

Yamamura, Eiji; Andrés, Antonio R.

Working Paper

Influence of age of child on differences in life satisfaction of males and females

EERI Research Paper Series, No. 02/2015

Provided in Cooperation with:

Economics and Econometrics Research Institute (EERI), Brussels

Suggested Citation: Yamamura, Eiji; Andrés, Antonio R. (2015) : Influence of age of child on differences in life satisfaction of males and females, EERI Research Paper Series, No. 02/2015, Economics and Econometrics Research Institute (EERI), Brussels

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/142676>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

**Influence of age of child on differences in life satisfaction of
males and females: A comparative study among East Asian
countries**

Eiji Yamamura and Antonio R. Andrés

EERI Research Paper Series No 02/2015

ISSN: 2031-4892



EERI
Economics and Econometrics Research Institute
Avenue de Beaulieu
1160 Brussels
Belgium

Tel: +322 298 8491
Fax: +322 298 8490
www.eeri.eu

Influence of age of child on differences in life satisfaction of
males and females: a comparative study among East Asian
countries

Eiji Yamamura*

Department of Economics, Seinan Gakuin University,

Antonio R. Andrés

*Universidad Camilo José Cela Facultad de Ciencias Jurídicas y Económicas
Departamento de ADE y Economía*

Abstract. Using individual-level data for China, Korea, and Japan for 2006, this research examines how life satisfaction for married males and females in East Asian countries is influenced by the age of their children. Our results show (1) the life satisfaction of females who have a child younger than 12 years old is lower than that of females with no children. (2) The greater the marginal effect of child's age on the life satisfaction, the more developed a nation's economic condition.

Keywords: Life satisfaction, child, East Asian countries, Ordered probit.

JEL classification: D19, J13, J16

* Corresponding author. Tel: +81 92 823 4543; Fax: +81 92 823 2506;
Address: 6-2-92 Nishijin, Sawara-ku Fukuoka 814-8511, Japan
E-mail address: yamaei@seinan-gu.ac.jp.

1. Introduction

Life satisfaction has long been a topic of interest to sociologists but not economists. Existing works have developed economic models that offer an explanation as to why parents need to have children from an economic perspective (for example, Becker 1981; Barro and Becker 1989; Boldrin and Jones 2002; Moav 2005)¹. In recent years, a number of economists have investigated the impact of socioeconomic conditions on different measures of subjective well-being (SWB), measured as self-reported levels of happiness or life satisfaction (for an excellent review, see Dolan et al. 2008). These empirical studies primarily focus on the effects of microeconomic and macroeconomic conditions on individual well-being (life satisfaction and happiness).² The family structure seems to be one of the key determinants of SWB. The empirical evidence from economics of happiness literature states that having children increases SWB (Dolan et al. 2008). However, in psychology literature, there are several studies that suggest that the presence and number of children decrease life satisfaction (for instance, White et al. 1986; Tsang et al. 2003; Twenge et al. 2003; Aassve et al., 2012).

Child care is needed more when children are young, particularly before they enter primary school. In addition, females are likely to spend much more time on childrearing than males. These factors lead us to infer that the effect of children on parental satisfaction appears to depend on the age of the child and gender of the parent.

¹ Children can be considered as an investment good, or may serve as cheap labor. Another reason to have children is for their support in old age as a way of reducing economic uncertainty and to secure economic, physical, and emotional care.

² The influence of happiness on various facets of modern life has been also examined. For instance, Sabatini (2011) found a positive relationship between happiness and health status.

In recent sociological literature, Dew and Wilcox (2011) examined how the presence of a child influences life satisfaction, but not the effect that the child's age may have on life satisfaction. Clark et al. (2008) used German panel data to show that parents' life satisfaction decreased over time, with higher levels at the birth of a child, and lower levels when the child is 5 years old. However, it is unclear how the impact of the age of a child on life satisfaction differs across genders. Furthermore, many studies suggesting a negative impact of children on life satisfaction have focused on individualistic countries such as the United States and Canada. Nevertheless, the relationship between children and life satisfaction may also vary according to cultural context (for example, Dillon and Beechler 2010). In this paper, we are interested to see if this effect can be found in collectivist cultures, as in Asia (Moghaddam et al. 1993). There are differences in economic development and cultural values among Asian countries, thus, it is of interest to compare the influence of the child's age on parental life satisfaction in these countries.

The aim of this paper is to examine the association between children's age and life satisfaction across genders using a large individual dataset from three Asian countries (China, Korea, and Japan) at different stages of development. This study is the first attempt at a cross-country analysis of the association between age of child and life satisfaction in three major Asian countries—China, Japan, and Korea. We use compatible cross-country (individual-level) data that enable us to consistently compare results among the countries. Furthermore, findings in this study can be useful when compared with findings in the study by Aassve et al. (2012) that used the European Social Survey (ESS). The remainder of the paper is organized as follows.³ Section 2

³ Given the cross-sectional nature of the data, we are not able to provide our results in terms of cause

provides the hypothesis. Section 3 describes the data and empirical methodology. Section 4 reports the main empirical results, and section 5 concludes.

2. Hypothesis

Females typically cannot work for a while after they give birth. From the view point of economics, females are thought to have a comparative advantage with respect to helping with homework and providing child care (Becker 1981). Males have a comparative advantage at working to earn money. According to data of the World Bank, in 2006 the ratio of female to male tertiary enrollment was 88, 66, and 96 for Japan, Korea, and China, respectively⁴. This suggests that parents are more inclined to invest in a son's rather than a daughter's education in East Asian countries. Consequently, the higher human capital of males results in higher wages in the labor market compared with females. This leads to females becoming housewives rather than full-time employees in the workplace⁵. However, housewives often work part-time after marriage. If the wife gives birth, she is likely to devote more of her time and energy to the child. She may spend less time with her husband or work part-time and devote more time to domestic duties (Dew & Wilcox, 2011; Nomaguchi & Milkie, 2003)⁶.

The existence of a child can increase the utility of parents, and thus a child can

and effect, but rather, in terms of association. Indeed, one might also argue the existence of reverse causality between children and life satisfaction.

⁴ See <http://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SE.ENR.TERT.FM.ZS> (accessed January 6, 2012).

⁵ Recently, researchers have paid much attention to the role played by "identity" in human behavior. In the case of housework, females may consider doing that task as part of their self image. Thus, time for housework increases their utility (Akerlof and Kranton 2000).

⁶ It is also plausible that life satisfaction can decline because the expectations of women regarding equitable and fair work are not met (Twenge et al. 2003; Dew and Wilcox 2011), including a husband's lack of contribution to housework. Women are happier when they can share their domestic tasks and child care with their husbands (for instance, Amato et al. 2003).

be considered as a consumption good in the utility function (Becker 1981). That is, a child has a positive effect on life satisfaction of parents. Alternatively, parents are obligated to provide child care when the child care market has not been well developed. In real-life situations, the wife usually provides child care because of a shortage of child care services. Providing child care reduces the wife's leisure time. However, it does not increase her income because she is not in the labor market⁷. As a consequence, providing child care decreases the wife's utility. Regarding the positive and negative effects of having a child, children can reduce life satisfaction when the negative effect outweighs the positive. The amount of time husbands spend on child care is often less than that spent by wives. Hence, the probability that the negative effect of having a child outweighs the positive effect is higher for the wife than for the husband. As a consequence, the net effect of having a child is more likely to be negative for the wife compared with the husband.

The relationship between life satisfaction and children may also vary according to the age of the child. The amount of time required for child care is greater when the child is young because infants require almost constant attention and care. Older children demand less care and spend much of their time in school. Thus, they create less of a conflicting role for parental caregivers (Kalenkoski et al. 2009; Twenge et al. 2003). It seems plausible that life satisfaction declines after the birth of a child and recovers as the child becomes older and more independent.

A collectivist culture is characterized by tight social connectedness and conformity to social norms. This type of culture fosters familial relationships that

⁷ Her husband's income increases as his working hours increase (for her, working hours decrease). Accordingly, household income increases because her husband's wage rate is higher than hers, resulting in an increase in the husband's and wife's utility. Such an income effect should be controlled in order to decompose the effect of having a child into various factors when empirical analysis is conducted.

require loyalty, cooperation, duty, and reciprocity from all members (Moghadoam et.al. 1993). In Asian countries based on such a culture, "regarding childcare, grandparents and other members of the extended family are more likely to cooperate in raising children." (Dillon and Beechler 2010, p. 10). Even in Asian countries, the traditional values stemming from a collectivist culture change as a consequence of economic development. The mother's child rearing burden becomes larger as family support for raising a child becomes smaller. In other words, an increase in burden for the mother might be caused in part by a decline of social capital in developed countries (Putnam 2000). Furthermore, the opportunity cost of child care appears to increase as the human capital of females increases. In more developed countries, females have more education. Thus, their human capital is greater. In addition, females are more likely to have opportunities for higher earnings in these countries. Consequently, the opportunity cost of a child becomes higher. Hence, the existence of a child is thought to result in decreased life satisfaction in developed countries.

A summary of the above argument is as follows. The human capital of females is considered lower than that of males even if it has increased. The wife is likely to spend much more time on childrearing than the husband. Inevitably, the negative effect of having a child is larger for females than for males. Furthermore, the larger the negative effect, the younger the child tends to be. In addition, the larger the negative effect on the female, the larger her human capital tends to be. The hypothesis can be postulated as follows:

Hypothesis: Females experience greater life dissatisfaction compared with males when the child is young. This negative effect of small children on life satisfaction is greater

in more developed countries.

3. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

3.1. Data

The empirical analysis in this paper is based on micro data collected from the CGSS (Chinese General Social Surveys), JGSS (Japanese General Social Surveys), and KGSS (Korean General Social Surveys), which were conducted in 2006 and provided by the East Asian Social Survey Data Archive (EASSDA 2006). These surveys provide rich information regarding respondents' demographic and socioeconomic status and other particular aspects of the surveyed country. The surveys were designed uniformly and have common questionnaires, which make them largely comparable with each other. With the aim of comparing the effect of age of child on life satisfaction between different countries, we used the integrated EASS data collected by participating institutions in China, Korea, and Japan.⁸ In the first survey round, the questionnaire incorporated a special module on "Family in East Asia (EASS 2006)". This family module questionnaire included questions concerning behaviors and attitudes of respondents toward their family members and relatives. Specifically, it focused on intergenerational support, attitudes toward the obligation to support aged parents, and attitudes toward primogeniture.⁹ Three survey rounds have been conducted so far. Throughout all countries, data were collected through face-to-face

⁸ The participating institutions in China, Korea, and Japan were the Department of Sociology, Renmin University of China (Beijing); Survey Research Center, Sungkyunkwan University (Seoul); and JGSS Research Center, Osaka University of Commerce (Osaka). The Institution of Taiwan also participated in EASS. This paper attempts to compare countries representing different stages of economic development. Taiwan and Korea were considered to be at a similar stage. Hence, Taiwan was excluded in this paper.

⁹ The data were provided by the website of EASS (<http://www.eass.info/about/about01.php>) accessed on November 1, 2009.

interviews. The sample size was gender balanced across the surveyed countries (see Table 1).

The survey included non-married people and those who did not have a child. This paper focused on effect of age of children, rather than the presence of a child. Hence, those who did not have a child were excluded from the sample. In addition, we restricted the sample to only married people for the purpose of exploring the influence of spouse's characteristics on life satisfaction. The mean age of the sample was 45.0 (China), 46.8 (Korea), and 54.5 (Japan).

3.2. *Dependent variable*

The dependent variable was self-reported life satisfaction. This measure was derived from the respondents' answer to the question, "All things considered, how satisfied are you with your life as a whole these days?" on a five-point scale (1 = "strongly dissatisfied" to 5 = "strongly satisfied")¹⁰. The distribution of life satisfaction varies across East Asian countries. The most frequent response in China and Korea was 3. However, in China, the rate of those who responded 2 (dissatisfied) was about 45 %, which is far higher than the rate of those who responded 4 (satisfied). On the other hand, in Korea, the rate of those who responded 4 (satisfied) was about 30 %, which is far higher than the rate of those who responded 2 (dissatisfied). Hence, Korean respondents were more likely to be satisfied with their life than Chinese respondents. The rate of participants in Japan who responded 4 (satisfied) was 37 %, which was almost the same as the rate of those who responded 3. Furthermore, the rate of Japanese participants who responded 5 (very satisfied) was about 10 %, which is

¹⁰ The five-point scale for life satisfaction could have over-generalized the results, representing a limitation of the data. Caution should be exercised when the results of estimations are interpreted.

approximately two times higher than that of Koreans. This suggests that Japanese individuals are more inclined to be satisfied with their lives than are Koreans. These results considered together imply that degree of life satisfaction is positively associated with level of economic development.

3.3. *Independent variables*

Summary statistics of the independent variables used for the estimations are reported in Table 2. The definition of each variable is as follows: *Child_12* is 1 if the respondent has a child aged less than 12 years old, otherwise 0. *Child_13_18* is 1 if the respondent has a child aged between 13 and 18 years old, otherwise 0. *Number of children* is the total number of children. *Education* is the respondent's schooling years. *Spouse's education* is the spouse's years of schooling. *Unemployment* is 1 if the respondent is unemployed, otherwise 0. *Income* is the household income. Furthermore, there are 6 generation dummies for the respondents¹¹ and 6 generation dummies for respondents' spouses¹².

As mentioned earlier, the psychological and economic costs of having a child are profoundly associated with the age of the child. For instance, the time required for active care is longer when the child is younger (see Kalenkoski et al. 2009). Thus, age of child appears to be associated with life satisfaction. The education system is similar

¹¹ *Generation 20* is 1 if the respondent is aged 20–29, otherwise 0. *Generation 30* is 1 if the respondent is aged 30–39, otherwise 0. *Generation 40* is 1 if the respondent is aged 40–49, otherwise 0. *Generation 50* is 1 if the respondent is aged 50–59, otherwise 0. *Generation 60* is 1 if the respondent is aged 60–69, otherwise 0. *Generation 70* is 1 if the respondent is aged over 70 years old. The reference group is those aged less than 20 years old.

¹² *Spouse's generation 20* is 1 if the spouse is aged 20–29, otherwise 0. *Spouse's generation 30* is 1 if the spouse is aged 30–39, otherwise 0. *Spouse's generation 40* is 1 if the spouse is aged 40–49, otherwise 0. *Spouse's generation 50* is 1 if the spouse is aged 50–59, otherwise 0. *Spouse's generation 60* is 1 if the spouse is aged 60–69, otherwise 0. *Spouse's generation 70* is 1 if the spouse is aged over 70 years old. The reference group is those aged less than 20 years old.

in China, Korea, and Japan as follows: elementary school age is 6–12-year-olds. After graduating from elementary school, students advance to junior high school (3 years) and then to high school (a further 3 years). This means that students usually graduate from high school at 18 years old. After completing high school, university is a further 4 years¹³. In these countries, the time when student returns home from the primary school is earlier than that from the junior high school. So, there is difference of student's returning home between primary and junior high school. Cost of parents' childbearing distinctly decreased after child entered junior high school. Further, after graduating high school, parents come to respect their child's initiative. Therefore there is difference of parents' cost of childbearing between before and after child graduated from high school. In summary, effect of child's age is not continuous. Hence, *Child_12* and *Child13_18* are incorporated to capture the age of child effect. *Child_12* is a dummy variable that takes 1 if the respondent has a child younger than 12 years old; otherwise, it is 0. *Child13_18* is a dummy variable that takes 1 if the respondent has a child between 13 and 18 years old; otherwise, it is 0. For those who have a child younger than 12 years old and a child between 12 and 18 years old, both *Child_12* and *Child13_18* take 1. Hence, *Child_12* and *Child13_18* are not mutually exclusive.

The period prior to a child entering junior high school is captured by *Child_12*, when a mother must pay special attention to child care. For instance, primary school students finish their school day early, and they are too young to look after themselves. Thus, it is necessary for parents to take care of the younger children

¹³ Information regarding the education systems in China, Korea, and Japan can be accessed as follows: http://www.mext.go.jp/b_menu/hakusho/html/hpbz198103/index.html. (accessed on July 28, 2010); <http://education.stateuniversity.com/pages/1400/South-Korea-EDUCATIONAL-SYSTEM-OVERVIEW.html>. (accessed on July 28, 2010); and <http://www.edu.cn/20041203/3123354.shtml>. (accessed on July 28, 2010).

outside of school hours. After entering junior high school, children may develop into troubled teenagers, and so the relationship between child and parent enters a different stage, which is captured by *Child13_18*. Parents are required to make an effort to maintain a good relationship with their child, leading to higher economic and psychological costs. Once the teenage years have passed, a child becomes an adult and is considered to be independent of their parents.¹⁴ If the cost of raising a child is one of reasons for a decrease in parents' life satisfaction as proposed by the hypothesis, *Child_12* and *Child13_18* are predicted to take the negative sign. The coefficient's absolute value of *Child_12* is greater than that of *Child13_18*. In addition to the age of the child, *Number of children* was incorporated as an independent variable to capture the effect of quantity of children. Because of "scale economy", the marginal cost of rearing children decreases as the number of children increases. On the other hand, the positive effect of rearing children on life satisfaction declines if marginal utility decreases as the number of children increases. It is not clear whether the marginal cost of rearing children outweighs the marginal utility. Accordingly, *Number of children* took both signs. Number of children was slightly over 2 in Korea and Japan. Number of children was 1.7 in China, although a one-child policy was adopted in 1979. Prior to that, there was no restriction on number of children. This might be the reason why the number of children was more than 1 in China.

Education level of partners is usually considered a control variable in life satisfaction literature (for instance, White and Rogers 2000). The wife's education level has a positive effect on the husband's earnings and vice versa (e.g., Mano and Yamamura 2011; Yamamura and Mano 2012). There are a number of studies

¹⁴ Once a child becomes independent, the parents' cost of having a child is thought to be invariable.

suggesting that the quality of marital relationships is positively associated with partners' education level (e.g., Stanley et al. 2006; Halfrod et al. 2003). In this study, education level was measured by years of schooling completed by respondents and their spouses (*Education* and *Spouse's education*). Females lose a large amount of their potential income as a consequence of leaving work to give birth and raise children.¹⁵ The higher a female's opportunity cost becomes, the higher her education level (Cabinet Office, Government of Japan 2005). In our sample as presented in Table 2, *Education* for females was 7.6, 11.9, and 12.1 years in China, Korea, and Japan, respectively. This suggests that years of schooling are greater in more developed countries. Furthermore, in all countries, *Education* for females was less than *Education* for males. The difference in *Education* between males and females was 1.2, 1.0, and 0.5 years in China, Korea, and Japan, respectively. It follows from this that the difference in human capital between genders diminishes in accordance with economic development. That is, it is appropriate to argue that the mother's opportunity cost of a child becomes higher in more developed countries, reducing life satisfaction. This is consistent with the hypothesis proposed earlier.

Negative experiences like unemployment are expected to influence life satisfaction. Unemployment affects individuals beyond simply income loss; it also influences people at an emotional level, and often leads to depression. The stress resulting from one partner being unemployed and the necessity of taking care of a child may also aggravate partner life satisfaction. To consider the employment status of the respondents, we included a dummy variable that takes a value of 1 when the individual

¹⁵ In Japan, if a female university graduate returns to employment as a part-time or casual worker, her rate of lost earnings will be over 80% of her potential lifetime wages (Cabinet office, Government of Japan 2005, Ch. 3).

is unemployed. The sign for *Unemployment* is expected to be negative.

Within a household, instead of an increase in time spent on care giving by the wife, the wife's working hours decrease. This leads to an increase in both her husband's working hours and household income because his wage rate is higher than hers. Such an indirect effect of having a child should be controlled when examining the hypothesis. Existing studies have addressed the relationship between family income and life satisfaction, and the empirical evidence is mixed. While some studies find a positive association between family income and marital happiness (Amato et al. 2003), others find no association (Amato and Rogers 1997). To take into account family income, we included household income (*Income*) measured as the total net income of the household from all sources. Traditional research has argued that happiness does not change or very slightly increases with age (Diener et al. 1999; Argyle 2001). In more recent works ascertaining the determinants of life satisfaction, the coefficient sign for age was negative while that for the square of age was positive. Further, both were statistically significant (e.g., Alesina et al. 2004; Blanchflower & Oswald 2004, 2008; Di Tella & MacCulloch 2008). Hence, there is a U-shape association between life satisfaction and age. This implies that the effect of age on life satisfaction is non-linear. Hence, to control for respondents' and spouses' ages, respondent and spouse generation dummies were included as independent variables (Bjørnskov et al. 2008a).

Apart from the independent variables taken into account in the present study, there are several other variables, including institutional condition, that can influence life satisfaction (Helliwell 2006; Heilliwel & Huang 2008; Dorn et al. 2008). Political factors can also affect life satisfaction. Bjørnskov et al. (2007) used cross country data to show that government size is negatively associated with life satisfaction. Bjørnskov

et al. (2008b) found, based on the data of 60,000 individuals from 66 countries, that spending or revenue decentralization increases well-being, while greater local autonomy is beneficial only via government consumption spending. In addition to economic, political, and institutional factors, roles played by human development and culture were examined in Bjørnskov et al. (2008a). In this paper, a number of institutional factors were found to have a different impact on subjective-wellbeing according to specification. Further, Bjørnskov et al. (2010) provided evidence that the association between formal institutions and subjective well-being differs among poor and rich countries. They suggested that the effects of economic-judicial institutions on happiness dominate those of political institutions in low-income countries, while an additional beneficial effect of political institutions is observed in middle- and high-income countries.

3.4. Methodology

As life satisfaction is ordered and discrete, an ordered probit model was used (for more details, see Greene 1997). Ordered probit analysis has been previously used in the literature to examine the determinants of life satisfaction (e.g., Lee and Ono 2008; Oshio et al. 2011). The estimated function takes the following form:

$$Life\ Satisfaction_i = \alpha_1 Child_12_i + \alpha_2 Child13_18_i + \alpha_3 Number\ of\ Children_i + \alpha_4 Education_i + \alpha_5 Spouse's\ education_i + \alpha_6 Unemployment_i + \alpha_7 Income_i + e_i + u_i,$$

where *Life Satisfaction* represents the dependent variable in the case of the respondent, α represents regression parameters, e_i is the vector of generation dummies, and u_i is the error term that follows a standard normal distribution.

When the coefficient takes the positive sign, a positive change in the independent variable decreases the probability of the lower ranked outcome and increases the probability of the highest ranked outcome. However, “the marginal effects of the regressors on the probability are not equal to the coefficients” (Greene 1997, p. 927). Therefore, we encounter a difficulty in the interpretation of coefficients. Instead of coefficients, the marginal effects can be calculated in each dependent variable category (Greene 1997, p. 927–931). Hence, for a closer examination of estimation results, in addition to coefficients, as presented in Table 5, we also report the marginal effects of key variables such as *Child_12* and *Child13_18* in Prob(*Life Satisfaction* = 1), Prob(*Life Satisfaction* = 2), Prob(*Life Satisfaction* = 3), Prob(*Life Satisfaction* = 4), and Prob(*Life Satisfaction* = 5).

4. Estimation results and interpretation

4.1. *Child age dummy*

Tables 3 (a) and (b) display the results for males, while Tables 4 (a) and (b) exhibit the results for females. Starting with the results for males, Table 3 (b) shows that *Child_12* and *Child 13_18* take the positive sign in columns (1). *Child 13_18* and *Child 12* are not statistically significant. Hence, in China the child’s age has no effect. As for other results, *Child_12* and *Child 13_18* show mixed signs while being statistically insignificant. Therefore, the age of the child does not influence males’ life satisfaction in Korea or Japan. This suggests that the cost of a child neutralizes the benefit of a child. The robustness of the estimation results can be checked by looking at results in Table 3(b) where some control variables are excluded. The results of

Child_12 and *Child 13_18* in Table 3(b) are similar to those in Table 3(a), suggesting that the results are robust to alternative specifications.

As shown in Table 4(a), for females, the coefficients for *Child_12* and *Child 13_18* produced negative signs in columns (2) and (3). Furthermore, *Child_12* was statistically significant at the 5 % level in columns (2) and (3). In addition, *Child 13_18* was statistically significant at the 10 % level in column (3). This implies that the cost of a child outweighs the benefit in Korea and Japan when the child is small. In contrast, coefficients for *Child_12* and *Child 13_18* were not statistically significant in column (1). It follows from this that the cost of a child neutralizes the benefit of a child in China, regardless of the child's age. These results reveal that the presence of children before they enter junior high school reduces life satisfaction in females in Korea and Japan. Teenagers in junior high school have a detrimental effect on life satisfaction for females only in Japan, not in Korea. In addition, the age of a child has no effect on life satisfaction of females in China. The robustness of the estimation results can be checked by looking at results in Table 4(b), where some control variables are excluded. The results of *Child_12* and *Child 13_18* of Table 4(b) are similar to those in Table 3 (a), suggesting that the results are robust to alternative specifications.

It is interesting to observe that *Number of children* was not statistically significant in all estimations in Tables 3 (a), (b) and 4 (a), (b). The marginal cost of a child declines as number of children increases. Accordingly, the marginal cost of a child does not outweigh the marginal benefit of a child.

4.1. *Marginal effect of a child's age*

For a closer examination of the effects of *Child_12* and *Child 13_18* exhibited in Table 4 (a), Table 5 shows a marginal effect on the life satisfaction of females in China, Korea, and Japan, respectively. Regarding the results for China presented in Table 5, *Child_12* and *Child 13_18* are not statistically significant, which is consistent with results in Tables 4 (a). This means that age of a child does not influence life satisfaction for females in China.

We now turn to Korea. As shown in column (1) of Table 5, the marginal effects for *Child_12* and *Child 13_18* are 0.02 and 0.001, respectively. That is, the marginal effect of age of a child declines as the child becomes older. Furthermore, the marginal effects for *Child_12* are statistically significant at the 5 % level, whereas that for *Child 13_18* is not statistically significant. This implies that females who have a child that is younger than junior high school age have a 2% higher probability of being strongly dissatisfied with life. We see from column (5) that the marginal effects for *Child_12* and *Child 13_18* are -0.04 and -0.003 , respectively. In line with column (1), the marginal effect of the age of a child diminishes as the child becomes older. In addition, the marginal effects for *Child_12* are statistically significant at the 5 % level, whereas that for *Child 13_18* is not statistically significant. It follows from this that females who have a child that is younger than junior high school age have a 4% lower probability of being strongly satisfied with life. Apart from columns (1) and (5), results in other columns shows that, in Korea, the marginal effect of age is smaller as the child becomes older.

The results for Japan, in column (1) of Table 5, show that the marginal effects for *Child_12* and *Child 13_18* are 0.01 and 0.006, respectively. This means that the marginal effect of a child's age declines as the child becomes older. Furthermore,

Child_12 and *Child 13_18* are statistically significant. We interpret this as suggesting that females who have a child that is younger than junior high school age have a 1% higher probability of being strongly dissatisfied with life, whereas females who have a high school student have a 0.6% higher probability of being strongly dissatisfied with life. With respect to column (5), the marginal effects for *Child_12* and *Child 13_18* are -0.05 and -0.03 , respectively. Similar to results in column (1), *Child_12* and *Child 13_18* are statistically significant. Furthermore, females who have a child that is younger than junior high school age have a 5% lower probability of being strongly satisfied with life, whereas females who have a high school student have a 3% lower probability of being strongly satisfied with life. Apart from columns (1) and (5), results in other columns show that, in Japan, the marginal effect of age is smaller as the child becomes older.

As presented in columns (1)–(5) of Table 5, combined results for Korea and Japan show that the marginal effect of *Child_12* in Japan is greater than the effect in Korea, with the exception of column (1). Considering results for China, Korea and Japan together lead us to argue that the negative effect of having young children is greater in more developed countries. The combined results of Tables 3 (a), (b), 4 (a), (b), and 5 strongly support the hypothesis proposed in Section 2.

4.2. *Control variables*

Concerning the results for males exhibited in Table 3 (a) and (b), coefficients for *Income* take the positive sign and are statistically insignificant in columns (2) and (3). This result is in line with previous evidence in life satisfaction literature (Amato and Rogers 1997). Consistent with our theoretical predictions, *Unemployment* yields

the negative sign and is statistically significant in column (2). That is, economic condition is considered to be the major determinant of life satisfaction in Korea and Japan. In contrast, *Income* and *Unemployment* do not influence life satisfaction in China. For females, *Unemployment* yields the negative sign and is statistically significant in all columns in Table 4 (a). Results of *Income* for females in Table 4 (a) and (b) exhibited are similar to those in Tables 3 (a) and (b). The socio-economic system of China, which is based on socialism, is different from Korea and Japan. Therefore, in China, unemployed and low-income people are more likely to be secured socially through, for instance, income redistribution. This might be one of the reasons why Chinese people are not affected by *Income* and *Unemployment*.

Furthermore, it would be worthwhile to test the interaction term between *Income* and *Child_12* because the coefficient of *Income* has a significant positive sign and the coefficient of *Child_12* has a significant negative sign in Korea and Japan. The results of the interaction term added to the variables in Tables 4 (a) and (b) are shown in Tables 4. The coefficient sign of the interaction term *Child_12* Income* is negative for China and Korea, but positive for Japan. However, the results are not statistically significant. Hence, the effect of *Child_12* does not depend on income level in any country.

4.3. Discussion

As also observed in Western countries (Akerlof and Kranton 2000), disparity concerning child care and housework between males and females exists and persists in Asian countries. There is evidence that females who live with their parents are more likely to participate in the labor market (Sasaki 2002; Mano and Yamamura 2011). A

reason for this is that the parents (grandparents) can raise the child, enabling the mother to work. For instance, in a traditional Japanese farming village, “women are usually also employed ... in households with a grandmother, she often takes care of young children, while the mother works in the field” (Henry 1981, p. 87). Support for child care through family ties and community network appears to be on the wane because long-term economic development has led to a decline in social capital (Putnam 2000). In addition, Shields et al. (2009) exhibited that life satisfaction is affected by the characteristics of the parents’ neighborhood. That is, help from parents and reciprocity arrangements with other community members appear to play a significant role in reducing the physical and psychological burden of child care. Hence, the effect of young children on life satisfaction is considered varied, and dependent on socioeconomic conditions such as family structure and networks within the neighborhood.

China is considered to be in a less developed stage at present. Korea has followed Japan and has experienced incredible economic growth, however, it is not yet considered to be a highly developed country. Japan experienced rapid economic growth in the post-war period and has become one of the most developed countries worldwide. Thus, Japanese women have had to address childcare issues, and the childcare industry is considered to be essential to help mothers raise their children, rather than with reciprocal care via informal social networks. Nevertheless, nursery schools, for example, are in short supply in Japan, and mothers are more likely to raise a child than to also participate in the labor market because of a shortage of childcare services (Cabinet Office, Government of Japan 2005; Date and Shimizutani 2007). The findings of this paper suggest that the life satisfaction decreased by the childbearing.

Further the effect of the childbearing larger for more developed country. This can be interpreted as implying that economic development can further increase the economic and psychological costs for females of raising children. In other words, the effect of having young children on life satisfaction depends on the changes in socioeconomic conditions.

However, Aassve et al. (2012) found a positive and significant association between happiness and childbearing even though parents do not appear to be consistently happier in some countries compared to others. Findings of the present study are inconsistent with those of Aassve et al., even though European countries are thought to be more developed than Asian countries. In addition to the interpretation we have presented thus far, an alternative interpretation is possible. For instance, the ranking of happiness scores might not reflect a relationship between life satisfaction and development, but instead reflect lack of personal political freedom in China. Koreans might be discouraged from expressing high satisfaction because of anxiety concerning political and military tension in the region. Careful attention is called for when results of the estimation are interpreted because there are a number of potential and competing explanations.

5. Conclusions

Socioeconomic conditions vary across East Asian countries. However, they also appear to share similar cultural backgrounds that differ from those of Western countries. Furthermore, East Asian countries have experienced the rapid economic growth and so the social and family ties were thought to change drastically. This seems

to influence life satisfaction. Hence, it is worthwhile to examine family relationships and life satisfaction by comparing East Asian countries. Using individual-level data from EASS (East Social Survey) from 2006, this study examines how the age of children influences the life satisfaction of males and females in China, Korea, and Japan.

We found via the ordered probit estimation that (1) the life satisfaction of females who have a child younger than 12 years old is lower than that of females with no children. (2) The greater the marginal effect of child's age on the life satisfaction, the more developed a nation's economic condition. We considered that finding (1) is due to the imbalance of child care between males and females result in each country. That is, females are more likely to be the primary caregiver for young children and so feel the associated stress from it, putting pressure on their psychological state. It follows then that the opportunity cost of childrearing becomes higher when people have attained higher levels of education and live in a more developed country. Accordingly, childrearing affects female life satisfaction to a greater degree in the process of economic development.

Economic development is strongly related to family structure and neighborhood relationships, which are, however, not considered in detail in this paper. Findings in this study are inconsistent with those from a study of European countries (Aassve et al., 2012). Hence, it would be interesting to compare the most developed Western countries with Japan using the same method and comparable data set because while they are all labeled 'developed countries', they have very different historical and cultural backgrounds. Thus, these are issues that require further investigation in future studies.

References

- Aassve, A., Goisis, A. and Sironi, M. (2012). Happiness and childbearing across Europe. *Social Indicators Research*, 108(1), 65-86.
- Akerlof, G. and Kranton, R. (2000). Economics and identity. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 115, 715–753.
- Alesina, A., De Tella, R. and MacCulloch, R. (2004), Inequality and happiness: are European and American different? *Journal of Public Economics*, 88, 2009-2042.
- Amato, P., Johnson, D., Booth, A. and Rogers, S. (2003). Continuity and change in marital quality between 1980 and 2000. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 65, 1–22.
- Amato, P. and Rogers, S. (1997). A longitudinal study of marital problems and subsequent divorce. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 59, 612–614.
- Angeles, L. (2010). Children and life satisfaction. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 11, 523–538.
- Argyle, M. (2001). *The Psychology of Happiness*. Routledge: London.
- Barro, R. and Becker, G. (1989). Fertility choice in a model of economic growth. *Econometrica*, 57, 481–501.
- Becker, G. (1981). *A treatise on the family*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Boldrin, M. and Jones, L. (2000). Mortality, fertility, and saving in Malthusian economy. *Review of Economics Dynamics*, 5, 775–814.
- Booth, A. L. and van Ours, J. (2009). Hours of work and gender identity: Does part-time work make the family happier? *Economica*, 76, 176–196.

- Bjørnskov, C., Dreher, A. and Fischer, J.A.V. (2007). The bigger the better? Evidence of the effect of government size on life satisfaction around the world. *Public Choice*, 130, 267-292.
- Bjørnskov, C., Dreher, A. and Fischer, J.A.V. (2008a). Cross-country determinants of life satisfaction: Exploring different determinants across groups in society. *Social Choice and Welfare*, 30, 119–173.
- Bjørnskov, C., Dreher, A. and Fischer, J.A.V. (2008b). On decentralization and life satisfaction. *Economics Letters*, 99(1), 147-151.
- Bjørnskov, C., Dreher, A. and Fischer, J.A.V. (2010). Formal institutions and subjective well-being: Revisiting the cross-country evidence. *European Journal of Political Economy*, 26(4), 419–430.
- Blanchflower, D. G. and Oswald, A.J. (2004). Well-being over time in Britain and the USA. *Journal of Public Economics*, 88, 1359-1386.
- Blanchflower, D. G. and Oswald, A.J. (2008). Is well-being U-shaped over the life cycle? *Social Science & Medicine*, 66(8), 1733-1749.
- Cabinet Office, Government of Japan. (2005). White Paper on the National Lifestyle in Japanese [Kokumin Seikatsu Hakusho]. Tokyo: Cabinet Office, Government of Japan.
- Campbell, A., Converse, P.E. and Rodgers, W.L. (1976). *The quality of American life*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation.
- Caporale, G. M., Georgellis, Y., Tsitsianis, N. and Yin, Y.P. (2009). Income and happiness across Europe: Do reference values matter? *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 30, 42–51.
- Clark, A., Diener, E., Georgellis, Y. and Lucas, R. (2008). Lags and leads in life

- satisfaction: A test of the baseline hypothesis. *Economic Journal*, 118, F222–F243.
- Date, Y. and Shimizutani, S. (2007). Why has Japan's fertility rate declined? *Japanese Economy*, 35(1), 4–45.
- Dew, J. and Wilcox, B. (2011). If Momma ain't happy: Explaining declines in marital satisfaction among new mothers. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 73, 1–12.
- Dillon, L. M. and Beechler, M.P. (2010). Marital satisfaction and the impact of children in collectivistic cultures: A meta analysis. *Journal of Evolutionary Psychology*, 8, 7–22.
- Diener E, Suh, E.M., Lucas, R. and Smith, H.L. (1999). Subjective wellbeing: Three decades of progress. *Psychological Bulletin*, 125(2), 276-302.
- Di Tella, R., MacCulloch, R.J. and Oswald, A. (2003). The Macroeconomics of happiness. *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 85(4), 809–827.
- Di Tella, R. and MacCulloch, R.J. (2008). Gross national happiness as an answer to the Easterlin Paradox? *Journal of Development Economics*, 86, 22–42.
- Dolan, P., Peasgood, T. and White, M. (2008). Do we really know what makes us happy? A review of the economic literature on the factors associated with subjective well-being. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 29, 94–122.
- Dorn, D., Fischer, J.A.V., Kirchgässner, G. and Sousa-Poza, A. (2008). Direct democracy and life satisfaction revisited: new evidence for Switzerland. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 9, 227-255.
- Easterlin, R. (2006). Life cycle happiness and its sources. *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 27(4), 463–482.
- Greene, W. (1997). *Econometric Analysis* (3 Eds.), London: Prentice-Hall.

- Hamamoto, C. (1999). *Kodomo no Tanjou to Seikatsu Hendou: Kodomo no Youiku Ni Taisuru Seikatsu Hogo no Kentou (How Life Style Change with the Birth of Children: Investigating Ways to Maintain Lifestyle While Raising Children)*. In: Higuchi, Y. and Iwata, M. (Eds.), *Paneru Deita Kara Mita Gendai Josei: Kekkou, Shussan, Syugyo, Shohi, Chochik (Contemporary Women as Viewed from the Paneled Data: Marriage, Child Birth, Divorce, Consumption and Saving.)*, Toyo Keizai Shimposha, Tokyo, pp. 147–169.
- Hashimoto, M. (1974). Economics of postwar fertility in Japan: Differentials and trends. *Journal of Political Economy*, 82(2), 170–194.
- Hendry, J. (1981). *Marriage in changing Japan*. Tokyo: Tuttle Publishing.
- Hill, A. (1989). Female labor supply in Japan: Implications of the informal sector for labor force participation and hours of work. *Journal of Human Resources*, 24(1), 143–161.
- Helliwell, J.F. (2006). Well-being, social capital, and public sector; What's new? *Economic Journal*, 116, C34-C45.
- Helliwell, J.F and Huang, W. (2008). How's your government? International evidence linking good government and well-being. *British Journal of Political Science*, 38, 595-619.
- Irokawa, T. (1999). *Kekkou, Shussan, Rikon de Josei-no Seikatsu Manzokudo wa Do Kawaruka? (How Do Marriage, Child Birth, and Divorce Influence Women's Life Satisfaction?)*. In: Higuchi, Y. and Iwata, M. (Eds.), *Paneru Deita Kara Mita Gendai Josei: Kekkou, Shussan, Syugyo, Shohi, Chochiku. (Contemporary Women as Viewed from the Panel Data: Marriage, Child Birth,*

- Divorce, Consumption and Saving.), Toyo Keizai Shimposha, Tokyo, pp. 193–223.
- Kalenkoski, C., Ribar, D. and Stratton, L. (2009). The influence of wages on parents' allocations of time to child care and market work in the United Kingdom. *Journal of Population Economics*, 22(2), 399–419.
- Lee, D. and Gan, C. (1989). An economic analysis of fertility, market participation and marriage behavior in recent Japan. *Applied Economics*, 21, 59–68.
- Lee, K. and Ono, H. (2008). Specialization and happiness in marriage: A U.S.-Japan comparison. *Social Science Research*, 37, 1216–1234.
- Mano, Y. and Yamamura, E. (2011). Effects of husband's education and family structure on labor force participation and married Japanese women's earnings. *Japanese Economy*, 38(3), 71-91.
- Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology. (various years). Statistical Abstract. Tokyo: Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. (various years a). Basic Survey on Wage Structure. Tokyo: Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.
- Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare. (various years b). Vital Statistics of Population. Tokyo: Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.
- Moav, O. (2005). Cheap children and the persistence of poverty. *Economic Journal*, 115, 88–110.
- Moghaddam, F., Taylor, D. and Wright, S. (1993). Social psychology in cross-cultural perspective. New York: Freeman and Company.

- Narayan, P. and Peng, X. (2007). Japan's fertility transition: Empirical evidence from the bounds testing approach to cointegration. *Japan and the World Economy*, 19, 263–278.
- Nomaguchi, K. and Milkie, M. (2003). Costs and rewards of children: The effects of becoming a parent on adults' lives. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 65, 356–374.
- Osawa, M. (1988). Working mothers: Changing patterns of employment and fertility in Japan. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 36(4), 623–650.
- Oshio, T., Nozaki, K. and Kobayashi, M. (2011). Division of household labor and marital satisfaction in China, Japan, and Korea. Institute of Economic Research, Hitotsubashi University, Japan.
- Putnam, R. (2000). *Bowling Alone: The collapse and revival of American community*. New York: A Touchstone Book.
- Sabatini, F. (2011). The relationship between happiness and health: evidence from Italy. Health, Econometrics and Data Group Working Paper Series (University of York) 07/11.
- Sasaki, M. (2002). The causal effect of family structure on labor force participation among Japanese married women. *Journal of Human Resources*, 37(2), 429–440.
- Shields, M., Price, S. and Wooden, M. (2009). Life satisfaction and the economic and social characteristics of neighbourhoods. *Journal of Population Economics*, 22(2), 421–443.
- Tsang, L., Harvey, C., Duncan, K. and Sommer, R. (2003). The effects of children dual earner status, sex role traditionalism, and marital structure on marital

- happiness over time. *Journal of Family and Economic Issues*, 24, 5–26.
- Twenge, J., Campbell, K. and Foster, C. (2003). Parenthood and marital satisfaction: A meta analytic review. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 65, 574–583.
- White, L., Booth, A. and Edwards, J. (1986). Children and marital happiness: why the negative correlation. *Journal of Family Issues*, 7, 131–147.
- Yamamura, E. (2010). The different impacts of socio-economic factors on suicide between males and females. *Applied Economics Letters*, 17(10), 1009–1012.
- Yamamura, E. and Mano, Y. (2012). An investigation into the positive effect of an educated wife on her husband's earnings. *International Advances in Economic Research* 18(4), 409-416.

Table 1. Construction of research sample

Description	China	Korea	Japan
Original sample	3208	1605	2130
Life satisfaction (dependent variable)	3208	1603	2125
Various independent variables	2247	959	1021
Male ^a	1005	424	509
Female ^b	1242	535	512

Note:

- a. Samples used in male estimations.
- b. Samples used in female estimations.

Table 2. Variable definitions and mean values

Variables	China		Korea		Japan	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
<i>Child_12</i> (%)	31.4	31.9	43.2	47.9	21.8	24.9
<i>Child_13_18</i> (%)	23.9	23.4	29.5	29.1	12.2	17.8
<i>Number of children</i>	1.7	1.7	2.3	2.2	2.1	2.1
<i>Education</i>	8.8	7.6	12.9	11.9	12.5	12.1
<i>Spouse's education</i>	7.9	9.2	11.7	13.2	12.0	12.7
<i>Unemployment</i> (%)	0.7	0.6	3.9	4.3	1.2	0.6
<i>Income</i>	21 ^a	22 ^a	352 ^b	354 ^b	10 ^c	10 ^c
<i>Generation 20</i> (%)	9.2	13.4	2.0	5.1	2.8	3.4
<i>Generation 30</i> (%)	26.5	28.0	21.9	34.0	14.2	15.8
<i>Generation 40</i> (%)	25.2	26.0	37.8	34.5	13.9	21.0
<i>Generation 50</i> (%)	24.8	21.6	17.1	15.3	22.7	26.4
<i>Generation 60</i> (%)	14.3	11.0	11.5	8.2	25.1	22.9
<i>Generation 70</i> (%)	0	0	9.7	2.9	21.3	10.5
<i>Spouse's Generation 20</i> (%)	13.1	8.1	6.1	1.8	3.8	2.9
<i>Spouse's Generation 30</i> (%)	28.6	25.8	31.5	27.0	16.3	12.3
<i>Spouse's Generation 40</i> (%)	26.2	26.5	30.6	38.2	17.0	19.3
<i>Spouse's Generation 50</i> (%)	22.8	23.8	16.7	16.2	25.1	24.8
<i>Spouse's Generation 60</i> (%)	9.2	11.7	10.6	11.3	23.4	22.4
<i>Spouse's Generation 70</i> (%)	0.1	4.1	4.5	5.5	14.4	18.3

Note: Values for dummy variables are rates for observations that take the value of 1. Values of EDU and INCOME are mean values.

- a. Thousands of Yuan.
- b. Thousands of Won.
- c. Millions of Yen.

Table 3 (a). Regression results for males' life satisfaction (ordered probit model)

Variables	(1)		(2)		(3)	
	China		Korea		Japan	
	Coefficient	z-value	Coefficient	z-value	Coefficient	z-value
<i>Child_12</i>	0.05	0.43	-0.14	-0.82	-0.05	-0.23
<i>Child_13_18</i>	0.16	1.58	-0.10	-0.69	0.08	0.49
<i>Number of children</i>	-0.02	-0.64	0.03	0.55	0.07	1.00
<i>Education</i>	-0.03**	-2.53	0.01	0.59	-0.02	-1.34
<i>Spouse's education</i>	-0.01	-1.12	-0.008	-0.35	0.04	1.45
<i>Unemployment</i>	0.27	0.65	-0.93***	-3.54	-1.30	-1.06
<i>Income</i>	-0.18	-0.91	0.67***	4.14	0.06***	3.44
<i>Generation 20</i>			<Reference group>			
<i>Generation 30</i>	0.006	0.04	-0.19	-0.48	0.41	0.96
<i>Generation 40</i>	0.26	1.19	-0.18	-0.44	0.23	0.48
<i>Generation 50</i>	0.66**	2.56	-0.24	-0.51	0.38	0.73
<i>Generation 60</i>	0.67**	2.22	-0.05	-0.09	0.40	0.73
<i>Generation 70</i>			0.48	0.84	0.61	1.04
<i>Spouse's Generation 20</i>			<Reference group>			
<i>Spouse's Generation 30</i>	0.05	0.32	0.44	1.46	-0.46	-1.46
<i>Spouse's Generation 40</i>	-0.26	-1.21	0.18	0.55	-0.78**	-1.99
<i>Spouse's Generation 50</i>	-0.58**	-2.28	0.13	0.34	-0.55	-1.24
<i>Spouse's Generation 60</i>	-0.76**	-2.51	-0.26	-0.54	-0.41	-0.88
<i>Spouse's Generation 70</i>	-0.61	-1.23	-0.71	-1.28	-0.72	-1.39
Observations	1005		424		509	
Log pseudo likelihood	-1048		-556		-629	

Note: z-values are calculated using robust standard errors. *, **, and *** indicate significance at the 10, 5 and 1% levels, respectively. For ease of interpretation, income scale is shown as follows: the Chinese Yuan and Korean Won are shown in 100,000 and 1,000 units, respectively.

Table 3 (b). Regression results for males' life satisfaction (ordered probit model)

Variables	(1)		(2)		(3)	
	China		Korea		Japan	
	Coefficient	z-value	Coefficient	z-value	Coefficient	z-value
<i>Child_12</i>	0.07	0.56	-0.08	-0.51	-0.11	-0.52
<i>Child 13_18</i>	0.21**	2.12	-0.11	-0.80	0.04	0.29
<i>Number of children</i>	-0.01	-0.51	0.01	0.28	0.05	0.88
<i>Income</i>	-0.35	-1.54	0.77***	5.10	0.05***	3.30
Observations	1072		467		557	
Log pseudo likelihood	-1142		-622		-699	

Note: z-values are calculated using robust standard errors. *, **, and *** indicate significance at the 10, 5 and 1% levels, respectively. For ease of interpretation, income scale is shown as follows: the Chinese Yuan and Korean Won are shown in 100,000 and 1,000 units, respectively. Generation dummies for respondent and spouse are included but not reported.

Table 4 (a). Regression results for females' life satisfaction (ordered probit model)

Variables	(1) China		(2) Korea		(3) Japan	
	Coefficient	z-value	Coefficient	z-value	Coefficient	z-value
<i>Child_12</i>	0.15	1.31	-0.35**	-2.59	-0.42**	-2.22
<i>Child_13_18</i>	0.11	1.26	-0.02	-0.20	-0.24*	-1.66
<i>Number of children</i>	-0.03	-0.85	-0.02	-0.52	-0.05	-0.80
<i>Education</i>	-0.01*	-1.87	0.01	0.52	0.02	0.66
<i>Spouse's education</i>	-0.01	-1.45	0.01	1.04	0.008	0.34
<i>Unemployment</i>	-0.54*	-1.73	-0.49**	-2.06	-0.55***	-2.59
<i>Income</i>	-0.33*	-1.69	0.40**	2.25	0.07***	4.18
<i>Generation 20</i>	<Reference group>					
<i>Generation 30</i>	-0.04	-0.33	0.14	0.41	-0.14	-0.28
<i>Generation 40</i>	-0.09	-0.52	-0.22	-0.58	-0.39	-0.76
<i>Generation 50</i>	-0.29	-1.33	-0.35	-0.78	-0.46	-0.84
<i>Generation 60</i>	-0.50**	-1.98	-0.19	-0.36	-0.75	-1.30
<i>Generation 70</i>			-0.65	-0.93	-0.83	-1.36
<i>Spouse's Generation 20</i>	<Reference group>					
<i>Spouse's Generation 30</i>	0.09	0.50	-0.44	-0.84	-0.13	-0.26
<i>Spouse's Generation 40</i>	0.43**	1.96	-0.53	-0.99	-0.48	-0.92
<i>Spouse's Generation 50</i>	0.53**	2.13	-0.57	-1.00	-0.65	-1.15
<i>Spouse's Generation 60</i>	0.92***	3.31	-0.61	-0.97	-0.10	-0.18
<i>Spouse's Generation 70</i>	1.01***	3.49	-0.47	-0.70	0.21	0.34
Observations	1242		535		512	
Log pseudo likelihood	-1341		-664		-622	

Note: z-values are calculated using robust standard errors. *, **, and *** indicate significance at the 10, 5 and 1% levels, respectively. For ease of interpretation, income scale is shown as follows: the Chinese Yuan and Korean Won are shown in 100,000 and 1,000 units, respectively.

Table 4 (b). Regression results for females' life satisfaction (ordered probit model)

Variables	(1) China		(2) Korea		(3) Japan	
	Coefficient	z-value	Coefficient	z-value	Coefficient	z-value
<i>Child_12</i>	0.16	1.48	-0.27**	-2.24	-0.31*	-1.70
<i>Child_13_18</i>	0.12	1.43	-0.02	-0.18	-0.27**	-1.97
<i>Number of children</i>	0.008	0.26	-0.008	-0.20	-0.07	-1.40
<i>Income</i>	-0.46**	-2.13	0.52**	2.27	0.08***	5.66
Observations	1378		672		632	
Log pseudo likelihood	-1496		-868		-799	

Note: z-values are calculated using robust standard errors. *, **, and *** indicate significance at the 10, 5 and 1% levels, respectively. For ease of interpretation, income scale is shown as follows: the Chinese Yuan and Korean Won are shown in 100,000 and 1,000 units, respectively. Generation dummies for respondent and spouse are included but not reported.

Table 5. Marginal effect of female estimations shown in Table 4.

Variables	Strongly ^a dissatisfied (1)	Dissatisfied ^a (2)	Neither ^a (3)	Satisfied ^a (4)	Strongly satisfied ^a (5)
China					
<i>Child_12</i>	-0.01	-0.04	0.03	0.01	0.002
<i>Child_13_18</i>	-0.01	-0.03	0.02	0.01	0.002
Korea					
<i>Child_12</i>	0.02**	0.05**	0.05**	-0.08**	-0.04**
<i>Child_13_18</i>	0.001	0.003	0.003	-0.006	-0.003
Japan					
<i>Child_12</i>	0.01**	0.08**	0.07**	-0.11**	-0.05**
<i>Child_13_18</i>	0.006*	0.04*	0.04*	-0.06*	-0.03*

Note: In the questionnaire, marital satisfaction is evaluated by numerical numbers, ranging from 1 (dissatisfied) to 5 (satisfied). * and ** indicate significance at the 10 and 5% levels, respectively.

Table 6. Regression results of interaction term is included to set of independent variables of Table 4 (a) and (b) for females' life satisfaction (ordered probit model)

Variables	(1) China		(2) Korea		(3) Japan	
	Coefficient	z-value	Coefficient	z-value	Coefficient	z-value
Interaction term added to Table 4 (a)						
<i>Child_12</i> <i>*Income</i>	-0.44	-1.46	-0.51	-1.61	0.03	0.85
Interaction term added to Table 4 (b)						
<i>Child_12</i> <i>*Income</i>	-0.48	-1.48	-0.56	-1.62	0.02	0.70

Note: z-values are calculated using robust standard errors. *, **, and *** indicate significance at the 10, 5 and 1% levels, respectively. For ease of interpretation, income scale is shown as follows: the Chinese Yuan and Korean Won are shown in 100,000 and 1,000 units, respectively. Sets of independent variables for each table are included but not reported.