

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Dimitrov, Dinko; Borm, Peter; Hendrickx, Ruud; Sung, Shao Chin

Working Paper

Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games

Nota di Lavoro, No. 51.2004

Provided in Cooperation with:

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Dimitrov, Dinko; Borm, Peter; Hendrickx, Ruud; Sung, Shao Chin (2004): Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games, Nota di Lavoro, No. 51.2004, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/117928

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games

Dinko Dimitrov, Peter Borm Ruud Hendrickx and Shao Chin Sung

NOTA DI LAVORO 51.2004

MARCH 2004

CTN – Coalition Theory Network

Dinko Dimitrov, Peter Borm and Ruud Hendrickx, Center and Department of Econometrics and Operations Research, Tilburg University
Shao Chin Sung, School of Information Science, Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htm

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://ssrn.com/abstract=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games

Summary

In this paper we study hedonic games where each player views every other player either as a friend or as an enemy. Two simple priority criteria for comparison of coalitions are suggested, and the corresponding preference restrictions based on appreciation of friends and aversion to enemies are considered. It turns out that the first domain restriction guarantees non-emptiness of the strong core and the second domain restriction ensures non-emptiness of the weak core of the corresponding hedonic games. Moreover, an element of the strong core under friends appreciation can be found in polynomial time, while finding an element of the weak core under enemies aversion is NP-hard. We examine also the relationship between our domain restrictions and some sufficient conditions for non-emptiness of the core already known in the literature.

Keywords: Additive separability, Coalition formation, Core stability, Hedonic games, NP-completeness, Priority

JEL Classification: C71, A14, D20

The authors would like to thank Yongsheng Xu for helpful comments. Dinko Dimitrov gratefully acknowledges financial support from a Marie Curie Research Fellowship of the European Community programme "Improving the Human Research Potential and the Socio-Economic Knowledge Base" under contract number HPMF-CT-2002-02121.

Address for correspondence:

Dinko Dimitrov
Center and Department of Econometrics and Operations Research
Tilburg University
P.O. Box 90153
5000 LE Tilburg
The Netherlands
E-mail: d.a.dimitrov@uvt.nl

1 Introduction

The study of the hedonic aspect of coalition formation goes back to Drèze and Greenberg (1980) who stress the dependence of a player's utility on the composition of members of her coalition. The formal model of a hedonic game was introduced by Banerjee, Konishi and Sönmez (2001) and Bogomolnaia and Jackson (2002). In their work, the focus on the identity of the members of a coalition determines the structure of the game: the latter consists of a preference ranking, for each player, over the coalitions that player may belong to.

Despite the simplicity of the model, it turned out that the question of the existence of a core stable partition, that is, a partition of the set of all players for which there is no group of individuals who can all be better off by forming a new deviating coalition, does not have an easy answer. In this paper we restrict ourselves to hedonic games with separable preferences, i.e. games where the effect of a given player on another player's preferences is the same, regardless of which coalition the latter player is a member of. In such games every player partitions the society into desirable and undesirable coalitional partners (friends and enemies, respectively), and the division between friends and enemies guides the ordering of coalitions in the sense that adding a friend leads to a more preferable coalition, while adding an enemy leads to a less preferable coalition.

As shown by Banerjee, Konishi and Sönmez (2001), non-emptiness of the core is not guaranteed even if one restricts separability to additive separability (players' preferences are representable by an additive separable utility function) and imposes in addition symmetry (i.e. the players have the same reciprocal values for each other). For an excellent study of the role of symmetric additive separable preferences for non-emptiness of the core of a hedonic game the reader is referred to Burani and Zwicker (2003).

In this paper we impose neither symmetry nor mutuality (i.e. the friendship among players is always mutual) on players' preferences. However, we restrict the domain of additive separable preferences by assuming that each player uses a simple priority criterion when comparing coalitions she may belong to. As a result, the class of additive separable preferences based on appreciation of friends and the class of additive separable preferences based on aversion to enemies are considered. The first preference domain corresponds to a situation in which every player in the game has very strong friends and very weak enemies: when comparing two coalitions she may belong to, a player who appreciates her friends pays attention first to the friends in either coalition. The coalition that contains more friends is declared by the player as better than the other, and if the two coalitions have the same number of friends, then the coalition with less enemies wins the comparison. The second preference domain displays a situation in which every player has very strong enemies and very weak friends, i.e. a player who is averse to her enemies looks first at the enemies in either coalition. The coalition that contains less enemies is declared by the player as better than the other, and if the two coalitions have the same number of enemies, then the number of friends is decisive for the comparison. Notice that both restrictions allow for indifferences in the corresponding rankings over coalitions.

It turns out that friends appreciation is a sufficient condition for nonemptiness of the *strong* core and that enemies aversion guarantees nonemptiness of the *weak* core of the corresponding hedonic games. In addition, we show that an element of the strong core under friends appreciation can be found in polynomial time, while finding an element of the weak core under enemies aversion is NP-hard.

This paper serves three purposes. The first is providing positive results on the proposed preference domains: when the players are averse to their enemies a weak core stable coalition structure exists, and when the players appreciate their friends even the strong core is non-empty. The second is that our domain restrictions can be seen as specific extensions of the rudimentary information about one's opinion over the single players (viewed either as homogeneous enemies or as homogeneous friends) to an ordering over coalitions. Cechlárová and Romero-Medina (2001) propose a different way for extending preferences over single individuals to preferences over coalitions (that coincide with her preferences over the most attractive member in the corresponding coalitions) and show that the existence of a strong core stable partition of the players into coalitions is not guaranteed when indifferences are allowed. In contrast, we allow for indifferences and report a positive result on the preference domain based on appreciation of friends. Our third purpose is the analysis of the computational complexity of the problem for finding a core stable partition in hedonic games. For general hedonic games this problem is shown to be NP-hard; moreover, if one imposes anonymity (the players pay attention only to the size of the corresponding coalitions) the problem remains NP-hard even when only strict preferences are allowed (cf. Ballester (2003)). Cechlárová and Hajduková (2002) study the computational complexity for finding a strong core element for the specific extension of the preferences over individuals to preferences over coalitions proposed by Cechlárová and Romero-Medina (2001) and show that when ties are included the problem is NP-hard. Our domain restriction based on appreciation of friends allows for indifferences and it can be seen also as an attempt to conciliate additive separability with a weaker notion of anonymity (only the number of friends and the number of enemies count). We show that a strong core element can be found in polynomial time.

The outline of the paper is as follows. Section 2 introduces the formal model of a hedonic game and presents the formal definitions of our domain restrictions. We introduce the notions of an internally stable coalition and of a deviation stable collection of coalitions as our basic analytical tools in Section 3, and relate them to core stability. The set of internally stable coalitions has a special structure when restricting the preferences to friends appreciation or to enemies aversion. This special structure is studied in Section 4 and Section 5, respectively, where we present our core existence proofs as well. Section 6 is devoted to the computational complexity for finding a core stable element for hedonic games in our domains. In Section 7 we briefly comment on the relationship between the domain restriction based on appreciation of friends and the domain restrictions proposed by Cechlárová and Romero-Medina (2001) since the latter restrictions are also shown to give rise to strong core stable hedonic games. We consider also the relationship between the domain restriction based on aversion to enemies to other sufficient conditions shown to guarantee weak core stability of the corresponding games like the weak top coalition property of Banerjee, Konishi and Sönmez (2001) and the ordinal balancedness condition of Bogomolnaia and Jackson (2002).

2 Preliminaries

Consider a finite set of players $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. A coalition is a non-empty subset of N. For each player $i \in N$, we denote by $\mathcal{N}_i = \{X \subseteq N \mid i \in X\}$ the collection of all coalitions containing i. A collection \mathcal{C} of coalitions is called a coalition structure if \mathcal{C} is a partition of N, i.e. the coalitions in \mathcal{C} are pairwise disjoint and $\bigcup_{C \in \mathcal{C}} C = N$. By \mathbf{C}^N we denote the set of all coalition structures of N. For each coalition structure $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ and each player $i \in N$, by $\mathcal{C}(i)$ we denote the coalition in \mathcal{C} which contains i, i.e. $\{\mathcal{C}(i)\} = \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{N}_i$.

We assume that each player $i \in N$ is endowed with a preference \succeq_i over \mathcal{N}_i , i.e. a binary relation over \mathcal{N}_i which is reflexive, complete, and transitive. We denote by $P = (\succeq_1, \succeq_2, \ldots, \succeq_n)$ a profile of preferences \succeq_i for all $i \in N$, and by \mathcal{P} the set of all preference profiles. Moreover, we assume that the preference of each player $i \in N$ over coalition structures is *purely hedonic*, i.e. it is completely characterized by \succeq_i in such a way that, for each $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}' \in \mathbf{C}^N$, player i weakly prefers \mathcal{C} to \mathcal{C}' if and only if $\mathcal{C}(i) \succeq_i \mathcal{C}'(i)$.

A hedonic game is a pair (N, P) consisting of a finite set N of players and a preference profile $P \in \mathcal{P}$. This paper is devoted to the question whether there exists a coalition structure $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ which is stable in some sense. The corresponding stability notions are given in Section 3.

We now specify the preference domains that will be considered. For each $i \in N$, we let $G_i := G(\succeq_i) = \{j \in N : \{i,j\} \succeq_i \{i\}\}$ be the set of friends of player i, and its complement $B_i = N \setminus G_i$ the set of enemies of player i. Notice that, from $\{i\} \succeq_i \{i\}$, we have $i \in G_i$ for each $i \in N$. The next definition suggests two ways of how each player i ranks the sets in \mathcal{N}_i depending on the numbers of her friends and enemies.

Definition 1 Let $P = (\succeq_1, \succeq_2, \dots, \succeq_n) \in \mathcal{P}$ be a profile of players' prefer-

ences.

• We say that P is based on appreciation of friends if, for all $i \in N$ and all $X, Y \in \mathcal{N}_i$,

$$X \succeq_{i} Y \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} |X \cap G_{i}| > |Y \cap G_{i}| \\ or \\ |X \cap G_{i}| = |Y \cap G_{i}| \ and \ |X \cap B_{i}| \le |Y \cap B_{i}|. \end{cases}$$

• We say that P is based on aversion to enemies if, for all $i \in N$ and all $X, Y \in \mathcal{N}_i$,

$$X \succeq_{i} Y \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} |X \cap B_{i}| < |Y \cap B_{i}| \\ or \\ |X \cap B_{i}| = |Y \cap B_{i}| \ and \ |X \cap G_{i}| \ge |Y \cap G_{i}|. \end{cases}$$

Thus, if the preference profile is based on appreciation of friends, we have a priority for friends when comparing two coalitions. If the preference profile is based on aversion to enemies, each player looks first at her enemies when comparing two coalitions. In the following, the set of all preference profiles based on appreciation of friends is denoted by \mathcal{P}^f , and the set of all preference profiles based on aversion to enemies is denoted by \mathcal{P}^e .

It is not difficult to see that if players' preferences are induced by either way suggested by Definition 1, then each player i will be equipped with a preference relation over \mathcal{N}_i with G_i being its top and $B_i \cup \{i\}$ being its bottom. The next example illustrates this point.

Example 1 Let
$$N = \{1, 2, 3\}$$
 and $G_1 = \{1, 2\}$, $G_2 = \{2\}$, $G_3 = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let $P = (\succeq_1, \succeq_2, \succeq_3) \in \mathcal{P}$.

- If $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, then
 - the ranking over \mathcal{N}_1 is $\{1,2\} \succ_1 \{1,2,3\} \succ_1 \{1\} \succ_1 \{1,3\}$,
 - the ranking over \mathcal{N}_2 is $\{2\} \succ_2 \{1,2\} \sim_2 \{2,3\} \succ_2 \{1,2,3\}$, and
 - the ranking over \mathcal{N}_3 is $\{1,2,3\} \succ_3 \{1,3\} \sim_3 \{2,3\} \succ_3 \{3\}$.
- If $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$, then
 - the ranking over \mathcal{N}_1 is $\{1,2\} \succ_1 \{1\} \succ_1 \{1,2,3\} \succ_1 \{1,3\}$,
 - the ranking over \mathcal{N}_2 is $\{2\} \succ_2 \{1,2\} \sim_2 \{2,3\} \succ_2 \{1,2,3\}$, and
 - the ranking over \mathcal{N}_3 is $\{1,2,3\} \succ_3 \{1,3\} \sim_3 \{2,3\} \succ_3 \{3\}$.

In fact, the preference profiles based on appreciation of friends and the preference profiles based on aversion to enemies belong to a more general class of preference profiles, namely the class of additive separable preferences.

Definition 2 A profile $P \in \mathcal{P}$ of players' preferences is **additive separable** if, for all $i \in N$, there exists a function $v_i : N \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{N}_i$,

$$X \succeq_i Y \Leftrightarrow \sum_{j \in X} v_i(j) \ge \sum_{j \in Y} v_i(j).$$

We denote the set of all additive separable preferences by \mathcal{P}^{as} . For the preference profile $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$ in Example 1, one can take $v_1(1) = v_1(2) = v_2(2) = v_3(1) = v_3(2) = v_3(3) = 3$ and $v_1(3) = v_2(1) = v_2(3) = -1$. For the preference profile $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$ in the same example the choice can be $v_1(1) = v_1(2) = v_2(2) = v_3(1) = v_3(2) = v_3(3) = 1$ and $v_1(3) = v_2(1) = v_2(3) = -3$. More generally, when $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, one can take, for each $i \in N$, $v_i(j) = n$ if $j \in G_i$, and $v_i(j) = -1$ otherwise; when $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$, one can take, for each $i \in N$, $v_i(j) = 1$ if $j \in G_i$, and $v_i(j) = -n$ otherwise. Therefore, we have $(\mathcal{P}^f \cup \mathcal{P}^e) \subset \mathcal{P}^{as}$.

All additive separable preference profiles are also separable. A profile $P \in \mathcal{P}$ of players' preferences is *separable* if, for every player $i \in N$ there is

a partition (G_i, B_i) of N such that for every $j \in N$ and $X \in \mathcal{N}_i$ with $j \notin X$, we have $[X \cup \{j\} \succeq_i X \Leftrightarrow j \in G_i]$ and $[X \cup \{j\} \preceq_i X \Leftrightarrow j \in B_i]$. We denote the set of all separable preferences by \mathcal{P}^s . Hence, the relation among \mathcal{P}^f , \mathcal{P}^e , \mathcal{P}^{as} , and \mathcal{P}^s is as follows:

$$(\mathcal{P}^f \cup \mathcal{P}^e) \subset \mathcal{P}^{as} \subset \mathcal{P}^s \subset \mathcal{P}.$$

3 Core stability and internal stability

In this section, we introduce the concepts of weak and strong core stability and our main analytic tool, namely the concept of internal stability.

By \mathbf{D}^N we denote the set of all collections of disjoint non-empty coalitions. For each $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{D}^N$ and for each $i \in \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D$, we denote by $\mathcal{D}(i)$ the coalition in \mathcal{D} containing i, i.e. $\{\mathcal{D}(i)\} = \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{N}_i$. Notice that the empty collection of coalitions belongs to \mathbf{D}^N , i.e. $\emptyset \in \mathbf{D}^N$. Observe further that each coalition structure is also a collection of non-empty disjoint coalitions, and thus, $\mathbf{C}^N \subseteq \mathbf{D}^N$.

Definition 3 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$, $X \subseteq N$ and $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{D}^N$.

- We say that X is a **strong deviation** from \mathcal{D} if $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D$, and $X \succ_i \mathcal{D}(i)$ for each $i \in X$.
- We say that X is a **weak deviation** from \mathcal{D} if $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D$, $X \succeq_i \mathcal{D}(i)$ for each $i \in X$, and $X \succ_j \mathcal{D}(j)$ for at least one $j \in X$.

By using these notions, we define now weak and strong core stability.

Definition 4 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $C \in \mathbb{C}^N$.

• We say that C is **weak core stable** if a strong deviation from C does not exist.

• We say that C is **strong core stable** if a weak deviation from C does not exist.

Notice that $\{X\} \in \mathbf{D}^N$ for every non-empty coalition $X \subseteq N$. Similar to the notion of core stability for coalition structures, we define below the notion of internal stability for coalitions.

Definition 5 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $X \subseteq N$ with $X \neq \emptyset$.

- We say that X is **weak internally stable** if there is no $Y \subseteq X$ which is a strong deviation from $\{X\}$.
- We say that X is **strong internally stable** if there is no $Y \subseteq X$ which is a weak deviation from $\{X\}$.

We denote by W(N, P) the collection of all weak internally stable coalitions, and by S(N, P) the collection of all strong internally stable coalitions. Observe that, for every $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and every $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{C}^N$, we have $\mathcal{C} \subseteq W(N, P)$ if \mathcal{C} is weak core stable, and $\mathcal{C} \subseteq S(N, P)$ if \mathcal{C} is strong core stable.

Recall that each \succeq_i is reflexive, complete and transitive, i.e. the strict preference \succ_i of each player $i \in N$ is also transitive. Having this in mind, the following lemma shows some kinds of transitivity in terms of deviations, which provides a connection between core stability and internal stability.

Lemma 1 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{D}^N$, and $X, Y \subseteq N$.

- If X is a strong deviation from \mathcal{D} , and if Y is a strong deviation from $\{X\}$, then Y is also a strong deviation from \mathcal{D} .
- If X is a weak deviation from \mathcal{D} , and if Y is a weak deviation from $\{X\}$, then Y is also a weak deviation from \mathcal{D} .

Proof. Suppose X is a strong deviation from \mathcal{D} , and Y is a strong deviation from $\{X\}$. Then, we have $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X \subseteq \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D$. Since each \succeq_i is reflexive, Y is a proper subcoalition of X, i.e. $Y \subset X$. From $X \succ_i \mathcal{D}(i)$ and $Y \succ_i X$ for each $i \in Y$, we have $Y \succ_i \mathcal{D}(i)$ for each $i \in Y$. Therefore, Y is a strong deviation from \mathcal{D} .

Suppose X is a weak deviation from \mathcal{D} , and Y is a weak deviation from $\{X\}$. Again, we have $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq X \subseteq \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D$ and $Y \subset X$. From $X \succeq_i \mathcal{D}(i)$ and $Y \succeq_i X$ for each $i \in Y$, we have $Y \succeq_i \mathcal{D}(i)$ for each $i \in Y$. Moreover, there exists a $j \in Y$ such that $Y \succ_j X$. By combining with $X \succeq_j \mathcal{D}(j)$, we have $Y \succ_j \mathcal{D}(j)$. Therefore, Y is a weak deviation from \mathcal{D} .

Lemma 2 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $X \subseteq N$ with $X \neq \emptyset$.

- If $X \notin W(N, P)$, then there exists a strong deviation Y from $\{X\}$ such that $Y \in W(N, P)$.
- If $X \notin S(N, P)$, then there exists a weak deviation Y from $\{X\}$ such that $Y \in S(N, P)$.

Proof. Suppose $X \notin W(N,P)$ and every strong deviation Y from $\{X\}$ is such that $Y \notin W(N,P)$. Let Y be a strong deviation from $\{X\}$ with the smallest cardinality. Notice that $Y \neq \emptyset$, and by assumption, $Y \notin W(N,P)$. Thus, there exists a strong deviation Z from $\{Y\}$. From Lemma 1, Z is also a strong deviation from $\{X\}$. However, since each \succeq_i is reflexive, every strong deviation Z from $\{Y\}$ must be a non-empty proper subcoalition of Y, i.e. $\emptyset \neq Z \subset Y$. Thus, we have 0 < |Z| < |Y|, which contradicts the assumption that Y is a strong deviation from $\{X\}$ with the smallest cardinality. Therefore, there exists a strong deviation Y from Y such that $Y \in W(N,R)$ if $Y \notin W(N,R)$. By a similar argument, one can show that there exists a weak deviation Y from Y such that $Y \in S(N,R)$ if $Y \notin S(N,R)$.

From Lemma 2, we obtain the following characterizations of core stability in terms of internal stability.

Theorem 1 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$. For every $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$,

- C is weak core stable if and only if there does not exist any strong deviation from C which is weak internally stable,
- C is strong core stable if and only if there does not exist any weak deviation from C which is strong internally stable.

This is a very useful theorem because, when weak (strong) core stability is under consideration, the theorem allows us to concentrate only on strong (weak) deviations which are weak (strong) internally stable.

In Sections 4 and 5, we show that a strong core stable coalition structure exists for each $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, and a weak core stable coalition structure exists for each $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$. By summing up the above arguments, we come to the following concepts, which allow us to provide constructive existence proofs.

Definition 6 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{D}^N$.

- We say that \mathcal{D} is **weak deviation stable** if, for each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ with $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, there does not exist any strong deviation X from \mathcal{C} such that $X \in W(N, P)$ and $X \cap (\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D) \neq \emptyset$.
- We say that \mathcal{D} is **strong deviation stable** if, for each $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ with $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$, there does not exist any weak deviation X from \mathcal{C} such that $X \in S(N, P)$ and $X \cap (\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D) \neq \emptyset$.

Observe that, by definition, for each $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{D}^N$, $\mathcal{D} \subseteq W(N, P)$ if \mathcal{D} is weak deviation stable, and $\mathcal{D} \subseteq S(N, P)$ if \mathcal{D} is strong deviation stable. Moreover,

from Theorem 1, the following corollary can be obtained immediately. Corollary 1 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}$. For every $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$,

- C is weak core stable if and only if C is weak deviation stable, and
- ullet C is strong core stable if and only if C is strong deviation stable.

A sketch of our constructions of core stable coalition structures in the following sections looks as follows:

- start with the empty collection of coalitions, which is weak (and also strong) deviation stable;
- construct a new weak (strong) deviation stable collection of disjoint non-empty coalitions by including a weak (strong) internally stable coalition, and repeat this until a coalition structure (a partition of N) is obtained.

So that, from Corollary 1, we will finally obtain a weak (strong) core stable coalition structure.

4 Appreciation of friends

In this section, we show the strong core stability of all hedonic games with preference profiles belonging to \mathcal{P}^f .

Let us first show a characterization of strong internally stable coalitions (Lemma 3) and the structure of the collection S(N, P) of all strong internally stable coalitions (Lemma 4).

Let $X \subseteq N$ with $X \neq \emptyset$, and $i \in X$. For each positive integer t, let $G_i^t(X) \subseteq X$ be a set defined as follows:

$$G_i^1(X) = G_i \cap X,$$

 $G_i^{t+1}(X) = \bigcup_{j \in G_i^t(X)} (G_j \cap X).$

Let $G_i^*(X) = G_i^t(X)$ for a positive integer t such that $G_i^t(X) = G_i^{t+1}(X)$. Notice that $G_i^n(X) = G_i^{n+1}(X)$ for each $X \subseteq N$ and each $i \in X$. Also notice that $G_i^*(X) \subseteq X$, and $G_i^*(Y) \subseteq G_i^*(X)$ for each $Y \subseteq X$.

The following lemma provides a characterization of strong internally stable coalitions.

Lemma 3 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$ and $X \subseteq N$ with $X \neq \emptyset$. Then, $X \in S(N, P)$ if and only if $G_i^*(X) = X$ for each $i \in X$.

Proof. Suppose $X \in S(N, P)$ and there exists $i \in X$ such that $G_i^*(X) \neq X$. Since $G_i^*(X) \subseteq X$ for each $i \in X$, we have $X \setminus G_i^*(X) \neq \emptyset$. Because $|X \cap G_j| = |G_i^*(X) \cap G_j|$ for each $j \in G_i^*(X)$ and since $X \setminus G_i^*(X)$ is non-empty, we have $|X \cap B_j| > |G_i^*(X) \cap B_j|$ for each $j \in G_i^*(X)$. Therefore, from $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, we have $G_i^*(X) \succ_j X$ for each $j \in G_i^*(X)$. That is, $G_i^*(X)$ is a strong deviation from $\{X\}$, and is also a weak deviation from $\{X\}$. This contradicts the assumption that $X \in S(N, P)$.

Suppose $X \subseteq N$ with $X \neq \emptyset$ and is such that $G_i^*(X) = X$ for each $i \in X$. Let $Y \subset X$ be a non-empty proper subcoalition of X. Then, we have $G_i^*(X) \setminus Y \neq \emptyset$ for each $i \in Y$, and thus, there exists a $j \in Y$ such that $G_j \cap (X \setminus Y) \neq \emptyset$. That is, $|X \cap G_j| > |Y \cap G_j|$, and from $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, we have $X \succ_j Y$ for some $j \in Y$. It follows that there is no subcoalition of X which is a weak deviation from $\{X\}$. Therefore, we have $X \in S(N, P)$.

Next, we show a useful property of the set of all strong internally stable coalitions.

Lemma 4 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$. If $X, Y \in S(N, P)$ with $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, then $X \cup Y \in S(N, P)$.

Proof. Suppose $X, Y \in S(N, P)$ with $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. From Lemma 3, it suffices to show that $G_i^*(X \cup Y) = X \cup Y$ for each $i \in X \cup Y$. Recall that we have, for each non-empty $Z \subseteq N$ and each $i \in Z$, $G_i^*(Z) \subseteq Z$, and $G_i^*(Z) \subseteq G_i^*(Z')$ if $Z \subseteq Z'$. Thus $G_i^*(X) \cup G_i^*(Y) \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y) \subseteq X \cup Y$ for each $i \in X \cup Y$. In the following, we show that $X \cup Y \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y)$ for each $i \in X \cup Y$.

Let $i \in X \cap Y$. By assumption, $G_i^*(X) = X$ and $G_i^*(Y) = Y$, and thus, we have $X \cup Y = G_i^*(X) \cup G_i^*(Y) \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y)$ for each $i \in X \cap Y$.

Let $i \in X \setminus Y$. By assumption, $G_i^*(X) = X$, and thus, $X \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y)$. Let $j \in X \cap Y$. Notice that such a j exists by assumption. Then $j \in G_i^*(X \cup Y)$, and by definition $G_j^*(X \cup Y) \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y)$. Since $Y = G_j^*(Y) \subseteq G_j^*(X \cup Y)$, we have $Y \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y)$. Thus, $X \cup Y \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y)$ for each $i \in X \setminus Y$. By the same argument, one can show that $X \cup Y \subseteq G_i^*(X \cup Y)$ for each $i \in Y \setminus X$.

Now we can conclude that $X \cup Y \in S(N, P)$ if $X, Y \in S(N, P)$ with $X \cap Y \neq \emptyset$, and the proof is completed.

Having described, for games with preference profiles belonging to \mathcal{P}^f , a characterization of strong internally stable coalitions and the structure of the collection S(N, P), we redirect our attention to the problem of core stability of such games.

For each $M \subseteq N$, we denote by $S^M(N,P)$ the collection of all strong internally stable coalitions which are subsets of M, i.e. $S^M(N,P) = \{X \in S(N,P) \mid X \subseteq M\}$. Let $GS^M(N,P)$ be the collection of all largest coalitions among coalitions belonging to $S^M(N,P)$, i.e. $GS^M(N,P) = \{X \in S^M(N,P) \mid X \subseteq M\}$.

 $S^M(N,P) \mid |X| \geq |Y|$ for all $Y \in S^M(N,P)$. Obviously, $S^N(N,P) = S(N,P)$. Notice that $\{i\} \in S^M(N,P)$ for each $i \in M$. Hence, $S^M(N,P)$ and $GS^M(N,P)$ are non-empty whenever M is non-empty.

The following proposition suggests a way for extending a strong deviation stable collection of disjoint coalitions for $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$.

Proposition 1 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{D}^N \setminus \mathbf{C}^N$, and $M = N \setminus (\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D)$. If \mathcal{D} is strong deviation stable, then $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}$ is strong deviation stable for each $D' \in GS^M(N, P)$.

Proof. Suppose \mathcal{D} is strong deviation stable and let $D' \in GS^M(N, P)$. Observe that $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\} \in \mathbf{D}^N$ and $D' \in GS^M(N, P) \subseteq S^M(N, P) \subseteq S(N, P)$. In the following we show that $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}$ is strong deviation stable.

Since \mathcal{D} is strong deviation stable, every $X \in S(N, P)$ with $X \cap \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D \neq \emptyset$ cannot be a weak deviation from any $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ such that $(\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Thus, a strong internally stable coalition X is a weak deviation from some $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ such that $(\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ only if $X \subseteq M$. Let $X \in S(N, P)$ with $X \subseteq M$ and $X \cap D' \neq \emptyset$. From $D' \in S(N, P)$ and Lemma 4, we have $X \cup D' \in S(N, P)$. Since $X, D' \subseteq M$, we have $X \cup D' \in S^M(N, P)$. Moreover, if $X \subseteq D'$, then, from $D' \in S(N, P)$, X cannot be a weak deviation from $\{D'\}$, and thus X cannot be a weak deviation from any $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ such that $(\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Then, if $X \not\subseteq D'$ we have $|X \cup D'| > |D'|$, which contradicts $D' \in GS^M(N, P)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}$ is strong deviation stable. \blacksquare

Our main result in this section is the following.

Theorem 2 For each $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, a strong core stable coalition structure exists.

Proof. Extend the empty collection of coalitions (which is strong deviation stable) to a strong deviation stable coalition structure of N in the way suggested by Proposition 1. Then, from Corollary 1, such a coalition structure

is strong core stable.

5 Aversion to enemies

The way of proving the existence of a core stable partition for the case of appreciation of friends can be used also for the case of aversion to enemies.

First, we show a characterization of weak internally stable coalitions when $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$.

Lemma 5 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$ and $X \subseteq N$ with $X \neq \emptyset$. Then, $X \in W(N, P)$ if and only if $G_i^1(X) = X$ for each $i \in X$.

Proof. If $X \subseteq N$ with $X \cap B_i \neq \emptyset$ for some $i \in X$, then, from $\{i\} \succ_i X$, $\{i\}$ is a strong deviation from $\{X\}$, i.e. $X \notin W(N, P)$. Thus, $X \subseteq G_i$ for each $i \in X$ if $X \in W(N, P)$. Obviously, $G_i^1(X) = X$ if $X \subseteq G_i$.

Suppose $X \subseteq N$ with $X \neq \emptyset$ is such that $G_i^1(X) = X$ for each $i \in X$. Let $Y \subset X$ be a non-empty proper subcoalition of X. Then, we have $|X \cap B_i| = |Y \cap B_i| = 0$ and $|X \cap G_i| > |Y \cap G_i|$ for each $i \in Y$, i.e. $X \succ_i Y$. Thus, there is no subcoalition of X is a strong deviation from $\{X\}$, i.e. $X \in W(N, P)$.

In other words, W(N, P) is the collection of all non-empty coalitions of players in N such that, for each of these coalitions, the players like each other under preference profile $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$.

As analogy to $S^M(N,P)$ and $GS^M(N,P)$, we define $W^M(N,P) = \{X \in W(N,P) \mid X \subseteq M\}$ and $GW^M(N,P) = \{X \in W^M(N,P) \mid |X| \ge |Y| \text{ for all } Y \in W^M(N,P)\}$ for each $M \subseteq N$. Again, $W^M(N,P)$ and $GW^M(N,P)$ are non-empty whenever M is non-empty.

The following proposition suggests a way for extending a weak deviation stable collection of disjoint coalitions for $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$.

Proposition 2 Let $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$, $\mathcal{D} \in \mathbf{D}^N \setminus \mathbf{C}^N$, and $M = N \setminus (\bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D)$. Then, if \mathcal{D} is weak deviation stable, then $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}$ is weak deviation stable for each $D' \in GW^M(N, P)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 1. Again, observe that $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\} \in \mathbf{D}^N$ and $D' \in GW^M(N, P) \subseteq W^M(N, P) \subseteq W(N, P)$. In the following we show that $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}$ is weak deviation stable.

Since \mathcal{D} is weak deviation stable, every $X \in W(N, P)$ with $X \cap \bigcup_{D \in \mathcal{D}} D \neq \emptyset$ cannot be a strong deviation from any $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ such that $(\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Thus, a weak internally stable coalition X is a strong deviation from some $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ such that $(\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}) \subseteq \mathcal{C}$ only if $X \subseteq M$. Let $X \in S(N, P)$ with $X \subseteq M$ and $X \cap D' \neq \emptyset$. From Lemma 5, we have $|X \cap B_i| = |D' \cap B_i| = 0$ for each $i \in X \cap D'$. Then, if $|X| \leq |D'|$, then $D' \succeq_i X$ for each $i \in X \cap D'$, and thus, X cannot be a strong deviation for any $\mathcal{C} \in \mathbf{C}^N$ with $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\} \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Moreover, from $D' \in GW^M(N, P)$, we have $|X| \leq |D'|$ for every $X \in W^M(N, P)$. Therefore, $\mathcal{D} \cup \{D'\}$ is weak deviation stable.

With the help of this proposition, we are able to present our main result in this section.

Theorem 3 For each $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$, a weak core stable coalition structure exists.

Proof. Extend the empty collection of coalitions (which is weak deviation stable) to a weak deviation stable coalition structure of N in the way suggested by Proposition 2. Then, from Corollary 1, such a coalition structure is weak core stable. \blacksquare

The next example shows that the strong core may be empty when the players are averse to their enemies.

Example 2 Consider $N = \{1, 2, 3\}$ under aversion to enemies with $G_1 = \{1, 2\}$, $G_2 = \{1, 2, 3\}$, and $G_3 = \{2, 3\}$. Clearly, the candidates for strong stable coalition structures should be weak stable as well. As it can be easily seen, the game has two weak core stable elements: $\{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}\}$ and $\{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}\}$. Notice that $\{2, 3\}$ and $\{1, 2\}$ are weak stable deviations from $\{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}\}\}$ and $\{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}\}$, respectively. Therefore, no strong core stable coalition structure exists.

6 Computational complexity

In this section, the computational complexity for finding core stable coalition structures is considered.

We start with a lemma for the case of aversion to enemies.

Lemma 6 For every $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$ and every $C \in \mathbf{C}^N$, $GW(N, P) \cap C \neq \emptyset$ if C is weak core stable.

Proof. Let $C \in \mathbb{C}^N$ be such that $GW(N, P) \cap C = \emptyset$, and let $X \in GW(N, P)$. Then, we have |X| > |C(i)| for each $i \in X$. From Lemma 5, $X \subseteq G_i$ for each $i \in X$, i.e. $|X \cap B_i| = 0$ for each $i \in X$. Thus, $X \succ_i C(i)$ for each $i \in X$, i.e. X is a strong deviation from C. Therefore, C is not weak core stable.

This lemma allows us to formulate our result on computational complexity for finding *any* weak core stable partition in hedonic games with enemy averse preferences.

Theorem 4 When $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$, the problem of finding a weak core stable coalition structure is NP-hard.

Proof. The NP-hardness is shown by reduction from the Maximum Clique Problem, which is know to be NP-hard.

Maximim Clique Problem: For a given undirected graph H = (V, E), find a clique with the maximum cardinality in H, where a clique K in H is a subset of V such that $\{i, j\} \in E$ for every $i, j \in K$ with $i \neq j$.

Let H be an undirected graph. Without loss of generality, we assume that $V = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Consider the hedonic game (N, P) with $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$ such that $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ and $G_i = \{i\} \cup \{j \in N \mid \{i, j\} \in E\}$ for each $i \in N$. Notice that, from Lemma 5, for each $X \subseteq N$, $X \in W(N, P)$ if and only if X is a clique in H, and GW(N, P) is the set of all cliques with the maximum cardinality. Then, from Lemma 6, to each weak core stable coalition structure belongs at least one clique X with the maximum cardinality. This implies that the problem of finding weak core stable coalition structure when $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$ is at least as difficult as finding a clique with the maximum cardinality in an undirected graph. Therefore, the problem of finding a weak core stable coalition structure when $P \in \mathcal{P}^e$ is NP-hard. \blacksquare

Remark 1 Notice that, from the proof of Theorem 4, the problem of finding a weak core stable coalition structure when $P \in P^e$ remains NP-hard even if the mutuality condition " $j \in G_i$ if and only if $i \in G_j$ " is imposed.

In the following, we show that when $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, a strong core stable coalition structure can be found in polynomial time, by taking a graph theoretical approach.

Theorem 5 When $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, a strong core stable coalition structure can be found in polynomial time.

Proof. Let $N = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, $P \in \mathcal{P}^f$, and let $H_{(N,P)} = (V, E)$ be a directed graph such that V = N and $E = \{\{i, j\} \in N \times N \mid i \neq j, j \in G_i\}$. Observe that, from the definition of G_i^* (in Section 4), for every $X \subseteq N$ and $i, j \in X$, we have $j \in G_i^*(X)$ if and only if there exists a sequence

 k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m for some $m \geq 1$ such that $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m \in X$ and $k_{\ell+1} \in G_{k_\ell}$ for each $1 \leq \ell \leq m-1$. Thus, $j \in G_i^*(X)$ if and only if there exists a directed path from i to j via vertices belonging to X. From Lemma 3, we have $X \in S(N, P)$ if and only if, for each $i, j \in X$ with $i \neq j$, there exist directed paths via vertices belonging to X from i to j and from j to i. That is, $X \in S(N, P)$ if and only if the induced subgraph of $H_{(N,P)}$ by X is strongly connected, where the induced subgraph H_X of $H_{(N,P)}$ by X is the directed graph such that $V_X = X$ and $E_X = E \cap (X \times X)$. Moreover, $X \in GS(N, P)$ if and only if the induced subgraph of $H_{(N,P)}$ by X is a strongly connected component in $H_{(N,P)}$ with the largest number of vertices. From Theorem 2, a strong core stable coalition structure can be found by repeating the following algorithm:

- Set M := N and $\mathcal{C} := \emptyset$.
- Repeat the following until $M = \emptyset$:
 - Find a set $X \subseteq M$ such that the induced subgraph of $H_{(M,P)}$ by X is a strongly connected component in $H_{(M,P)}$ with the largest number of vertices.
 - Set $M := M \setminus X$ and $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{C} \cup \{X\}$.
- Return C.

Notice that the "Repeat" loop runs at most n times. Moreover, an algorithm for finding all strongly connected components of a directed graph (i.e. a strong decomposition of a directed graph) is proposed by Tarjan (1972), which has running time $O(n^2)$. Therefore, a strong core stable coalition structure can be found in $O(n^3)$ time.

7 Comparisons

Let us now consider the relationship between our domain restrictions and some other properties that have been shown to guarantee existence of core stable coalition structures.

We start with the strong core stability for the case of appreciation of friends. Up till now, strong core stability of hedonic games has been shown to exist only on the two preference domains proposed by Cechlárová and Romero-Medina (2001). These authors study hedonic games in which the ranking over coalitions for each player is guided either by her most preferred member of the group or by her least preferred member of the group. However, these authors show strong core existence only for the case when the preferences of the players over single members are strict, which, in turn, excludes the possibility of indifferences when coalitions are compared. Moreover, Cechlárová and Romero-Medina (2001) provide an example of a game with an empty strong core when ties are incorporated in the preference domain. In contrast, we do allow for indifferences and show strong core existence.

Consider now the weak core stability for the case of aversion to enemies. We first construct an example of a hedonic game with such kind of preferences. After that we introduce two sufficient conditions for non-emptiness of the weak core already known in the literature (the weak top coalition property of Banerjee, Konishi and Sönmez (2001) and the ordinal balancedness condition of Bogomolnaia and Jackson (2002)) and show that the constructed hedonic game satisfies none of them.

Every player in the next example is indifferent among coalitions on the same row and, for each $i \in N$, the top row corresponds to G_i and the bottom

row corresponds to $B_i \cup \{i\}$.

Example 3 Let $N = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ and the preferences of the players be as follows:

1	2		3
1235	123		234
$123,\ 125,\ 135$	12, 23		23, 34
12, 13, 15	2		3
1	1234, 123	5	1234, 2345
12345	124, 125, 234	, 235 123	, 134, 235, 345
1234, 1245, 1345	24, 25		13, 35
$124,\ 134,\ 145$	12345		12345
14	1245, 234	5	1235, 1345
	245		135
	4	5	
;	345	1345	
3 ²	4, 45	345, 145, 1	135
	4	15, 35, 4	5
134	5, 2345	5	
134, 145	5, 234, 245	12345	
14	4, 24	345, 1245,	1235
1:	2345	125, 235, 2	245
123^{2}	4, 1245	25	
	124		

We first consider the weak top coalition property.

Definition 7 (Banerjee, Konishi, and Sönmez (2001)) Given a hedonic game

(N, P) and a player set $V \subseteq N$, a coalition $S \subseteq V$ is a **weak top coalition** for V if S has an ordered partition $\{S^1, \ldots, S^\ell\}$ such that

- (1) $S \succeq_i T$ for all $i \in S^1$ and all $T \subseteq V$ with $i \in T$, and
- (2) $T \succ_i S \Rightarrow T \cap (\bigcup_{m < k} S^m) \neq \emptyset$ for all k > 1, all $i \in S^k$, and all $T \subset V$ with $i \in T$.

A hedonic game satisfies the **weak top coalition property** if every player set has a weak top coalition.

In order to see that the game in Example 3 does not satisfy this property, let's consider the player set $V = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Notice that no one of the singletons can be a weak top coalition for V because it is not a top coalition for V (i.e. it does not satisfy (1) in Definition 7). The same reason rules out all partitions of candidates for a weak top coalition that have a singleton at the first place. Because two of the players in V (1 and 2) prefer $\{1, 2, 3\}$ to every doubleton consisting of players of V, all partitions of candidates for a weak top coalition that have a doubleton at the first place are ruled out as well. The whole set V can not be a weak top coalition for itself because, let's say, $\{3\} \succ_3 \{1, 2, 3\}$. Hence, V has no weak top coalition, i.e. the game does not satisfy the weak top coalition property.

As we will see the same game does not satisfy the ordinal balancedness condition either.

Definition 8 (Scarf (1967), Bogomolnaia and Jackson (2002)) A family \mathcal{B} of coalitions is called **balanced** if there exists a vector of positive weights d_C , such that for each player $i \in N$, $\sum_{C \in \mathcal{B}: i \in C} d_C = 1$. A hedonic game (N, P) is **ordinally balanced** if for each balanced family of coalitions \mathcal{B} there exists a partition of N such that for each $i \in N$ there exists a coalition $C \in \mathcal{B}$, $C \in \mathcal{N}_i$ such that $\mathcal{C}(i) \succeq_i C$.

In other words, if we would like to check whether a game is ordinally balanced we have to find a partition of N to each balanced family of coalitions, such that every player in that partition is weakly better off in comparison to her worst situation in the corresponding balanced family.

For the game in Example 3, let us take the following balanced family with a balanced weight 1/2 for each coalition: $\mathcal{B} = \{\{1,2\},\{2,3\},\{3,4\},\{4,5\},\{1,5\}\}\}$. Notice that, given \mathcal{B} , all players do not like to remain single in a partition. Observe further that player 2 can be better off in a partition (in comparison to her worst situation in \mathcal{B}) if and only if that partition contains one of the coalitions $\{1,2\},\{2,3\},\{1,2,3\}$. Hence, the possible candidates for a partition are: $\{\{1,2\},\{3,4,5\}\}$, which is not liked by player 3; or $\{\{2,3\},\{1,4,5\}\}$, which is not liked by player 1; or $\{\{1,2,3\},\{4,5\}\}$, which is not liked again by player 3. Hence, for the balanced family of coalitions \mathcal{B} there is no suitable partition of N, i.e. the game is not ordinally balanced.

References

- [1] Ballester, C. (2003): NP-completeness in hedonic games, Mimeo, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona.
- [2] Banerjee, S., H. Konishi, and T. Sönmez (2001): Core in a simple coalition formation game, Social Choice and Welfare 18, 135-153.
- [3] Bogomolnaia, A. and M. O. Jackson (2002): The stability of hedonic coalition structures, Games and Economic Behavior 38, 201-230.

- [4] Burani, N. and W. S. Zwicker (2003): Coalition formation games with separable preferences, Mathematical Social Sciences 45, 27-52.
- [5] Cechlárová, K. and J. Hajduková (2002): Computational complexity of stable partitions with B-preferences, International Journal of Game Theory 31, 353-364.
- [6] Cechlárová, K. and A. Romero-Medina (2001): Stability in coalition formation games, International Journal of Game Theory 29, 487-494.
- [7] Dréze, J. and J. Greenberg (1980): Hedonic coalitions: optimality and stability, Econometrica 48, 987-1003.
- [8] Scarf, H. (1967): The core of an N-person game, Econometrica 35, 50-69.
- [9] Tarjan, R. E. (1972): Depth-first search and linear graph algorithms, SIAM Journal of Computing 1(2), 146-160.

NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI

Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series

Our Note di Lavoro are available on the Internet at the following addresses: http://www.feem.it/Feem/Pub/Publications/WPapers/default.htmlhttp://www.ssrn.com/link/feem.html

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2003

PRIV	1.2003	Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical
		Issues
PRIV	2.2003	Ibolya SCHINDELE: Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review
PRIV	3.2003	Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL: Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity
		Market
CLIM	4.2003	Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTRÖM: Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of
CLIM	4.2003	Government Commitment
KNOW	5.2003	Reyer GERLAGH: Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition
ETA	6.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO: Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model
SIEV	7.2003	Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI: The
		Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment:
		Evidence from Surveys of Developers
NRM	8.2003	Elissaios PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?
CLIM	9.2003	A. CAPARRÓS, JC. PEREAU and T. TAZDAÏT: North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game
		with Asymmetric Information
KNOW	10.2003	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI: School Quality and Family Background in Italy
CLIM	11.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Marzio GALEOTTI: Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of
		Climate Change Policy Analysis
KNOW	12.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.): Economic Growth, Innovation, Cultural
1211011	12.2003	Diversity: What are we all talking about? A critical survey of the state-of-the-art
KNOW	13.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Gianmarco OTTAVIANO, Dino PINELLI and Francesco RULLANI (lix): Bio-Ecological
ILI (O W	13.2003	Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity. A Comparison of Existing Measures
KNOW	14.2003	Maddy JANSSENS and Chris STEYAERT (lix): Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and
KNOW	14.2003	,
KNOW	15 2002	Future Trajectories The interpretation of the Future Trajectories and the Future Traj
KNOW	15.2003	Tuzin BAYCAN LEVENT, Enno MASUREL and Peter NIJKAMP (lix): Diversity in Entrepreneurship: Ethnic and
*******	4 6 0 0 0 0	Female Roles in Urban Economic Life
KNOW	16.2003	Alexandra BITUSIKOVA (lix): Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from
		Slovakia
KNOW	17.2003	Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lix): A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community
KNOW	18.2003	Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lix): Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World
Coalition		
Theory	19.2003	Sergio CURRARINI: On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities
Network		
PRIV	20.2003	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Monopoly with Resale
PRIV	21.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation
		Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition
PRIV	22.2003	Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-
		· /
PRIV	23.2003	Price Auctions
PRIV PRIV	23.2003 24.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies
PRIV	24.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment
		Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in
PRIV PRIV	24.2003 25.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions
PRIV PRIV PRIV	24.2003 25.2003 26.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes
PRIV PRIV PRIV	24.2003 25.2003 26.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 29.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 29.2003 30.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV	24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 29.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV ETA	24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 29.2003 30.2003 31.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV ETA	24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 30.2003 31.2003 32.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games Michael MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV ETA	24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 29.2003 30.2003 31.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games Michael MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV ETA	24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 30.2003 31.2003 32.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games Michael MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV ETA KNOW PRIV	24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 30.2003 31.2003 32.2003 33.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games Michael MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture?
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV ETA KNOW PRIV	24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 30.2003 31.2003 32.2003 33.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games Michael MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture? Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A
PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV PRIV ETA KNOW PRIV KNOW	24.2003 25.2003 26.2003 27.2003 28.2003 30.2003 31.2003 32.2003 33.2003 34.2003	Price Auctions David ETTINGER (lx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies Hannu VARTIAINEN (lx): Auction Design without Commitment Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (lx): Rationing in IPOs Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (lx): Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lx): Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (lx): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment Emiel MAASLAND and Sander ONDERSTAL (lx): Auctions with Financial Externalities Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive Externality NTU-Coalition Games Michael MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty Philippe QUIRION: Relative Quotas: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Regulatory Capture? Giuseppe MEDA, Claudio PIGA and Donald SIEGEL: On the Relationship between R&D and Productivity: A Treatment Effect Analysis

GG	36.2003	Matthieu GLACHANT: Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats
PRIV	37.2003	Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI: Postprivatization Corporate
		Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection
CLIM	38.2003	Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers
KNOW	39.2003	Slim BEN YOUSSEF: Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade
CTN	40.2003	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations
KNOW	41.2003	Sonia OREFFICE: Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply
KNOW	42.2003	Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON: On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing
ICT (O V	12.2003	Biotechnologies
ETA	43.2003	Giorgio BUSETTI and Matteo MANERA: STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific
EIA	43.2003	Basin Region, Japan and US
CL D.	44.2002	
CLIM	44.2003	Katrin MILLOCK and Céline NAUGES: The French Tax on Air Pollution: Some Preliminary Results on its
		<u>Effectiveness</u>
PRIV	45.2003	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI and Paolo PINOTTI: The Political Economy of Privatization
SIEV	46.2003	Elbert DIJKGRAAF and Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: Burn or Bury? A Social Cost Comparison of Final Waste
		<u>Disposal Methods</u>
ETA	47.2003	Jens HORBACH: Employment and Innovations in the Environmental Sector: Determinants and Econometrical
		Results for Germany
CLIM	48.2003	Lori SNYDER, Nolan MILLER and Robert STAVINS: The Effects of Environmental Regulation on Technology
		Diffusion: The Case of Chlorine Manufacturing
CLIM	49.2003	Lori SNYDER, Robert STAVINS and Alexander F. WAGNER: Private Options to Use Public Goods. Exploiting
		Revealed Preferences to Estimate Environmental Benefits
CTN	50.2003	László Á. KÓCZY and Luc LAUWERS (lxi): The Minimal Dominant Set is a Non-Empty Core-Extension
CIII	30.2003	Euszio II. Roozi una zue Eilen Erio (IX).
CTN	51.2003	Matthew O. JACKSON (lxi): Allocation Rules for Network Games
CTN	52.2003	Ana MAULEON and Vincent VANNETELBOSCH (Ixi): Farsightedness and Cautiousness in Coalition Formation
CTN	53.2003	Fernando VEGA-REDONDO (lxi): Building Up Social Capital in a Changing World: a network approach
CTN	54.2003	Matthew HAAG and Roger LAGUNOFF (lxi): On the Size and Structure of Group Cooperation
CTN	55.2003	Taiji FURUSAWA and Hideo KONISHI (lxi): Free Trade Networks
CTN	56.2003	Halis Murat YILDIZ (lxi): National Versus International Mergers and Trade Liberalization
CTN	57.2003	Santiago RUBIO and Alistair ULPH (lxi): An Infinite-Horizon Model of Dynamic Membership of International
		Environmental Agreements
KNOW	58.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Dino PINELLI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO: ICT, Clusters and Regional Cohesion: A
		Summary of Theoretical and Empirical Research
KNOW	59.2003	Giorgio BELLETTINI and Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO: Special Interests and Technological Change
ETA	60.2003	Ronnie SCHÖB: The Double Dividend Hypothesis of Environmental Taxes: A Survey
CLIM	61.2003	Michael FINUS, Ekko van IERLAND and Robert DELLINK: Stability of Climate Coalitions in a Cartel
	0 - 1 - 0 - 0	Formation Game
GG	62.2003	Michael FINUS and Bianca RUNDSHAGEN: How the Rules of Coalition Formation Affect Stability of
00	02.2003	International Environmental Agreements
SIEV	63.2003	Alberto PETRUCCI: Taxing Land Rent in an Open Economy
CLIM	64.2003	Joseph E. ALDY, Scott BARRETT and Robert N. STAVINS: Thirteen Plus One: A Comparison of Global Climate
CLIM	04.2003	Policy Architectures
CIEV	(5.2002	
SIEV	65.2003	Edi DEFRANCESCO: The Beginning of Organic Fish Farming in Italy
SIEV	66.2003	Klaus CONRAD: Price Competition and Product Differentiation when Consumers Care for the Environment
SIEV	67.2003	Paulo A.L.D. NUNES, Luca ROSSETTO, Arianne DE BLAEIJ: Monetary Value Assessment of Clam Fishing
		Management Practices in the Venice Lagoon: Results from a Stated Choice Exercise
CLIM	68.2003	ZhongXiang ZHANG: Open Trade with the U.S. Without Compromising Canada's Ability to Comply with its
		Kyoto Target
KNOW	69.2003	David FRANTZ (lix): Lorenzo Market between Diversity and Mutation
KNOW	70.2003	Ercole SORI (lix): Mapping Diversity in Social History
KNOW	71.2003	Ljiljana DERU SIMIC (lxii): What is Specific about Art/Cultural Projects?
KNOW	72.2003	Natalya V. TARANOVA (lxii): The Role of the City in Fostering Intergroup Communication in a Multicultural
		Environment: Saint-Petersburg's Case
KNOW	73.2003	Kristine CRANE (lxii): The City as an Arena for the Expression of Multiple Identities in the Age of
		Globalisation and Migration
KNOW	74.2003	Kazuma MATOBA (lxii): Glocal Dialogue- Transformation through Transcultural Communication
KNOW	75.2003	Catarina REIS OLIVEIRA (Ixii): Immigrants' Entrepreneurial Opportunities: The Case of the Chinese in
KNOW	13.2003	Portugal
KNOW	76 2002	
KNOW	76.2003	Sandra WALLMAN (Ixii): The Diversity of Diversity - towards a typology of urban systems
KNOW	77.2003	Richard PEARCE (Ixii): A Biologist's View of Individual Cultural Identity for the Study of Cities
KNOW	78.2003	Vincent MERK (lxii): Communication Across Cultures: from Cultural Awareness to Reconciliation of the
*****		Dilemmas On the property of the permitted of the permitt
KNOW	79.2003	Giorgio BELLETTINI, Carlotta BERTI CERONI and Gianmarco I.P.OTTAVIANO: Child Labor and Resistance
		to Change
ETA	80.2003	Michele MORETTO, Paolo M. PANTEGHINI and Carlo SCARPA: Investment Size and Firm's Value under
		Profit Sharing Regulation

IEM	81.2003	Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA and Massimo GIOVANNINI: Oil and Product Dynamics in International
CLIM	82.2003	Petroleum Markets V. Hagggin E (1971) and Jinhua 7114(): Pollytian Absternant Investment When Firms Lebby Against
CLIM	82.2003	Y. Hossein FARZIN and Jinhua ZHAO: Pollution Abatement Investment When Firms Lobby Against Environmental Regulation
CLIM	83.2003	Giuseppe DI VITA: Is the Discount Rate Relevant in Explaining the Environmental Kuznets Curve?
CLIM	84.2003	Reyer GERLAGH and Wietze LISE: Induced Technological Change Under Carbon Taxes
NRM	85.2003	Rinaldo BRAU, Alessandro LANZA and Francesco PIGLIARU: How Fast are the Tourism Countries Growing?
INIXIVI	83.2003	The cross-country evidence
KNOW	86.2003	Elena BELLINI, Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI: The ICT Revolution: opportunities and risks
KNOW	00.2003	for the Mezzogiorno
SIEV	87.2003	Lucas BRETSCGHER and Sjak SMULDERS: Sustainability and Substitution of Exhaustible Natural Resources.
SIL (07.2003	How resource prices affect long-term R&D investments
CLIM	88.2003	Johan EYCKMANS and Michael FINUS: New Roads to International Environmental Agreements: The Case of
		Global Warming
CLIM	89.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI: Economic Development and Environmental Protection
CLIM	90.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI: Environment and Economic Growth: Is Technical Change the Key to Decoupling?
CLIM	91.2003	Marzio GALEOTTI and Barbara BUCHNER: Climate Policy and Economic Growth in Developing Countries
IEM	92.2003	A. MARKANDYA, A. GOLUB and E. STRUKOVA: The Influence of Climate Change Considerations on Energy
		Policy: The Case of Russia
ETA	93.2003	Andrea BELTRATTI: Socially Responsible Investment in General Equilibrium
CTN	94.2003	Parkash CHANDER: The γ-Core and Coalition Formation
IEM	95.2003	Matteo MANERA and Angelo MARZULLO: Modelling the Load Curve of Aggregate Electricity Consumption
		<u>Using Principal Components</u>
IEM	96.2003	Alessandro LANZA, Matteo MANERA, Margherita GRASSO and Massimo GIOVANNINI: Long-run Models of
		Oil Stock Prices
CTN	97.2003	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES, and D. Marc KILGOUR: Forming Stable Coalitions: The Process
		<u>Matters</u>
KNOW	98.2003	John CROWLEY, Marie-Cecile NAVES (lxiii): Anti-Racist Policies in France. From Ideological and Historical
		Schemes to Socio-Political Realities
KNOW	99.2003	Richard THOMPSON FORD (lxiii): Cultural Rights and Civic Virtue
KNOW	100.2003	Alaknanda PATEL (lxiii): Cultural Diversity and Conflict in Multicultural Cities
KNOW	101.2003	David MAY (lxiii): The Struggle of Becoming Established in a Deprived Inner-City Neighbourhood
KNOW	102.2003	Sébastien ARCAND, Danielle JUTEAU, Sirma BILGE, and Francine LEMIRE (Ixiii): Municipal Reform on the
CL D.4	102 2002	Island of Montreal: Tensions Between Two Majority Groups in a Multicultural City
CLIM	103.2003	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: China and the Evolution of the Present Climate Regime
CLIM	104.2003	Barbara BUCHNER and Carlo CARRARO: Emissions Trading Regimes and Incentives to Participate in
CLIM	105.2003	International Climate Agreements Anil MARKANDYA and Dirk T.G. RÜBBELKE: Ancillary Benefits of Climate Policy
NRM	105.2003	Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (lxiv): Management Challenges for Multiple-Species Boreal Forests
NRM	107.2003	Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (IXIV): Management Charlenges for Multiple-Species Borear Forests Anne Sophie CRÉPIN (IXIV): Threshold Effects in Coral Reef Fisheries
SIEV	108.2003	Sara ANIYAR (1xiv): Estimating the Value of Oil Capital in a Small Open Economy: The Venezuela's Example
SIEV	109.2003	Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA and Karl-Göran MÄLER(lxiv): Evaluating Projects and Assessing
SIL (109.2005	Sustainable Development in Imperfect Economies
NRM	110.2003	Anastasios XEPAPADEAS and Catarina ROSETA-PALMA(lxiv): Instabilities and Robust Control in Fisheries
NRM	111.2003	Charles PERRINGS and Brian WALKER (lxiv): Conservation and Optimal Use of Rangelands
ETA	112.2003	Jack GOODY (lxiv): Globalisation, Population and Ecology
CTN	113.2003	Carlo CARRARO, Carmen MARCHIORI and Sonia OREFFICE: Endogenous Minimum Participation in
		International Environmental Treaties
CTN	114.2003	Guillaume HAERINGER and Myrna WOODERS: Decentralized Job Matching
CTN	115.2003	Hideo KONISHI and M. Utku UNVER: Credible Group Stability in Multi-Partner Matching Problems
CTN	116.2003	Somdeb LAHIRI: Stable Matchings for the Room-Mates Problem
CTN	117.2003	Somdeb LAHIRI: Stable Matchings for a Generalized Marriage Problem
CTN	118.2003	Marita LAUKKANEN: Transboundary Fisheries Management under Implementation Uncertainty
CTN	119.2003	Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: Social Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Arbitrary
		Games with Incomplete Information: Some First Results
CTN	120.2003	Gianluigi VERNASCA: Dynamic Price Competition with Price Adjustment Costs and Product Differentiation
CTN	121.2003	Myrna WOODERS, Edward CARTWRIGHT and Reinhard SELTEN: Social Conformity in Games with Many
OTP I	100 0000	Players
CTN	122.2003	Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: On Equilibrium in Pure Strategies in Games with Many Players
CTN	123.2003	Edward CARTWRIGHT and Myrna WOODERS: Conformity and Bounded Rationality in Games with Many
	1000	Players Carlo CARRARO, Alessandro LANZA and Valeria PAPPONETTI: One Thousand Working Papers
	1000	Carlo Carrano, Aicssandio Lanza and Vaicha i Affone i 11; One i nousand Working Papers

NOTE DI LAVORO PUBLISHED IN 2004

IEM	1.2004	Anil MARKANDYA, Suzette PEDROSO and Alexander GOLUB: Empirical Analysis of National Income and
ETA	2.2004	So ₂ Emissions in Selected European Countries Masahisa FUJITA and Shlomo WEBER: Strategic Immigration Policies and Welfare in Heterogeneous Countries
PRA	3.2004	Adolfo DI CARLUCCIO, Giovanni FERRI, Cecilia FRALE and Ottavio RICCHI: Do Privatizations Boost
		Household Shareholding? Evidence from Italy
ETA	4.2004	Victor GINSBURGH and Shlomo WEBER: Languages Disenfranchisement in the European Union
ETA	5.2004	Romano PIRAS: Growth, Congestion of Public Goods, and Second-Best Optimal Policy
CCMP	6.2004	Herman R.J. VOLLEBERGH: Lessons from the Polder: Is Dutch CO ₂ -Taxation Optimal
PRA	7.2004	Sandro BRUSCO, Giuseppe LOPOMO and S. VISWANATHAN (lxv): Merger Mechanisms
PRA	8.2004	Wolfgang AUSSENEGG, Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): IPO Pricing with Bookbuilding, and a
PRA	9.2004	When-Issued Market Pegaret PICHLER and Alex STOMPER (lxv): Primary Market Design: Direct Mechanisms and Markets
PRA	10.2004	Florian ENGLMAIER, Pablo GUILLEN, Loreto LLORENTE, Sander ONDERSTAL and Rupert SAUSGRUBER
		(lxv): The Chopstick Auction: A Study of the Exposure Problem in Multi-Unit Auctions
PRA	11.2004	Bjarne BRENDSTRUP and Harry J. PAARSCH (lxv): Nonparametric Identification and Estimation of Multi-
nn .	100001	Unit, Sequential, Oral, Ascending-Price Auctions With Asymmetric Bidders
PRA	12.2004	Ohad KADAN (lxv): Equilibrium in the Two Player, k-Double Auction with Affiliated Private Values
PRA	13.2004	Maarten C.W. JANSSEN (lxv): Auctions as Coordination Devices
PRA	14.2004	Gadi FIBICH, Arieh GAVIOUS and Aner SELA (lxv): All-Pay Auctions with Weakly Risk-Averse Buyers
PRA	15.2004	Orly SADE, Charles SCHNITZLEIN and Jaime F. ZENDER (lxv): Competition and Cooperation in Divisible
		Good Auctions: An Experimental Examination
PRA	16.2004	Marta STRYSZOWSKA (lxv): Late and Multiple Bidding in Competing Second Price Internet Auctions
CCMP	17.2004	Slim Ben YOUSSEF: R&D in Cleaner Technology and International Trade
NRM	18.2004	Angelo ANTOCI, Simone BORGHESI and Paolo RUSSU (lxvi): Biodiversity and Economic Growth:
CIEV	10.2004	Stabilization Versus Preservation of the Ecological Dynamics
SIEV	19.2004	Anna ALBERINI, Paolo ROSATO, Alberto LONGO and Valentina ZANATTA: Information and Willingness to
NDM	20.2004	Pay in a Contingent Valuation Study: The Value of S. Erasmo in the Lagoon of Venice
NRM	20.2004	Guido CANDELA and Roberto CELLINI (lxvii): Investment in Tourism Market: A Dynamic Model of
		Differentiated Oligopoly
NRM	21.2004	Jacqueline M. HAMILTON (Ixvii): Climate and the Destination Choice of German Tourists
NRM	22.2004	Javier Rey-MAQUIEIRA PALMER, Javier LOZANO IBÁÑEZ and Carlos Mario GÓMEZ GÓMEZ (lxvii): Land, Environmental Externalities and Tourism Development
NRM	23.2004	Pius ODUNGA and Henk FOLMER (lxvii): Profiling Tourists for Balanced Utilization of Tourism-Based
		Resources in Kenya
NRM	24.2004	Jean-Jacques NOWAK, Mondher SAHLI and Pasquale M. SGRO (lxvii): Tourism, Trade and Domestic Welfare
NRM	25.2004	Riaz SHAREEF (lxvii): Country Risk Ratings of Small Island Tourism Economies
NRM	26.2004	Juan Luis Eugenio-MARTÍN, Noelia MARTÍN MORALES and Riccardo SCARPA (lxvii): <u>Tourism and</u> Economic Growth in Latin American Countries: A Panel Data Approach
NRM	27.2004	Raúl Hernández MARTÍN (lxvii): Impact of Tourism Consumption on GDP. The Role of Imports
CSRM	28.2004	` / - *
		Nicoletta FERRO: Cross-Country Ethical Dilemmas in Business, a Descriptive Framework Marian WEBER (lxvi): Assessing the Effectiveness of Tradable Landuse Rights for Biodiversity Conservation:
NRM	29.2004	an Application to Canada's Boreal Mixedwood Forest
NRM	30.2004	Trond BJORNDAL, Phoebe KOUNDOURI and Sean PASCOE (Ixvi): Output Substitution in Multi-Species
TVICIVI	30.2004	Trawl Fisheries: Implications for Quota Setting
CCMP	31.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: Weather Impacts on
CCIVIF	31.2004	Natural, Social and Economic System (WISE) Part I: Sectoral Analysis of Climate Impacts in Italy
CCMP	32.2004	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandra GORIA, Paolo MOMBRINI and Evi SPANTIDAKI: Weather Impacts on
CTM	22 2004	Natural, Social and Economic System (WISE) Part II: Individual Perception of Climate Extremes in Italy Wilson PEREZ: Divide and Conquer: Noisy Communication in Networks, Power, and Wealth Distribution
CTN	33.2004	Gianmarco I.P. OTTAVIANO and Giovanni PERI (lxviii): The Economic Value of Cultural Diversity: Evidence
KTHC	34.2004	from US Cities
KTHC	35.2004	Linda CHAIB (lxviii): Immigration and Local Urban Participatory Democracy: A Boston-Paris Comparison
KTHC	36.2004	Franca ECKERT COEN and Claudio ROSSI (lxviii): Foreigners, Immigrants, Host Cities: The Policies of Multi-Ethnicity in Rome. Reading Governance in a Local Context
KTHC	37.2004	Kristine CRANE (lxviii): Governing Migration: Immigrant Groups' Strategies in Three Italian Cities – Rome, Naples and Bari
KTHC	38.2004	Kiflemariam HAMDE (Ixviii): Mind in Africa, Body in Europe: The Struggle for Maintaining and Transforming
		Cultural Identity - A Note from the Experience of Eritrean Immigrants in Stockholm
ETA	39.2004	Alberto CAVALIERE: Price Competition with Information Disparities in a Vertically Differentiated Duopoly
PRA	40.2004	Andrea BIGANO and Stef PROOST: The Opening of the European Electricity Market and Environmental Policy:
		Does the Degree of Competition Matter?

41.2004	Micheal FINUS (lxix): International Cooperation to Resolve International Pollution Problems
42.2004	Francesco CRESPI: Notes on the Determinants of Innovation: A Multi-Perspective Analysis
43.2004	Sergio CURRARINI and Marco MARINI: Coalition Formation in Games without Synergies
44.2004	Marc ESCRIHUELA-VILLAR: Cartel Sustainability and Cartel Stability
45.2004	Sebastian BERVOETS and Nicolas GRAVEL (lxvi): Appraising Diversity with an Ordinal Notion of Similarity: An Axiomatic Approach
46.2004	Signe ANTHON and Bo JELLESMARK THORSEN (lxvi): Optimal Afforestation Contracts with Asymmetric Information on Private Environmental Benefits
47.2004	John MBURU (lxvi): Wildlife Conservation and Management in Kenya: Towards a Co-management Approach
48.2004	Ekin BIROL, Ágnes GYOVAI and Melinda SMALE (lxvi): <u>Using a Choice Experiment to Value Agricultural</u> Biodiversity on Hungarian Small Farms: Agri-Environmental Policies in a Transitional Economy
49.2004	Gernot KLEPPER and Sonja PETERSON: The EU Emissions Trading Scheme Allowance Prices, Trade Flows, Competitiveness Effects
50.2004	Scott BARRETT and Michael HOEL: Optimal Disease Eradication
51.2004	Dinko DIMITROV, Peter BORM, Ruud HENDRICKX and Shao CHIN SUNG: Simple Priorities and Core Stability in Hedonic Games
	42.2004 43.2004 44.2004 45.2004 46.2004 47.2004 48.2004 49.2004 50.2004

- (lix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Mapping Diversity", Leuven, May 16-17, 2002
- (lx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002
- (lxi) This paper was presented at the Eighth Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the GREQAM, Aix-en-Provence, France, January 24-25, 2003
- (lxii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Communication across Cultures in Multicultural Cities", The Hague, November 7-8, 2002
- (lxiii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Social dynamics and conflicts in multicultural cities", Milan, March 20-21, 2003
- (lxiv) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Theoretical Topics in Ecological Economics", organised by the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics ICTP, the Beijer International Institute of Ecological Economics, and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei FEEM Trieste, February 10-21, 2003
- (lxv) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications" organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and sponsored by the EU, Milan, September 25-27, 2003
- (lxvi) This paper has been presented at the 4th BioEcon Workshop on "Economic Analysis of Policies for Biodiversity Conservation" organised on behalf of the BIOECON Network by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice International University (VIU) and University College London (UCL), Venice, August 28-29, 2003
- (lxvii) This paper has been presented at the international conference on "Tourism and Sustainable Economic Development Macro and Micro Economic Issues" jointly organised by CRENoS (Università di Cagliari e Sassari, Italy) and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, and supported by the World Bank, Sardinia, September 19-20, 2003
- (lxviii) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Governance and Policies in Multicultural Cities", Rome, June 5-6, 2003
- (lxix) This paper was presented at the Fourth EEP Plenary Workshop and EEP Conference "The Future of Climate Policy", Cagliari, Italy, 27-28 March 2003

2003 SERIES

CLIM Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

GG Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SIEV Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KNOW Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)

IEM International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)

CSRM Corporate Social Responsibility and Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)

PRIV Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

CTN Coalition Theory Network

2004 SERIES

CCMP Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)

GG Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

SIEV Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)

NRM Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)

KTHC Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)

IEM International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)

CSRM Corporate Social Responsibility and Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)

PRA Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)

ETA Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

CTN Coalition Theory Network