

Harms, Philipp; Wacker, Konstantin M.

## Article

# The special issue on FDI and multinational corporations: An introduction

Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal

### Provided in Cooperation with:

Kiel Institute for the World Economy – Leibniz Center for Research on Global Economic Challenges

*Suggested Citation:* Harms, Philipp; Wacker, Konstantin M. (2019) : The special issue on FDI and multinational corporations: An introduction, Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal, ISSN 1864-6042, Kiel Institute for the World Economy (IfW), Kiel, Vol. 13, Iss. 2019-24, pp. 1-7,  
<https://doi.org/10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2019-24>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/193918>

### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

### Terms of use:

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

## The special issue on FDI and multinational corporations: an introduction

*Philipp Harms and Konstantin M. Wacker*

### Abstract

This paper offers an introduction to the special issue on FDI and multinational corporations: <http://www.economics-ejournal.org/special-areas/special-issues/fdi-and-multinational-corporations>. It summarizes the contents of the five papers included, and relates them to the recent literature on the subject.

(Published in Special Issue [FDI and multinational corporations](#))

**JEL** F21 F23

**Keywords** Foreign direct investment; multinational corporations

### Authors

*Philipp Harms*, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany, [philipp.harms@uni-mainz.de](mailto:philipp.harms@uni-mainz.de)

*Konstantin M. Wacker*, University of Groningen, The Netherlands

**Citation** Philipp Harms and Konstantin M. Wacker (2019). The special issue on FDI and multinational corporations: an introduction. *Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal*, 13 (2019-24): 1–7.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2019-24>

Foreign direct investment (FDI) is a key category of international capital flows that largely reflects investments of multinational corporations. According to the most recent vintage of Lane and Milesi-Ferretti's (2017) dataset on the "external wealth of nations", FDI stocks accounted for 29 percent of global cross-border liabilities in 2015. In more than a third of countries, FDI is the source of over 50 percent of foreign financing. According to UNCTAD data, global FDI flows roughly quadrupled from about half a percentage point of world GDP in the 1980s to about 2 percent of world GDP in recent years. The number of academic articles on the subject even increased at a faster pace than actual FDI flows (Wacker, 2013).

However, it is not only its quantitative importance, which makes the study of FDI relevant for both researchers and policymakers. It is also the multifaceted and potentially interdisciplinary nature of the topic. This insight induced us to organize the *Mainz Workshop on FDI and Multinational Corporations*, which has been taking place annually at Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz since 2015, and it is the motivation for this special issue of the e-journal *Economics*. Without claiming to be comprehensive, this introduction will provide a short overview of the special issue, relating its contributions to the overall research on FDI and multinational corporations.

Historically, the theory of FDI is deeply rooted in the theory of industrial organization (see Antràs and Yeaple (2014) for a recent survey). The contribution of *Onur Koska* (2019) to this special issue can be seen in the context of this literature. Koska analyzes how government regulation in the form of a minimum output requirement affects foreign companies' choice of market entry – in particular, the choice between acquiring a domestic firm and serving the domestic market through exports. Explicitly modeling firms' strategic interaction, the author demonstrates that an appropriate use of the regulatory tool tilts foreign companies' decision towards acquisition and raises domestic welfare. The latter result may seem counter-intuitive at first, since foreign acquisition is likely to reduce competition on the domestic market, lowering consumer surplus in a Cournot oligopoly. However, the minimum output requirement catches two birds with a stone: it avoids a decline in supply, and it positively affects the price that foreign companies offer in order to acquire the domestic firm. As a consequence, an "acquisition-cum-regulation package" is preferable to unregulated market entry or to completely protecting the domestic market from foreign competition. The theoretical analysis of Koska's paper contributes to a strand of literature that focuses on the organizational arrangements chosen by firms to enter foreign markets: exports vs. arms-length interaction with other firms vs. greenfield FDI vs. foreign acquisition. The paper abstracts from information asymmetries and enforcement problems, which are likely to be much bigger for cross-border transactions than in a purely national context. Combined with the strategic interaction modeled by Koska, these features raise the complexity of firms' decisions and demonstrate the necessity of thoroughly analyzing the effects that any policy intervention might have.<sup>1</sup>

While the microeconomic perspective on FDI highlights individual companies' strategic choices and the interaction between different firms, a large part of the macroeconomic literature considers FDI an international investment: as such, the activities of multinational corporations potentially raise host countries' per-capita income by raising the capital stock and total factor

---

<sup>1</sup> Breinlich et al. (2017) provide a recent survey of the issues involved in merger policy.

productivity.<sup>2</sup> Sure, the recent literature in this field has increasingly moved towards studies using firm-level data, mostly investigating ownership effects on productivity (e.g. Javorcik and Poelhekke, 2017) and their spillover to local firms (e.g. Fons-Rosen et al., 2018). But as Alfaro (2017) stresses in a recent policy survey, complementary macro studies are still important to highlight effects that may be beyond the firm level. The contribution of *Nouha Bougharriou, Walid Benayed and Foued Badr Gabsi* (2019) to this special issue follows this line of thought: while the main focus of their empirical analysis is on the growth effects of democracy in Arab countries over recent years, FDI plays an important role in their argument. More specifically, the authors argue and provide evidence that democracy had an ambiguous influence on growth for this group of countries between the years 2002 to 2013: on the one hand, it dampened growth by raising public consumption. On the other hand, however, it made countries more attractive for foreign companies, and the resulting FDI inflows had a positive effect on growth.

The important influence of the constitutional framework identified by Bougharriou et al. is reminiscent of previous results by Harms and Ursprung (2002), Busse and Hefeker (2007), Asiedu and Lien (2011) as well as Wisniewski and Pathan (2014), and indicates that institutional and political features of host countries are no less important in attracting multinational corporations than purely economic factors. This, in turn, illustrates that the analysis of FDI often requires an interdisciplinary approach, both when it comes to understanding the forces that enhance or dampen investment flows, and when it is about the aggregate, distributional, and social consequences of foreign direct investment.<sup>3</sup>

The paper by *Abeliansky and Martinez-Zarzoso* (2019) also adopts a macroeconomic perspective on FDI's effects, addressing a highly relevant policy aspect: China's "Going Out" strategy that intended to promote Chinese FDI abroad. What effect does this increased outward FDI have on China's trade with the respective FDI host economies? This question concerns the fundamental question of the interaction between FDI, strategies of multinational firms, and the structure of trade. The authors find evidence of Chinese FDI being positively related to trade with the respective host economies, at odds with the predominant view that FDI is mostly horizontal in nature and hence a *substitute* for trade. How much of that is specific to the fact that China is one of those emerging economies that have become an increasingly important source of FDI in the world economy? Is FDI of these countries particularly vertical in nature? Does it simply reflect political priorities of China's economic diplomacy?<sup>4</sup> Or could a complementarity of trade and FDI also arise in a horizontal model with informational frictions, where one mode of entry lowers the information costs for another?<sup>5</sup> The variety of questions arising from the

---

<sup>2</sup> Borensztein et al. (1998), Alfaro et al. (2004), Herzer et al. (2008) and Harms and Méon (2018) analyze the empirical effects of FDI on economic growth. See also Blonigen, (2005) for a survey, and Iamsiraroj and Ulubaşoğlu (2015) for a meta-study on the FDI/growth-nexus.

<sup>3</sup> In a recent analysis of responses given within the International Social Survey Programme (ISSP), Harms and Schwab (2018) identify the individual and country-specific factors that determine individuals' attitudes towards multinational enterprises.

<sup>4</sup> See Dreher et al. (2018) and Fuchs (2018) on this issue.

<sup>5</sup> For the role of information frictions for FDI see especially Harding and Javorcik (2011) and Hashimoto and Wacker (2016).

findings of Abeliansky and Martinez-Zarzoso once more highlights the multi-faceted nature of FDI research.

Another policy-related aspect that is gaining a lot of public attention is the taxation of multinational firms. The paper by *Castillo-Murciego and López-Laborda (2019)* in this issue focuses on the role of double taxation treaties (DTTs) and investigates how such treaties have influenced Spain's inward and outward FDI over two decades. They find a positive relation between DTTs and FDI from and to Spain. But probably even more interestingly, their detailed analysis reveals quite some heterogeneity and sensitivity to the sample investigated. The authors conclude that "a further investigation of the content of DTTs and that of the internal law of countries is needed". This echoes recent findings in *Davies et al. (2018)* that there is a difference between the effects of a (quantifiable) corporate tax rate and the (rather qualitative) effects of being a tax haven on FDI. The new Electronic Database of Investment Treaties provided by *Polanco Lazo et al. (2018)* at WTI may provide a promising tool for further research on those aspects.

Certainly, this special issue cannot provide a comprehensive picture of recent developments in the FDI literature. Apart from the absence of firm-level studies mentioned above, there are many other strands of literature that have bloomed in recent years and that we do not cover, including the financing aspects of multinationals (*Foley and Manova, 2014; Manova et al., 2015*), the labor market effects of offshoring (*Baumgarten et al., 2018*), the role of cultural distance for firm internalization strategies (*Beugelsdijk et al., 2018*), or the role of FDI in international diversification (*Albuquerque, 2003; Fillat et al., 2015*) and business cycle transmission (*Cravino and Levchenko, 2017*).

Is all this research enthusiasm and effort worth it? Is FDI a phenomenon that will still be around in the years to come, or do multinational corporations follow a business model whose time has come? In a recent cover story, *The Economist (2017)* painted a rather gloomy picture, documenting the worsening performance of firms operating in different countries and diagnosing the demise of the multinational corporation. In his contribution to this special issue, *Ron Davies (2019)* addresses this question, arguing that, while the volume, composition, and character of FDI may change, cross-border investment will play an important role for the foreseeable future. This, in turn, guarantees that the topic will keep its relevance both for research and for policymaking.

## References

- Abeliansky, A.L. and I. Martínez-Zarzoso (2018). The relationship between the Chinese ‘going out’ strategy and international trade. *Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal*, 13. Forthcoming.
- Albuquerque, R. (2003). The composition of international capital flows: risk sharing through foreign direct investment. *Journal of International Economics* 61:353–383.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1996\(03\)00013-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1996(03)00013-8)
- Alfaro, L. (2017). Gains from foreign direct investment: macro and micro approaches. *World Bank Economic Review* 30(S1): S2–S15. <https://elibrary.worldbank.org/doi/abs/10.1093/wber/lhw007>
- Alfaro, L., A. Chanda, S. Kalemli-Ozcan, and S. Sayek (2004). FDI and economic growth: the role of local financial markets. *Journal of International Economics* 64(1):89–112.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1996\(03\)00081-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1996(03)00081-3)
- Antràs, P. and S.R. Yeaple (2014). Multinational firms and the structure of international trade. In: Gopinath, Gita, Elhanan Helpman, and Kenneth Rogoff (eds.): *Handbook of International Economics*, Vol. 4, Ch. 2:55–130
- Asiedu, E. and D. Lien (2011). Democracy, foreign direct investment and natural resources. *Journal of International Economics* 84:99–111. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2010.12.001>
- Baumgarten, D., M. Irlacher, and M. Koch (2018). Offshoring and non-monotonic employment effects across industries in general equilibrium. Ruhr Economic Papers No. 746.  
<https://www.econstor.eu/handle/10419/176845>
- Beugelsdijk, S., T. Kostova, M. van Essen, V. Kunst, and E. Spadafora (2018). Cultural distance and firm internationalization: a meta-analytical review and theoretical implications. *Journal of Management* 44:89–130. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206317729027>
- Blonigen, B. A. (2005). A review of the empirical literature on FDI determinants. *Atlantic Economic Journal* 33:383–403. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s11293-005-2868-9>
- Borensztein, E., J. De Gregorio, and J-W. Lee (1998). How does foreign direct investment affect economic growth? *Journal of International Economics* 45:115–135.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1996\(97\)00033-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1996(97)00033-0)
- Bougharriou, N, W. Benayed, and F. Badr Gabsi (2018). The democracy and economic growth nexus: do FDI and government spending matter? Evidence from the Arab world. *Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal* 13. Forthcoming.
- Breinlich, H., V. Nocke, and N. Schutz (2017). International aspects of merger policy: A survey. *International Journal of Industrial Organization* 50:415–429.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijindorg.2016.05.001>
- Busse, M. and C. Hefeker (2007). Political risk, institutions and foreign direct investment. *European Journal of Political Economy* 23:397–415. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2006.02.003>
- Castillo-Murciego, Á and Julio López-Laborda (2018). The effect of Double Taxation Treaties and Territorial Tax Systems on Foreign Direct Investment: evidence for Spain. *Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal* 13. Forthcoming.

- Cravino, J. and A. Levchenko (2017). Multinational firms and international business cycle transmission. *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 132(2):921–962. <https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qjw043>
- Davies, R. (2019). Thoughts on the Demise of FDI. Economics Discussion Papers, No 2019-10, Kiel Institute for the World Economy. *Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal*, 13.
- Davies, R. B., J. Martin, M. Parenti, and F. Toubal (2018). Knocking on tax haven's door: multinational firms and transfer pricing. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 100:120–134. [https://doi.org/10.1162/REST\\_a\\_00673](https://doi.org/10.1162/REST_a_00673)
- Dreher, A., A. Fuchs, B. Parks, A. M. Strange, and M. J. Tierney (2018). Apples and dragon fruits: the determinants of aid and other forms of state financing from China to Africa. *International Studies Quarterly* 62:182–194. <https://doi.org/10.1093/isq/sqx052>
- The Economist. (2017). The multinational company is in trouble. *The Economist print edition*, January 28. <https://www.economist.com/leaders/2017/01/28/the-multinational-company-is-in-trouble>
- Fillat, J.L, S. Garetto, and L. Oldenski (2015). Diversification, cost structure, and the risk premium of multinational corporations. *Journal of International Economics* 96:37–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinteco.2015.01.004>
- Foley, F.C. and K. Manova (2014). International trade, multinational activity, and corporate finance. NBER working paper 20634, Cambridge, MA. <https://www.nber.org/papers/w20634>
- Fons-Rosen, C., S. Kalemli-Ozcan, B.E. Sørensen, C.Villegas-Sanchez, and V. Volosovych (2018). Foreign investment and domestic productivity: identifying knowledge spillovers and competition effects. Paper presented at the 4th Mainz Workshop on FDI and Multinational Firms. Available as NBER Working Paper 23643. <https://www.nber.org/papers/w23643>
- Fuchs, A. (2018). China's economic diplomacy and the politics-trade nexus. In: Peter A.G. van Bergeijk and Selwyn Moons (eds.): *Research Handbook of Economic Diplomacy*, Edward Elgar Publishing UK, Ch. 18.
- Harding, T., and B. Javorcik (2011). Roll out the red carpet and they will come: investment promotion and FDI inflows. *Economic Journal* 121:1445–1476. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0297.2011.02454.x>
- Harms, P. and P.-G.Méon (2018). Good and useless FDI: the growth effects of greenfield investment and mergers and acquisitions. *Review of International Economics*, 26(1):37–59. <https://doi.org/10.1111/roie.12302>
- Harms, P. and J. Schwab (2018). Like it or not? How the economic and institutional environment shapes individual attitudes towards multinational enterprises. *The World Economy* 42:636–679. <https://doi.org/10.1111/twec.12683>
- Harms, P. and H. W. Ursprung (2002). Do civil and political repression really boost foreign direct investments?, *Economic Inquiry* 40:651–663. <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1093/ei/40.4.651>
- Hashimoto, Y. and K.M. Wacker (2016). The role of information for international capital flows: new evidence from the SDDS. *Review of World Economics* 152:529–557. <https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10290-016-0250-4>

- Herzer, D., S. Klasen, and F. Nowak-Lehmann Danzinger (2008). In search of FDI-led growth in developing countries: the way forward. *Economic Modelling* 25(5):793–810.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2007.11.005>
- Iamsiraroj, S., M.A. Ulubaşoğlu (2015). Foreign direct investment and economic growth: a real relationship or wishful thinking? *Economic Modelling*, 51(C):200–213.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2015.08.009>
- Javorcik, B. and S. Poelhekke (2017). Former foreign affiliates: cast out and outperformed? *Journal of the European Economic Association* 15:501–539. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jeea/jvw010>
- Koska, O.A. (2019). Gains from multinational competition for cross-border firm acquisition. *Economics: The Open-Access, Open-Assessment E-Journal*, 13. Forthcoming.
- Lane, P.R. and G.M. Milesi-Ferretti (2017). International financial integration in the aftermath of the global financial crisis. IMF Working Paper 17/115.  
<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WP/Issues/2017/05/10/International-Financial-Integration-in-the-Aftermath-of-the-Global-Financial-Crisis-44906>
- Manova, K., S.-J. Wei, and Z. Zhang (2015). Firm exports and multinational activity under credit constraints. *Review of Economics and Statistics* 97:574–88.  
[https://doi.org/10.1162/REST\\_a\\_00480](https://doi.org/10.1162/REST_a_00480)
- Polanco Lazo, R., V. Desilvestro, and A. Bazrafkan (2018). Missing investment treaties. *Journal of International Economic Law* 21:703–731. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jiel/jgy013>
- Wacker, K. M. (2013). On the measurement of foreign direct investment and its relationship to activities of multinational corporations. ECB Working Paper 1614, European Central Bank.  
<https://www.ecb.europa.eu/pub/pdf/scpwps/ecbwp1614.pdf?53ce65f8e8d83467da09c56469b8d927>
- Wisniewski, T. P. and S. Pathan (2014). Political environment and foreign direct investment: evidence from OECD countries. *European Journal of Political Economy* 36:13–23.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpoleco.2014.07.004>



Please note:

You are most sincerely encouraged to participate in the open assessment of this article. You can do so by either recommending the article or by posting your comments.

Please go to:

<http://dx.doi.org/10.5018/economics-ejournal.ja.2019-24>

The Editor