

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Coleman, Brett E.

Working Paper

Microfinance in Northeast Thailand: Who Benefits and How Much

ERD Working Paper Series, No. 9

Provided in Cooperation with:

Asian Development Bank (ADB), Manila

Suggested Citation: Coleman, Brett E. (2002): Microfinance in Northeast Thailand: Who Benefits and How Much, ERD Working Paper Series, No. 9, Asian Development Bank (ADB), Manila, https://hdl.handle.net/11540/1926

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/109232

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/igo

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





ERD WORKING PAPER SERIES NO. 9 ECONOMICS AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

Microfinance in Northeast Thailand: Who Benefits and How Much?

Brett E. Coleman

April 2002

Asian Development Bank

ERD Working Paper No. 9

Microfinance in Northeast Thailand: Who Benefits and How Much?

Brett E. Coleman

April 2002

Brett E. Coleman is a Project Economist with the Mekong Department of the Asian Development Bank. The author would like to thank George Akerlof, Pranab Bardhan, David Dole, Paul Gertler, Alain de Janvry, Elisabeth Sadoulet, Ken Train, Ploenpit Satsanguan, and seminar participants at UC Berkeley, McGill University, Bard College, Franklin and Marshall College, San Diego State University, the University of Arkansas, and St. Mary's College of California for their helpful comments; the staff of CRS/Thailand, especially Yupaporn Boontid and Ruth Ellison, for their advice and support throughout the surveys; the staff of RFA/ Surin and FIAM/Roi-Et for the excellent enumeration services of their field staff and for other data on their village banks; the staff of BAAC in Surin and Roi-Et for data on their members; and the villagers surveyed. Special thanks are due to Thanorm Charoensiri for her outstanding and dedicated research assistance. The author gratefully acknowledges financial support from the Social Science Research Council and the Fulbright Scholarship Program.

Asian Development Bank P.O. Box 789 0980 Manila Philippines

©2002 by Asian Development Bank April 2002 ISSN 1655-5252

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the Asian Development Bank.

Foreword

The ERD Working Paper Series is a forum for ongoing and recently completed research and policy studies undertaken in the Asian Development Bank or on its behalf. The Series is a quick-disseminating, informal publication meant to stimulate discussion and elicit feedback. Papers published under this Series could subsequently be revised for publication as articles in professional journals or chapters in books.

Contents

	Abstract	vii
I.	Introduction	1
II.	The NGO Programs Studied	3
III.	Survey Design and Data	4
IV.	Survey Area	5
V.	Participation A. Selection of Members B. Borrowing by Members	6 6 11
VI.	Impact A. Impact on Rank and File Members B. Impact on Committee Members	13 15 16
/II.	Summary and Policy Conclusions	18
	Appendix	22
	References	33

Abstract

This paper evaluates the outreach and impact of two microfinance "village bank" programs that target the poor in Northeast Thailand. It controls for endogenous self-selection and program placement, using data from a unique survey conducted in 1995-1996. Results indicate that even prior to program intervention, participants tend to be significantly wealthier than nonparticipants, and the wealthiest villagers are almost twice as likely to participate in the program as the poorer villagers. Moreover, the wealthiest in the village often become program committee members and use their positions to borrow substantially more than rank and file members. However, local information on individual creditworthiness is also used in member selection. Results demonstrate that microfinance loans positively affect many measures of household welfare for the wealthy committee members, but the impact is largely insignificant for poorer rank and file members. Policy recommendations include increased vigilance in targeting the poor, greater efforts to publicly disseminate the rules and purpose of the village bank program, and introduction and enforcement of eligibility criteria based on wealth while continuing to allow villagers to selfselect.

I. INTRODUCTION

Istorically, efforts to deliver formal credit and financial services to the rural poor in developing countries have failed. Commercial banks generally do not serve the needs of the rural poor because of the perceived high risk and the high transaction costs associated with small loans and savings deposits. To fill the void, many governments have tried to deliver formal credit to rural areas by setting up special agricultural banks or directing commercial banks to loan to rural borrowers. However, these programs have almost all failed because of the political difficulty for governments to enforce loan repayment, and because the relatively wealthy and powerful, rather than the poor, received most of the loans (Adams et al. 1984, Adams and Vogel 1986, World Bank 1989).

The recent proliferation of innovative microfinance programs, often based on a group-lending methodology, has been largely inspired by the belief that such programs reach the poor and have a positive impact on various measures of their welfare, including economic measures (e.g., wealth and income), social measures (e.g., educational attainment and health status), and less tangible measures such as "empowerment". The popular press has waved the banner of microfinance as perhaps the most important recent tool to reduce poverty (see for example, *San Francisco Examiner* 1990, 1999; *The Economist* 1993; Malveaux 1997; and *New York Times* 1997). The 1997 Microcredit Summit called for the mobilization of \$20 billion over a 10-year period to support microfinance (Microcredit Summit Report 1997). Much of this faith in microfinance is based on the highly selective anecdotal evidence of individuals who are reported to have pulled themselves and their families out of poverty with the benefit of microcredit. Yet, despite the proliferation of these programs and the outpouring of support by donors, there has been precious little sound empirical research that tests the hypotheses that they are reaching and benefiting the poor. ²

¹ There remain dissenters to the popular view of microfinance. For example, Adams and von Pischke (1992, 1468) have written that "debt is not an effective tool for helping most poor people enhance their economic condition, be they operators of small farms or micro entrepreneurs, or poor women." They argue that access to credit is not a significant problem faced by small agricultural households and that factor and product prices, land tenure, technology, and risk are the factors limiting small farmer development.

² For discussions on theoretical aspects of group lending, see Stiglitz (1990), Varian (1990), Besley and Coate (1995), Van Tassel (1999), Ghatak (1999), Conning (1999), Armendariz de Aghion (1999), Ghatak and Guinnane (1999), and Coleman (2000).

To form the basis of such a significant investment to reduce poverty compared to alternative investments in other poverty alleviation programs, the proposition that microfinance reaches the poor and positively affects their welfare should be proven and not just assumed. This paper attempts to address this shortcoming in the literature by examining the results of a survey of two Northeast Thailand "village bank" programs that target the poor. The survey was designed and conducted in 1995-1996 to measure outreach and impact on the poor, while controlling for the endogeneity biases that have plagued other studies.

The nongovernment organization (NGO) programs studied in this paper, as do many microfinance programs, target "the poorest of the poor" according to project documents and donor policy. The ability of any program to achieve this goal depends on the institutional context in which it is implemented, and the main premise on which microfinance programs are based is that the poor are credit-constrained and have limited access to formal sector credit. In Thailand, however, the Bank for Agriculture and Agricultural Cooperatives (BAAC) claims to serve over 80 percent of rural households. Hence, it is possible that the rural poor in Thailand are not credit-constrained. However, the BAAC's outreach in the northeast, the country's poorest region, is smaller than the rest of the country. In the 14 villages surveyed for this study, 63 percent of village households were BAAC members. Moreover, as is often the case in government-led credit programs, the BAAC's clientele is largely male; only 29.5 percent of surveyed BAAC members were women. Hence, only 18.6 percent of surveyed households included women who had access to BAAC loans. On the other hand, 25.8 percent of surveyed households included women who were in debt to moneylenders. At the time of the surveys, BAAC's annual interest rate varied from 3 to 12 percent, whereas moneylenders charged between 60 and 120 percent per year, and the NGO programs evaluated in this paper charged 24 percent per year. Hence, there is evidence that women in Northeast Thailand may be credit-constrained and may benefit from access to lower-cost institutional credit.

The main problems plaguing attempts to evaluate the targeting and impact of microfinance programs are that participants self-select and program placement might be endogenous.³ It is, therefore, likely that there are significant unobservable differences between participants and nonparticipants (e.g., entrepreneurship, risk preferences, attitudes regarding the role of women in the household, attitudes toward belonging to a poverty lending group), which make direct comparison of participants and nonparticipants biased. Similarly, endogenous program placement will bias attempts to compare program and nonprogram villages. Coleman (1999), using the same data set examined in this paper, demonstrates the extent to which uncorrected estimates significantly overestimate average program impact.

³ For a thorough econometric discussion of the issues involved, see Pitt and Khandker (1998) and Coleman (1999).

This paper extends and refines the methodology used in Coleman (1999) to evaluate targeting and differential impact on different classes of participants. Results indicate that self-selected program participants are significantly wealthier than nonparticipants even prior to program intervention, and the wealthiest villagers are almost twice as likely to participate in the program than the poorer villagers. Moreover, some of the wealthiest villagers obtain a disproportionate share of program loan volume by virtue of holding influential positions as village bank committee members. Positive impact is seen largely in this wealthier group. Impact on rank and file members is significantly smaller than impact on the wealthy, and is largely insignificant. Hence, similar to previous attempts to deliver low-cost credit to the poor, most of the benefits in the village banks studied are going to the wealthiest villagers.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Sections II to IV describe the design of the programs studied, survey design and data, and survey area. Section V presents results relating to participation, including member selection and borrowing, while Section VI presents results on program impact. Section VII concludes and discusses policy implications.

II. THE NGO PROGRAMS STUDIED

The two microfinance programs studied are run by Thai NGOs: the Rural Friends Association (RFA), located in the northeast province of Surin, and the Foundation for Integrated Agricultural Management (FIAM), located in the adjacent province of Roi-Et. RFA and FIAM have promoted microfinance since 1988 and receive financial and technical assistance from the American NGO Catholic Relief Services (CRS). Both Thai NGOs follow the "village bank" group lending methodology of the Foundation for International Community Assistance (see FINCA 1990 and Hatch 1989), in which borrowers (all women) form their own peer groups of 20 to 60 members. Smaller solidarity groups are generally not used, although some of the sampled village banks independently formed such groups. The NGO grants a loan simultaneously to each member, but group members co-guarantee each other's loans. If the group does not meet its collective responsibility to repay all of its members' loans, then all group members are denied future credit.

The first loan is the same amount for all members (1500 baht).⁴ For each subsequent loan cycle, the member is entitled to borrow an amount equal to her previous loan plus her accumulated savings in the village bank, up to a fixed maximum (7500 baht). Moreover, the group also makes loans to its members (and sometimes to nonmembers) from its members' savings. Loans from the NGO lender are "external account" loans, and loans from members' savings are "internal account" loans. External account loans must be repaid in 6 months.⁵ External account loans are

⁴ In 1995-1996, US\$1 = 25 baht.

⁵ In three of the older village banks surveyed, 4-month loan cycles were used for the first year. Subsequently, the NGOs switched to 6-month loan cycles in response to member demand.

made for 5 years, after which time the funds used for external account lending are used to finance new village banks, and the internal account built up is supposed to continue to finance the village bank members' needs. The interest rate charged on external account loans is 2 percent per month, with both principal and interest due at term. The interest rate on internal account loans is determined by each village bank, but is generally also set at 2 percent per month, although some village banks set it at 3 percent per month. At the time of the surveys, RFA had a 97 percent on-time repayment rate on its external account loans, and FIAM had a 100 percent on-time repayment rate. Savings are not mandatory, but are encouraged in two ways. First, external account borrowing rights grow with increasing savings; and second, the profits from internal account lending are allocated among members according to the amount of their savings.

Group formation and membership was generally determined as follows. The initial contact between NGO and village could be initiated either by the NGO or by the village, but almost always involved contacts between the NGO and "leading members" of the village. As is customary and necessary in Thailand, the NGO fieldworker's first visit to the village would include a visit to the village chief to introduce the purpose of the visit. Frequently, the task of assembling and organizing the village women into a village bank group would be delegated to the village leadership—either the village chief or a female leader designated by him. The role of the field worker was, therefore, frequently reduced to explaining the program to the group thus formed, and to administering the delivery of loans and collection of repayments. In three of the villages surveyed, however, the other extreme was observed—namely that the field workers, who were resident in the villages, handpicked the women to join the program.

Each village bank was managed by a committee comprised of a president, vice president, treasurer, and other officers. These committee members make most of the day-to-day decisions, including (importantly) decisions on membership, borrowing eligibility, and allocation of loans. In principle, the committee membership was elected annually, but in practice the leading women designated to organize the village bank became committee members and remained in their positions from year to year.⁸

III. SURVEY DESIGN AND DATA

A total of 445 households in 14 villages in Northeast Thailand were surveyed in 1995-1996. Eight of the villages were supported by RFA, and the other six were supported by FIAM.

⁶ Interestingly, final-period external account loans were always paid back in full, contradicting the economic theory that finite-period games would lead to default. Clearly, the villagers either feel a moral obligation to repay, are concerned with their long-term reputations with the NGOs, or have not been properly educated on the subject of finite-period games!

Originally, interest payments were made at weekly meetings, but were eliminated due to member demand. Meeting frequency was also reduced from weekly to monthly. However, with all payments due every six months, there is often little business to conduct at the monthly meetings, which are therefore poorly attended.

⁸ There was occasionally some shifting within the committee—e.g., the president and treasurer swapping positions.

Of the 14 villages surveyed, six had never benefited from village bank support, and did not receive any village bank loans during the survey period. These "control" villages were identified as follows. Based on their expansion plans, RFA pre-identified four villages and FIAM pre-identified two that they would begin supporting in 1996. In February and March 1995, RFA and FIAM field staff organized the villagers into the new village banks, allowing them to self-select according to standard procedures, the only difference being that the villagers were told that loans would not begin for approximately one year.

A random sample of eight "treatment" villages (four each from RFA and FIAM) was also chosen from a list of their village banks (32 for RFA and 26 for FIAM). One other village that was just due to begin receiving loans was also included to serve as a control village for certain purposes⁹ and to observe the initial development of a new village bank.

A stratified random sample based on participant status of 505 households was obtained from all 14 villages. Of these, 455 were located by the enumerators and agreed to be interviewed during the first survey, and 445 (including 294 program participants and 151 nonparticipants) finished the surveys.

Each village was surveyed four times over a year. The first survey was conducted in April 1995 and collected data on household demographics, assets, and debts. The second, third, and fourth surveys were conducted in August 1995, October 1995, and February-March 1996, respectively. They collected data on income, expenditures, and credit transactions during the dry season (February to May), the rainy season (June to September), and the harvest season (October to January). In addition to the household surveys, village surveys were also conducted to collect data on village infrastructure, prices, and other characteristics. The household surveys were administered by the staff of RFA in Surin and FIAM in Roi-Et, under the author's supervision. ¹⁰ The author conducted the village surveys as well as in-depth informal interviews with numerous villagers.

IV. SURVEY AREA

The provinces of Surin and Roi-Et are adjacent to each other and are located in Northeast Thailand, the country's poorest region. Most village households engage in small-scale agriculture: 90.4 percent of men and 91.3 percent of women in the households surveyed listed farming as their primary or secondary occupation. In Surin, rainfed rice is the primary crop, with planting in June

⁹ This village bank opened in April 1995 and is, depending on the empirical test being conducted, sometimes a treatment village and sometimes a control village. For example, when estimating the impact of village bank loans on various assets, as measured by the first survey, it is a control village since it had not yet benefited from village bank loans. But when estimating the impact on income or expenditures over the 12-month survey period, it is a treatment village since its first two 6-month loans would have impacted income during this period.

¹⁰ Questionnaires were checked for internal consistency in the villages immediately upon completion of an interview. Approximately 20 percent were subsequently checked for content in follow-up interviews.

and harvesting from November to January. During the off-season, a few households engage in small-scale irrigated gardening, but most either engage in nonagricultural income-generating activities or remain idle. The more common activities include pig raising; itinerant wage labor (especially construction) in the provincial capital or Bangkok; and small business activities such as petty trading, driving a motorcycle taxi, spinning and weaving silk and cotton, and operating small food stands. Some of the wealthier households buy and sell cattle and water buffalo. Agricultural and nonagricultural activities in Roi-Et are similar to those in Surin, with two differences. First, another important crop cultivated during the main growing season is sticky rice. Second, because of different soil quality, tobacco is commonly grown as a cash crop during the off season from November to April, leading to less migrant labor compared to Surin.

Most people in Surin, which borders Cambodia, are ethnically Khmer (98 percent) and primarily Khmer-speaking. The people of Roi-Et, like those in most of Northeast Thailand, are ethnically Lao (98 percent) and the language spoken is a dialect of Lao. In both provinces, however, Thai is understood and spoken by all but the oldest villagers.

V. PARTICIPATION

A. Selection of Members

The *raison d'être* of most microfinance programs is to correct market failure in delivering credit to the rural poor. Most microfinance programs state that their primary goal is to alleviate rural poverty by delivering credit and other financial services to the poorest households, especially to the women in those households. This is certainly the case for the programs studied in this paper. For example, Catholic Relief Services publishes "Eight Principles of Village Banking", the first of which is to loan to "the poorest of the poor." FINCA (1989) lists several "criteria for membership selection," one of which is that membership should be "open to poorest-of-the-poor, but not exclusively for poor" although "ultimately, membership is self-selecting and not responsibility of sponsoring agency."

The poor are generally targeted by group lending programs in one of two ways. In a minority of programs, such as the Grameen Bank in Bangladesh, households owning assets valued at more than a certain amount are in principle excluded from participating in the program.¹¹ The majority of group lending programs, however, do not set strict eligibility criteria. Instead, they seek to target the poor and screen out the wealthy indirectly (i) by setting small loan sizes (e.g., \$50 to \$300)

¹¹ For example, households owning assets that are worth more than the value of one acre of land are theoretically ineligible to join the Grameen Bank. Two other Bangladeshi group lending programs, the Bangladesh Rural Advancement Committee (BRAC) and the Bangladesh Rural Development Board (BRDB), deem ineligible households that own more than 0.5 acres of land. Morduch (1999), however, shows that these eligibility criteria are not perfectly enforced, and some 18 to 32 percent of participants do not meet the eligibility criteria.

that would presumably be of little interest to the wealthy; (ii) by requiring frequent group meetings (usually weekly or monthly) that would impose a cost on the wealthy in excess of any benefit they might derive from the small loans; and (iii) by the stigma of participating in a "poverty lending" program. This second, indirect, screening method is part of the "village bank" model of group lending pioneered by FINCA and adopted by most international NGOs such as Catholic Relief Services, CARE, Save the Children, and Freedom From Hunger. It is therefore important to determine whether or not the self-selection process allows NGOs to reach their target group of "the poorest of the poor".

Most existing studies on program impact have ignored the issue of program targeting. These include, for example, the 32 studies reviewed in Sebstad and Chen (1996) (with the exception of MkNelly and Watetip 1993 discussed in greater detail below), the 11 studies reviewed in Chen (1992), and the studies by Wydick (1995a and 1995c), Pitt and Khandker (1998), and Khandker (2001).

Exceptions to this neglect include MkNelly and Watetip's (1993) study of village banks in Northeast Thailand (not far from where the current study was conducted), Perry's (1995) anthropological study of village banks in Senegal, Park and Ren's (2001) study of microfinance in the People's Republic of China (PRC), and Amin et al.'s (1999) Bangladesh study. MkNelly and Watetip find that village bank members closely match a general cross section of the village. They use an innovative survey of village chiefs regarding the wealth level of member and nonmember households in the village. However, they conduct their study three years after the establishment of the village banks. Hence, differences in wealth would have been influenced by the village bank itself. Moreover, politically savvy village chiefs might have deduced that the "correct" response is that village bank members are poorer than nonmembers (or, if the chiefs are concerned about impact, they might respond that participants are the wealthier villagers). The shortcomings of this method are underscored by Perry's (1995) study in Senegal. She found that, in response to open-ended questions, focus groups of village bank members asserted that the wealthy do not dominate village bank membership. However, her more objective measurements of wealth demonstrated that the wealthy in fact did have more access to membership and to borrowing. The studies by Park and Ren (2001) and Amin et al. (1999) also evaluate targeting by comparing participants and nonparticipants after program effects have been realized, potentially biasing the results.

As these studies demonstrate, the main problem plaguing attempts to evaluate program targeting is that most empirical studies have focused on impact; therefore, data have been collected after the programs have been operating for some time. Differences between participants and nonparticipants are therefore corrupted by the effects of the program.

The Thai data set collected for the present study, however, provides an ideal setting to study issues of self-selection and targeting because the sample includes seven villages where villagers are self-selected but had not received village bank loans at the time of the first survey. Differences in wealth of members and nonmembers can be directly compared in these villages. In addition, data for *all* households surveyed was collected on land owned 5 years before the surveys,

i.e., land owned before any of the 14 villages had a village bank. 12 Because land value makes up 73.7 percent of the value of household assets in the sample, the value of land owned 5 years before the surveys is an excellent proxy for prior household wealth. 13

It should be kept in mind in what follows that a villager can become a member only if she self-selects *and* is selected by her peers. Hence, to refer to the selection process as "self-selection" can be misleading; selection by peers may be the more important process. Table 1 below presents weighted¹⁴ t-tests on total wealth, land value, and the value of nonland assets (total and by gender of the owner) for households in the seven villages that had not received village bank support at the time of the first survey. Table 2 presents weighted t-tests on land value (total and by gender) for all 14 villages 5 years before our surveys.

Table 1: Weighted t-tests on Wealth of Members and Nonmembers in Control Villages

Variable (in baht)	Village Bank Members (n=140)	Nonmembers (n=70)	P-value of Difference
Household wealth (assets less debt)	574,738	434,154	.157
Female-owned wealth (assets less debt)	303,482	191,327	.055
Male-owned wealth (assets less debt)	264,810	237,635	.740
Value of household land	442,814	271,370	.028
Value of female-owned land	254,089	128,560	.019
Value of male-owned land	187,413	142,810	.455
Value of household nonland assets	172,114	201,354	.476
Value of female-owned nonland assets	61,604	76,252	.376
Value of male-owned nonland assets	104,548	119,910	.670

Table 2: Weighted t-tests on Land Value of Members and Nonmembers in All Villages 5 Years before Surveys

Variable (in baht)	Village Bank members (n=294)	Nonmembers (n=151)	P-value of difference
Value of household land	480,745	249,604	.001
Value of female-owned land	301,816	109,774	.001
Value of male-owned land	176,624	137,851	.382

¹² Collecting data on land owned 5 years earlier is relatively easy. Because land transactions tend to be large and important yet relatively infrequent for a household, a household can easily recall land transactions made in the previous 5 years, and land owned (and its value) 5 years earlier can be deduced.

¹³ Land value 5 years before our surveys is also an important regressor in estimating village bank impact on various outcomes as it controls for initial wealth in all households (see Section 6 below).

¹⁴ Observations from each of 28 strata (determined by village and village bank membership status) were weighted by the inverse of their sampling probabilities.

Tables 1 and 2 show that village bank members, prior to any village bank support, tend to be wealthier than nonmembers. Furthermore, the wealth difference comes primarily through the value of land owned by women in the household. 15

An alternative, and perhaps better, way to examine targeting is to examine the probability of selection by different wealth groups. Examining the selection process in this way with the current data set is complicated by the stratification process used. Because stratification was based on participation status, the probability of selecting into the program has to be calculated using the probability that a member (or nonmember) belongs to a certain wealth category, adjusted by the probability of being sampled. Table 3 below presents the results.

Table 3. Probability of Members of Different Wealth Groups Gaining Access to Program

Wealth Group (value of household land owned 5 years earlier, baht)	Total Number of Households	Total Participating in Sample Villages	Total Non- participating Households	Percent of Wealth Group Participating in Program
0 (landless)	143	65	78	45
0 to 99,999	257	108	149	42
100,000 to 199,999	264	111	153	42
200,000 to 399,999	351	137	214	39
400,000 to 999,999	282	153	129	54
Greater than or equal to 1,000,000	72	58	14	81

As this table shows, the probability of selecting into the program is much higher for the two wealthiest groups of villagers, and the probability of the wealthiest group selecting into the program is nearly twice that of the four poorer groups.

It is still possible, however, that wealth per se (and female land wealth in particular) is not a significant *determinant* of member selection. For example, it is conceivable that the primary criteria for membership are personal responsibility, trustworthiness, entrepreneurship, and an assortment of other unobservable characteristics that lead to the selection bias discussed earlier, and which are correlated with wealth. Therefore, as part of the field research, two knowledgeable informants in every village (the village chief and village bank president) were interviewed about the creditworthiness of each household surveyed. Specifically they were asked, "If the adult women in this household borrowed money from any source (private bank, BAAC, friend, relative, moneylender, or any other source) how sure would you be that they would repay the amount due on time? Very sure=3, fairly sure=2, or not very sure=1." By this question, a measure of each

¹⁵ Whereas households sometimes had trouble designating an individual owner of nonland assets, they rarely had trouble designating an individual owner of each plot of land. Moreover, since Thailand is traditionally a matrilineal society, with land being passed down through the woman's side of the family, it should not be surprising that women own more land than men.

household's reputation for creditworthiness was obtained, which would presumably be a major criterion in determining which women in the village would be allowed to join the village bank. It is intended to be a proxy for many of the unobservable characteristics discussed above. A creditworthiness score was then calculated as the average of the informants' responses for each household.

Results of weighted 16 logit regressions on member selection using this and other household characteristics are presented in Annex Table 2. Estimates in the left-hand portion of the table use data only from the control villages and include the value of land and nonland assets owned when the first survey began. Similar estimates using the entire sample but slightly different regressors (namely, land owned 5 years before our survey, i.e., the initial wealth measure exogenous for all households) are presented in the right-hand portion of the table. 17 Both regressions yield similar results, showing that creditworthiness is a significant determinant of member selection (in control villages: coef=.709 and p=.046; in all villages: coef=.384 and p=.097), so local information apparently is being used in the selection process. At the same time, however, even controlling for this use of local information, the value of land owned by women is still highly significant (in control villages: $coef=2.73\times10^{-6}$ and p=.025; in all villages: $coef=2.44\times10^{-6}$ and p=.001).

This evidence that the village banks are failing to reach the poor is also supported by informal interviews with villagers. For example, when asked what kind of person joined the village bank, many nonmembers in two treatment villages identified the village bank as a "group for the rich" and that they would "not be qualified to join" although they would be interested in joining such a group for poor people. In five other villages (two control and three treatment), nonmembers made similar remarks that suggested that the program was open primarily to the richer households in the village. None of the villagers interviewed identified the village bank as a program that targeted the poor.¹⁸

As mentioned in Section II above, in most villages the NGO field worker's first contact was with the village chief, and after this contact, the NGO field worker usually was uninvolved in member selection, leaving it up to the village chief to organize the villagers. The village chief would normally then contact "leading women" in the village to assist in the organization of the village bank. The village chiefs and leading women were generally among the wealthiest and most

¹⁶ Sampling weights (the inverse of the probability of being sampled) were applied to the data for these logit regressions because stratification was done on the dependent variable (member status). Weighting is not required in the impact regressions (see below) because stratification was done on the exogenous variables (Maddala 1983, 170).

¹⁷ The estimates on the right-hand side of Annex Table 2, however, are possibly biased because "creditworthiness" is likely to be endogenous for the village bank members in treatment villages: the village chief's and village bank president's assessment of their creditworthiness would be influenced by their performance in the village bank.

¹⁸ This result is interesting, especially when compared with the methodology of Park and Ren (2001), who evaluate targeting and impact in the PRC using respondents' own subjective determination of their "eligibility" in programs that do not have explicit eligibility criteria.

influential residents of the village (and the women were often relatives of the village chief), and the other women they asked to join were also richer than average.

Frequently, the village chief's wife was the village bank president or held another influential committee position, and other wealthy leading women in the village also usually became committee members. Their influence continued beyond the selection of members to the determination of the amounts of money that they and other members borrowed. We now turn to this process.

A. Borrowing by Members

One of the more fascinating phenomena discovered during the course of the surveys is the extent to which village bank members use other names, in addition to their own, to borrow from the village bank. For example, sometimes when a member does not want to borrow, she will let another member use her name to borrow from the village bank. Of more importance, however, is the use of multiple names to borrow. For instance, some members also enroll as "members" a relative who may or may not live with the borrower. In other instances, a member will take over the account of a member who leaves the village bank. Virtually all of the members who used multiple names to borrow from the village bank on a more or less permanent basis were also influential committee members (president, vice president, or treasurer of the village bank), who set village bank policy (especially regarding management and lending of the internal account funds), and managed daily operations. Committee members in six of the eight treatment villages engaged in this practice. The use of multiple names has a large impact on the amount that a member can borrow, effectively multiplying the maximum loan size by the number of names used. In the most extreme case, one village bank president (who was also the village chief's business partner and a moneylender for several villages in the area) used nine names to borrow. Households reported that 35 percent of loan volume in the eight treatment villages was borrowed by someone other than the person recorded in the village bank records. Even this estimate is probably low because some villagers reported that they had been instructed not to report the use of multiple names.

In principle, the most important determinant of a member's cumulative borrowing is the length of time she has been a member. Borrowing by members is estimated in Annex Tables 3 through 5, using as regressors various household characteristics, the number of months of membership as a rank and file member, and the number of months as a committee member. Annex Table 3 presents results for external account borrowing; Annex Table 4 presents results for internal account borrowing; and Annex Table 5 presents results for total borrowing (external plus internal account). The left-hand portion of each table presents results when the dependent variable is borrowing according to official records. The right-hand portion adjusts the dependent variable for use of multiple names to borrow. F-tests of the hypothesis that "months as a rank and file member" and "months as a committee member" are equal determinants of borrowing are presented at the end of each table.

The results show the extent to which committee members borrow more than rank and file members and the extent to which this difference is magnified when adjusting for the use of

multiple names. In the adjusted (right column) borrowing equations, committee members borrow more than twice as much as rank and file members: real external account borrowing increases by 4953 baht per month for a committee member, and by 2359 baht for a rank and file member. Internal account borrowing increases by 8704 baht per month for a committee member, and by 4236 baht for a rank and file member. Combined borrowing increases by 5960 baht per month for a rank and file member, and by 12,982 baht per month for a committee member. For both external and internal account borrowing, the p-value on the difference between the coefficients is 0.0. Overall, committee members, who make up 15.6 percent of the treatment village bank members (and 3 percent of all households in treatment villages), borrowed 27.0 percent of total loan volume.

Given the large and significant difference in borrowing by rank and file members and committee members, combined with the goal of targeting the poor, the question naturally arises as to whether committee members are richer or poorer than rank and file members *prior* to village bank intervention. Tables 4 and 5 present t-tests on the same wealth measures used in Tables 1 and 2 above, but now test for differences between committee members and rank and file members.

Table 4: Weighted t-tests on Wealth of Committee and Rank and File Members in Control Villages

Variable (in baht)	Committee Members (n=16)	Rank and File Members (n=124)	P-value of Difference
Household wealth (assets less debt)	859,747	554,180	.145
Female-owned wealth (assets less debt)	546,426	302,851	.196
Male-owned wealth (assets less debt)	312,977	244,190	.575
Value of household land	722,225	422,115	.141
Value of female-owned land	495,413	253,480	.189
Value of male-owned land	226,813	166,619	.602
Value of household nonland assets	224,630	171,554	.207
Value of female-owned nonland assets	59,686	64,143	.864
Value of male-owned nonland assets	164,601	101.016	.061

Table 5: Weighted t-tests on Land Value of Committee and Rank and File Members in All Villages 5 Years before Surveys

Variable (in baht)	Committee Members (n=40)	Rank and File Members (n=254)	P-value of Difference
Value of household land	729,190	409.354	.033
Value of female-owned land	481,140	264,144	.093
Value of male-owned land	248,050	141,392	.208

¹⁹ Borrowing is actually measured in baht-months to account for the different lengths of time that money is borrowed. For example, 1000 baht borrowed for 6 months is 6000 baht-months. This measure is necessary because early external account loan cycles lasted 4 months but were subsequently adjusted to 6 months in response to client demand. However, the results are robust to other measures of borrowing (e.g., average loan size outstanding).

On virtually all measures, committee members are wealthier before village bank intervention. When examining differences only in the control villages (Table 3), however, only one difference is significant beyond the .14 level—almost certainly because of the small number of committee members (n=16) from those villages in the sample. When using land value 5 years before our surveys, however, and expanding the sample to include treatment villages, the difference in total land value is significant at the .03 level, and the difference in female-owned land value is significant at the .09 level.

Hence, there is evidence that the wealthiest households in the villages play a dominant role in the village banks, and use their positions to borrow significantly more than rank and file members. In some villages, this phenomenon caused serious problems between committee members and rank and file members. For example, one of the oldest village banks in the sample originally had over 70 members, but at the time of our surveys, the number was 40. Many of the original members resigned because they were angry that the president (who was also the village chief's wife) and treasurer were borrowing much more than they. In another village, some rank and file members resented the fact that committee members "rounded down" members' external account loan amounts (e.g., from 2230 baht to 2000 baht), ostensibly because it was easier to calculate interest payments. The surplus, which could be large when all members' loans were considered, was then loaned out by the committee members to themselves, the village chief, or others of their choosing. A small number of members had resigned as a result. In several villages, some members who had resigned were surprised and angered to learn during the interviews that their names were still being used to borrow without their knowledge or permission.

VI. IMPACT

Coleman (1999) demonstrates that the unique survey design of this study can be exploited to obtain unbiased (in the case of uncensored dependent variables) or consistent (in the case of censored dependent variables) estimates of average program impact with the following specification:

$$Y_{ij} = X_{ij}\alpha + V_{j}\beta + M_{ij}\gamma + VBMOS_{ij}\delta + \eta_{ij}$$
 (1)

where Y_{ij} is an outcome of household i in village j on which we want to measure program impact; X_{ij} is a vector of household characteristics; Y_{ij} is a vector of village fixed effects (village dummy

²⁰ Members in this and other villages were primarily angry over internal account borrowing since the NGO still provided the rank-and-file members with the external account loans they were entitled to. Internal account lending policy is determined in principle by the village bank membership, but in practice by the committee members. An examination of Annex Table 4 shows that in fact no household characteristics other than "months as a rank and file member" and "months as a committee member" have a significant impact on credit demand from the internal account, demonstrating the dominant role of committee members in allocating internal account funds.

variables); Mij is a membership dummy variable equal to 1 if household ij selects into the microfinance program, and 0 otherwise; and VBMOSij is the number of months village bank credit has been available to members. The membership dummy variable Mij is a proxy for the unobservable characteristics that lead a household to self-select into the village bank and that might affect outcomes. It is important to recognize that it equals 1 for both "treatment" members (who have received program support) and "control" members (who have not yet received program support). The variable VBMOSij measures the extent of program availability to members who have self-selected. Unlike the amount borrowed, it is exogenous to the household (positive in varying amounts for treatment members, and 0 for control members). Inclusion of nonparticipants in the sample, combined with the use of village fixed effects, controls for possible endogenous program placement. In this specification, d is an unbiased or consistent measure of impact per month of program availability.

Coleman (1999) found that, when controlling for endogenous member selection and program placement, average program impact was not significant. Final impact estimation, however, must account for the apparent difference in access to loans by rank and file members and committee members, who may therefore experience different levels of impact. Because of this difference, the empirical specification of equation (1) will be modified as follows. First, the dummy variable Mij will be replaced by two dummy variables: a rank and file member dummy variable to capture unobservable differences between rank and file members and nonmembers, and a committee member dummy variable to capture similar unobservable differences between rank and file and committee members. Second, the regressor VBMOSij will be replaced by two regressors: months of rank and file membership and months of committee membership, which will allow for differential impact to be measured on rank and file members and committee members. Hence, the empirical specification to be estimated in this paper is as follows:

$$Y_{ij} = X_{ij}\alpha + V_{j}\beta + MR_{ij}\gamma_{R} + MC_{ij}\gamma_{C} + RMOS_{ij}\delta_{R} + CMOS_{ij}\delta_{C} + \mu_{ij}$$
 (2)

where MRij is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the household has a rank and file member and 0 otherwise; MCij is a dummy variable equal to 1 if the household has a village bank committee member and 0 otherwise; RMOSij is the number of months of rank and file membership; CMOSij is the number of months of committee membership; and the other variables are defined as before. Again, MRij and MCij equal 1 for treatment and control participants, and equal 0 for nonparticipants in all villages. RMOSij and CMOSij measure the different access to program loans by rank and file and committee members, respectively, and are exogenous to the household (equal to 0 for

²¹ As mentioned in Section II, committee members are chosen every year, which in theory could bias the results because in the control villages, we know the committee members only for the first year. In practice, however, the committee members rarely change. In six of the treatment villages, the committee has not changed at all; in the two other treatment villages, there have been only minor changes, with many of the core committee members only shifting committee positions (e.g., president to vice president).

nonparticipants and treatment participants, but positive and varying for treatment participants). Inclusion of nonparticipants in the sample, combined with the use of village fixed effects, again controls for possible endogenous program placement. In this specification, dR measures the impact of an additional month's program availability to a rank and file member; and dC measures the impact of an additional month's program availability to a committee member. F-tests can be conducted to determine if dR = dC, i.e., if the impact of the village bank on rank and file members and committee members is equal.

Annex Table 6 presents the complete output of a typical regression (for household nonland assets), and Annex Table 7 presents the coefficients and associated p-values for the regressors of interest (months of rank and file membership and months of committee membership) in all the regressions.²²

A. Impact on Rank and File Members

The results presented in Annex Table 7 for rank and file members are consistent with the average impacts found in Coleman (1999), i.e., the impact estimates are largely insignificantly different from 0. In fact, the only measures that are significantly different from 0 indicate a negative impact. It is remarkable to note that men's overall self-employment expenses (coef=-9986; p=.077) are negative and statistically significant, and men's overall self-employment sales (coef.=-11,023; p=.106) are negative and nearly significant at the 10 percent level. Estimated impact on men's agricultural expenses and business sales and expenses, including labor time are all negative and statistically significant, and estimated impact on all other measures of men's economic activity is also negative though not statistically significant. Assuming that men's leisure is a normal good, these results may represent (expected) income effects of providing low-cost credit to women: essentially, women's increased income generated by access to program credit could provide men with economic rents taken in the form of increased leisure. Unfortunately, however, these rents appear to be perceived rather than realized, as the measured impact on women's outcomes in rank and file households is not significant.

Another important, and potentially ominous, result is the lack of impact on savings for rank and file members. In fact, measured impact is negative, though insignificantly different from zero. One possible explanation for this result is that, especially after the third year, members have reduced incentive to save. Recall that external account loan size grows according to a member's savings, up to a maximum of 7500 baht. In practice, however, although external account loans are made for 5 years, the NGOs start reducing loan size after the third year, so as to gradually wean members from external account loans. Hence, members have little incentive (in term of access

²² Variables were entered in linear form. In the vast majority of regressions, no additional explanatory value was gained by introducing nonlinearities. Note also that the dependent variables presented in Annex Table 7 are not independent of each other. Most aggregate variables (e.g., total household wealth) are broken down into more refined measures (e.g., women's wealth, total household productive assets, men's business assets, etc.) in order to identify exactly where the impacts occur.

to external account loans) to continue to save after a certain point.²³ Moreover, because member savings make up the internal account fund, and because this fund is often monopolized by the committee members, rank and file members may be further inclined to reduce their village bank savings.²⁴ This lack of impact on mobilizing savings from rank and file members could have major consequences because the long-term sustainability of village banks depends on the sustainability of their internal account funds.

B. Impact on Committee Members

Estimated impact on committee members, however, is significant and positive on a range of dependent variables. Impact results are presented below under the headings of physical assets; savings, debt, and lending; production, sales, expenses, and labor time; and health care and education.

1. Physical Assets

The village banks appear to have had a large and positive impact on the value of committee members' household wealth (coef=3010; p=.043), and this impact is seen primarily on women's wealth (coef=1705; p=.076). Broken down further into landed wealth and nonland assets, there is a positive and significant impact on total household nonland assets (coef=3187; p=.018). Interestingly, this effect is most pronounced for men's nonland assets (coef=2122; p=.071), although further refinements indicate positive and significant effects on women's productive assets (coef=870; p=.014), including nonland farm assets (coef=329; p=.100) and consumer durables (coef=755; p=.026). F-tests shown in the last two columns of Annex Table 7 indicate that the impact on committee members is significantly greater than that on rank and file on many of these wealth measures (household and women's wealth, household and women's nonland assets, household and women's productive assets, household and men's livestock, and women's consumer durables).

²³ Nonlinear specifications, however, did not add any explanatory value.

²⁴ The advantage of having a saving facility in the village was also virtually eliminated in two village banks surveyed, largely because of policies set by the committee. In one village, members were not allowed to save only—all members were required to borrow from the NGO, even if they did not want to. The reason given by the committee members was that it would be "impolite" for members to refuse the assistance offered. Twenty of this village bank's 30 members regularly had to borrow from moneylenders to repay their village bank loans. In another village bank, the president decided to pay members their end-of-year interest income, not based on average yearly savings, but (ostensibly for ease of calculation) on savings on 31 December. She then greatly increased her own savings on 28 December so as to increase her share of interest income.

2. Savings, Debt, and Lending

The impact of the village banks on household savings is positive and significant for committee households (551; p=.073). This effect appears to come not only from the impact on women's savings (217; p=.118), but also on men's savings (425; p=.161), although neither gender's individual coefficients are significantly different from zero. F-tests show that the impact on committee household savings is significantly greater than the impact on rank and file household savings.

According to NGO staff, a primary goal of these village bank programs is to allow members to reduce their high-interest debt to moneylenders. Hence, the village bank's impact was estimated on "high-interest" debt, defined as debt with an interest rate greater than 2 percent per month, the rate charged on external account loans. However, no coefficients were significantly different from 0, indicating that village bank credit is not substituting for high-interest credit.²⁵ Also estimated was the impact on "low-interest" debt (debt with an interest rate less than or equal to 2 percent per month) to determine if village bank credit is merely substituting for existing sources of low-interest credit, or if it was allowing (or encouraging) households to mobilize additional institutional credit. Results indicate that committee member households are also increasing their low-interest debt from other sources available to men in the household. This is an interesting and useful result for the following reason. The differential impacts measured between committee members and rank and file members could be the result of two different factors. One, it may be the result of the differential access to funds as discussed above, and this differential impact allows committee members to invest in different types of projects, perhaps with different scale economies. Two, it may be the result of different unobservable characteristics (e.g., entrepreneurship) that can be harnessed or realized only by access to credit. The fact that access to village bank funds appears to be allowing or encouraging additional borrowing is one indication that committee members are investing in different types of projects, perhaps with larger fixed assets, which require larger capital. This is also consistent with the result discussed above that women's productive assets are positively affected by the village bank. Hence, differential access to program loans does appear to matter.

In four of eight treatment villages and three of six control villages, at least one (and often two) committee member(s) engaged in money lending to some degree, and some nonmembers and rank and file members complained that committee members borrowed from the village bank, then lent the money at higher interest rates. Therefore, the impact of village bank loans on money lending was also estimated. As expected, there is evidence of positive impact on committee members' money lending. The coefficient on the impact of committee months on household money lending is positive and highly significant (2653; p=.005), and this effect comes entirely through the women in the household (2093; p=.054).²⁶ The difference between coefficients on committee and rank and file months for household lending with interest is also significant (p=.035).

²⁵ Estimation of impact on male high-interest debt in committee households was not possible because only four such households had men with high-interest debt.

²⁶ Estimation of impact on men's money lending was impossible because of the small number of households reporting nonzero values.

3. Production, Sales, Expenses, and Labor Time

As Annex Table 7 indicates, the village banks have also exerted a positive and significant impact on women's self-employment sales (2245; p=.023) and expenses (1520; p=.058) in committee households. F-tests indicate that the impact on sales and expenses of women in committee households is significantly greater than the corresponding measures for rank and file households (p=.058 for sales, and p=.051 for expenses). Refined impact estimates indicate a positive effect on household agricultural production, household livestock production, women's livestock sales, and women's business sales and expenses in committee member households. All impact measures are significantly greater for committee members than rank and file members.

The impact on total household self-employment labor time in committee households is also positive and significant (coef=26.9; p=.058), apparently impacting both women's labor time (14.0; p=.112) and men's time (12.9; p=.156), though the estimates by gender are not individually statistically significant.

4. Health Care and Education

Most estimates of village bank impact on medical and school expenses (totals, by gender, and for children, by gender) 27 in both committee households and rank and file households are insignificantly different from zero. The one exception to this was educational expenses for boys in committee member households (coef=86.6; p=.035), perhaps indicative of the privileged status of boys in Northeastern Thai households.

VII. SUMMARY AND POLICY CONCLUSIONS

This paper has evaluated the targeting and impact of a women's group-lending program in Northeast Thailand. To do so, it exploited a unique survey sample that included program participants from "treatment" villages that had already received program support, participants from control villages that had not yet received program support, and nonparticipants from both types of villages. Results were presented in terms of targeting (i.e., the processes of member selection and borrowing) to determine if the program has succeeded in reaching its target group of the "poorest of the poor", and in terms of impact on member households.

There is strong evidence that, similar to previous efforts to deliver financial services to the rural poor in developing countries, the programs surveyed are not reaching the poor as much as the relatively wealthy. Weighted t-tests indicate that prior to program intervention, participant households are significantly wealthier than those of nonparticipants, and that the wealth difference

²⁷ "Female medical expenses" are expenses for women, but not necessarily paid by women. No attempt was made to learn which household members paid for the medical care. The same is true for school expenses.

is explained primarily by the value of female-owned land in the households. Moreover, the probability of wealthiest villagers selecting into the program is nearly twice that of the poorer villagers. Weighted logit estimates confirm that the value of female-owned land is a significant determinant of member selection. The same logit estimates, however, indicate that "creditworthiness" of female household members, as measured by a special survey of village informants, is also a significant determinant of member selection. Hence, there is evidence that both relatively public information on land holdings (the same information most commonly used by commercial lending institutions in Thailand to select its rural customers) and local information on creditworthiness are being used to select village bank members.

There is also strong evidence that the richest village bank members become committee members (president, vice president, treasurer) and use their position to borrow significantly more from the village bank (both from the external account and the internal account) than rank and file members. One method commonly employed by committee members to circumvent village bank external account borrowing limits is to use multiple village bank accounts, each under a different name.

Hence, it is clear that, within the context of Northeast Thailand, village banks' small loan size and frequent meetings, as well as the stigma of belonging to a poverty lending program, do not discourage the relatively rich villagers from participating in the village bank. Furthermore, although some of the poorer nonmembers reported choosing not to join the village bank, many others were excluded against their wishes. Some never knew of the village bank before the surveys, while others felt intimidated to join because they considered the village bank to be a program for the relatively wealthy in the village. Moreover, the process by which NGOs first contact the village political structure, represented by the village chief, and then allow him to organize the selection process likely contributes to the richest women in the village becoming committee members, who then borrow the lion's share of village bank loans.

Results indicate a positive impact of the village bank program on several measures of household welfare. Given the difference in access to loans by committee members and rank and file members, however, it is not surprising that estimated impact on committee members is significantly larger than impact on rank and file members. For example, positive and significant impact is observed in committee member households on many important measures of wealth, savings, income, productive expenses, and labor time. Impact is also positive on committee members' moneylending activities, as they apparently borrowed from the program at lower interest rates and relent at higher rates. Impact on outcomes for rank and file members was largely insignificant, although men's economic activity appears to have decreased as a result of the program, possibly the result of increased leisure consumption allowed by their perception of their wives' increased economic activity.

The differential impact measured between committee members and rank and file members could be the result of the differential access to loans, with committee members' increased access allowing them to invest in different types of projects, perhaps with greater returns to scale. Or it could be the result of different unobservable characteristics (e.g., entrepreneurship) that can

be harnessed or realized only by access to credit. However, the fact that access to village bank loans appears to also encourage additional borrowing from other institutional sources is consistent with the first possibility, namely that committee members are investing in different types of projects, perhaps with larger fixed assets, which require larger capital. Differential access to loans does matter.

There are several policy implications of these research findings. First, these and perhaps other programs should seriously consider imposing (and enforcing) membership eligibility criteria similar to that used by the Grameen Bank (e.g., maximum allowable land holdings or other measures of wealth) in order to more actively target the poor. Beyond this type of restriction, however, villagers should still self-select for membership since evidence presented here indicates that local information about each household's creditworthiness is used to screen members. NGOs should also enforce the rule that village banks are to select new committee members annually so that committee members do not become entrenched in their positions, 28 which only encourages abuses. In the two villages where some committee turnover was observed, the use of multiple names was greatly diminished, and borrowing was much more equitable between committee and rank and file members. Clearer and more frequent public pronouncements of the village bank's goals and target group by the NGO fieldworkers, as well as stricter enforcement of existing rules against the use of multiple names, would go a long way toward reaching the target group of the poor. One goal of village banks is to "empower" the poor, especially poor women. But it is naive to think that existing village power structures will not pursue their own self-interest and use the village bank to enhance their own power if given the opportunity. It is equally naive to think that the relatively poor and powerless can be empowered without more active involvement of program administrators to ensure that they are the beneficiaries of these poverty lending programs. Along these lines, village bank rules regarding eligibility, limits on borrowing, and election of committee members could be printed on each member's passbook, or posted in the village, 29 thus helping to eliminate some of the information asymmetries that currently exist within the village regarding what is and is not acceptable within the village bank. Informal interviews indicated that the lack of such commonly recognized rules restricts efforts of the poor to gain access to the program and restricts efforts by the rank and file to equalize their access to loans.

Caution should be exercised before extrapolating these results to other contexts. Thailand is a relatively wealthy developing country, and many villagers already have access to low-interest credit from financial institutions such as the BAAC. The average wealth of survey households was over 500,000 baht, and average household low-interest debt, excluding village bank debt, was over 30,000 baht. In this context, loans of 1500 to 7500 baht may have a limited impact. Many women surveyed stated that the size of village bank loans was too small, and some women left

²⁸ At least in Thailand, literacy is not an issue in choosing committee members: approximately 80 percent of the women surveyed reported being able to read and write.

²⁹ All surveyed villages had a village meeting place where other information on rice banks, buffalo banks, or general village information was posted or could be posted.

the program for that reason. It may not be surprising, then, that the largest impact was seen on committee members, who were able to circumvent the loan ceilings. In fact, given the inappropriately small loan size, it is understandable that the influential villagers would manipulate the system to obtain larger loans. It is arguable that this sort of manipulation would be reduced if program loan sizes increased.

Further research should be conducted on microfinance programs in other parts of the world to determine if these results are unique to Northeast Thailand or are typical of microfinance programs in general. The research methods and survey design used here could be easily implemented elsewhere. Since most microfinance programs regularly expand to new villages, which could be used as controls, this type of survey could be widely undertaken.

APPENDIX

Appendix Table 1: List of Variables

Variables	Weighted Mean	Standard Deviation
	(n=445)	
Independent Variables		
Months as village bank rank and file member	35.9	21.2
Months as village bank committee member	4.2	12.6
Male-owned land value 5 years ago (baht)	169,763	340,890
Female-owned land value 5 years ago (baht)	217,333	628,873
Sex of household head (F=1)	.2	.4
Education of male (years)	5.2	3.3
Education of female (years)	4.8	2.6
Family generations in village	3.4	1.1
Number of relatives in village	8.0	6.8
Village chief or assistant? (0/1)	.03	.2
Is female a civil servant? (0/1)	.02	.2
Is male a civil servant? (0/1)	.04	.2
Does household have village bank member? (0/1)	.5	.5
Number of females aged 22-39	.6	.6
Number of females aged 40-59	.5	.5
Number females age 60 and over	.3	.5
Number of males aged 22-39	.6	.6
Number of males aged 40-59	.4	.5
Number of males aged 60 and over	.2	.4

Appendix Table 1. continued.

Variables	Weighted Mean	Standard Deviation
	(n=445)	
Dependent Variables (in baht unless stated otl	nerwise)	
Household wealth	529,586	742,452
Female-owned wealth	267,272	654,629
Male-owned wealth	256,640	389,261
Household land value	390,330	686,081
Female-owned land value	218,379	628,373
Male-owned land value	171,951	338,945
Household nonland assets	172,819	199,889
Female nonland assets	58,050	123,455
Male nonland assets	107,713	159,159
Household productive assets	43,052	56,071
Female productive assets	10,459	27,582
Male productive assets	31,064	50,504
Household nonland farm assets	15,934	20,749
Female nonland farm assets	3485	10,509
Male nonland farm assets	11,676	18,735
Household livestock	15,205	14,366
Female-owned livestock	4391	10,147
Male-owned livestock	10,068	13,693
Household business assets	11,913	45,793
Female business assets	2583	20,890
Male business assets	9320	40,735
Household consumer durables	32,340	83,611
Female-owned consumer durables	14,584	56,455
Male-owned consumer durables	15,585	60,394
Value of house	82,551	109,238
Household cash savings	13,574	37,730
Female cash savings	6383	16,369
Male cash savings	7191	29,499
Household low-interest debt (≤ 2 percent/month)	31,330	103,351

Appendix Table 1. continued.

Variables	Weighted Mean	Standard Deviation
	(n=445)	
Female low-interest debt (≤ 2 percent/month)	9342	46,252
Male low-interest debt (≤ 2 percent/month)	21,775	73,630
Household high-interest debt (> 2 percent/month)	7386	22,842
Female high-interest debt (> 2 percent/month)	3928	14,810
Male high-interest debt (> 2 percent/month)	3458	16,577
Household loaning out at interest	3823	27,027
Female loaning out at interest	3104	25,950
External account borrowing,		
according to records (baht-months)	85,722	57,587
Internal account borrowing,		
according to records (baht-months)	88,250	102,275
Total village bank borrowing		
(external + internal account),		
according to records (baht-months)	173,972	144,898
Household self-employment production	135,215	1,273,136
Female self-employment sales	29,852	101,596
Male self-employment sales	93,825	1,269,232
Household agricultural production	24,254	21,974
Female agricultural sales	6160	13,145
Male agricultural sales	8163	14,513
Household livestock production	5035	9974
Female animal sales	2839	7332
Male animal sales	2195	6602
Household business sales	104,791	1,271,791
Female business sales	20,853	100,446
Male business sales	83,466	1,267,907
Household self-employment expenses	108,963	1,200,117
Female self-employment expenses	23,540	90,119

Appendix Table 1. continued.

Variables	Weighted Mean	Standard Deviation
	(n=445)	
Male self-employment expenses	84,182	1,195,831
Household agricultural expenses	12,044	10,974
Female agricultural expenses	4634	7026
Male agricultural expenses	7408	9780
Household animal-raising expenses	3401	8783
Female animal-raising expenses	1627	6131
Male animal-raising expenses	1653	5531
Household business expenses	92,715	1,196,996
Female business expenses	17,279	88,911
Male business expenses	75,121	1,194,188
Household self-employed labor (hours)	3488	2122
Female self-employed labor (hours)	1695	1221
Male self-employed labor (hours)	1792	1352
Household medical expenses	2606	6100
Medical expenses for females	1281	3137
Medical expenses for males	1325	5303
Medical expenses for children	573	1478
Medical expenses for girls	284	1015
Medical expenses for boys	289	1111
School expenses for children	2430	3918
School expenses for girls	1079	2250
School expenses for boys	1351	3014
External account borrowing, adjusted (baht-month	s) 87,203	82,837
Internal account borrowing, adjusted (baht-months	s) 101,910	157,371
Total village bank borrowing		
(external + internal account),		
adjusted (baht-months)	189,112	226,822

Appendix Table 2: Logit Estimates of the Determinants of Village Bank Member Selection

Independent Variables	Control '	Villagesa	All V	illages ^b
	Coefficient	P-value	Coefficient	P-value
Creditworthiness score	.71	0.05	.384	0.10
Female-owned land value	2.73 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.02		
Male-owned land value	1.01 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.16		
Female-owned nonland asset value	-1.96 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.50		
Male-owned nonland asset value	-2.03 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.24		
Female-owned land value 5 years ago	0		2.44 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.00
Male-owned land value 5 years ago			2.40 x 10 ⁻⁶	0.51
Number of males aged 22-39	08	0.84	26	0.31
Number of males aged 40-59	38	0.52	080	0.82
Number of males aged 60 and over	-1.20	0.07	78	0.05
Number of females aged 22-39	.32	0.52	.044	0.87
Number of females aged 40-59	.54	0.38	011	0.98
Number of females aged 60 and over	.20	0.74	36	0.29
Household head female? (0/1)	-1.91	0.01	95	0.03
Highest female education level	.002	0.99	.07	0.25
Highest male education level	017	0.81	04	0.42
Family generations in village	.12	0.58	.02	0.85
Number of blood relatives in village	04	0.38	.02	0.31
Household member chief or assistant	? (0/1) .01	0.99	1.90	0.07
Female with civil servant job (0/1)	-1.01	0.61	-1.09	0.38
Male with civil servant job (0/1)	17	0.86	.89	0.24
Constant	-1.35	0.28	-1.19	0.09

^a Number of obs = 167; F(19, 148) = 1.16; Prob > F = 0.2992

 $^{^{}b}$ Number of obs = 444; F(17, 427) = 2.32; Prob > F = 0.0022

Appendix Table 3: **Cumulative Borrowing from Village Bank External Account** (in baht-months)

Independent Variables	Borrowing	Account according	Dependent External Borrowing A	Account Adjusted for
	to Records		Use of Multi	
	Coefficient	P-value	Coefficient	P-value
Months as rank and file member	2064	0.00	2359	0.00
Months as committee member	3001	0.00	4953	0.00
Female-owned land value 5 years ago	0014	0.74	007	0.30
Male-owned land value 5 years ago	.0112	0.40	.021	0.31
Sex of household head (female=1)	5669	0.60	4733	0.77
Education of most highly educated woman	an 1461	0.37	4021	0.12
Education of most highly educated man	-759	0.53	-2383	0.20
Family generations in village	-9270	0.00	-6434	0.15
Number of blood relatives in village	724	0.08	1512	0.02
Is household member village chief				
or assistant? (0/1)	16,151	0.22	38,816	0.06
Are any females civil servants? (0/1)	-11,055	0.64	-58,571	0.15
Are any males civil servants? (0/1)	-1509	0.93	15,146	0.55
Number of females aged 22 to 39	31,970	0.00	27,311	0.03
Number of females aged 40 to 59	13,855	0.09	21,354	0.09
Number of females aged 60 and over	-14,731	0.13	-18,903	0.21
Number of males aged 22 to 39	-1538	0.84	10,760	0.35
Number of males aged 40 to 59	-943	0.91	-5671	0.67
Number of males aged 60 and over	9727	0.39	894	0.96
Constant	-7972	0.58	-54,363	0.02

 $^{^{}a}$ Number of obs = 181; chi2(18) = 160.59; Prob > chi2 = 0.0000; Pseudo R2 = 0.0387; Test rank and file = comm.; F(1, 163) = 13.64,; Prob > F = 0.0003

b Number of obs = 181; chi2(18) = 149.21; Prob > chi2 = 0.0000; Pseudo R2 = 0.0359; Test rank and file = comm:; F(1, 163) = 44.63; Prob > F = 0.0000

Appendix Table 4: **Cumulative Borrowing from Village Bank Internal Account** (in baht-months)

Independent Variables	Dependent Variable: ^a Internal Account Borrowing according to Records		Dependent Variable:b Internal Account Borrowing Adjusted for Use of Multiple Names	
	Coefficient	P-value	Coefficient	P-value
Months as rank and file member	3397	0.00	4236	0.00
Months as committee member	5294	0.00	8704	0.00
Female-owned land value 5 years ago	00	0.97	013	0.39
Male-owned land value 5 years ago	.01	0.80	013	0.79
Sex of household head (female=1)	-10,497	0.65	-9450	0.80
Education of most highly educated wom	nan -4230	0.24	-998	0.86
Education of most highly educated man	2355	0.37	2427	0.57
Family generations in village	-820	0.90	-4236	0.67
Number of blood relatives in village	585	0.51	1918	0.18
Is household member village chief				
or assistant?(0/1)	-46,852	0.10	-16,142	0.73
Are any females civil servants? (0/1)	102,730	0.05	15,516	0.86
Are any males civil servants? (0/1)	-27,295	0.44	-19,705	0.73
Number of females aged 22 to 39	13,811	0.43	18,333	0.52
Number of females aged 40 to 59	9892	0.58	21,287	0.45
Number of females aged 60 and over	-11,929	0.58	2394	0.94
Number of males aged 22 to 39	-17,856	0.28	909	0.97
Number of males aged 40 to 59	-7635	0.69	-6403	0.84
Number of males aged 60 and over	-2471	0.92	-12,858	0.75
Constant	-58,189	0.07	-128,615	0.01

 $^{^{}a}\ \ Number\ of\ obs = 181;\ chi2(18) = 105.84; Prob > chi2 = 0.0000;\ Pseudo\ R2 = 0.0255;\ Test\ rank\ and\ file = comm.:;\ F(1,\ 163) = 12.11_{_{1}};\ Prob > F = 0.0006$

b Number of obs = 181; chi2(18) = 89.93; Prob > chi2 = 0.0000; Pseudo R2 = 0.0209; Test rank and file = comm; F(1, 163) = 25.77; Prob > F = 0.0000

Appendix Table 5: Cumulative Borrowing from Village Bank External and Internal Accounts Combined

(in baht-months)

Independent Variables	Dependent Variable: ^a External and Internal Account Borrowing According to Records		Dependent Variable:b External and Internal Account Borrowing Adjusted for Use of Multiple Names	
	Months as rank and file member	5063	0.00	5960
Months as committee member	7814	0.00	12,982	0.00
Female-owned land value 5 years ago	00	0.96	02	0.30
Male-owned land value 5 years ago	.03	0.40	.02	0.74
Sex of household head (female=1)	-4847	0.86	-11,274	0.81
Education of most highly educated wom	an -3626	0.39	2829	0.70
Education of most highly educated man	1538	0.62	-15.9	0.99
Family generations in village	-9420	0.20	-9209	0.47
Number of blood relatives in village	1196	0.26	3498	0.06
Is household member village chief				
or assistant?(0/1)	-26,790	0.42	18,052	0.76
Are any females civil servants? (0/1)	105,358	0.08	-38,958	0.73
Are any males civil servants? (0/1)	-28,641	0.49	-6312	0.93
Number of females aged 22 to 39	38,282	0.07	44,018	0.22
Number of females aged 40 to 59	18,433	0.38	40,069	0.27
Number of females aged 60 and over	-27,297	0.28	-18,338	0.67
Number of males aged 22 to 39	-16,274	0.40	14,132	0.67
Number of males aged 40 to 59	-10,425	0.64	-19,048	0.62
Number of males aged 60 and over	4423	0.88	-25,733	0.61
Constant	-34,634	0.34	-144,079	0.03

 $^{^{}a}$ Number of obs = 181;chi2(18) = 146.51; Prob > chi2 = 0.0000; Pseudo R2 = 0.0321; Test rank and file = comm.:; F(1, 163) = 18.03; Prob > F = 0.0000

 $^{^{}b}$ Number of obs = 181; chi2(18) = 119.10; Prob > chi2 = 0.0000; Pseudo R2 = 0.0254; Test rank and file = comm:; F(1, 163) = 39.32; Prob > F = 0.0000

Appendix Table 6: Sample Regression: Impact of Village Bank on Household Nonland Asset Value

Independent Variables	Coefficient	P-value
Months as rank and file member	-367	0.56
Months as committee member	3187	0.02
Does household have a village bank member?(0/1)	-5885	0.76
Does household have a village bank committee member?	?(0/1) 12,987	0.67
Female-owned land value 5 years ago (baht)	.0439	0.00
Male-owned land value 5 years ago (baht)	.0921	0.00
Sex of household head (female=1)	349	0.99
Education of highest educated female (yrs)	3437	0.31
Education of highest educated male (yrs)	4127	0.12
Family generations in village	-7678	0.25
Number of relatives in village	1868	0.07
Is household member village chief or assistant?(0/1)	77,053	0.02
Is female in household a civil servant?(0/1)	471,308	0.00
Is male in household a civil servant?(0/1)	260,787	0.00
Number of females aged 22-39	8372	0.59
Number of females aged 40-59	15,275	0.42
Number of females aged 60 and over	17,968	0.36
Number of males aged 22-39	-10,379	0.49
Number of males aged 40-59	23,382	0.24
Number of males aged 60 and over	19,040	0.42
Village FA	1896	0.96
Village FB	-26,836	0.48
Village FC	-20,317	0.56
Village FD	-53,542	0.14
Village FE	-20,083	0.58
Village FF	-9731	0.78
Village RA	-12,073	0.78
Village RB	-30,246	0.44
Village RC	-87,836	0.03
Village RD	17,076	0.65
Village RF	-49,209	0.19
Village RG	-25,185	0.48
Village RH	-11,448	0.76
Constant	97,358	0.01

Number of obs = 444; F(33, $\,$ 410) = 14.25; Prob > F = 0.0000; R-squared = 0.5342; Adj R-squared = 0.4967

Appendix Table 7: Impact of Village Bank on Household Outcomes

	Independent Variables							
Dependent Variables ^a	Coefficient on Months as Rank and File Member (δ ₁)	P-value	Coefficent on Months as Committee Member (δ_2)	P-value	F-test that $\delta_1 = \delta_2$	P-value		
Physical Assets								
Household wealth	-432	.53	3010	.04	5.73	.02		
Women's wealth	-494	.26	1705	.08	5.58	.02		
Men's wealth	212	.68	1382	.22	1.15	.28		
Household land value	-96.1	.32	-20.8	.92	0.14	.71		
Women's land value (T)	31.1	.72	263	.17	1.61	.20		
Men's land value (T)	-68.1	.53	-170	.45	0.21	.65		
Household nonland assets	-367	.55	3187	.02	7.44	.01		
Women's nonland assets	-535	.18	1300	.14	4.74	.03		
Men's nonland assets	362	.50	2122	.07	2.40	.12		
Household productive assets	-20.2	.94	981	.07	3.74	.05		
Women's productive assets (T)	171	.33	870	.01	4.26	.04		
Men's productive assets (T)	-153	.58	351	.54	0.82	.37		
Household nonland farm assets	52.9	.54	307	.10	1.93	.16		
Women's nonland farm assets (T)		.35	329	.10	1.58	.21		
Men's nonland farm assets (T)	-7.22	.94	142	.51	0.50	.48		
Household livestock	56.4	.36	514	.00	12.7	.00		
Women's livestock (T)	111	.15	187	.24	0.25	.62		
Men's livestock (T)	-5.31	.95	355	.06	3.88	.05		
Household business assets (T)	-506	.32	872	.37	2.14	.14		
Women's business assets (T)	50.0	.90	724	.23	1.46	.23		
Men's business assets (T)	-1045	.24	1044	.57	1.32	.25		
Household consumer durables	-232	.34	437	.41	1.71	.19		
Women's consumer durables (T)	-114	.48	755	.03	7.05	.01		
Men's consumer durables (T)	-6.04	.98	-356	.60	0.28	.60		
House value	58.4	.88	1220	.15	1.98	.16		
	36.4	.00	1220	.13	1.96	.10		
Savings, Debt, Lending	-172	.22	551	.07	5.91	.02		
Household savings	-172	.22	331	.07	3.91	.02		
(cash, bank deposits, etc.)	00.0	0.0	017	10	4.00	00		
Women's savings (T)	-80.8	.22	217	.12	4.93	.03		
Men's savings (T)	-79.2	.59	425	.16	2.96	.08		
Household low-interest debt	244	.56	1782	.04	3.54	.06		
(interest rate ≤ 2 percent/mont			050	~ 4	0.57	4.5		
Women's low-interest debt (T)	-290	.44	256	.74	0.57	.45		
Men's low-interest debt (T)	461	.30	2012	.02	3.34	.07		
Household high-interest debt	218	.39	-254	.64	0.77	.38		
(interest rate > 2 percent/month)								
Women's high-interest debt (T)	373	.14	402	.44	0.00	.96		
Men's high-interest debt (T)	-91.3	.80	NA	NA	NA	NA		
Household lending out at positive interest (T)	756	.17	2653	.00	4.49	.04		
Women's lending out at	831	.20	2093	.05	1.54	.22		
positive interest (T)	001	.20	۵000	.00	1.54			
	abor							
Production, Sales, Expenses, I	-6319	.22	-3495	.75	0.07	.80		
Household self-employment								

Appendix Table 7. continued.

]	Independent V	ariables		
	Coefficient on Months as Rank and File Member (8 ₁)	P-value	Coefficent on Months as Committee Member (δ_2)	P-value	F-test that $\delta_1 = \delta_2$	P-value
Women's self-employment sales (T	r) -380	.42	2245	.02	7.51	.01
Men's self-employment sales (T)	-11,023	.11	2109	.89	0.83	.36
Household agricultural production	24.2	.75	304	.06	3.15	.08
Women's agricultural sales (T)	104	.28	206	.28	0.30	.59
Men's agricultural sales (T)	-153	.14	200	.35	2.81	.09
Household animal production (sales and own consumption)	-65.2	.11	156	.07	6.84	.01
Women's animal sales (T)	-58.8	.26	229	.03	7.97	.00
Men's animal sales (T)	-17.2	.83	30.2	.88	0.06	.81
Household business sales (T)	-16,841	.06	4101	.82	1.46	.23
Women's business sales (T)	-640	.57	3691	.08	4.73	.03
Men's business sales (T)	-20,886	.12	2635	.92	0.77	.38
Household self-employment expen- (purchase of inputs)	ses -5813	.23	-4709	.66	0.01	.91
Women's self-employment expense	es (T) -562	.14	1520	.06	7.23	.01
Men's self-employment expenses (Γ) -9986	.08	-9368	.44	0.00	.96
Household farming expenses (purchase of inputs)	-41.3	.31	92.7	.30	2.42	.12
Women's farming expenses (T)	9.86	.79	106	.17	1.70	.19
Men's farming expenses (T)	-84.9	.09	5.78	.96	0.77	.38
Household animal-raising expense (purchase of inputs)	-26.1	.46	56.7	.45	1.27	.26
Women's animal-raising expenses	(T) -12.5	.70	-48.4	.48	0.30	.58
Men's animal-raising expenses (T)	-42.7	.31	106	.22	3.09	.08
Household business expenses (purchase of inputs) (T)	-15,030	.08	4191	.81	1.34	.25
Women's business expenses (T)	-655	.52	3209	.08	4.89	.03
Men's business expenses (T)	-19,797	.13	3631	.89	0.81	.37
Household self-employment labor		.48	26.9	.06	5.25	.02
Women's self-employment labor ho		.63	14.0	.11	3.47	.06
Men's self-employment labor hour: Health Care and Education	-2.67	.53	12.9	.16	3.11	.08
Household medical expenses (T)	-43.7	.11	-47.6	.42	0.00	.95
Medical expenses for women (T)	-13.3	.44	-46.0	.23	0.77	.38
Medical expenses for men (T)	-23.5	.46	-66.9	.36	0.38	.54
Medical expenses for children (T)	6.09	.53	-10.7	.64	0.58	.44
Medical expenses for girls (T)	-1.08	.92	8.38	.74	0.15	.69
Medical expenses for boys (T)	12.2	.27	-26.7	.35	1.88	.17
School expenses for children in ho		.77	86.6	.04	4.29	.04
School expenses for girls	-1.47	.93	2.79	.93	0.02	.89
School expenses for boys	6.80	.69	127	.00	10.14	.00

a Measured in baht unless stated otherwise.
 NA means not applicable.
 (T) means that Tobit regression is used.

References

- Adams, D. W., and D. A. Fitchett, eds., 1992. *Informal Finance in Low-Income Countries*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Adams, D. W., and R. C. Vogel, 1986. "Rural Financial Markets in Low-income Countries: Recent Controversies and Lessons." *World Development* 14:477-88.
- Adams, D. W., and J. D. von Pischke, 1992. "Microenterprise Credit Programmes: Déjà Vu." World Development 20:1463-70.
- Adams, D. W., D. Graham, and J. D. von Pischke, 1984. *Undermining Rural Development with Cheap Credit*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Amin, S., A. S. Rai, and G. Topa, 1999. "Does Microcredit Reach the Poor and Vulnerable? Evidence from Northern Bangladesh." Unpublished mimeo.
- Armendariz de Aghion, B., 1999. "On the Design of a Credit Agreement with Peer Monitoring." Journal of Development Economics 60:79-104.
- Bank for Agriculture and Agricultural Cooperatives (BAAC), 1993. Annual Report. Bangkok.
- Besley, T., and S. Coate, 1995. "Group Lending, Repayment Incentives and Social Collateral." Journal of Development Economics 46:1-18.
- Braverman, A., and J. L. Guasch, 1986. "Rural Credit Markets and Institutions in Developing Countries: Lessons for Policy Analysis from Practice and Modern Theory." *World Development* 14:1253-68.
- Chen, M., 1992. "Impact of Grameen Bank's Credit Operations on its Members: Past and Future Research." Harvard University, Cambridge. Mimeo.
- Coleman, B., 1999. "The Impact of Group Lending in Northeast Thailand." *Journal of Development Economics* 60:105-42.
- ———, 2000. "Risk, Mutual Assistance, and Mutual Insurance Among Village Bank Members." Asian Development Bank, Manila. Mimeo.
- Conning, J., 1999. "Outreach, Sustainability and Leverage in Monitored and Peer-monitored Lending." *Journal of Development Economics* 60:51-78.
- The Economist, 1993. "Banking on the Poor." 27 February 27.
- Eswaran, M., and A. Kotwal, 1989. "Credit and Agrarian Class Structure." In P. Bardhan, ed., *The Economic Theory of Agrarian Institutions*. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- FINCA (Foundation for International Community Assistance), 1990. "Promoting and Supporting Village Banks: Outline for a Procedures Manual." Washington, D.C. Mimeo.
- Ghatak, M., 1999. "Group Lending, Local Information and Peer Selection." *Journal of Development Economics* 60:27-50.
- Ghatak, M., and T. W. Guinnane, 1999. "The Economics of Lending with Joint Liability: Theory and Practice." *Journal of Development Economics* 60:195-228.
- Hatch, J. K., 1989. "A Manual of Village Banking for Community Leaders and Promoters." Washington D.C. Mimeo.
- Hoff, K., and J. E. Stiglitz, 1990. "Imperfect Information and Rural Credit Markets% Puzzles and

- Policy Perspectives." The World Bank Economic Review 4:235-50.
- Hossain, M., 1988. Credit for Alleviation of Rural Poverty: The Grameen Bank in Bangladesh. International Food Policy Research Institute Research Report No. 65.
- Hulme, D., and P. Mosley, 1996. Finance Against Poverty. London: Routledge.
- Huppi, M., and G. Feder, 1990. "The Role of Groups and Credit Cooperatives in Rural Lending." The World Bank Research Observer 5:187-204.
- Jaffee, D. M., and T. Russell, 1976. "Imperfect Information, Uncertainty, and Credit Rationing." *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 90:651-66.
- Khander, S., 2001. "Does Micro-finance Really Benefit the Poor?" Paper presented at the Asian Development Bank's Asia and Pacific Forum on Poverty, Manila, 5-9 February.
- Malveaux, J., 1997. "Another Way to Look at Banking." San Francisco Chronicle.
- Microcredit Summit Report, 1997. Results Education Fund. Washington, D.C.
- MkNelly, B., and C. Watetip, 1993. "Impact Evaluation of Freedom from Hunger's Credit with Education Program in Thailand." Freedom From Hunger, Davis, California. Mimeo.
- Moffitt, R., 1991. "Program Evaluation with Nonexperimental Data." *Evaluation Review* 15: 291-314.
- Morduch, J., 1999a. "Does Microfinance Really Help the Poor? New Evidence from Flagship Programs in Bangladesh." Princeton University, New Jersey. Mimeo.
- ———, 1999b. "The Microfinance Promise." *Journal of Economic Literature* XXXVII:1569-1614. *New York Times*, 1997. "Micro-Loans for the Very Poor." 16 February.
- Park, A., and C. Ren, 2001. "Microfinance with Chinese Characteristics." *World Development* 29:39-62.
- Perry, D. L., 1995. "Constraints to Women's Village-Banking Activities: An Anthropological Study of Village Banks in the Entente des Groupements Associes de Nganda." Yale University, New Haven. Mimeo.
- Pitt, M. M., and S. R. Khandker, 1998. "The Impact of Group-Based Credit Programs on Poor Households in Bangladesh: Does the Gender of Participants Matter?" *Journal of Political Economy* 106:958-96.
- Rashid, M., and R. M. Townsend, 1993. "Targeting Credit and Insurance: Efficiency, Mechanism Design, and Program Evaluation." The World Bank, Washington D.C. Mimeo.
- Remenyi, J., 1991. Where Credit is Due: Income-Generating Programmes for the Poor in Developing Countries. London: Intermediate Technologies Publications.
- San Francisco Examiner, 1990. "Women's World Banking Makes Dreams Come True". 2 December. ———, 1999. "A Little Credit, A Big Success". 2 May.
- Sebstad, J., and G. Chen, 1996. "Overview of Studies on the Impact of Microenterprise Credit." AIMS Project, Washington D.C. Mimeo.
- Siamwalla, A., C. Pinthong, N. Poapongsakorn, P. Satsanguan, P. Nettayarak, W. Mingmaneenakin, and Y. Tubpun, 1990. "The Thai Rural Credit System: Public Subsidies, Private Information, and Segmented Markets." *The World Bank Economic Review* 4:271-96.
- Stiglitz, J. E., 1990. "Peer Monitoring and Credit Markets." *The World Bank Economic Review* 50:350-66.

- Townsend, R. M., 1993. "Financial Systems in Northern Thai Villages." University of Chicago. Mimeo.
- ———, 1994. "Intra-Regional Risk Sharing in Thailand." University of Chicago. Mimeo.
- Van Tassel, E., 1999. "Group Lending under Asymmetric Information." *Journal of Development Economics* 60:3-26.
- Varian, H. R., 1990. "Monitoring Agents with Other Agents." *Journal of Institutional and Theoretical Economics* 146:153-74.
- Wenner, M. D., 1995. "Group Credit: A Means to Improve Information Transfer and Loan Repayment Performance." *Journal of Development Studies* 32:264-81.
- World Bank, 1989. *World Development Report: Financial Systems and Development*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Wydick, B., 1999a. "Can Social Cohesion be Harnessed to Repair Market Failures? Evidence from Group Lending in Guatemala." *Economic Journal* 109:463-75.
- ———, 1999b. "Credit Access, Human Capital, and Class Structural Mobility." *Journal of Development Studies* 35:131-52.
- ———, 1999c. "The Effect of Microenterprise Lending on Child Schooling in Guatemala." *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 47:853-69.
- Yaron, J., 1992. Successful Rural Financial Institutions. World Bank Discussion Paper No. 150, World Bank, Washington D.C.

PUBLICATIONS FROM THE ECONOMICS AND RESEARCH DEPARTMENT

ERD TECHNICAL NOTE SERIES (TNS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

No. 1 Contingency Calculations for Environmental Impacts with Unknown Monetary Values

— David Dole
February 2002

ERD WORKING PAPER SERIES (WPS)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

No. 1	Capitalizing on Globalization —Barry Eichengreen, January 2002		—Gary Gereffi February 2002
No. 2	Policy-based Lending and Poverty Reduction: An Overview of Processes, Assessment and Options —Richard Bolt and Manabu Fujimura	No. 6	Monetary and Financial Cooperation in East Asia—The Chiang Mai Initiative and Beyond —Pradumna B. Rana February 2002
	January 2002	No. 7	Probing Beneath Cross-national Averages: Poverty,
No. 3	The Automotive Supply Chain: Global Trends and Asian Perspectives —Francisco Veloso and Rajiv Kumar January 2002	No. 8	Inequality, and Growth in the Philippines —Arsenio M. Balisacan and Ernesto M. Pernia March 2002 Poverty, Growth, and Inequality in Thailand
No. 4	International Competitiveness of Asian Firms: An Analytical Framework	NU. 6	—Anil B. Deolalikar April 2002
	-Rajiv Kumar and Doren Chadee February 2002	No. 9	Microfinance in Northeast Thailand: Who Benefits and How Much?
No. 5	The International Competitiveness of Asian Economies in the Apparel Commodity Chain		—Brett E. Coleman April 2002

MONOGRAPH SERIES

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of charge)

EDRC REPORT SERIES (ER)

No. 1	ASEAN and the Asian Development Bank	No. 8	Shadow Exchange Rates and Standard
	—Seiji Naya, April 1982		Conversion Factors in Project Evaluation
No. 2	Development Issues for the Developing East		—Peter Warr, September 1982
	and Southeast Asian Countries	No. 9	Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing
	and International Cooperation		Establishments in ASEAN Countries:
	-Seiji Naya and Graham Abbott, April 1982		Perspectives and Policy Issues
No. 3	Aid, Savings, and Growth in the Asian Region		-Mathias Bruch and Ulrich Hiemenz,
	—J. Malcolm Dowling and Ulrich Hiemenz,		January 1983
	April 1982	No. 10	A Note on the Third Ministerial Meeting of GATT
No. 4	Development-oriented Foreign Investment		—Jungsoo Lee, January 1983
	and the Role of ADB	No. 11	Macroeconomic Forecasts for the Republic
	-Kiyoshi Kojima, April 1982		of China, Hong Kong, and Republic of Korea
No. 5	The Multilateral Development Banks		—J.M. Dowling, January 1983
	and the International Economy's Missing	No. 12	ASEAN: Economic Situation and Prospects
	Public Sector		—Seiji Naya, March 1983
	—John Lewis, June 1982	No. 13	The Future Prospects for the Developing
No. 6	Notes on External Debt of DMCs		Countries of Asia
	—Evelyn Go, July 1982		—Seiji Naya, March 1983
No. 7	Grant Element in Bank Loans	No. 14	Energy and Structural Change in the Asia-
	—Dal Hyun Kim, July 1982		Pacific Region, Summary of the Thirteenth

	Pacific Trade and Development Conference		Developing Member Countries of the Bank
No. 15	—Seiji Naya, March 1983		—Jungsoo Lee, Pradumna Rana, and Ifzal Ali,
No. 15	A Survey of Empirical Studies on Demand for Electricity with Special Emphasis on Price	No. 36	May 1986 Smuggling and Domestic Economic Policies
	Elasticity of Demand	110. 50	in Developing Countries
	-Wisarn Pupphavesa, June 1983		—A.H.M.N. Chowdhury, October 1986
No. 16	Determinants of Paddy Production in Indonesia:	No. 37	Public Investment Criteria: Economic Internal
	1972-1981-A Simultaneous Equation Model		Rate of Return and Equalizing Discount Rate
	Approach		—Ifzal Ali, November 1986
NI- 17	—T.K. Jayaraman, June 1983	No. 38	Review of the Theory of Neoclassical Political
No. 17	The Philippine Economy: Economic		Economy: An Application to Trade Policies —M.G. Quibria, December 1986
	Forecasts for 1983 and 1984 — J.M. Dowling, E. Go, and C.N. Castillo,	No. 39	Factors Influencing the Choice of Location:
	June 1983	140. 55	Local and Foreign Firms in the Philippines
No. 18	Economic Forecast for Indonesia		—E.M. Pernia and A.N. Herrin, February 1987
	—J.M. Dowling, H.Y. Kim, Y.K. Wang,	No. 40	A Demographic Perspective on Developing
	and C.N. Castillo, June 1983		Asia and Its Relevance to the Bank
No. 19	Relative External Debt Situation of Asian		—E.M. Pernia, May 1987
	Developing Countries: An Application	No. 41	Emerging Issues in Asia and Social Cost
	of Ranking Method —Jungsoo Lee, June 1983		Benefit Analysis —I. Ali, September 1988
No. 20	New Evidence on Yields, Fertilizer Application,	No. 42	Shifting Revealed Comparative Advantage:
110. 20	and Prices in Asian Rice Production	110. 42	Experiences of Asian and Pacific Developing
	-William James and Teresita Ramirez, July 1983		Countries
No. 21	Inflationary Effects of Exchange Rate		—P.B. Rana, November 1988
	Changes in Nine Asian LDCs	No. 43	Agricultural Price Policy in Asia:
	—Pradumna B. Rana and J. Malcolm Dowling,		Issues and Areas of Reforms
N I 00	Jr., December 1983	27 44	—I. Ali, November 1988
No. 22	Effects of External Shocks on the Balance	No. 44	Service Trade and Asian Developing Economies
	of Payments, Policy Responses, and Debt Problems of Asian Developing Countries	No. 45	—M.G. Quibria, October 1989 A Review of the Economic Analysis of Power
	—Seiji Naya, December 1983	110. 45	Projects in Asia and Identification of Areas
No. 23	Changing Trade Patterns and Policy Issues:		of Improvement
	The Prospects for East and Southeast Asian		−I. Åli, November 1989
	Developing Countries	No. 46	Growth Perspective and Challenges for Asia:
	—Seiji Naya and Ulrich Hiemenz, February 1984		Areas for Policy Review and Research
No. 24	Small-Scale Industries in Asian Economic	N. 40	—I. Ali, November 1989
	Development: Problems and Prospects	No. 47	An Approach to Estimating the Poverty
No. 25	—Seiji Naya, February 1984 A Study on the External Debt Indicators		Alleviation Impact of an Agricultural Project —I. Ali, January 1990
110. 20	Applying Logit Analysis	No. 48	Economic Growth Performance of Indonesia,
	—Jungsoo Lee and Clarita Barretto,	- 101	the Philippines, and Thailand:
	February 1984		The Human Resource Dimension
No. 26	Alternatives to Institutional Credit Programs		—Е.М. Pernia, January 1990
	in the Agricultural Sector of Low-Income	No. 49	Foreign Exchange and Fiscal Impact of a Project:
	Countries		A Methodological Framework for Estimation
No. 27	— Jennifer Sour, March 1984 Economic Scene in Asia and Its Special Features	No. 50	—I. Ali, February 1990 Public Investment Criteria: Financial
110. 21	—Kedar N. Kohli, November 1984	140. 50	and Economic Internal Rates of Return
No. 28	The Effect of Terms of Trade Changes on the		—I. Ali, April 1990
	Balance of Payments and Real National	No. 51	Evaluation of Water Supply Projects:
	Income of Asian Developing Countries		An Economic Framework
	—Jungsoo Lee and Lutgarda Labios, January 1985		—Arlene M. Tadle, June 1990
No. 29	Cause and Effect in the World Sugar Market:	No. 52	Interrelationship Between Shadow Prices, Project
	Some Empirical Findings 1951-1982		Investment, and Policy Reforms:
No. 30	— Yoshihiro Iwasaki, February 1985 Sources of Balance of Payments Problem		An Analytical Framework —I. Ali, November 1990
140. 50	in the 1970s: The Asian Experience	No. 53	Issues in Assessing the Impact of Project
	—Pradumna Rana, February 1985	110. 00	and Sector Adjustment Lending
No. 31	India's Manufactured Exports: An Analysis		—I. Ali, December 1990
	of Supply Sectors	No. 54	Some Aspects of Urbanization
	—Ifzal Ali, February 1985		and the Environment in Southeast Asia
No. 32	Meeting Basic Human Needs in Asian	N 1	—Ernesto M. Pernia, January 1991
	Developing Countries	No. 55	Financial Sector and Economic
No. 33	—Jungsoo Lee and Emma Banaria, March 1985 The Impact of Foreign Capital Inflow		Development: A Survey —Jungsoo Lee, September 1991
110. 33	on Investment and Economic Growth	No. 56	A Framework for Justifying Bank-Assisted
	in Developing Asia	110. 00	Education Projects in Asia: A Review
	Evelyn Go, May 1985		of the Socioeconomic Analysis
No. 34	The Climate for Energy Development		and Identification of Areas of Improvement
	in the Pacific and Asian Region:		-Etienne Van De Walle, February 1992
	Priorities and Perspectives	No. 57	Medium-term Growth-Stabilization
No. 35	—V.V. Desai, April 1986 Impact of Appreciation of the Ven on		Relationship in Asian Developing Countries
110. 00	Impact of Appreciation of the Yen on		and Some Policy Considerations

No. 58	 Yun-Hwan Kim, February 1993 Urbanization, Population Distribution, and Economic Development in Asia Ernesto M. Pernia, February 1993 	No. 63	—Bo Lin, August 1994 Incentives and Regulation for Pollution Abatement with an Application to Waste Water Treatment —Sudipto Mundle, U. Shankar,
No. 59	The Need for Fiscal Consolidation in Nepal: The Results of a Simulation —Filippo di Mauro and Ronald Antonio Butiong,	No. 64	and Shekhar Mehta, October 1995
No. 60	July 1993 A Computable General Equilibrium Model of Nepal	No. 65	Total Factor Productivity Growth in East Asia: A Critical Survey —Jesus Felipe, September 1997
No. 61	— Timothy Buehrer and Filippo di Mauro, October 1993 The Role of Government in Export Expansion	No. 66	Foreign Direct Investment in Pakistan: Policy Issues and Operational Implications —Ashfaque H. Khan and Yun-Hwan Kim,
140. 01	in the Republic of Korea: A Revisit — Yun-Hwan Kim, February 1994	No. 67	July 1999 Fiscal Policy, Income Distribution and Growth
No. 62	Rural Reforms, Structural Change, and Agricultural Growth in the People's Republic of China		—Sailesh K. Jha, November 1999
ECONOMIC STAFF PAPERS (ES)			

No. 1	International Reserves: Factors Determining Needs and Adequacy —Evelyn Go, May 1981	No. 14	Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing Establishments in ASEAN Countries: Perspectives and Policy Issues
No. 2	Domestic Savings in Selected Developing Asian Countries —Basil Moore, assisted by	No. 15	—Mathias Bruch and Ulrich Hiemenz, March 1983 Income Distribution and Economic Growth in Developing Asian Countries
No. 3	A.H.M. Nuruddin Chowdhury, September 1981 Changes in Consumption, Imports and Exports	No. 16	—J. Malcolm Dowling and David Soo, March 1983 Long-Run Debt-Servicing Capacity of
	of Oil Since 1973: A Preliminary Survey of the Developing Member Countries of the Asian Development Bank		Asian Developing Countries: An Application of Critical Interest Rate Approach —Jungsoo Lee, June 1983
No. 4	—Dal Hyun Kim and Graham Abbott, September 1981 By-Passed Areas, Regional Inequalities,	No. 17	External Shocks, Energy Policy, and Macroeconomic Performance of Asian Developing Countries: A Policy Analysis
110. 1	and Development Policies in Selected Southeast Asian Countries	No. 18	William James, July 1983 The Impact of the Current Exchange Rate
No. 5	 William James, October 1981 Asian Agriculture and Economic Development William James, March 1982 		System on Trade and Inflation of Selected Developing Member Countries —Pradumna Rana, September 1983
No. 6	Inflation in Developing Member Countries: An Analysis of Recent Trends —A.H.M. Nuruddin Chowdhury and	No. 19 No. 20	Asian Agriculture in Transition: Key Policy Issues — William James, September 1983 The Transition to an Industrial Economy
No. 7	J. Malcolm Dowling, March 1982 Industrial Growth and Employment in	140. 20	in Monsoon Asia —Harry T. Oshima, October 1983
	Developing Asian Countries: Issues and Perspectives for the Coming Decade — Ulrich Hiemenz, March 1982	No. 21	The Significance of Off-Farm Employment and Incomes in Post-War East Asian Growth —Harry T. Oshima, January 1984
No. 8	Petrodollar Recycling 1973-1980. Part 1: Regional Adjustments and	No. 22	Income Distribution and Poverty in Selected Asian Countries
No. 9	the World Economy —Burnham Campbell, April 1982 Developing Asia: The Importance	No. 23	John Malcolm Dowling, Jr., November 1984 ASEAN Economics and ASEAN Economic Cooperation
	of Domestic Policies —Economics Office Staff under the direction of Seiji Naya, May 1982	No. 24	 Narongchai Akrasanee, November 1984 Economic Analysis of Power Projects Nitin Desai, January 1985
No. 10	Financial Development and Household Savings: Issues in Domestic Resource	No. 25	Exports and Economic Growth in the Asian Region —Pradumna Rana, February 1985
No. 11	Mobilization in Asian Developing Countries	No. 26 No. 27	Patterns of External Financing of DMCs —E. Go, May 1985 Industrial Technology Development
N. 40	Financial Institutions —Kedar N. Kohli, August 1982	N. 00	the Republic of Korea —S.Y. Lo, July 1985
No. 12	Petrodollar Recycling 1973-1980. Part II: Debt Problems and an Evaluation of Suggested Remedies	No. 28	Risk Analysis and Project Selection: A Review of Practical Issues — J.K. Johnson, August 1985
No. 13	—Burnham Campbell, September 1982 Credit Rationing, Rural Savings, and Financial	No. 29	Rice in Indonesia: Price Policy and Comparative Advantage
	Policy in Developing Countries — William James, September 1982	No. 30	—I. Ali, January 1986Effects of Foreign Capital Inflows

	on Developing Countries of Asia		—Hiroshi Kakazu, June 1990
	-Jungsoo Lee, Pradumna B. Rana,	No. 47	Designing Strategies and Policies
	and Yoshihiro Iwasaki, April 1986		for Managing Structural Change in Asia
No. 31	Economic Analysis of the Environmental		—Ifzal Ali, June 1990
	Impacts of Development Projects	No. 48	The Completion of the Single European Commu-
	-John A. Dixon et al., EAPI,	nity	
	East-West Center, August 1986		Market in 1992: A Tentative Assessment of its
No. 32	Science and Technology for Development:		Impact on Asian Developing Countries
110.02	Role of the Bank		—J.P. Verbiest and Min Tang, June 1991
	-Kedar N. Kohli and Ifzal Ali, November 1986	No. 49	Economic Analysis of Investment in Power
No. 33	Satellite Remote Sensing in the Asian	Systems	Economic rularysis of investment in 1 ower
140. 33	and Pacific Region	Systems	—Ifzal Ali, June 1991
	—Mohan Sundara Rajan, December 1986	No. 50	External Finance and the Role of Multilateral
No. 24	•	110. 30	
No. 34	Changes in the Export Patterns of Asian and		Financial Institutions in South Asia:
	Pacific Developing Countries: An Empirical		Changing Patterns, Prospects, and Challenges
	Overview	NT 74	—Jungsoo Lee, November 1991
	—Pradumna B. Rana, January 1987	No. 51	The Gender and Poverty Nexus: Issues and
No. 35	Agricultural Price Policy in Nepal		Policies
	-Gerald C. Nelson, March 1987		-M.G. Quibria, November 1993
No. 36	Implications of Falling Primary Commodity	No. 52	The Role of the State in Economic Development:
	Prices for Agricultural Strategy in the Philippines		Theory, the East Asian Experience,
	—Ifzal Ali, September 1987		and the Malaysian Case
No. 37	Determining Irrigation Charges: A Framework		—Jason Brown, December 1993
	—Prabhakar B. Ghate, October 1987	No. 53	The Economic Benefits of Potable Water Supply
No. 38	The Role of Fertilizer Subsidies in Agricultural		Projects to Households in Developing Countries
	Production: A Review of Select Issues		—Dale Whittington and Venkateswarlu Swarna,
	-M.G. Quibria, October 1987		January 1994
No. 39	Domestic Adjustment to External Shocks	No. 54	Growth Triangles: Conceptual Issues
	in Developing Asia		and Operational Problems
	—Jungsoo Lee, October 1987		-Min Tang and Myo Thant, February 1994
No. 40	Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization	No. 55	The Emerging Global Trading Environment
	through Financial Development: Indonesia		and Developing Asia
	-Philip Erquiaga, November 1987		—Arvind Panagariya, M.G. Quibria,
No. 41	Recent Trends and Issues on Foreign Direct		and Narhari Rao, July 1996
	Investment in Asian and Pacific Developing	No. 56	Aspects of Urban Water and Sanitation in
	Countries		the Context of Rapid Urbanization in
	—P.B. Rana, March 1988		Developing Asia
No. 42	Manufactured Exports from the Philippines:		-Ernesto M. Pernia and Stella LF. Alabastro,
	A Sector Profile and an Agenda for Reform		September 1997
	—I. Ali, September 1988	No. 57	Challenges for Asia's Trade and Environment
No. 43	A Framework for Evaluating the Economic	110. 07	—Douglas H. Brooks, January 1998
110. 40	Benefits of Power Projects	No. 58	Economic Analysis of Health Sector Projects-
	—I. Ali, August 1989	110. 00	A Review of Issues, Methods, and Approaches
No. 44	Promotion of Manufactured Exports in Pakistan		—Ramesh Adhikari, Paul Gertler, and
110. 11	—Jungsoo Lee and Yoshihiro Iwasaki,		Anneli Lagman, March 1999
	September 1989	No. 59	The Asian Crisis: An Alternate View
No. 45	Education and Labor Markets in Indonesia:	110. 39	—Rajiv Kumar and Bibek Debroy, July 1999
10. 45		No 60	
	A Sector Survey	No. 60	Social Consequences of the Financial Crisis in
	—Ernesto M. Pernia and David N. Wilson,		Asia
NI- 40	September 1989		—James C. Knowles, Ernesto M. Pernia, and
No. 46	Industrial Technology Capabilities		Mary Racelis, November 1999
	and Policies in Selected ADCs		

OCCASIONAL PAPERS (OP)

No. 1	Poverty in the People's Republic of China:	No. 5	Reforms in the Transitional Economies of Asia
	Recent Developments and Scope		—Pradumna B. Rana, December 1993
	for Bank Assistance	No. 6	Environmental Challenges in the People's Republic
	—K.H. Moinuddin, November 1992		of China and Scope for Bank Assistance
No. 2	The Eastern Islands of Indonesia: An Overview		-Elisabetta Capannelli and Omkar L. Shrestha,
	of Development Needs and Potential		December 1993
	—Brien K. Parkinson, January 1993	No. 7	Sustainable Development Environment
No. 3	Rural Institutional Finance in Bangladesh		and Poverty Nexus
	and Nepal: Review and Agenda for Reforms		-K.F. Jalal, December 1993
	—A.H.M.N. Chowdhury and Marcelia C. Garcia,	No. 8	Intermediate Services and Economic
	November 1993		Development: The Malaysian Example
No. 4	Fiscal Deficits and Current Account Imbalances		—Sutanu Behuria and Rahul Khullar, May 1994
	of the South Pacific Countries:	No. 9	Interest Rate Deregulation: A Brief Survey
	A Case Study of Vanuatu		of the Policy Issues and the Asian Experience
	-T.K. Jayaraman, December 1993		—Carlos J. Glower, July 1994

- No. 10 Some Aspects of Land Administration in Indonesia: Implications for Bank Operations —Sutanu Behuria, July 1994
- No. 11 Demographic and Socioeconomic Determinants of Contraceptive Use among Urban Women in the Melanesian Countries in the South Pacific:

 A Case Study of Port Vila Town in Vanuatu

 T.K. Jayaraman, February 1995
- No. 12 Managing Development through Institution Building
 — Hilton L. Root, October 1995
- No. 13 Growth, Structural Change, and Optimal Poverty Interventions —Shiladitya Chatterjee, November 1995
- No. 14 Private Investment and Macroeconomic Environment in the South Pacific Island Countries: A Cross-Country Analysis T.K. Jayaraman, October 1996
- No. 15 The Rural-Urban Transition in Viet Nam: Some Selected Issues
 —Sudipto Mundle and Brian Van Arkadie, October 1997
- No. 16 A New Approach to Setting the Future Transport Agenda

- -Roger Allport, Geoff Key, and Charles Melhuish June 1998
- No. 17 Adjustment and Distribution:
 The Indian Experience
 —Sudipto Mundle and V.B. Tulasidhar, June 1998
- No. 18 Tax Reforms in Viet Nam: A Selective Analysis
 —Sudipto Mundle, December 1998
- No. 19 Surges and Volatility of Private Capital Flows to Asian Developing Countries: Implications for Multilateral Development Banks —Pradumna B. Rana, December 1998
- No. 20 The Millennium Round and the Asian Economies: An Introduction —Dilip K. Das, October 1999
- No. 21 Occupational Segregation and the Gender Earnings Gap —Joseph E. Zveglich, Jr. and Yana van der Meulen Rodgers, December 1999
- No. 22 Information Technology: Next Locomotive of Growth?

 —Dilip K. Das, June 2000

STATISTICAL REPORT SERIES (SR)

- No. 1 Estimates of the Total External Debt of the Developing Member Countries of ADB: 1981-1983

 —I.P. David, September 1984
- No. 2 Multivariate Statistical and Graphical Classification Techniques Applied to the Problem of Grouping Countries

 —I.P. David and D.S. Maligalig, March 1985
- No. 3 Gross National Product (GNP) Measurement Issues in South Pacific Developing Member Countries of ADB
 - —S.G. Tiwari, September 1985
- No. 4 Estimates of Comparable Savings in Selected DMCs
- —Hananto Sigit, December 1985
 No. 5 Keeping Sample Survey Design and Analysis Simple
 —I.P. David, December 1985
- No. 6 External Debt Situation in Asian
 Developing Countries

 —I.P. David and Jungsoo Lee, March 1986
- No. 7 Study of GNP Measurement Issues in the South Pacific Developing Member Countries. Part I: Existing National Accounts of SPDMCs-Analysis of Methodology and Application of SNA Concepts

 —P. Hodgkinson, October 1986
- No. 8 Study of GNP Measurement Issues in the South Pacific Developing Member Countries.
 Part II: Factors Affecting Intercountry Comparability of Per Capita GNP

 —P. Hodgkinson, October 1986
- No. 9 Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian Developing Countries, 1985

- —Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, April 1987
 No. 10 A Survey of the External Debt Situation
- in Asian Developing Countries, 1986

 Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, April 1988
- No. 11 Changing Pattern of Financial Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries

 Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, March 1989
- No. 12 The State of Agricultural Statistics in Southeast Asia

 —I.P. David, March 1989
- No. 13 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1987-1988
- —Jungsoo Lee and I.P. David, July 1989
 No. 14 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: 1988-1989
 —Jungsoo Lee, May 1990
- No. 15 A Survey of the External Debt Situation in Asian and Pacific Developing Countrie s: 1989-1992

 —Min Tang, June 1991
- No. 16 Recent Trends and Prospects of External Debt Situation and Financial Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries —Min Tang and Aludia Pardo, June 1992
- No. 17 Purchasing Power Parity in Asian Developing Countries: A Co-Integration Test —Min Tang and Ronald Q. Butiong, April 1994
- No. 18 Capital Flows to Asian and Pacific Developing Countries: Recent Trends and Future Prospects —Min Tang and James Villafuerte, October 1995

SPECIAL STUDIES, COMPLIMENTARY (SSC)

(Published in-house; Available through ADB Office of External Relations; Free of Charge)

- Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Overview September 1985
- 2. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Bangladesh *July 1986*
- 3. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Sri Lanka *April 1987*
- 4. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: India December 1987
- Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Overview January 1988
- 6. Study of Selected Industries: A Brief Report April 1988
- 7. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Bangladesh *June 1988*
- 8. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: India *June 1988*
- 9. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Indonesia *June 1988*
- 10. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Nepal *June 1988*
- 11. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Pakistan *June 1988*
- 12. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Philippines *June 1988*
- 13. Financing Public Sector Development Expenditure in Selected Countries: Thailand *June 1988*
- 14. Towards Regional Cooperation in South Asia: ADB/EWC Symposium on Regional Cooperation in South Asia *February 1988*
- 15. Evaluating Rice Market Intervention Policies: Some Asian Examples *April 1988*
- 16. Improving Domestic Resource Mobilization Through Financial Development: Nepal *November 1988*
- 17. Foreign Trade Barriers and Export Growth

- September 1988
- 18. The Role of Small and Medium-Scale Industries in the Industrial Development of the Philippines $April\ 1989$
- The Role of Small and Medium-Scale Manufacturing Industries in Industrial Development: The Experience of Selected Asian Countries January 1990
- 20. National Accounts of Vanuatu, 1983-1987 January 1990
- 21. National Accounts of Western Samoa, 1984-1986 February 1990
- 22. Human Resource Policy and Economic Development: Selected Country Studies *July 1990*
- 23. Export Finance: Some Asian Examples September 1990
- National Accounts of the Cook Islands, 1982-1986
 September 1990
- 25. Framework for the Economic and Financial Appraisal of Urban Development Sector Projects *January 1994*
- 26. Framework and Criteria for the Appraisal and Socioeconomic Justification of Education Projects *January 1994*
- 27. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Projects February 1997
- 28. Investing in Asia
- 29. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Telecommunication Projects 1998
- 30. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Water Supply Projects
 1999

SPECIAL STUDIES, ADB (SS, ADB)

(Published in-house; Available commercially through ADB Office of External Relations)

- 1. Rural Poverty in Developing Asia Edited by M.G. Quibria
 - Vol. 1: Bangladesh, India, and Sri Lanka, 1994 \$35.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 2: Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Philippines, and Thailand, 1996
 - \$35.00 (paperback)
- 2. External Shocks and Policy Adjustments:
 - Lessons from the Gulf Crisis
 - Edited by Naved Hamid and Shahid N. Zahid, 1995 \$15.00 (paperback)
- 3. Gender Indicators of Developing Asian and Pacific Countries
 - Asian Development Bank, 1993
 - \$25.00 (paperback)
- Urban Poverty in Asia: A Survey of Critical Issues Edited by Ernesto Pernia, 1994 \$20.00 (paperback)
- . Indonesia-Malaysia-Thailand Growth Triangle: Theory to Practice
- Edited by Myo Thant and Min Tang, 1996 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Emerging Asia: Changes and Challenges Asian Development Bank, 1997 \$30.00 (paperback)
- 7. Asian Exports
 - Edited by Dilip Das, 1999 \$35.00 (paperback)
 - \$55.00 (hardbound)
- 8. Mortgage-Backed Securities Markets in Asia

- Edited by S.Ghon Rhee & Yutaka Shimomoto, 1999 \$35.00 (paperback)
- Corporate Governance and Finance in East Asia: A Study of Indonesia, Republic of Korea, Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand
 - J. Zhuang, David Edwards, D. Webb,
 - & Ma. Virginita Capulong
 - Vol. 1, 2000 \$10.00 (paperback)
 - Vol. 2, 2001 \$15.00 (paperback)
- 0. Financial Management and Governance Issues
 - Asian Development Bank, 2000
 - Cambodia \$10.00 (paperback)
 - People's Republic of China \$10.00 (paperback)
 - Mongolia \$10.00 (paperback)
 - Pakistan \$10.00 (paperback)
 - Papua New Guinea \$10.00 (paperback)
 - Uzbekistan \$10.00 (paperback) Viet Nam \$10.00 (paperback)
 - Viet Nam \$10.00 (paperback)
 - Selected Developing Member Countries \$10.00 (paperback)
- 11. Guidelines for the Economic Analysis of Projects Asian Development Bank, 1997
 - \$10.00 (paperback)
- 2. Handbook for the Economic Analysis of Water Supply Projects
 - Asian Development Bank, 1999
 - \$15.00 (hardbound)
- 13. Handbook for the Economic Analysis of Health Sector Projects
 - Asian Development Bank, 2000
 - \$10.00 (paperback)

SPECIAL STUDIES, OUP (SS,OUP)

(Co-published with Oxford University Press; Available commercially through Oxford University Press Offices, Associated Companies, and Agents)

- Informal Finance: Some Findings from Asia Prabhu Ghate et. al., 1992 \$15.00 (paperback)
- 2. Mongolia: A Centrally Planned Economy in Transition Asian Development Bank, 1992 \$15.00 (paperback)
- Rural Poverty in Asia, Priority Issues and Policy Options
 Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1994
 \$25.00 (paperback)
- 4. Growth Triangles in Asia: A New Approach
 to Regional Economic Cooperation
 Edited by Myo Thant, Min Tang, and Hiroshi Kakazu
 1st ed., 1994 \$36.00 (hardbound)
 Revised ed., 1998 \$55.00 (hardbound)
- Urban Poverty in Asia: A Survey of Critical Issues Edited by Ernesto Pernia, 1994 \$18.00 (paperback)
- 6. Critical Issues in Asian Development: Theories, Experiences, and Policies Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1995 \$15.00 (paperback) \$36.00 (hardbound)

\$50.00 (hardbound)

From Centrally Planned to Market Economies:
 The Asian Approach
 Edited by Pradumna B. Rana and Naved Hamid, 1995
 Vol. 1: Overview
 \$36.00 (hardbound)
 Vol. 2: People's Republic of China and Mongolia

- Vol. 3: Lao PDR, Myanmar, and Viet Nam \$50.00 (hardbound)
- 8. Financial Sector Development in Asia Edited by Shahid N. Zahid, 1995 \$50.00 (hardbound)
- 9. Financial Sector Development in Asia: Country Studies Edited by Shahid N. Zahid, 1995 \$55.00 (hardbound)
- Fiscal Management and Economic Reform in the People's Republic of China Christine P.W. Wong, Christopher Heady, and Wing T. Woo, 1995 \$15.00 (paperback)
- 11. Current Issues in Economic Development: An Asian Perspective Edited by M.G. Quibria and J. Malcolm Dowling, 1996 \$50.00 (hardbound)
- 12. The Bangladesh Economy in Transition Edited by M.G. Quibria, 1997 \$20.00 (hardbound)
- The Global Trading System and Developing Asia Edited by Arvind Panagariya, M.G. Quibria, and Narhari Rao, 1997 \$55.00 (hardbound)
- Rising to the Challenge in Asia: A Study of Financial Markets
 Asian Development Bank, 1999

SERIALS

(Co-published with Oxford University Press; Available commercially through Oxford University Press Offices, Associated Companies, and Agents)

- 1. Asian Development Outlook (ADO; annual) \$36.00 (paperback)
- 2. Key Indicators of Developing Asian and Pacific Countries (KI; annual) \$35.00 (paperback)

JOURNAL

(Published in-house; Available commercially through ADB Office of External Relations)

1. Asian Development Review (ADR; semiannual) \$5.00 per issue; \$8.00 per year (2 issues)