker	Cigarettes/day		Cigarettes/day among smokers		One pack/day		Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months attended ever	-0.005**	-0.004**	-0.094***	-0.087***	-0.147***	-0.138**	-0.003**	-0.002**	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.033)	(0.033)	(0.052)	(0.053)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	0.040	0.058	-1.644	-1.434	-6.131*	-5.895	-0.014	-0.009	-0.044	-0.040
	(0.075)	(0.074)	(1.368)	(1.355)	(3.671)	(3.663)	(0.033)	(0.034)	(0.043)	(0.043)
Piat		-0.001***		-0.017**		-0.015		-0.000		-0.000
		(0.001)		(0.007)		(0.014)		(0.000)		(0.000)
Observations	890	890	890	890	307	307	890	890	889	889

Education, Cognition, Health Knowledge, and Health Behavior; European Journal of Health Economics

Online Resource 2

Appendix 5

Tables Reporting All Coefficients

Table 2A– Health Knowledge, School Attendance and Health Behaviors – First Differences	
Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002	

	Sme	oker	Cigare	ttes/day	Cigaret among	ttes/day smokers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended	-0.004*	-0.004*	-0.085***	-0.085***	-0.033	-0.034	-0.002**	-0.002**	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.024)	(0.024)	(0.052)	(0.052)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge		-0.028		-0.304		0.784		-0.012		-0.064
		(0.056)		(0.543)		(1.779)		(0.020)		(0.039)
Age	-0.010	-0.009	-0.118	-0.111	-1.056	-1.044	-0.006	-0.005	-0.009	-0.008
	(0.028)	(0.028)	(0.323)	(0.322)	(0.906)	(0.915)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.019)	(0.019)
Household income	-0.000	-0.000	-0.003	-0.003	-0.014*	-0.014*	-0.000	-0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Married	-0.155	-0.155	-0.420	-0.421	0.194	0.269	0.004	0.004	-0.039	-0.040
	(0.104)	(0.104)	(1.218)	(1.217)	(3.669)	(3.666)	(0.038)	(0.038)	(0.041)	(0.040)
Cumulative hours worked	0.015*	0.015*	0.157	0.155	0.134	0.135	0.002	0.002	0.009*	0.009*
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.115)	(0.115)	(0.347)	(0.347)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Household size	-0.005	-0.005	0.009	0.008	0.215	0.212	-0.000	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.096)	(0.097)	(0.276)	(0.276)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Observations	1108	1108	1108	1108	339	339	1108	1108	1105	1105

	Sm	oker	Cigare	ttes/day	Cigare among	ttes/day smokers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended	-0.001	-0.001	-0.120***	-0.122***	-0.111*	-0.115*	-0.004**	-0.004**	-0.003**	-0.003**
	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.043)	(0.043)	(0.063)	(0.062)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Health knowledge		-0.009		-3.023**		-4.419*		-0.069		-0.067
		(0.098)		(1.534)		(2.573)		(0.046)		(0.052)
Age	0.104**	0.104**	1.098	1.118	2.053	2.131	0.006	0.006	-0.012	-0.013
	(0.048)	(0.048)	(0.843)	(0.840)	(1.446)	(1.444)	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Household income	-0.000	-0.000	0.005	0.005	0.007	0.006	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Married	-0.051	-0.050	-2.265**	-1.989*	-1.812	-1.177	-0.057	-0.050	-0.066**	-0.067**
	(0.091)	(0.091)	(1.141)	(1.170)	(2.389)	(2.469)	(0.042)	(0.042)	(0.030)	(0.030)
Cumulative hours worked	0.004	0.004	0.422**	0.415**	0.576**	0.545**	0.015**	0.015**	0.013**	0.013**
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.169)	(0.168)	(0.265)	(0.262)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.006)	(0.006)
Household size	-0.010	-0.010	-0.178	-0.152	-0.142	-0.124	-0.007	-0.006	-0.001	-0.002
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.260)	(0.258)	(0.492)	(0.487)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.006)	(0.006)
Observations	505	505	505	505	272	272	505	505	505	505

Table 2B– Health Knowledge, School Attendance and Health Behaviors – First Differences Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Sme	oker	Cigare	ttes/day	Cigaret among	ttes/day smokers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Highest Grade Completed	-0.019	-0.019	-0.163	-0.160	0.232	0.218	-0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.001
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.103)	(0.102)	(0.258)	(0.255)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Health knowledge		-0.024		-0.286		0.590		-0.012		-0.063
		(0.056)		(0.542)		(1.778)		(0.020)		(0.039)
Age	-0.014	-0.013	-0.240	-0.233	-1.188	-1.176	-0.009	-0.009	-0.010	-0.009
	(0.028)	(0.028)	(0.313)	(0.312)	(0.875)	(0.886)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.019)	(0.019)
Household income	-0.000	-0.000	-0.004	-0.004	-0.014*	-0.014*	-0.000	-0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Married	-0.144	-0.144	-0.037	-0.037	0.634	0.686	0.014	0.014	-0.036	-0.036
	(0.105)	(0.105)	(1.279)	(1.279)	(3.705)	(3.704)	(0.040)	(0.040)	(0.042)	(0.041)
Cumulative hours worked	0.016*	0.016*	0.176	0.174	0.108	0.109	0.002	0.002	0.009*	0.009*
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.114)	(0.113)	(0.348)	(0.347)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Household size	-0.004	-0.004	0.023	0.022	0.228	0.225	-0.000	-0.000	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.098)	(0.099)	(0.281)	(0.280)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Observations	1108	1108	1108	1108	339	339	1108	1108	1105	1105

 Table 2C– Health Knowledge, Highest Grade Completed and Health Behaviors – First Differences

 Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Sm	oker	Cigaret	ttes/day	Cigare among	ttes/day smokers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Highest Grade Completed	-0.012	-0.012	-0.207	-0.219*	-0.110	-0.105	-0.003	-0.004	-0.013**	-0.013*
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.131)	(0.128)	(0.224)	(0.221)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.007)	(0.007)
Health knowledge		-0.012		-2.975*		-4.269		-0.066		-0.062
		(0.098)		(1.538)		(2.593)		(0.047)		(0.053)
Age	0.105**	0.105**	1.035	1.056	1.959	2.029	0.003	0.004	-0.013	-0.014
	(0.048)	(0.048)	(0.840)	(0.837)	(1.433)	(1.433)	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.025)	(0.025)
Household income	-0.000	-0.000	0.005	0.004	0.008	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.001
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Married	-0.047	-0.046	-1.934*	-1.655	-1.980	-1.378	-0.046	-0.040	-0.057*	-0.058**
	(0.089)	(0.090)	(1.083)	(1.115)	(2.395)	(2.474)	(0.041)	(0.041)	(0.029)	(0.029)
Cumulative hours worked	0.005	0.005	0.393**	0.387**	0.502*	0.467*	0.013**	0.013**	0.013**	0.013**
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.169)	(0.168)	(0.275)	(0.272)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.005)	(0.006)
Household size	-0.011	-0.010	-0.170	-0.145	-0.163	-0.146	-0.006	-0.006	-0.002	-0.002
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.267)	(0.264)	(0.509)	(0.505)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.006)	(0.006)
Observations	505	505	505	505	272	272	505	505	505	505

Table 2D– Health Knowledge, Highest Grade Completed and Health Behaviors – First Differences Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smo	oker	Cigaret	ttes/day	Cigarettes/ smo	day among kers	One pa	ck/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended Ever	-0.004***	-0.003**	-0.036***	-0.032**	-0.065	-0.077	-0.001	-0.001**	-0.000	0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.050)	(0.052)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	0.040	0.051	0.186	0.229	1.142	1.005	-0.012	-0.014	-0.034	-0.027
	(0.037)	(0.037)	(0.372)	(0.373)	(2.286)	(2.281)	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.029)	(0.030)
Asvab		-0.001***		-0.004		0.012		0.000*		-0.000
		(0.000)		(0.004)		(0.015)		(0.000)		(0.000)
Male	0.022	0.021	0.504***	0.498***	1.911**	1.921**	0.014***	0.015***	0.043***	0.043***
	(0.018)	(0.018)	(0.165)	(0.165)	(0.764)	(0.763)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.009)	(0.009)
Black	-0.081***	-0.104***	-0.910***	-0.999***	-3.868***	-3.659***	-0.014**	-0.009	-0.033***	-0.041***
	(0.019)	(0.022)	(0.181)	(0.218)	(1.058)	(1.133)	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.009)	(0.011)
Hispanic	0.003	-0.008	-0.629***	-0.673***	-3.733***	-3.706***	-0.014**	-0.011*	-0.017	-0.021
	(0.027)	(0.028)	(0.238)	(0.251)	(0.976)	(0.974)	(0.006)	(0.007)	(0.013)	(0.013)
Age	0.004	0.000	0.047	0.033	-0.022	-0.024	-0.001	0.000	0.001	-0.001
	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.209)	(0.211)	(1.210)	(1.210)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.011)	(0.011)
Married	-0.101	-0.107	-1.031	-1.054	-0.753	-0.787	-0.028***	- 0.027***	-0.066***	-0.067***
	(0.106)	(0.108)	(0.689)	(0.692)	(2.211)	(2.233)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.012)	(0.012)
Cumulative hours worked	0.026***	0.025***	0.242**	0.238**	0.163	0.167	0.004	0.005	0.008*	0.007
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.120)	(0.120)	(0.377)	(0.379)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Household income	-0.000	-0.000	-0.003	-0.002	-0.012	-0.013	-0.000	-0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.011)	(0.012)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Household size	-0.008	-0.009*	-0.011	-0.015	0.376	0.384	0.000	0.000	-0.002	-0.002
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.058)	(0.058)	(0.313)	(0.313)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Mother HS graduate	0.035	0.048*	-0.094	-0.044	-1.459	-1.650	-0.007	-0.010	0.011	0.015
-	(0.026)	(0.027)	(0.288)	(0.292)	(1.346)	(1.392)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.011)	(0.012)
Observations	1927	1927	1927	1927	326	326	1927	1927	1925	1925

 Table 3A: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without ASVAB – Cross Section

 Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Sm	oker	Cigaret	ttes/day	Cigarettes/ smc	/day among okers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended Ever	-0.005**	-0.004**	-0.117***	-0.111**	-0.160***	-0.165***	-0.003**	-0.003**	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.041)	(0.044)	(0.055)	(0.062)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	-0.009	0.012	-3.214**	-3.081**	-8.814**	-8.889**	-0.049	-0.047	-0.044	-0.042
	(0.079)	(0.080)	(1.549)	(1.537)	(4.003)	(3.969)	(0.038)	(0.039)	(0.044)	(0.045)
Asvab		-0.002*		-0.011		0.008		-0.000		-0.000
		(0.001)		(0.013)		(0.023)		(0.000)		(0.001)
Male	0.010	0.011	0.676	0.682	1.609	1.592	0.041**	0.041**	0.033**	0.033**
	(0.033)	(0.033)	(0.437)	(0.439)	(1.091)	(1.107)	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.016)
Black	-0.230***	-0.266***	-3.683***	-3.916***	-7.060***	-6.918***	-0.080***	-0.083***	-0.035*	-0.038*
	(0.038)	(0.041)	(0.540)	(0.641)	(1.366)	(1.518)	(0.018)	(0.020)	(0.018)	(0.023)
Hispanic	-0.157***	-0.189***	-2.908***	-3.116***	-4.417**	-4.307**	-0.073***	-0.076***	0.017	0.014
	(0.046)	(0.050)	(0.747)	(0.879)	(1.752)	(1.890)	(0.020)	(0.022)	(0.030)	(0.035)
Age	0.064	0.064	0.523	0.521	0.796	0.796	-0.004	-0.004	0.006	0.006
	(0.039)	(0.039)	(0.512)	(0.511)	(1.382)	(1.388)	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.018)	(0.018)
Married	-0.121	-0.114	-3.064**	-3.021**	-2.621	-2.683	-0.061	-0.060	-0.063	-0.062
	(0.109)	(0.111)	(1.197)	(1.209)	(2.483)	(2.498)	(0.046)	(0.046)	(0.045)	(0.045)
Cumulative hours worked	-0.002	0.000	0.197	0.209	0.409	0.402	0.010	0.010	0.005	0.005
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.186)	(0.186)	(0.286)	(0.290)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.006)	(0.007)
Household income	-0.000	-0.000	-0.003	-0.003	-0.010	-0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Household size	-0.019**	-0.020**	-0.175	-0.181	-0.011	-0.006	-0.002	-0.002	-0.009*	-0.009*
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.214)	(0.213)	(0.569)	(0.570)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Mother HS graduate	0.076**	0.093**	0.304	0.414	-0.913	-1.023	0.018	0.020	0.037**	0.038**
	(0.037)	(0.037)	(0.583)	(0.528)	(1.511)	(1.429)	(0.018)	(0.017)	(0.018)	(0.018)
Observations	808	808	808	808	270	270	808	808	808	808

Table 3B: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without ASVAB – Cross Section
Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smo	oker	Cigarettes/day		Cigarettes/ smo	day among kers	One pa	ack/day	Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended Ever	-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.056***	-0.054***	-0.095**	-0.102**	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.013)	(0.014)	(0.042)	(0.043)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	0.063*	0.072**	0.473	0.502	2.360	2.242	0.001	0.000	-0.028	-0.028
	(0.034)	(0.035)	(0.306)	(0.308)	(2.004)	(1.996)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.027)	(0.027)
Piat		-0.001**		-0.002		0.008		0.000		0.000
		(0.000)		(0.002)		(0.009)		(0.000)		(0.000)
Male	0.019	0.021	0.362**	0.367**	1.323**	1.319**	0.009*	0.009*	0.037***	0.037***
	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.145)	(0.145)	(0.631)	(0.632)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.008)	(0.008)
Black	-0.085***	-0.103***	-0.911***	-0.970***	-3.281***	-3.153***	-0.014**	-0.012**	-0.034***	-0.033***
	(0.018)	(0.020)	(0.156)	(0.175)	(0.833)	(0.845)	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.008)	(0.009)
Hispanic	-0.003	-0.011	-0.543**	-0.569**	-3.016***	-3.006***	-0.005	-0.004	-0.010	-0.009
	(0.024)	(0.025)	(0.220)	(0.227)	(0.913)	(0.909)	(0.007)	(0.008)	(0.012)	(0.013)
Age	0.007	0.004	0.192	0.182	0.299	0.289	0.004	0.005	0.004	0.004
	(0.018)	(0.018)	(0.191)	(0.193)	(1.058)	(1.056)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.009)	(0.009)
Married	-0.111	-0.115	-0.040	-0.052	3.017	2.976	0.011	0.011	-0.027	-0.027
	(0.085)	(0.086)	(1.218)	(1.221)	(3.930)	(3.935)	(0.039)	(0.039)	(0.038)	(0.038)
Cumulative hours worked	0.018**	0.018**	0.158	0.156	0.111	0.098	0.002	0.002	0.008*	0.008*
	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.108)	(0.108)	(0.344)	(0.347)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Household income	-0.000	-0.000	-0.002	-0.002	-0.006	-0.006	-0.000	-0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Household size	-0.007	-0.007	-0.017	-0.019	0.163	0.159	0.000	0.000	-0.000	0.000
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.055)	(0.055)	(0.275)	(0.276)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Mother HS graduate	0.029	0.039	0.070	0.102	-0.667	-0.775	0.004	0.003	0.015	0.015
	(0.024)	(0.024)	(0.243)	(0.245)	(1.108)	(1.111)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.010)	(0.010)
Observations	2228	2228	2228	2228	380	380	2228	2228	2225	2225

 Table 4A: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without PIAT – Cross Section

 Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smo	oker	Cigaret	ttes/day	Cigarettes/ smo	day among okers	One pa	ick/day	Heavy	Drinker
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended Ever	-0.003**	-0.003*	-0.077***	-0.072**	-0.139***	-0.135***	-0.002**	-0.002**	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.030)	(0.029)	(0.050)	(0.051)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	0.036	0.051	-1.925	-1.755	-6.274*	-6.158*	-0.016	-0.013	-0.031	-0.027
	(0.070)	(0.069)	(1.263)	(1.255)	(3.389)	(3.393)	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.040)	(0.040)
Piat		-0.001**		-0.014**		-0.007		-0.000		-0.000
		(0.001)		(0.006)		(0.014)		(0.000)		(0.000)
Male	0.025	0.029	0.931**	0.984**	1.822*	1.854**	0.038***	0.040***	0.042***	0.044***
	(0.030)	(0.030)	(0.402)	(0.401)	(0.929)	(0.932)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.014)
Black	-0.223***	-0.240***	-3.459***	-3.643***	-6.108***	-6.205***	-0.071***	-0.075***	-0.037**	-0.042**
	(0.035)	(0.035)	(0.484)	(0.498)	(1.138)	(1.152)	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.016)
Hispanic	-0.184***	-0.202***	-3.392***	-3.589***	-5.386***	-5.462***	-0.077***	-0.081***	0.011	0.006
	(0.043)	(0.044)	(0.666)	(0.693)	(1.593)	(1.642)	(0.019)	(0.020)	(0.025)	(0.027)
Age	0.092***	0.091***	0.923**	0.912**	1.637	1.607	0.010	0.009	0.000	-0.000
	(0.034)	(0.034)	(0.453)	(0.449)	(1.155)	(1.156)	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.016)	(0.016)
Married	-0.102	-0.096	-2.248**	-2.185**	-1.875	-1.846	-0.046	-0.044	-0.076**	-0.075**
	(0.084)	(0.084)	(1.083)	(1.089)	(2.069)	(2.073)	(0.040)	(0.040)	(0.030)	(0.031)
Cumulative hours worked	-0.006	-0.005	0.207	0.208	0.415*	0.412*	0.009	0.009	0.007	0.007
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.170)	(0.168)	(0.242)	(0.242)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.006)	(0.006)
Household income	0.000	0.000	-0.001	0.000	-0.005	-0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Household size	-0.020**	-0.020**	-0.166	-0.167	-0.032	-0.039	-0.002	-0.002	-0.009**	-0.009**
	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.177)	(0.176)	(0.468)	(0.467)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.004)	(0.004)
Mother HS graduate	0.060*	0.078**	0.130	0.335	-1.020	-0.906	0.003	0.008	0.039**	0.044***
	(0.034)	(0.034)	(0.524)	(0.507)	(1.268)	(1.222)	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.016)	(0.015)
Observations	996	996	996	996	337	337	996	996	995	995

 Table 4B: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health behaviors, models with and without PIAT – Cross Section

 Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smoker		Cigarettes/day		Cigarettes/day among smokers		One pack/day		Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended Ever	-0.005***	-0.005***	-0.055***	-0.056***	-0.088*	-0.090*	-0.001**	-0.001**	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.046)	(0.046)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	0.092***	0.094***	0.473	0.451	2.066	1.877	-0.005	-0.007	-0.041	-0.042
	(0.035)	(0.035)	(0.338)	(0.337)	(2.448)	(2.432)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.027)	(0.027)
Learning disability		0.015		-0.167		-0.836		-0.015		-0.010
		(0.035)		(0.308)		(1.080)		(0.010)		(0.017)
Male	0.023	0.022	0.467***	0.477***	1.798**	1.862**	0.010*	0.011**	0.042***	0.043***
	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.162)	(0.164)	(0.741)	(0.755)	(0.005)	(0.006)	(0.008)	(0.008)
Black	-0.085***	-0.084***	-0.988***	-0.993***	-3.689***	-3.742***	-0.016***	-0.017***	-0.035***	-0.036***
	(0.019)	(0.019)	(0.179)	(0.179)	(0.939)	(0.947)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.009)	(0.009)
Hispanic	-0.025	-0.024	-0.661***	-0.669***	-3.102***	-3.195***	-0.006	-0.007	-0.018	-0.018
	(0.025)	(0.025)	(0.241)	(0.241)	(1.014)	(1.029)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.012)	(0.012)
Age	0.005	0.005	0.176	0.178	-0.054	-0.050	0.005	0.005	-0.006	-0.006
	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.198)	(0.198)	(1.199)	(1.193)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.009)	(0.009)
Married	-0.000*	-0.000*	-0.004	-0.004	-0.013	-0.013	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Cumulative hours worked	-0.138	-0.136	0.091	0.075	4.551	4.480	0.013	0.011	-0.016	-0.017
	(0.094)	(0.094)	(1.460)	(1.459)	(4.541)	(4.544)	(0.047)	(0.047)	(0.047)	(0.047)
Household income	0.022**	0.022**	0.175	0.174	0.092	0.093	0.003	0.003	0.011**	0.011**
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.116)	(0.116)	(0.352)	(0.354)	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Household size	-0.006	-0.006	0.001	-0.000	0.216	0.206	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.062)	(0.062)	(0.294)	(0.294)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Mother HS graduate	0.019	0.019	0.031	0.032	-0.683	-0.730	0.004	0.004	0.003	0.003
	(0.025)	(0.025)	(0.271)	(0.271)	(1.231)	(1.236)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.011)	(0.011)
Observations	2100	2100	2100	2100	362	362	2100	2100	2097	2097

 Table 5A: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability – Cross Section

 Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smoker		Cigarettes/day		Cigarettes/c smol	day among kers	One pack/day		Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended Ever	-0.005**	-0.004**	-0.094***	-0.092***	-0.139***	-0.138***	-0.003***	-0.003***	-0.001	-0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.050)	(0.050)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health knowledge	0.039	0.052	-2.099	-1.927	-7.131**	-6.803*	-0.033	-0.029	-0.061	-0.063
	(0.072)	(0.072)	(1.346)	(1.347)	(3.579)	(3.667)	(0.035)	(0.035)	(0.042)	(0.043)
Learning disability		0.148**		1.908**		1.595		0.040		-0.011
		(0.060)		(0.839)		(1.315)		(0.030)		(0.025)
Male	0.012	0.004	0.935**	0.842**	2.035**	1.917**	0.039***	0.037***	0.043***	0.044***
	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.410)	(0.406)	(0.924)	(0.930)	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.015)	(0.015)
Black	-0.234***	-0.234***	-3.723***	-3.721***	-6.456***	-6.503***	-0.076***	-0.076***	-0.046***	-0.046***
	(0.036)	(0.036)	(0.502)	(0.502)	(1.144)	(1.116)	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.017)
Hispanic	-0.216***	-0.206***	-3.647***	-3.513***	-5.405***	-5.330***	-0.082***	-0.079***	0.001	0.000
	(0.043)	(0.043)	(0.695)	(0.700)	(1.720)	(1.725)	(0.020)	(0.020)	(0.027)	(0.027)
Age	0.092***	0.089**	1.023**	0.994**	1.628	1.576	0.016	0.015	-0.000	0.000
	(0.035)	(0.035)	(0.487)	(0.489)	(1.161)	(1.177)	(0.016)	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.017)
Married	-0.000	-0.000	-0.004	-0.005	-0.008	-0.010	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.001)	(0.000)	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Cumulative hours worked	-0.089	-0.085	-2.343**	-2.293*	-2.157	-2.006	-0.047	-0.046	-0.080**	-0.080**
	(0.090)	(0.091)	(1.160)	(1.177)	(2.091)	(2.099)	(0.044)	(0.044)	(0.032)	(0.032)
Household income	-0.010	-0.008	0.067	0.086	0.282	0.289	0.004	0.004	0.004	0.004
	(0.011)	(0.011)	(0.166)	(0.165)	(0.256)	(0.255)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Household size	-0.016*	-0.016*	-0.086	-0.086	0.091	0.101	0.001	0.001	-0.010**	-0.010**
	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.193)	(0.193)	(0.507)	(0.508)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Mother HS graduate	0.072**	0.073**	0.227	0.249	-1.082	-1.063	0.006	0.006	0.043***	0.043***
	(0.036)	(0.035)	(0.561)	(0.559)	(1.353)	(1.345)	(0.018)	(0.018)	(0.016)	(0.016)
Observations	942	942	942	942	324	324	942	942	943	943

 Table 5B: The Impact of Highest Grade Completed on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability – Cross Section

 Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smoker		Cigarettes/day		Cigarettes/ smo	'day among okers	One pack/day		Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended	-0.005*	-0.005*	-0.092***	-0.092***	-0.023	-0.024	-0.002**	-0.002**	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.056)	(0.056)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Months attended * Disability	-0.001	-0.001	-0.007	-0.007	-0.028	-0.029	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000	-0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health Knowedge		-0.023		-0.323		1.112		-0.013		-0.075*
		(0.058)		(0.600)		(2.172)		(0.022)		(0.041)
Age	-0.019	-0.019	-0.160	-0.150	-0.928	-0.925	-0.008	-0.007	-0.020	-0.020
	(0.031)	(0.031)	(0.366)	(0.364)	(1.023)	(1.030)	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.019)	(0.019)
Household income	-0.000	-0.000	-0.004	-0.004	-0.020**	-0.019**	-0.000	-0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Married	-0.219*	-0.220*	-0.437	-0.442	0.495	0.611	0.002	0.002	-0.035	-0.034
	(0.115)	(0.115)	(1.450)	(1.449)	(4.128)	(4.120)	(0.045)	(0.045)	(0.048)	(0.047)
Cumulative hours worked	0.017*	0.017*	0.157	0.156	0.066	0.064	0.002	0.002	0.012**	0.013**
	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.129)	(0.129)	(0.381)	(0.382)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Household size	-0.004	-0.004	0.025	0.024	0.276	0.269	-0.001	-0.001	-0.001	-0.000
	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.110)	(0.111)	(0.294)	(0.293)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.005)
Observations	989	989	989	989	308	308	989	989	986	986

 Table 6A: The Impact of School Attendance on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability – First Differences

 Individuals with Uninterrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002

	Smoker		Cigarettes/day		Cigarettes/day among smokers		One pack/day		Heavy Drinker	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Months Attended	-0.002	-0.002	-0.128***	-0.132***	-0.130*	-0.135**	-0.004***	-0.004***	-0.003**	-0.003**
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.046)	(0.046)	(0.068)	(0.067)	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.002)	(0.002)
Months attended * Disability	0.001	0.001	0.061	0.057	0.054	0.041	0.004**	0.004**	0.001	0.001
	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.040)	(0.041)	(0.054)	(0.059)	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Health Knowedge		-0.052		-2.946*		-5.181*		-0.074		-0.081
		(0.105)		(1.712)		(2.967)		(0.049)		(0.056)
Age	0.083	0.083	0.742	0.746	1.798	1.830	-0.000	-0.000	-0.011	-0.011
	(0.052)	(0.052)	(0.957)	(0.948)	(1.591)	(1.563)	(0.030)	(0.030)	(0.029)	(0.029)
Household income	-0.001	-0.001	0.001	0.000	0.002	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.009)	(0.009)	(0.015)	(0.015)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)	(0.000)
Married	0.032	0.037	-1.825	-1.549	-0.818	-0.051	-0.040	-0.034	-0.069**	-0.071**
	(0.088)	(0.090)	(1.262)	(1.295)	(2.537)	(2.643)	(0.047)	(0.047)	(0.035)	(0.035)
Cumulative hours worked	0.000	0.000	0.300*	0.295*	0.435	0.403	0.010	0.009	0.009*	0.009
	(0.012)	(0.012)	(0.170)	(0.169)	(0.274)	(0.272)	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.005)	(0.006)
Household size	-0.006	-0.006	-0.119	-0.096	-0.078	-0.064	-0.006	-0.005	-0.002	-0.002
	(0.013)	(0.013)	(0.297)	(0.295)	(0.535)	(0.528)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.007)
Observations	453	453	453	453	246	246	453	453	454	454

 Table 6B: The Impact of School Attendance on Health Behaviors, models with and without Learning Disability–First Differences

 Individuals with Interrupted Schooling between 1997 and 2002