

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Busetti, Giorgio; Manera, Matteo

Working Paper STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific Basin Region, Japan and US

Nota di Lavoro, No. 43.2003

Provided in Cooperation with: Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM)

Suggested Citation: Busetti, Giorgio; Manera, Matteo (2003) : STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific Basin Region, Japan and US, Nota di Lavoro, No. 43.2003, Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei (FEEM), Milano

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/118072

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific Basin Region, Japan and US Giorgio Busetti and Matteo Manera

NOTA DI LAVORO 43.2003

APRIL 2003

ETA – Economic Theory and Applications

Giorgio Busetti, Quantitative Methods, Monte Paschi Alternative Investment, Milano, Italy Matteo Manera, Department of Statistics, University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milano, Italy

This paper can be downloaded without charge at:

The Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Note di Lavoro Series Index: http://www.feem.it/web/activ/_wp.html

Social Science Research Network Electronic Paper Collection: http://papers.ssrn.com/abstract_id=XXXXXX

The opinions expressed in this paper do not necessarily reflect the position of Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei

STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific Basin Region, Japan and US

Summary

We investigate the financial interactions between countries in the Pacific Basin region (Korea, Singapore, Malaysia, Hong Kong and Taiwan), Japan and US. The originality of the paper is the use of STAR-GARCH models, instead of standard correlation-cointegration techniques. For each country in the Pacific Basin region, we find statistically adequate STAR-GARCH models for the series of stock market daily returns, using Nikkei225 and S&P500 as alternative threshold variables. We provide evidence for the leading role of Japan in the period 1988-1990 (pre-Japanese crisis years), whereas our results suggest that the Pacific Basin region countries are more closely linked with the US during the period 1995-1999 (post- Japanese crisis years).

Keywords: STAR-GARCH models, stock market integration, Pacific-Basin capital markets, outliers

JEL: C22, C51, C52, F36

Paper presented at the International Conference on Financial Engineering and Statistical Finance, held at the Graduate School of International Corporate Strategy (ICS), Hitotsubashi University, Tokyo, 17 March 2003. A special thanks to Umberto Cherubini, Toshiki Honda, Michael McAleer, Ryozo Miura, and Kazuhiko Ohashi for their many insightful suggestions. Most computations have been based on modifications of the Gauss programs which complement the book by Franses and van Dijk (2000) and can be downloaded from the following URL: http://www.few.eur.nl/few/people/franses and http://www.few.eur.nl/few/people/djvandijk. This study does not necessarily reflect the views of Monte Paschi Alternative Investment.

Address for correspondence:

Matteo Manera Department of Statistics University of Milano-Bicocca Via Bicocca degli Arcimboldi, 8 Building U7 20126 Milano Italy Phone: +39-02-64487319 Fax: +39-02-6473312 E-mail: Matteo.Manera@unimib.it

STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific Basin Region, Japan and US

1. Introduction

This study analyses the stock market relationships between some Eastern Asian countries using STAR-GARCH models. The idea of investigating the interactions among countries of the so-called Pacific Basin region (namely Korea, Singapore, Malaysia, Hong Kong and Taiwan) is not new in the empirical literature. Several studies (see, among others, Phylatkis, 1999) have supported both the existence of strong interrelations among those countries and the presence of a significant degree of dependence of the whole area on Japan and US. If, on the one hand, it is undisputable that the relationship with Japan is based on geographical as well as historical reasons, on the other hand it is possible to interpret the link with US as an element in favour of the thesis that there exists a global process in developed countries leading to fully integrated economies and financial markets. Moreover, it is important to emphasise that a relevant subset of those studies show that Eastern Asian financial markets are more tightly linked to Japan than to US. Using this idea as the starting point, we have looked for confirmation of the leadership exerted by the Japanese economy between the end of the 80s and the early 90s and, subsequently, we have tested the hypothesis that the Japanese stock market crisis of 1990-1991 has weakened this role. Until the early 90s, many macroeconomic and financial indicators suggest that Japan has economically dominated the Pacific Basin region. Starting from the deregulation of Hong Kong in 1973 to that of Korea in 1988, there has been a constant increase in the percentage of net capital flows originated in Japan and directed to the Pacific area. Within the same period, the Japanese currency has been widely used in Asian markets, so that some countries, among which is Malaysia, decide to re-denominate part of their debt in terms of the yen. Since mid 1990, not only has the economic expansion of Japan in the Asian area recorded a stop, but also a severe crisis has started. The behaviour of the Nikkei225 index, as shown in Graph 1, is extremely informative on that aspect: in less than ten months, from January to October 1990, the stock index has fallen to its 1986 values.

This study aims at testing the existence of a change of economic leadership in the Pacific Basin region from Japan to US, and the centrality of US as the most important financial market in this area.

The originality of this study rests in the particular use of a class of econometric tools, the so-called STAR and STAR-GARCH models, which have been introduced originally to deal with volatility in financial data, but they are also very useful in developing a concept of relation-integration among

markets which is different from the one implied by cointegration or correlation. The fundamental hypothesis of our study is that the influence of the stock market of a leading country on other financial markets does not need to be linear, as correlation and cointegration are implicitly assuming. As Table 1 points out, using the simple concept of correlation of daily returns to describe integration among different stock markets can be unfruitful.

On the contrary, a STAR model offers a different view of the relationships among financial markets, which is based upon an appropriately defined threshold variable. The threshold, through the transition function, the probability that the dependent variable is in one of two, or more, states of the world. For instance, consider the behaviour of an agent who operates on a daily basis in market A. If the agent selects as the threshold variable between two regimes the past returns from the relavant stock index for market B, this means that he acts according to two views of the world represented by the two states of the STAR model and that he chooses to give a larger weight to one or the other regime depending on the levels of past returns from the investments on market B. The degree of influence of market B on market A crucially depends on the choice of the threshold variable. If two or more threshold variables are significant, we select, according to some prespecified criterion, the variable which leads to the most statistically accurate STAR model.

In order to capture non-linearities with STAR models, sufficiently large samples of data are needed. For this reason we have considered, for each selected country, daily returns on the corresponding stock price indexes during the sub-samples 1988-1990 (pre-crisis period) and 1995-1999 (postcrisis period).

2. STAR models

The idea of using non-linear models to study the behaviour of economic variables is very popular in applied econometrics, and several test statistics have been developed to empirically verify the existence of non-linear processes in many financial time series.

According to the so-called regime-switching models, the time series evolution of many economic variables is characterized by the presence of different states of the world (see, among others, Priestley, 1980, 1988). First and second moments of many time series variables depend upon the regimes and the modalities of transition from a particular state to another. Models where this transition is regulated by an observed variable are termed threshold autoregressive (TAR) or, if the transition from one regime to the other is not abrupt, smooth transition autoregressive (STAR).

2.1. Representation of STAR models

TAR and STAR (see Franses, Teräsvirta and van Dijk, 2002, for a exhaustive survey) are simple autoregressive models whose coefficients depend on a threshold variabile q. The simplest case is given by a TAR with two regimes and an autoregressive part of order one:

$$y_{t} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{f}_{0,1} + \mathbf{f}_{1,1} \cdot y_{t-1} + \mathbf{e}_{t} & \text{if } q_{t-1} \leq c \\ \mathbf{f}_{0,2} + \mathbf{f}_{1,2} \cdot y_{t-1} + \mathbf{e}_{t} & \text{if } q_{t-1} > c \end{cases},$$

where \mathbf{e}_t is a white noise error term with $E(\mathbf{e}_t/\mathbf{W}_{t-1})=0$ and $E(\mathbf{e}_t^2/\mathbf{W}_{t-1})=\mathbf{s}^2$. The value *c*, or threshold value, regulates the transition between the two states of the world. If the threshold variable coincides with the lagged dependent variable, the model's name modifies to SETAR (self-exciting TAR).

The major limitation of the TAR model is that the transition between one regime to the other is a sudden jump. This simplistic view has been made more realistic by increasing the number of regimes, or, alternatively, by proposing the STAR model (see Teräsvirta, 1994, among others). STAR has in its simplest version the following specification:

$$y_{t} = (\boldsymbol{f}_{0,1} + \boldsymbol{f}_{1,1} \cdot y_{t-1}) \cdot (1 - G(q_{t-1}; \boldsymbol{g}; c)) + (\boldsymbol{f}_{0,2} + \boldsymbol{f}_{1,2} \cdot y_{t-1}) \cdot (G(q_{t-1}; \boldsymbol{g}; c) + \boldsymbol{e}_{t},$$
(1)

where G(.) is a probability function which takes values between 0 and 1, and can be interpreted as a weight between the two regimes. G(.) indefinitely increases the number of possible combinations between the states of the world, smoothing the transition between one regime and the other. It is obvious that STAR models introduce non-linearities in the conditional mean.

The most widely used specifications for the function G(.) are exponential (E-STAR) and logistic (L-STAR). The G(.) function for the L-STAR model is:

$$G(q_t, \mathbf{g}, c) = (1 + \exp(-\mathbf{g}\prod_{i=1}^n (q_t - c_i)))^{-1}$$
(2)

with n=1 in a two-regime model.

The value of c has to lie between the maximum and minimum value of q_t , and the smoothness of the model depends on the parameter g. The behaviour of the L-STAR model is asymmetric. In the

limiting case where $\mathbf{g} \to \infty$, G(.) becomes an indicator function $I[q_t > c]$ with I[A] = 1 if A is true, and I[A] = 0 otherwise. On the contrary, if $\mathbf{g} \to 0$, the model is linear with a constant logistic function whose value is 0.5. Classical, non-financial applications of the logistic function involve modelling asymmetries (i.e. recessions and expansions) in economic cycles (see, for example, Teräsvirta, Tjøstheim and Granger, 1994).

2.2. Hypothesis testing in STAR models

The procedure suggested by Teräsvirta (1994) for a correct specification of a STAR model involves first the definition of an appropriate AR(p) model for the states of the world, then testing for non-linearity.

As far as the specification of the autoregressive order p is concerned, the approach followed in the STAR context is similar to the one of any standard AR model (i.e. partial autocorrelation function of the series, AIC).

On the contrary, there is no standard procedure to identify the threshold variable. The approaches that are more frequently adopted in the empirical literature range from the simple use of some economic intuition to an ex-post informal test based on AIC or BIC, or the choice of the threshold variable that gives rise to the smallest p-value associated with a particular test for non-linearity.

The general structure of any test of non-linearity is to compare the fit of a STAR model with that of a linear model. That is, given a L-STAR model with two regimes

$$y_{t} = (f_{1} \cdot x_{t}) \cdot (1 - G(q_{t-1}; g; c)) + (f_{2} \cdot x_{t}) \cdot (G(q_{t-1}; g; c) + e_{t})$$
(3)

where $x_t = (1, y_{t-1}, ..., y_{t-p})$, $f_i = (f_{i,0}, f_{i,1}, ..., f_{i,p})$, i = 1, 2, linearity implies H_0 : $f_1 = f_2$.

Under H₀, unfortunately, parameters g and c are unidentified nuisance parameters. Eitrheim and Teräsvirta (1996), Luukkonen, Saikkonnen and Teräsvirta (1998), and Escribano and Jordà (1999) suggest to approximate the G(.) function with a Taylor series. In this way the identification problem is solved and the null hypothesis of linearity can be tested with standard LM-type statistics. Specifically, equation (3) can be re-expressed as:

$$y_t = (f_1 \cdot x_t) + (f_2 - f_1) \cdot x_t \cdot (G(q_{t-1}; g; c) + e_t)$$

Provided that G(.) is given by (2), and it is differentiable, it can be approximated around g=0, thus obtaining the auxiliary regression:

$$y_t = \boldsymbol{b}_0 \cdot x_t + \boldsymbol{b}_1 \cdot x_t \cdot s_t + \boldsymbol{e}_t,$$

where $\mathbf{b}_i = (\mathbf{b}_{i,0}, \mathbf{b}_{i,1},...,\mathbf{b}_{i,p})$, $e_t = \mathbf{e}_t + (\mathbf{f}_2 - \mathbf{f}_1)' x_t \cdot R_1(q_t; \mathbf{g}; c)$, with *R* indicating the error in the Taylor approximation. In this context, under the null hypothesis $\mathbf{g}=0$ we have $\mathbf{b}_0 \neq 0$ and $\mathbf{b}_1=0$, where the **b**'s are functions of the parameters of the STAR model. Thus the null hypothesis becomes $\mathbf{b}_1=0$. In the applied literature this test is referred to as the LM₁-test, which has a \mathbf{c}^2 asymptotic distribution with p+1 degrees of freedom. If one is interested in testing the null hypothesis of linearity against a L-STAR model where $q_t = y_{t-i}$, 0 < i < p+1, the term $\mathbf{b}_{1,o} q_t$ must be omitted in order to avoid perfect multicollinearity. It can also be shown that if the two states of the world differ in the value of the constant only, an alternative test should be used. In this case, G(.) has to be approximated up to the third degree, yielding the auxiliary regression:

$$y_{t} = \boldsymbol{b}_{0} \cdot x_{t} + \boldsymbol{b}_{1} \cdot x_{t} \cdot q_{t} + \boldsymbol{b}_{2} \cdot x_{t} q_{t}^{2} + \boldsymbol{b}_{3} \cdot x_{t} q_{t}^{3} + e_{t}.$$
(4)

The null hypothesis becomes $H_0: \mathbf{b}_1 = \mathbf{b}_2 = \mathbf{b}_3 = 0$. The name for this test is LM₃, and it has an asymptotic \mathbf{c}^2 distribution with 3(p+1) degrees of freedom. Both test can be calculated using the asymptotic \mathbf{c}^2 version as well as the small-sample *F* counterpart.

2.3. Estimation and diagnostic tests in STAR models

STAR models are typically estimated with Non-linear Least Squares (NLS). NLS is equivalent to Maximum Likelihood or Quasi-Maximum Likelihood, according to whether normality of e_t is assumed or not.

If we define $\boldsymbol{q} = (\boldsymbol{f}_1', \boldsymbol{f}_2', \boldsymbol{g}, c)$, then the NLS estimator is

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{q}} = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{f}} Q_T(\boldsymbol{q}) = \arg\min_{\boldsymbol{f}} \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - F(x_t; \boldsymbol{q}))^2,$$

where
$$F(.)$$
 is the STAR model (4).

Given the regularity condition $E(\mathbf{e}^2_t) < \infty$ (see Ling and McAleer, 1999), NLS is consistent and asymptotic normal. It is well-known that the choice of appropriate initial conditions play a crucial role in any estimator which uses numerical methods such as Newton-Raphson or Gauss-Newton. The procedure proposed by Leybourne, Newbold and Vougas (1998) notes that the STAR model is

linear for given values of \boldsymbol{g} and c. Conditional to \boldsymbol{g} and c, it is possible to estimate \boldsymbol{f} with OLS and obtain $\hat{\boldsymbol{f}} = (\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}'_{1}, \hat{\boldsymbol{f}}'_{2})$, i.e.:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{f}}(\boldsymbol{g},c) = \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} x_t(\boldsymbol{g},c) x_t(\boldsymbol{g},c)'\right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{t=1}^{T} x_t(\boldsymbol{g},c) y_t\right)$$

where $x_t(\boldsymbol{g}, c) = (x_t'(1 - G(s_t; \boldsymbol{g}, c)), x_t'G(s_t; \boldsymbol{g}, c))'.$

In this way the minimand function Q_T has a reduced dimension, that is:

$$Q_T(\boldsymbol{g},c) = \sum_{t=1}^T (y_t - \boldsymbol{f}(\boldsymbol{g},c)' x_t(\boldsymbol{g},c))^2,$$

which can now be minimized with respect to c and g.

From the empirical viewpoint, it is worth noticing that many studies (see, for instance, Bates and Watts, 1988), point out that estimating g is far from being an easy task. This is mainly due to the fact that a large number of observations in the neighborhood of c is required in order to obtain a reliable estimate of g.

In general even reasonable estimates of g come with very high standard errors and *t*-statistics which apparently do not reject the null hypothesis of linearity. In this context, however, the *t*-test is not reliable, for its distribution is not standard. In any case, this problem is mitigated by considering that large variations in g do not have a significant impact on the transition function. The estimation of c is subject to similar problems (see Hansen, 1997), even if a value of c which is not statistically different from zero does not affect the overall validity of the model.

The asymptotic variance/covariance matrix *C* of $\hat{\boldsymbol{q}}$ can be consistently estimated using the robust estimator $C = A(\boldsymbol{q}_0)^{-1}B(\boldsymbol{q}_0)A(\boldsymbol{q}_0)^{-1}$, where $A(\boldsymbol{q}_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} E[\boldsymbol{J}^2 F_n(\boldsymbol{q})/\boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{q} \boldsymbol{q}'|_{\boldsymbol{q}_0}]$ and $B(\boldsymbol{q}_0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} E[n\boldsymbol{J}F_n(\boldsymbol{q})/\boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{q} \cdot \boldsymbol{J}F_n(\boldsymbol{q})/\boldsymbol{J} \boldsymbol{q}'|_{\boldsymbol{q}_0}]$, i.e. *A(.)* is the limit of the Hessian of the objective function and *B(.)* is the limit of the cross-product of the score function.

The most common test for residual autocorrelation in STAR models is based on the auxiliary regression:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{t} = \boldsymbol{a} + \boldsymbol{\vec{b}} \cdot \hat{\vec{z}}_{t} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \boldsymbol{r}_{i} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{t-i}$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_t$ are the residuals under the null of independence of the errors \boldsymbol{e}_t and $\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}_t = \boldsymbol{J}F(\boldsymbol{x}_t; \hat{\boldsymbol{q}})/\boldsymbol{J}\boldsymbol{q}$, with F(.) being a twice-differentiable function. The analogy with Breusch and Pagan (1980) is clear once we consider that in a linear context the partial derivatives of F(.) with respect to the parameters correspond to the regressors x_t . As usual, the null hypothesis is H₀: $\mathbf{r}_i = 0$, and the test in its LM form has an asymptotic \mathbf{c}^2 distribution with *q* degrees of freedom.

The idea which is behind a test for remaining non-linearity is to detect if a STAR model has captured all the non-linearity which is in the series by evaluating the statistical adequacy of a STAR model with an additional regime (see Eitrheim and Teräsvirta, 1996).

2.4. Evaluating the forecasting performance of STAR models

A standard STAR model with $q_t = y_{t-1}$ can be represented as $y_t = F(x_t, \mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{e}_t$, where F(.) is defined as in (4), with $x_t = (1, y_{t-1}, ..., y_{t-p})'$. The optimal forecast of y_{t+h} made at time t is $\hat{y}_{t+h|t} = E[y_{t+h} | \Omega_t]$, where $e_{t+h/t} = y_{t+h} - y_{t+h/t}$ is the forecast error. The 1-step ahead forecast is given by $\hat{y}_{t+1|t} = E[y_{t+1} | \Omega_t] = F(x_{t+1}; \mathbf{q})$, with $E[\mathbf{e}_{t+1}/\mathbf{W}_t] = 0$. In this study we concentrate on static forecasts only. The indicators which are most commonly used to evaluate the forecasting performance of a STAR model are the mean squared error (MSE) and the mean absolute error (MAE):

MSE =
$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (\hat{y}_{T+h+j|T+j} - y_{T+h+j})^2$$

and

MAE =
$$\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} | \hat{y}_{T+h+j|T+j} - y_{T+h+j} |.$$

3. GARCH models

ARCH models (Autoregressive Conditional Heteroskedasticity) have been introduced for the first time by Engle (1982) in order to model two phenomena which are typical of many financial time series, namely non-constant conditional variances and volatility clustering.

The simples formulation of a ARCH(r) model is:

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{t} = \boldsymbol{h}_{t} h_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
 with $h_{t} = \boldsymbol{a}_{0} + \boldsymbol{a}_{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{t-1}^{2} + ... + \boldsymbol{a}_{r} \boldsymbol{e}_{t-r}^{2}$,

where $\mathbf{a}_0 > 0$, $\mathbf{a}_i \ge 0$ (i=1,...r); \mathbf{h}_t indicates a white noise error and h_t is the conditional variance of the process. In other terms, if F_t is the *s*-algebra generated by $\{\mathbf{h}_t, \mathbf{h}_{t-1}, ...\}$, then $\mathrm{E}(\mathbf{e}_t^2 | F_t) = h_t$.

The first generalization of the ARCH model (GARCH) has been proposed by Bollerslev (1986):

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{t} = \boldsymbol{h}_{t} h_{t}^{\frac{1}{2}} \text{ with } h_{t} = \boldsymbol{a}_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{r} \boldsymbol{a}_{i1} \boldsymbol{e}_{t-i}^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \boldsymbol{b}_{i} h_{t-i} , \qquad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{a}_0 > 0$, $\mathbf{a}_{i1} \ge 0$ and $\mathbf{b}_i \ge 0$ ($i=1,...r\setminus s$). The main advantage of this model with respect to the ARCH specification is that the additional term h_{t-i} allows us to reduce the number of parameters in the ARCH component.

The second, more natural generalization is to use a STAR model in the conditional mean of the process and a GARCH specification for the conditional variance (STAR-GARCH models). From an estimation viewpoint, it is easy to deal with STAR-GARCH models since, according to Engle (1982), parameters for the conditional mean and the conditional variance can be estimated separetely, provided that the GARCH specification is symmetric. In this case, given the Hessian for the STAR-GARCH model

$$H_{t}(\boldsymbol{q}) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{J^{2}l_{t}(\boldsymbol{q})}{J\boldsymbol{x}J\boldsymbol{x}'} & \frac{J^{2}l_{t}(\boldsymbol{q})}{J\boldsymbol{x}J\boldsymbol{y}'} \\ \frac{J^{2}l_{t}(\boldsymbol{q})}{J\boldsymbol{y}J\boldsymbol{x}'} & \frac{J^{2}l_{t}(\boldsymbol{q})}{J\boldsymbol{y}J\boldsymbol{y}'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} H_{t}^{\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{x}}(\boldsymbol{q}) & H_{t}^{\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{q}) \\ H_{t}^{\boldsymbol{x}\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{q}) & H_{t}^{\boldsymbol{y}\boldsymbol{y}}(\boldsymbol{q}) \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{q} = (\vec{x}, \vec{y})$, with \vec{x} indicating the vector of parameters in the conditional mean, and \vec{y} the vector of parameters of the conditional variance, elements H^{xy} and H^{yx} are both zero.

4. Outliers in STAR and GARCH models

4.1. Effects of outliers on STAR models

Van Dijk, Franses and Lucas (1999) show that LM-type tests tend to reject the null of linearity too often in presence of outliers. Possible solutions to this problem are based on robust estimation techniques which involve different weighting functions. Such techniques can be briefly sketched starting from a simple AR(p) model $y_t = \mathbf{f} x_t + \mathbf{e}_t$, and modifying the first-order condition

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} \mathbf{w}_{r}(r_{t}) \cdot x_{t}(y_{t} - \mathbf{f} x_{t}) = 0, \text{ where } r_{t} \text{ are the standardized residuals, } r_{t} \equiv \frac{(y_{t} - \mathbf{f} x_{t})}{(\mathbf{s}_{e} \mathbf{w}_{x}(x_{t}))}, \text{ with}$$

weights $w_x(.)$, $w_r(.)$ between 0 and 1. The main common characteristic shared by all weighting

functions discussed in the literature is to give small weights to values of $\frac{(y_t - \mathbf{f} x_t)}{\mathbf{s}_e}$ that are exceptionally large. For the test LM₃, van Dick, Franses and Lucas (1999) suggest to calculate the R^2 from regressing the weighted residuals $\hat{\mathbf{y}}(\hat{r}_t) = \hat{\mathbf{w}}_r(\hat{r}_t)\hat{r}_t$ on the weighted regressors $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_x(x_t) \otimes (x_t', x_t's_t, x_t's_t^2, x_t's_t^3)'$. Both weighting functions are obtained from estimating the AR(p) for y_t under H₀. This test has an asymptotic c^2 distribution with 3(p+1) degrees of freedom. Monte Carlo simulations point out that, in the presence of additive as well as innovation outliers, robust tests have more power, and power increases as sample size increases.

Van Dick (1999) analyzes the effects of outliers on the parameters of a STAR model simulating a two-regime logistic specification:

$$y_{t} = (\boldsymbol{f}_{0,1} + \boldsymbol{f}_{1,1} \cdot y_{t-1}) \cdot (1 - G(q_{t-1};\boldsymbol{g};c)) + (\boldsymbol{f}_{0,2} + \boldsymbol{f}_{1,2} \cdot y_{t-1}) \cdot (G(q_{t-1};\boldsymbol{g};c) + \boldsymbol{e}_{t})$$

Estimates of the threshold parameter c do not seem to be particularly affected, provided that the outliers are not numerous enough to justify the existence of a specific regime. This can well happen in presence of many outliers of the same sign. The same is true also for g. Conversely, parameters $f_{1,i}$, i=1,2, are generally biased, as it happens with standard AR(p) models. Here the magnitude of the bias depends on the specific regime that prevails at the time of the outliers. If, for instance, all outliers fall in just one regime, the bias affecting f_1 would be noticeable only for that regime.

Several different solutions are available in the literature to reduce the bias in estimating the autoregressive parameters of a STAR model. In this study we consider a simple symmetric trimming algorithm (STA), which is composed by the following steps: i) calculation of the standard deviation of the series over the whole sample; ii) if a single observation is larger than 4 standard deviations, it is trimmed to 4 standard deviations; iii) if an observation is between 3 and 4 standard deviations, it is trimmed to to 3 standard deviations; iv) if an observation is between 2.5 and 3 standard deviations, it is trimmed to 2.5 standard deviations; v) repetition of steps i)-iv) for each observations in the sample. This procedure has simplicity as its main advantage, but suffers from at least two drawbacks. First, simple STA tends to reduce variability in the series. Second, if different regimes are located far from the mean of the series, simple STA could select as an outlier (and hence exclude from the sample) an observation which instead should be modelled.

4.2. Effects of outliers on GARCH models

Van Dijk, Franses and Lucas (1999) show that the empirical behaviour of the tests for heteroskedasticity in presence of outliers is very similar to the performance of the tests for linearity in STAR models, that is the null hypothesis is rejected too often. Almost all these tests are based on the residuals of robustly estimated conditional means, once the outliers have been removed and an auxiliary regression of the Breusch-Pagan type is taken into account to check for the presence of linear ARCH effects.

Verhoeven and McAleer (1999), and Chan and McAleer (2002a, 2002b), among others, point out that the presence of outliers tends to increase \hat{a}_1 and to reduce \hat{b}_1 in the simple GARCH(1,1) model $h_t = a_0 + a_1 e_{t-1}^2 + b_1 h_{t-1}$. Although several procedures are available in the literature to cope with this problem (e.g. Franses and Ghijsels, 1999), the algorithm we use in this study to take into account for outliers is a modification of the simple STA described in Section 4.1, which is motivated by the following considerations: i) irrespective of the selected model, simple STA often produces estimation and forecasting results which are worse than those obtained on the original series; ii) alternative methods to simple STA, such as the Generalized M estimator, heavily depend on the choice of the weighting function (see van Dick, 1999) and are not easy to implement; iii) many estimation procedures which correct for the presence of outlier in GARCH models are based on a priori choices (see the selection of *c* in Franses and Ghijsels, 1999).

The most important features of our alternative procedure are simplicity (since it is based on the simple STA) and flexibility (the mean of a STAR model is not forced to be constant). Specifically, our modified STA algorithm is formed by the following steps: 1) estimation of a STAR model; 2) residual analysis using a Breusch-Pagan-type test for GARCH effects and estimation of a STAR-GARCH model if appropriate; 3) 1-step ahead forecasts of the conditional mean and variance; 4) application of simple STA, using the 1-step ahead forecast of the mean as the actual mean and the 1-step ahead forecast of the variance as the actual variance; 5) re-estimation of the STAR model on the series corrected for the presence of outliers; 6) overall evaluation of model improvements by comparing the MSE and MAE of the forecasts obtained at steps 3) and 5).

5. Empirical analysis

5.1. Data

The first important decision concerns the starting date of the Japanese financial crisis. On the basis of the temporal behaviour of the Nikkei225 stock price index (see Graph 1), which clearly shows a dramatic fall at the beginning of 1990, we set the end of the first period (i.e. pre-crisis) on the 6^{th} june 1990.

The second choice is about the starting date of the pre-crisis period, which is intimately linked to the deregulation characterizing the countries under analysis. As already stated, some countries (e.g. Korea and Taiwan) have deregulated only since 1988, while others (i.e. Hong Kong and Singapore) have started to liberalize their financial markets during the 70s. In order to evaluate different models for different countries on a homogenous basis, we consider the period 5th January 1988 – 6th June 1990 as the maximum common sample.

The second sample (post-crisis) goes from 5th January 1995 to 5th November 1999. The choice of 1995 as the starting date for this period assumes that agents, at the time of implementation of any financial decision, have already incorporated the fall of the Japanese stock price index in their information sets.

We have decided to concentrate our attention on five countries (Korea, Hong Kong, Malaysia, Singapore and Taiwan), since these are the countries which are analyzed by those studies aiming at testing the existence of a strong link between the so-called Pacific Basin region and Japan.

The novelty of our approach is how the potential existence of this link is modelled and tested, that is using STAR and STAR-GARCH models. Moreover, we are also interested in investigating to what extent the financial Japanese crisis has weakened those relationships, and whether US has substituted Japan in its economic leadership in this area.

Our empirical investigation proceeds as follows. First, we check the autocorrelation properties of the original series and determine the order p of the AR model. Second, we test for linearity and explanatory power of alternative threshold variables, that is one-period lagged Japan Nikkei225 and US S&P500 stock price indexes. Third, we estimate a L-STAR model and test for its statistical adequacy using residual based diagnostic tests. Fourth, we test for ARCH effects in STAR residuals. Fifth, we estimate an appropriate STAR-GARCH model (if ARCH effects are present). Sixth, we correct for the presence of outliers using both STA and modified STA algorithms. Seventh, we re-estimate the STAR model and check for estimation improvements using MSE and MAE calculated on the forecasting horizon 8th November 1999 - 28th December 2001. It is worth

noticing that the comparison between simple and modified STA is not feasible on the first period. In fact, if it were, the implied forecasting exercise would have been conducted on the Japanese crisis period, in violation of the ceteris paribus condition which is the maintained assumption of our comparative analysis.

5.2. Results

Korea has started the process of liberalization in 1988. The Korean stock price index over the first period (Graph 2a) shows a sharp drop in 1990, which is probably due to the Japanese financial crisis. The correlogram of the corresponding series of returns (Graph 2b) suggests an AR model with p=1. The estimation of a STAR(1) model reveals that only the Japanese Nikkei225 stock price index is the appropriate threshold variable. The STAR (1) residuals are not autocorrelated, although they exhibit significant ARCH effects, which lead to the estimation of a STAR(1)-GARCH(1) specification (see Table 3a). The adjustment parameter g has a quite high value, which is nevertheless justified in the literature. The first regime is predominant, whereas the second regime has a weight equal to one when the threshold variable takes extreme values (i.e. less than 1%, Graph 7). This is the reason why the weighting function takes the value one more frequently at the end of the sample, that is close to the Japanese crisis. Even in the absence of significant outliers, an application of the simple STA produces a strong bias in the series, since the distinction between the two states of the world disappears. In fact, STA eliminates from the sample all the extreme observations which are responsible for the identification of the second regime. Instead, the application of our modified STA does not alter substantially the estimation results. If we take into consideration the second period (Graphs 2c-2d), it is evident that the Japanese crisis affects both the levels of the index and the volatility of returns. The STAR(1)-GARCH(1) specification which uses the US S&P500 as the threshold variable is preferable on the basis of both the non-linearity test (Table 2b) and in-sample AIC. The presence of strong kurtosis in the residuals is an indicator of outliers. Both procedures, simple STA and modified STA, produce results which are very close to the starting model in terms of MSE and MAE. Table 3b reports the estimated coefficients of the STAR(1)-GARCH(1) model obtained after the application of the simple STA, since this specification is superior in terms of more accurate forecasts and reduced standard errors. With respect to the first sample, the value of g is larger, whereas the standard error for the threshold parameter c is smaller. The transition function indicates the absence of polarization towards a particular state of the world. In summary, in the first period only Nikkei225 can be used as a threshold variable, whereas in the post-crisis period the most statistically adequate threshold is US

S&P500. One possible interpretation is that, prior to 1988, the Korean financial market is heavily dependent on Japan, while, after 1995, progresses in world integration have strenghtened the relationships with both Japan and US, attributing the stock market leadership to the latter.

Hong Kong's stock exchange is the most developed within the Pacific Basin region, since it dates back to 1973. A quick inspection to the Hong Kong stock price index during the first period (Graph 3a) reveals the presence of a strong increasing trend, with two marked corrections on july 1989 and july 1990. Obviously, daily returns are characterized by large outliers around the 300th observations (Graph 3b). The correlogram of returns is compatible with an AR(1) model, whereas the tests for non-linearity suggest that both Nikkei225 and S&P500 are statistically adequate threshold variables, with a slight preference for the former (Table 2a). Once estimated, the two STAR(1) behave very differently. In particular, the model with S&P500 as the threshold variable is characterized by very high standard errors even after the use of both simple and modified STA. In the light of these results, the selected model is STAR(1)-GARCH(1) with Nikkei225 as the threshold variable after adjusting the residuals with simple STA (Table 3a, Graph 8). The results obtained in the second period (Graphs 3c-3d) are more controversial. On the one hand, the STAR(1) model with S&P500 as the threshold after applying simple STA is preferable in terms of residual sum of squares and AIC; on the other hand, the STAR(1) model with Nikkei225 as the threshold produces lower MSE and MAE, when both simple and modified STA are used (Tables 2b, 4). Irrespective of the trimming algorithm and the threshold variables, STAR residuals are affected by ARCH effects. To summarize, the empirical results are quite clear in the first period, where the statistically adequate model has Nikkei225 as the threshold. Conversely, in the post-crisis period both threshold variables yield statistically adequate STAR models, although it is not possible to define which is the leading country for Hong Kong.

Malaysia is the country in the Pacific Basin region with the strongest commercial and financial relationships with Japan. This condition is confirmed by the decision of denominating part of Malaysia's debt in tems of the Japanese currency. During the period 1998-1991, the Malaysia stock price index has shown a continuous, upward trend up to march 1990 (Graph 4a). From april 1990 the index records a sharp drop, due to the incoming Japanese financial crisis. The corresponding series of returns shows some important outliers at the beginning and at the end of the sample, while, contrary to the previous cases, the correlogram points out the presence of second-order autocorrelation (Graph 4b). Thus, we have implemented the tests for non-linearity on the STAR(2) specification. In this case, both threshold variables are statistically adequate, but Nikkei225 seems

to be preferable on standard goodness of fit considerations (Table 2a). Since the residuals are characterized by ARCH effects and outliers, we have re-estimated the model using the simple STA (Table 3a, Graph 9). The second period (Graph 4c) is characterized by a severe financial crisis in 1998, which affects the series of returns in terms of the number of outliers, excess kurtosis and increased volatility (Graph 4d). The correlogram of this series is compatible with third-order autocorrelation. Using the simple STA, the best model in terms of estimated standard errors and AIC is STAR(1)-GARCH(1,1) with S&P500 as the threshold (Table 3b). Although Malaysia has experienced the strongest economic links with Japan, over the analyzed period our empirical approach seems to suggest a progressive substitution between Japan and US as the most influential country on the Malaysian stock market.

The liberalization of Singapore dates back to 1978, which makes this country the second best developed financial market in the region, after Hong Kong. If we concentrate on the first period (Graphs 5a-5b), the Singapore stock price index shows a steady upward trend until the end of 1990, while the corresponding returns are highly volatile and affected by outliers at the beginning of the sample and around the 400th observation. Both threshold variables are statistically adequate, although the lowest p-value of the test for non-linearity is recorded when Nikkei225 is the threshold. In fact, if the STAR(1)-GARCH(1,1) model with Nikkei225 is satisfactory (Table 3a, Graph 10a), the estimation of the corresponding specification after replacing the Japanese stock price index with S&P500 has been problematic, due to difficulties of convergence of the underlying numerical estimation algorithm. The use of the simple STA has contributed to solve this problem only partially. The second period (Graphs 5c-5d) shows the gradual decline of the Singapore stock market. The non-linearity test indicates Nikkei225 as the most appropriate threshold variable (Table 2b). Both thresholds produce STAR(1) model residuals which pass standard diagnostics, whereas the specification with S&P500 has lower residual sum of squares and AIC, but higher parameter standard errors. Due to the massive presence of outliers in the post-crisis period, we have reestimated both models after applying the simple as well as the modified STA. The model with Nikkei225 increases its forecasting performance in both cases, while the model with S&P500 shows some improvement only after using the modified STA (Table 4). In any case, AIC is favourable to the latter. In the transition function computed on the selected model the second regime is overrepresented, whereas the first regime indicates a limiting situation, since the threshold value is around -2% (Graph 10b). The results are in line with the original motivation of this study, that is the presence of a switch, from the first to the second period, between Japan and US in the role of financial leader within the Pacific Basin region.

As Korea and Malaysia, also Taiwan has started to liberalize its financial market since 1988 only. During the first period of analysis (Graph 6a) the stock price index has recorded a strong upward trend up to mid 1990, followed by a steep drop caused by the Japanese financial crisis. With respect to the series of returns, the Taiwan index is almost free from the presence of outliers (Graph 6b). The absence of significant threshold variables in the tests for non-linearity prevents us from estimating any appropriate STAR model (Table 2a). This picture is confirmed in the second period (Graphs 6c-6d); here the threshold variable Nikkei225 appears to be significant in a STAR(1) specification, which is by no means different from a simple AR(1) model according to the values of the estimated coefficients, residual sum of squares and AIC (Table 3b). Undoubtly, among the countries we have analyzed in this study, Taiwan is the biggest exception. If it is not difficult to relate the results obtained on the pre-crisis period to the immaturity of its stock market, the empirical findings of the second sub-sample suggest that Taiwan has built up very strong links with the Japanese financial system and that these relationships, which were unsignificant during the late 80s, have been reinforced by the Japanese crisis.

6. Conclusion

The aim of this work is to analyse the financial markets of Korea, Hong Kong, Malaysia, Singapore and Taiwan in order to empirically verify the effects of the Japanese financial crisis of 1990-1991 on the relationships between the Pacific Basin region, on the one hand, and Japan and US, on the other. The econometric investigation has been conducted with statistically adequate STAR and STAR-GARCH models, where Nikkei225 and S&P500 have played the role of threshold variables. The underlying idea is that the Japanese crisis has affected the financial leadership of this country in Eastern Asia in favour of US. The statistical significance of the variable S&P500 in appropriate STAR-GARCH specifications, together with the irrelevance of Nikkei225, is then interpreted as empirical evidence of a strong and progressive process of global integration which looses even the strongest links among the countries of a specific geographical area. At the same time, the switch between Japan and US in the financial leadership over the Pacific Basin region can be read as an indication of openess of the Asian countries towards a unique, global financial market.

The leadership of Japan within the Pacific Basin region is undisputable, at least until the early 90s. Both economic data and our empirical results on the first sub-sample agree to indicate Japan as the main financial market in the area. It is noticeable that Japan is the most important reference not only to the well-developed financial systems, such as Singapore, but also to the newly-liberalized stock markets, such as Korea. Among the five countries under scrutiny, only Taiwan is atypical, since in this case we were unable to find any statistically adequate STAR model. This result can be justified by noting that Taiwan financial market was born in 1988 only, the starting year of our empirical investigation. Possibly, economic and financial dynamics internal to Taiwan have produced greater impacts than any exogenously driven process of integration.

In the first period, it is interesting to point out that S&P500 is statistically adequate as threshold variable in only two cases, namely Singapore and Malaysia. It is relatively easy to justify the influence of the S&P500 index on Singapore stock market, which has been open to the international context since 1978, On the contrary, one possible explanation for the behaviour of the Malaysian stock market is that it has been oriented to integration since the beginning of its liberalization.

In the second period, the significance of S&P500 is evident in all models.

All the analyzed time series are characterized by volatility, excess kurtosis and outliers. Nevertheless, the STAR-GARCH models we have finally selected are statistically robust, and the trimming algorithms we have adopted have proved to be effective. When the comparison has been possible, the modified STA has shown its superiority relative to the simple STA, since the latter suffers from the tendency to bias the series with ample fluctuations in their conditional means.

References

Bates, D.M. and D.G. Watts (1988), Nonlinear Regression and Its Applications, New York, Wiley.

Bollerslev, T. (1986), "Generalized autoregressive conditional heteroskedasticity", *Journal of Econometrics*, **31**, 307-327.

Breusch, T. and A. Pagan (1980), "The LM test and its applications to model specification in econometrics", *Econometrica*, **47**, 1287-1294.

Chan, F. and M. McAleer (2002a), "Estimating Smooth Transition Autoregressive models with Garch errors in presence of extreme observations and outliers", mimeo.

Chan, F. and M. McAleer (2002b), "Evaluating the forecasting performance of Smooth Transition Volatility models", mimeo.

Eitrheim, Ø. and T. Teräsvirta (1996), "Testing the adequacy of smooth transition autoregressive models", *Journal of Econometrics*, **74**, 59-76.

Engle, R. F. (1982), "Autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity with the estimates of the variance of the United Kingdom inflation", *Econometrica*, **50**, 987-1007.

Escribano, A. and O. Jordà (1999), "Improved testing and specification of Smooth Transition regression models", in R. Rothman (ed.), *Nonlinear Time Series Analysis of Economic and Financial Data*, Boston, Kluver, 289-319.

Franses, P.H., and H. Ghijsels (1999), "Additive outliers, GARCH and forecasting volatility", *International Journal of Forecasting*, **15**, 1-9.

Franses, P.H., T. Teräsvirta and D. van Dijk (2002), "Smooth Transition Autoregressive models: a survey of recent developments", *Econometric Reviews*, **21**, 1-47.

Franses, P.H. and D. van Dijk (2000), *Nonlinear time series models in empirical finance*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press.

Hansen, B.E. (1997), "Inference in TAR models", *Studies in Nonlinear Dynamics and Econometrics*, **2**, 1-14.

Leybourne, S., P. Newbold and D. Vougas (1998), "Unit roots and smooth transition", *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, **19**, 83-97.

Ling, S. and M. McAleer (1999), "Asymptotic theory for a vector ARMA-GARCH model", *Econometric Theory*, forthcoming.

Luukkonen, R., P. Saikkonnen and T.Teräsvirta (1998), "Testing linearity against Smooth Transition autoregressive models", *Biometrika*, **75**, 491-499;

Phylaktis, K. (1999), "Capital market integration in the Pacific Basin region: an impulse response analysis", *Journal of International Money and Finance*, **18**, 267-287.

Priestley, M.B. (1980), "State-dependent models: a general approach to nonlinear time series analysis", *Journal of Time Series Analysis*, **1**, 47-71.

Priestley, M.B. (1988), Nonlinear and Nonstationarity Time Series Analysis, London, Academy Press.

Teräsvirta, T. (1994), "Specification, estimation, and evaluation of smooth transition autoregrssive models", *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, **89**, 208-218.

Teräsvirta, T., D. Tjøstheim and C.W.J. Granger (1994), "Aspects of modelling nonlinear time series", in R.F. Engle and D.L. McFadden (eds.), *Handbook of Econometrics*, **4**, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 2917-2957.

van Dijk, D., P.-H. Franses and A. Lucas (1999), "Testing for smooth transition nonlinearity in the presence of additive outliers", *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, **17**, 217-235.

van Dijk, (1999), Smooth Transition Models: Extensions and Outliers Robust Inference, Ph.D. thesis.

Verhoeven, P. and M. McAleer (1999), "Modeling outliers and extreme observations for ARMA-GARCH processes", mimeo.

Tables

Table 1. Correlation coefficients between daily returns on selected stock market indexes and the thresholds Nikkei225 and S&P500 (1988-1990)

Threshold	Korea	Hong Kong	Malaysia	Singapore	Taiwan
Nikkei225	-0.069	0.250	0.025	-0.014	0.055
S&P500	0.010	0.072	0.502	0.484	0.079

Table 2a. Tests of STAR-type non-linearity (selected countries, 1988-1990)

Threshold	Hong Kong	Malaysia	Taiwan
Nikkei225 (F)	3.88 (0.01)	14.31 (0.00)	1.09 (0.35)
S&P500 (F)	3.73 (0.01)	6.74 (0.00)	3.26 (0.35)
Nikkei225 (c^2)	11.65 (0.01)	42.94 (0.00)	0.93 (0.43)
S&P500 (c^2)	11.18 (0.01)	20.23 (0.00)	2.78 (0.43)

Notes: The tests reported in this table are based on the LM₃ test described in equation (4); F = finite-sample F distribution of LM₃; $c^2 =$ asymptotic χ^2 distribution of LM₃; p-values are reported in parentheses.

Table 2b. Tests of STAR-type non-linearity (selected countries, 1995-
--

Threshold	Korea	Hong Kong	Singapore
Nikkei225 (F)	1.89 (0.13)	1.12 (0.00)	8.75 (0.00)
S&P500 (F)	12.11 (0.00)	1.12 (0.00)	6.71 (0.00)
Nikkei225 (c^2)	5.67 (0.13)	33.69 (0.00)	26.24 (0.00)
S&P500 (c^2)	36.34 (0.00)	33.60 (0.00)	20.14(0.00)

Notes: see Table 2a.

Table 3a. Estimated STAR-GARCH models (selected countries, 1988-1990)
---	--------------------------------

Specification	Korea	Hong Kong	Malaysia	Singapore
STAR(p)	STAR(1)	STAR(1)	STAR(2)	STAR(1)
GARCH(r,s)	GARCH(1,1)	GARCH(1,1)	GARCH(1,1)	GARCH(1,1)
Threshold	Nikkei225	Nikkei225	Nikkei225	Nikkei225
Trimming	Modified STA	Simple STA	Simple STA	Simple STA
g	31.433**	16.502**	1427.965	13.080**
с	-0.016**	0.004**	-0.009	-0.009**
d_1	0.001	-	-0.004	-0.039*
f_l	-0.731**	-0.160**	-0.476**; -0.322	-0.512**
d_2	5.0E-4	-	1.0E-03	4.9E-4
f_2	0.088	0.192	0.087; 0.108	-0.173*
a_0	3.1E-5*	2.0E-5**	8.9E-6**	9.5E-6**
a ₁	0.106**	0.098**	0.122**	0.064**
b_1	0.707**	0.774**	0.791**	0.810**
RSS	0.085	0.140	0.064	0.054
AIC	-8.675	-8.156	-8.929	-9.113

Notes: RSS = residual sum of squares; AIC = Akaike information criterion; * (**) = significance at 5% (1%); $nE-m = n10^{-m}$.

Specification	Korea	Hong Kong	Malaysia	Singapore	Taiwan
STAR(p)	STAR(1)	STAR(1)	STAR(1)	STAR(1)	STAR(1)
GARCH(<i>r</i> , <i>s</i>)	GARCH(1,1)	GARCH(1)	GARCH(1)	GARCH(1,1)	GARCH(0,0)
Threshold	S&P500	S&P500	S&P500	S&P500	Nikkei225
Trimming	Simple STA	Modified STA	Simple STA	Modified STA	-
g	410.000	153.988**	34.000**	10.005	2857.676
С	-0.018	-0.016**	-0.006**	-0.027**	0.012*
d_{I}	-7.4E-3	0.004**	0.006**	0.012	-0.001**
f_{l}	-0.329**	-0.325**	-0.476**	-0.504	0.026
d_2	1.3E-4	-	-	4.0E-4	4.0E-3*
f_2	0.133**	0.108**	0.220**	0.238**	-0.086*
a_{0}	2.0E-6**	3.2E-6**	1.8E-6**	2.1E-7	-
<i>a</i> ₁	0.079**	0.101**	0.116**	0.131**	-
b ₁	0.919**	0.891**	0.887**	0.865**	-
RSS	0.522	0.368	0.394	0.174	0.257
AIC	-7.545	-7.896	-7.828	-8.615	-8.255

 Table 3b. Estimated STAR-GARCH models (all countries, 1995-1999)

Notes: see Table 3a.

Table 4. Comparison between MSE and MAE of alternative STAR-GARCH models (selected countries, 1995-1999)

		Hon	g Kong	Singar	oore
Threshold	Trimming	MSE	MAE	MSE	MAE
Nikkei225	-	0.032	1.383	-	-
Nikkei225	Simple STA	0.030	1.331	-	-
Nikkei225	Modified STA	0.029	1.330	-	-
S&P500	-	-	-	0.024	1.168
S&P500	Simple STA	0.033	1.431	0.027	1.290
S&P500	Modified STA	-	-	0.023	1.143

Notes: MSE = Mean Squared Error; MAE = Mean Absolute Error; MSE and MAE are calculated on the forecasting horizon 8th November 1999 – 28th December 2001.

Graphs



Graph 1. Nikkei225 stock price index (1985-1992)

Graph 2a. Stock price index (Korea, 1988-1990)



Graph 2c. Stock price index (Korea, 1995-1999)



Graph 3a. Stock price index (Hong Kong, 1988-1990)



Graph 3c. Stock price index (Hong Kong, 1995-1999)



Graph 2b. Daily returns (Korea, 01/01/88-07/06/90)



Graph 2d. Daily returns (Korea, 06/01/95-03/11/99)



Graph 3b. Daily returns (Hong Kong, 05/01/88-07/06/90)



Graph 3d. Daily returns (Hong Kong, 06/01/95-03/11/99)



Graph 4a. Stock price index (Malaysia, 1988-1990)



Graph 4c. Stock price index (Malaysia, 1995-1999)



Graph 5a. Stock price index (Singapore, 1988-1990)



Graph 5c. Stock price index (Singapore, 1995-1999)



Graph 4b. Daily returns (Malaysia, 1988-1990)



Graph 4d. Daily returns (Malaysia, 1995-1999)



Graph 5b. Daily returns (Singapore, 05/01/88-07/06/90)



Graph 5d. Daily returns (Singapore, 1995-1999)





Graph 6b. Daily returns (Taiwan, 1988-1990)



Graph 6c. Stock price index (Taiwan, 1995-1999)



Graph 6d. Daily returns (Taiwan, 1995-1999)





Graph 7. Transition function of selected STAR model with Nikkei225 (Korea, 1988-1990)













Graph 10a. Transition function of selected STAR model with Nikkei225 (Singapore, 1988-1990)

Graph 10b. Transition function of selected STAR model with S&P500 (Singapore, 1995-1999)





NOTE DI LAVORO DELLA FONDAZIONE ENI ENRICO MATTEI Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei Working Paper Series Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following address

Our working papers are available on the Internet at the following addresses:

http://www.feem.it/web/activ/_wp.html

http://papers.ssrn.com

SUST	1.2002	K. TANO, M.D. FAMINOW, M. KAMUANGA and B. SWALLOW: Using Conjoint Analysis to Estimate Farmers'
		Preferences for Cattle Traits in West Africa
ETA	2.2002	Efrem CASTELNUOVO and Paolo SURICO: What Does Monetary Policy Reveal about Central Bank's
		Preferences?
WAT	3 2002	Duncan KNOWLER and Edward BARBIER: The Economics of a "Mixed Blessing" Effect: A Case Study of the
	3.2002	Black Sea
CLIM	4 2002	
CLINI	4.2002	Andreas LOSCHEL: <u>lechnological Change in Economic Models of Environmental Policy: A Survey</u>
VOL	5.2002	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: <u>Stable Coalitions</u>
CLIM	6.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Alessandro LANZA and Matteo MANERA: Rockets and Feathers Revisited: An International
		Comparison on European Gasoline Markets
ETA	7.2002	Effrosyni DIAMANTOUDI and Effichios S. SARTZETAKIS: Stable International Environmental Agreements: An
		Analytical Approach
KNOW	8.2002	Alain DESDOIGTS: Neoclassical Convergence Versus Technological Catch-up: A Contribution for Reaching a
		Consensus
NRM	9.2002	Giuseppe DI VITA: Renewable Resources and Waste Recycling
KNOW	10 2002	Giorgio BRUNELLO: Is Training More Frequent when Wage Compression is Higher? Evidence from 11
11100	10.2002	Furnished Countries
FTA	11 2002	Morelacai KURZ, Hebui IIN and Maurizio MOTOLESE: Endogenous Eluctuations and the Role of Monetary
LIA	11.2002	Dolari
KNOW	12 2002	roncy
KNOW	12.2002	Rever GERLAGH and Marjan W. HOFRES: Escaping Lock-in: The Scope for a Transition towards Sustainable
1014	12 2002	Growth?
NKM	13.2002	Michele MORE 110 and Paolo ROSATO: <u>The Use of Common Property Resources: A Dynamic Model</u>
CLIM	14.2002	Philippe QUIRION: Macroeconomic Effects of an Energy Saving Policy in the Public Sector
CLIM	15.2002	Roberto ROSON: Dynamic and Distributional Effects of Environmental Revenue Recycling Schemes:
		Simulations with a General Equilibrium Model of the Italian Economy
CLIM	16.2002	Francesco RICCI (I): Environmental Policy Growth when Inputs are Differentiated in Pollution Intensity
ETA	17.2002	Alberto PETRUCCI: Devaluation (Levels versus Rates) and Balance of Payments in a Cash-in-Advance
		Economy
Coalition	18.2002	Láz lá KÁCZV (liu). The Care in the Dresence of Externalities
Theory		Laszio A. KOCZI (IIV). <u>The Core in the Presence of Externatities</u>
Network		
Coalition	19 2002	
Theory	17.2002	Steven J. BRAMS, Michael A. JONES and D. Marc KILGOUR (IIV): Single-Peakedness and Disconnected
Network		Coalitions
Coalition	20 2002	
Theorem	20.2002	Guillaume HAERINGER (liv): On the Stability of Cooperation Structures
Theory		
Network	21 2002	
NKM	21.2002	Fausto CAVALLARO and Luigi CIRAOLO: Economic and Environmental Sustainability: A Dynamic Approach
~ ~ ~		<u>in Insular Systems</u>
CLIM	22.2002	Barbara BUCHNER, Carlo CARRARO, Igor CERSOSIMO and Carmen MARCHIORI: <u>Back to Kyoto? US</u>
		Participation and the Linkage between R&D and Climate Cooperation
CLIM	23.2002	Andreas LÖSCHEL and ZhongXIANG ZHANG: The Economic and Environmental Implications of the US
		Repudiation of the Kyoto Protocol and the Subsequent Deals in Bonn and Marrakech
ETA	24.2002	Marzio GALEOTTI, Louis J. MACCINI and Fabio SCHIANTARELLI: Inventories, Employment and Hours
CLIM	25.2002	Hannes EGLI: Are Cross-Country Studies of the Environmental Kuznets Curve Misleading? New Evidence from
		Time Series Data for Germany
ETA	26.2002	Adam B. JAFFE. Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Environmental Policy and Technological
		Change
SUST	27 2002	Joseph C. COOPER and Giovanni SIGNORELLO: Farmer Premiums for the Voluntary Adoption of
2001	27.2002	Conservation Plans
SUST	28 2002	The ANSEA Network: Towards An Analytical Strategic Environmental Assessment
KNOW	20.2002	Proto SUBICO: Geographic Concentration and Increasing Deturns: a Survey of Evidence
	20 2002	Pohew N. STAUNS: Lossons from the American Experiment with Market Decad Environmental Delicies
LIA	30.2002	NOUER IN, STAVING, LESSONS NOM THE AMERICAN EXPERIMENT WITH MARKET-DASEU ENVIRONMENTAL POLICIES

NRM	31.2002	Carlo GIUPPONI and Paolo ROSATO: Multi-Criteria Analysis and Decision-Support for Water Management at
		the Catchment Scale: An Application to Diffuse Pollution Control in the Venice Lagoon
NRM	32.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: National Environmental Policy During the Clinton Years
KNOW	33.2002	A. SOUBEYRAN and H. STAHN : Do Investments in Specialized Knowledge Lead to Composite Good
		Industries?
KNOW	34.2002	G. BRUNELLO, M.L. PARISI and Daniela SONEDDA: Labor Taxes, Wage Setting and the Relative Wage
		Effect
CLIM	35.2002	C. BOEMARE and P. QUIRION (lv): Implementing Greenhouse Gas Trading in Europe: Lessons from
		Economic Theory and International Experiences
CLIM	36.2002	T.TIETENBERG (Iv): The Tradable Permits Approach to Protecting the Commons: What Have We Learned?
CLIM	37.2002	K. REHDANZ and R.J.S. TOL (IV): On National and International Trade in Greenhouse Gas Emission Permits
CLIM	38.2002	C. FISCHER (lv): Multinational Taxation and International Emissions Trading
SUST	39.2002	G. SIGNORELLO and G. PAPPALARDO: Farm Animal Biodiversity Conservation Activities in Europe under
		the Framework of Agenda 2000
NRM	40.2002	S. M. CAVANAGH, W. M. HANEMANN and R. N. STAVINS: Muffled Price Signals: Household Water Demand
		under Increasing-Block Prices
NRM	41.2002	A. J. PLANTINGA. R. N. LUBOWSKI and R. N. STAVINS: The Effects of Potential Land Development on
		Agricultural Land Prices
CLIM	42 2002	C OHI (1vi): Inducing Environmental Co-operation by the Design of Emission Permits
CLIM	43 2002	L EVCKMANS, D. VAN REGEMONTER and V. VAN STEENRERGHE (191). Is Kyoto Fatally Flawed? An
CLIW	45.2002	Analysis with MacGEM
CLIM	44 2002	Analysis with MacOEM A ANTOCI and S DORCHESI (hei): Working Too Much in a Dolluted World: A North South Evolutionomy
CLIM	44.2002	A. ANTOCI and S. BORGHESI (W). Working Too Much in a Ponuted world. A North-South Evolutionary
	45 2002	Model D. C. EREDRIKSSON I. I. A. UST ID: INHURPET(I.). Chainethe Southerteche Statement
EIA	45.2002	P. G. FREDRIKSSON, Johan A. LIST and Daniel MILLIMET (IVI): Chasing the Smokestack: Strategic
		Policymaking with Multiple Instruments
ETA	46.2002	Z. YU (Ivi): <u>A Theory of Strategic Vertical DFI and the Missing Pollution-Haven Effect</u>
SUST	47.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Can an Exhaustible Resource Economy Be Sustainable?
SUST	48.2002	Y. H. FARZIN: Sustainability and Hamiltonian Value
KNOW	49.2002	C. PIGA and M. VIVARELLI: Cooperation in R&D and Sample Selection
Coalition	50.2002	M. SERTEL and A. SLINKO (liv): Ranking Committees, Words or Multisets
Theory		
Network		
Coalition	51.2002	Sergio CURRARINI (liv): Stable Organizations with Externalities
		8
Theory		
Theory Network		
Theory Network FTA	52 2002	Robert N_STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
Theory Network ETA	52.2002 53.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments
Theory Network ETA ETA	52.2002 53.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and B. KLEIN (Jiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation
Theory Network ETA ETA	52.2002 53.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott RAPPETT (liji): Towards a Patter Climate Treaty
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Biskert O. Secture and Betwert N. STAVING: Cost Haterogeneity and the Potential Society Form Market
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Decided Delivier
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation?
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002	 Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 63.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 63.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 63.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <t< td=""></t<>
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Penvironmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 64.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 64.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or meaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <t< td=""></t<>
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 65.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <t< td=""></t<>
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PDIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <t< td=""></t<>
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV	 52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 57.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS: Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on <t< td=""></t<>
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV	52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 63.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 65.2002	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (lvii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (lvii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (lvii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F.WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's O </td
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV	 52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 68.2002 	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (vii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (vii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's.Q Federico
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM	 52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (liii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (liii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Franny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staying Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Ulnion Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM SUST	 52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 56.2002 57.2002 58.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 70.2002 	Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (iiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (iiii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market- Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Famny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economies in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernott WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity <
Theory Network ETA ETA CLIM ETA SUST SUST SUST VOL ETA PRIV PRIV SUST ETA PRIV CLIM CLIM SUST	 52.2002 53.2002 54.2002 55.2002 55.2002 57.2002 57.2002 59.2002 60.2002 61.2002 62.2002 64.2002 65.2002 66.2002 67.2002 68.2002 69.2002 70.2002 	 Robert N. STAVINS: Experience with Market-Based Policy Instruments C.C. JAEGER, M. LEIMBACH, C. CARRARO, K. HASSELMANN, J.C. HOURCADE, A. KEELER and R. KLEIN (Iiii): Integrated Assessment Modeling: Modules for Cooperation Scott BARRETT (Iiii): Towards a Better Climate Treaty Richard G. NEWELL and Robert N. STAVINS: Cost Heterogeneity and the Potential Savings from Market-Based Policies Paolo ROSATO and Edi DEFRANCESCO: Individual Travel Cost Method and Flow Fixed Costs Vladimir KOTOV and Elena NIKITINA (Ivii): Reorganisation of Environmental Policy in Russia: The Decade of Success and Failures in Implementation of Perspective Quests Vladimir KOTOV (Ivii): Policy in Transition: New Framework for Russia's Climate Policy Fanny MISSFELDT and Arturo VILLAVICENCO (Ivii): How Can Economics in Transition Pursue Emissions Trading or Joint Implementation? Giovanni DI BARTOLOMEO, Jacob ENGWERDA, Joseph PLASMANS and Bas VAN AARLE: Staving Together or Breaking Apart: Policy-Makers' Endogenous Coalitions Formation in the European Economic and Monetary Union Robert N. STAVINS, Alexander F. WAGNER and Gernot WAGNER: Interpreting Sustainability in Economic Terms: Dynamic Efficiency Plus Intergenerational Equity Carlo CAPUANO: Demand Growth, Entry and Collusion Sustainability Federico MUNARI and Raffaele ORIANI: Privatization and R&D Performance: An Empirical Analysis Based on Tobin's Q Federico MUNARI and Maurizio SOBRERO: The Effects of Privatization on R&D Investments and Patent Productivity Paolo SURICO: US Monetary Policy Rules: the Case for Asymmetric Preferences Rinaldo BRAU and Massimo FLORIO: Privatisations as Price Reforms: Evaluating Consumers' Welfare Changes in the UK. Barbara K. BUCHINER and Roberto ROSON: Co

NRM	72.2002	Philippe BONTEMS and Pascal FAVARD: Input Use and Capacity Constraint under Uncertainty: The Case of
	72 2002	Irrigation
PRIV	/3.2002	Mohammed OMRAN: The Performance of State-Owned Enterprises and Newly Privatized Firms: Empirical
PRIV	74 2002	Evidence from Egypt Mike RURKART Equato PANUNZL and Andrei SHI EIEER: Family Firms
PRIV	75.2002	<i>Emmanuelle AURIOL, Pierre M. PICARD:</i> Privatizations in Developing Countries and the Government Budget
	, 0.2002	Constraint
PRIV	76.2002	Nichole M. CASTATER: Privatization as a Means to Societal Transformation: An Empirical Study of
		Privatization in Central and Eastern Europe and the Former Soviet Union
PRIV	77.2002	Christoph LÜLSFESMANN: Benevolent Government, Managerial Incentives, and the Virtues of Privatization
PRIV	78.2002	Kate BISHOP, Igor FILATOTCHEV and Tomasz MICKIEWICZ: Endogenous Ownership Structure: Factors
		Affecting the Post-Privatisation Equity in Largest Hungarian Firms
PRIV	79.2002	Theodora WELCH and Rick MOLZ: <u>How Does Trade Sale Privatization Work?</u>
DDIV	80 2002	Evidence from the Fixed-Line Telecommunications Sector in Developing Economies
PKIV	80.2002	Alberto R. PETRUCCI: Government Debt, Agent Heterogeneity and wealth Displacement in a Small Open
CLIM	81 2002	Timothy SWANSON and Robin MASON (lvi): The Impact of International Environmental Agreements: The Case
CLIM	01.2002	of the Montreal Protocol
PRIV	82.2002	George R.G. CLARKE and Lixin Colin XU: Privatization. Competition and Corruption: How Characteristics of
		Bribe Takers and Payers Affect Bribe Payments to Utilities
PRIV	83.2002	Massimo FLORIO and Katiuscia MANZONI: The Abnormal Returns of UK Privatisations: From Underpricing
		to Outperformance
NRM	84.2002	Nelson LOURENÇO, Carlos RUSSO MACHADO, Maria do ROSÁRIO JORGE and Luís RODRIGUES: An
		Integrated Approach to Understand Territory Dynamics. The Coastal Alentejo (Portugal)
CLIM	85.2002	Peter ZAPFEL and Matti VAINIO (lv): Pathways to European Greenhouse Gas Emissions Trading History and
CL D (06.0000	Misconceptions
CLIM	86.2002	Pierre COURTOIS: Influence Processes in Climate Change Negotiations: Modelling the Rounds
EIA	87.2002	VIIO FRAGNELLI and Maria Erminia MARINA (IVIII): <u>Environmental Pollution Risk and Insurance</u>
ETA FTA	89 2002	Timo GOESCHI and Timothy M SWANSON (lyiji): Lost Horizons. The noncooperative management of an
	07.2002	evolutionary biological system
ETA	90.2002	Hans KEIDING (Iviji): Environmental Effects of Consumption: An Approach Using DEA and Cost Sharing
ETA	91.2002	Wietze LISE (lviii): A Game Model of People's Participation in Forest Management in Northern India
CLIM	92.2002	Jens HORBACH: Structural Change and Environmental Kuznets Curves
ETA	93.2002	Martin P. GROSSKOPF: Towards a More Appropriate Method for Determining the Optimal Scale of Production
		Units
VOL	94.2002	Scott BARRETT and Robert STAVINS: Increasing Participation and Compliance in International Climate Change
CL D (05 0000	Agreements
CLIM	95.2002	Banu BAYRAMOGLU LISE and Wietze LISE: Climate Change, Environmental NGOs and Public Awareness in
CUM	06 2002	International control of the control
KNOW	90.2002	Kenn ARIGA and Giorgio BRUNELLO: Are the More Educated Receiving More Training? Evidence from
KINOW	77.2002	Thailand
ETA	98.2002	Gianfranco FORTE and Matteo MANERA: Forecasting Volatility in European Stock Markets with Non-linear
		GARCH Models
ETA	99.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: Bundling Biodiversity
ETA	100.2002	Geoffrey HEAL, Brian WALKER, Simon LEVIN, Kenneth ARROW, Partha DASGUPTA, Gretchen DAILY, Paul
		EHRLICH, Karl-Goran MALER, Nils KAUTSKY, Jane LUBCHENCO, Steve SCHNEIDER and David
		STARRETT: Genetic Diversity and Interdependent Crop Choices in Agriculture
ETA	101.2002	Geoffrey HEAL: <u>Biodiversity and Globalization</u>
VOL	102.2002	Andreas LANGE: Heterogeneous International Agreements – If per capita emission levels matter
EIA	103.2002	Pierre-Andre JOUVEI and Walid OUESLAII: <u>Tax Reform and Public Spending Trade-offs in an Endogenous</u>
ETA	104 2002	Anna ROTTASSO and Alassandro SEMBENELLI: Does Ownership Affect Firms' Efficiency? Panel Date
LIA	104.2002	Fidence on Italy
PRIV	105.2002	Bernardo BORTOLOTTI, Frank DE JONG, Giovanna NICODANO and Ibolva SCHINDELE: Privatization and
		Stock Market Liquidity
ETA	106.2002	Haruo IMAI and Mayumi HORIE (Iviii): Pre-Negotiation for an International Emission Reduction Game
PRIV	107.2002	Sudeshna GHOSH BANERJEE and Michael C. MUNGER: Move to Markets? An Empirical Analysis of
		Privatisation in Developing Countries
PRIV	108.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS and Michel GUILLARD: Privatization and Investment: Crowding-Out Effect vs Financial
DD ••••	100 0000	Diversification
PRIV	109.2002	Alberto CHONG and Florencio LOPEZ-DE-SILANES: Privatization and Labor Force Restructuring Around the
	110 2002	World Nandini CUDT () Dertial Drivertization and Firm Derformer
	110.2002	Francois DEGEORGE Dirk IENTER Alberto MOEL and Pater TUEANO: Salling Company Shares to
1 1/1 #	111.2002	Reluctant Employees: France Telecom's Experience

PRIV	112.2002	Isaac OTCHERE: Intra-Industry Effects of Privatization Announcements: Evidence from Developed and
		Developing Countries
PRIV	113.2002	Yannis KATSOULAKOS and Elissavet LIKOYANNI: Fiscal and Other Macroeconomic Effects of Privatization
PRIV	114.2002	Guillaume GIRMENS: Privatization, International Asset Trade and Financial Markets
PRIV	115.2002	D. Teja FLOTHO: A Note on Consumption Correlations and European Financial Integration
PRIV	116.2002	Ibolya SCHINDELE and Enrico C. PEROTTI: Pricing Initial Public Offerings in Premature Capital Markets:
		The Case of Hungary
PRIV	1.2003	Gabriella CHIESA and Giovanna NICODANO: Privatization and Financial Market Development: Theoretical
		Issues
PRIV	2.2003	Ibolya SCHINDELE: Theory of Privatization in Eastern Europe: Literature Review
PRIV	3.2003	Wietze LISE, Claudia KEMFERT and Richard S.J. TOL: Strategic Action in the Liberalised German Electricity
		Market
CLIM	4.2003	Laura MARSILIANI and Thomas I. RENSTROM: Environmental Policy and Capital Movements: The Role of
		Government Commitment
KNOW	5.2003	Reyer GERLAGH: Induced Technological Change under Technological Competition
ETA	6.2003	Efrem CASTELNUOVO: Squeezing the Interest Rate Smoothing Weight with a Hybrid Expectations Model
SIEV	7.2003	Anna ALBERINI, Alberto LONGO, Stefania TONIN, Francesco TROMBETTA and Margherita TURVANI: <u>The</u>
		Role of Liability, Regulation and Economic Incentives in Brownfield Remediation and Redevelopment:
1014	0.000	Evidence from Surveys of Developers
NRM	8.2003	Elissatos PAPYRAKIS and Reyer GERLAGH: <u>Natural Resources: A Blessing or a Curse?</u>
CLIM	9.2003	A. CAPARROS, JC. PEREAU and T. TAZDAIT: North-South Climate Change Negotiations: a Sequential Game
WNOW	10 2002	with Asymmetric Information
KNOW	10.2003	Giorgio BRUNELLO and Daniele CHECCHI: School Quality and Family Background in Italy
CLIM	11.2003	Effert CASTELNOOV and Marzio GALEOTTI: Learning By Doing vs Learning By Researching in a Model of
KNOW	12 2002	Climate Change Policy Analysis
KNUW	12.2003	Carole MAIGNAN, Glanmarco OTTAVIANO and Dino PINELLI (eds.): Economic Growin, Innovation, Cultural
KNOW	13 2003	Carola MAIGNAN Gianmarco OTTAVIANO Dino PINELLI and Erangesco PIULIANI (bux): Bio Ecological
KINOW	13.2003	Diversity vs. Socio-Economic Diversity A Comparison of Existing Measures
KNOW	14 2003	Maddy IANSSENS and Chris STEVAERT (lyix): Theories of Diversity within Organisation Studies: Debates and
KINO W	14.2005	Future Trajectories
KNOW	15 2003	Turin RAYCAN LEVENT Enno MASUREL and Poter NUKAMP (lvix): Diversity in Entrepreneurshin: Ethnic
KINO W	15.2005	and Female Roles in Urban Economic Life
KNOW	16 2003	Alexandra RITUSIKOVA (lvix): Post-Communist City on its Way from Grey to Colourful: The Case Study from
	10.2005	Slovakia
KNOW	17.2003	Billy E. VAUGHN and Katarina MLEKOV (lvix): A Stage Model of Developing an Inclusive Community
KNOW	18.2003	Selma van LONDEN and Arie de RUIJTER (lvix): Managing Diversity in a Glocalizing World
Coalition	19.2003	Sergio CURRARINI: On the Stability of Hierarchies in Games with Externalities
Theory		
Network		
PRIV	20.2003	Giacomo CALZOLARI and Alessandro PAVAN (lvx): Monopoly with Resale
PRIV	21.2003	Claudio MEZZETTI (lvx): Auction Design with Interdependent Valuations: The Generalized Revelation
		Principle, Efficiency, Full Surplus Extraction and Information Acquisition
PRIV	22.2003	Marco LiCalzi and Alessandro PAVAN (lvx): Tilting the Supply Schedule to Enhance Competition in Uniform-
		Price Auctions
PRIV	23.2003	David ETTINGER (lvx): Bidding among Friends and Enemies
PRIV	24.2003	Hannu VARTIAINEN (lvx): Auction Design without Commitment
PRIV	25.2003	Matti KELOHARJU, Kjell G. NYBORG and Kristian RYDQVIST (lvx): Strategic Behavior and Underpricing in
		Uniform Price Auctions: Evidence from Finnish Treasury Auctions
PRIV	26.2003	Christine A. PARLOUR and Uday RAJAN (Ivx): <u>Rationing in IPOs</u>
PRIV	27.2003	Kjell G. NYBORG and Ilya A. STREBULAEV (Ivx): <u>Multiple Unit Auctions and Short Squeezes</u>
PRIV	28.2003	Anders LUNANDER and Jan-Eric NILSSON (lvx): <u>Taking the Lab to the Field: Experimental Tests of</u>
	20,2002	Alternative Mechanisms to Procure Multiple Contracts
PRIV	29.2003	TangaMcDANIEL and Karsten NEUHOFF (IVX): Use of Long-term Auctions for Network Investment
	30.2003	Emiel MAASLAND and Sander UNDERSTAL (IVX): <u>Auctions with Financial Externalities</u>
EIA	31.2003	Michael FINUS and Blanca KUNDSHAGEN: A Non-cooperative Foundation of Core-Stability in Positive
KNOW	22 2002	Exicinality INTO-Coalition Oalles Michala MORETTO: Competition and Irreversible Investments under Uncertainty
DRIV	32.2003	Philippe OURION: Relative Quotes: Correct Answer to Uncertainty or Case of Pagulatory Conture?
KNOW	34 2003	Giusenne MED4 Claudio PIG4 and Donald SIEGEI: On the Relationship between D&D and Droductivity: A
IXINO W	JT.2003	Treatment Effect Analysis
ETA	35 2003	Alessandra DEL BOCA Marzio GALEOTTI and Paola ROTA. Non-convexities in the Adjustment of Different
	55.2005	Capital Inputs: A Firm-level Investigation

GG	36.2003	Matthieu GLACHANT: Voluntary Agreements under Endogenous Legislative Threats
PRIV	37.2003	Narjess BOUBAKRI, Jean-Claude COSSET and Omrane GUEDHAMI: Postprivatization Corporate
		Governance: the Role of Ownership Structure and Investor Protection
CLIM	38.2003	Rolf GOLOMBEK and Michael HOEL: Climate Policy under Technology Spillovers
KNOW	39.2003	Slim BEN YOUSSEF: Transboundary Pollution, R&D Spillovers and International Trade
CTN	40.2003	Carlo CARRARO and Carmen MARCHIORI: Endogenous Strategic Issue Linkage in International Negotiations
KNOW	41.2003	Sonia OREFFICE: Abortion and Female Power in the Household: Evidence from Labor Supply
KNOW	42.2003	Timo GOESCHL and Timothy SWANSON: On Biology and Technology: The Economics of Managing
		Biotechnologies
ETA	43.2003	Giorgio BUSETTI and Matteo MANERA: STAR-GARCH Models for Stock Market Interactions in the Pacific
		Basin Region, Japan and US

(1) This paper was presented at the Workshop "Growth, Environmental Policies and Sustainability" organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, June 1, 2001

(li) This paper was presented at the Fourth Toulouse Conference on Environment and Resource Economics on "Property Rights, Institutions and Management of Environmental and Natural Resources", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, IDEI and INRA and sponsored by MATE, Toulouse, May 3-4, 2001

(lii) This paper was presented at the International Conference on "Economic Valuation of Environmental Goods", organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei in cooperation with CORILA, Venice, May 11, 2001

(liii) This paper was circulated at the International Conference on "Climate Policy – Do We Need a New Approach?", jointly organised by Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Stanford University and Venice International University, Isola di San Servolo, Venice, September 6-8, 2001

(liv) This paper was presented at the Seventh Meeting of the Coalition Theory Network organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and the CORE, Université Catholique de Louvain, Venice, Italy, January 11-12, 2002

(lv) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of the Concerted Action on Tradable Emission Permits (CATEP) organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Venice, Italy, December 3-4, 2001 (lvi) This paper was presented at the ESF EURESCO Conference on Environmental Policy in a Global Economy "The International Dimension of Environmental Policy", organised with the collaboration of the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Acquafredda di Maratea, October 6-11, 2001

(lvii) This paper was presented at the First Workshop of "CFEWE – Carbon Flows between Eastern and Western Europe", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei and Zentrum fur Europaische Integrationsforschung (ZEI), Milan, July 5-6, 2001

(lviii) This paper was presented at the Workshop on "Game Practice and the Environment", jointly organised by Università del Piemonte Orientale and Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Alessandria, April 12-13, 2002

(lvix) This paper was presented at the ENGIME Workshop on "Mapping Diversity", Leuven, May 16-17, 2002

(lvx) This paper was presented at the EuroConference on "Auctions and Market Design: Theory, Evidence and Applications", organised by the Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei, Milan, September 26-28, 2002

2002 SERIES

CLIM	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
VOL	Voluntary and International Agreements (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SUST	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Dino Pinelli)
MGMT	Corporate Sustainable Management (Editor: Andrea Marsanich)
PRIV	Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)

2003 SERIES

CLIM	Climate Change Modelling and Policy (Editor: Marzio Galeotti)
GG	Global Governance (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
SIEV	Sustainability Indicators and Environmental Valuation (Editor: Anna Alberini)
NRM	Natural Resources Management (Editor: Carlo Giupponi)
KNOW	Knowledge, Technology, Human Capital (Editor: Gianmarco Ottaviano)
IEM	International Energy Markets (Editor: Anil Markandya)
CSRM	Corporate Social Responsibility and Management (Editor: Sabina Ratti)
PRIV	Privatisation, Regulation, Antitrust (Editor: Bernardo Bortolotti)
ETA	Economic Theory and Applications (Editor: Carlo Carraro)
CTN	Coalition Theory Network