

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Löwe, Monique; Rinne, Ulf; Sonnabend, Hendrik

Working Paper Gender Role Models and Early Career Decisions

IZA Discussion Papers, No. 14666

Provided in Cooperation with: IZA – Institute of Labor Economics

Suggested Citation: Löwe, Monique; Rinne, Ulf; Sonnabend, Hendrik (2021) : Gender Role Models and Early Career Decisions, IZA Discussion Papers, No. 14666, Institute of Labor Economics (IZA), Bonn

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/245717

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



Initiated by Deutsche Post Foundation

DISCUSSION PAPER SERIES

IZA DP No. 14666

Gender Role Models and Early Career Decisions

Monique Löwe Ulf Rinne Hendrik Sonnabend

AUGUST 2021



Initiated by Deutsche Post Foundation

DISCUSSION PAPER SERIES

IZA DP No. 14666

Gender Role Models and Early Career Decisions

Monique Löwe University of Hagen

Ulf Rinne

Hendrik Sonnabend University of Hagen

AUGUST 2021

Any opinions expressed in this paper are those of the author(s) and not those of IZA. Research published in this series may include views on policy, but IZA takes no institutional policy positions. The IZA research network is committed to the IZA Guiding Principles of Research Integrity.

The IZA Institute of Labor Economics is an independent economic research institute that conducts research in labor economics and offers evidence-based policy advice on labor market issues. Supported by the Deutsche Post Foundation, IZA runs the world's largest network of economists, whose research aims to provide answers to the global labor market challenges of our time. Our key objective is to build bridges between academic research, policymakers and society.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character. A revised version may be available directly from the author.

	IZA – Institute of Labor Economics	
Schaumburg-Lippe-Straße 5–9	Phone: +49-228-3894-0	
53113 Bonn, Germany	Email: publications@iza.org	www.iza.org

ABSTRACT

Gender Role Models and Early Career Decisions^{*}

This paper analyzes the link between the subject choices of German students in upper secondary school and teacher gender when these choices are taken. Our results corroborate the hypothesis that teacher gender matters in this regard, and they indicate that girls respond more strongly than boys to same-sex role models. While the probability to choose German as an advanced course in upper secondary school increases to a rather similar (i.e., symmetric) extent for both girls and boys when having a same-sex teacher in this subject in grade 10, teacher gender matters only for girls with respect to choosing math on the advanced level.

JEL Classification:	I21, J16, J24
Keywords:	gender, education, STEM, subject choices

Corresponding author:

Hendrik Sonnabend University of Hagen Department of Economics and Business Administration Universitätsstraße 11 58097 Hagen Germany E-mail: hendrik.sonnabend@fernuni-hagen.de

^{*} This paper uses data from the National Educational Panel Study (NEPS): Starting Cohort 4 – 9th Grade, doi:10.5157/ NEPS:SC4:11.0.0. From 2008 to 2013, NEPS data were collected as part of the Framework Programme for the Promotion of Empirical Educational Research funded by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF). As of 2014, the NEPS survey has been carried out by the Leibniz Institute for Educational Trajectories (LIfBi) at the University of Bamberg in cooperation with a nationwide network.

1 Introduction

At a very early stage in life, students take far-reaching decisions with consequences for their educational and professional career. Depending on the flexibility of the school system, the choice of major subjects often sets the course for more technically- and mathematically-oriented or different career paths.

At the same time, there is widespread concern about persistent gender gaps in STEM subjects and occupations. A growing literature has linked teacher gender with subject choices, in particular with those associated with gender stereotypes (e.g., Carrell et al., 2010; Bottia et al., 2015; Sansone, 2017; Lim and Meer, 2020; Porter and Serra, 2020), which could be due to a role-model effect (e.g., Bettinger and Long, 2005).

We analyze the link between the subject choices of German students in upper secondary school and teacher gender when these decisions are taken. For the final two years, students in Germany have to bindingly decide between basic and advanced courses, with only minor variations across federal states and school types. We not only consider girls' subject choices, but also analyze boys' decisions to test for symmetric effects of same-sex teachers.

2 Data

We use data from the German National Educational Panel Study (NEPS). These rich longitudinal data (Blossfeld et al., 2011) allow us to examine the decision between basic and advanced courses in two subjects that arguably involve gender stereotypes: math and German. We focus on the sub-sample *Starting Cohort Grade 9* with information on the educational paths of ninth graders just before they enter upper secondary level (16,425 students).¹ The first wave (of nine waves) was carried out in fall/winter 2010, and the last wave in 2016/2017.

When restricting the sample to school types actually offering the upper secondary level, we are left with 1,871 individuals with information about their own gender, their math teacher's gender (in grade 10), and whether they choose math on the basic or advanced

¹A documentation can be found at DOI: 10.5157/NEPS:SC4:11.0.0.

	All				Girls		Boys			Diff.
	М	S.D.	Ν	М	S.D.	Ν	М	S.D.	Ν	
Female	0.54	-	1871							
Advanced course math	0.50	-	1871	0.46	-	1011	0.54	-	860	-0.09***
Female math teacher (grade 10) Same gender dummy (grade 10)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.45 \\ 0.49 \end{array}$	-	1871 1871	0.44	-	1011	0.55	-	860	-0.11***
Student's characteristics Math performance grade 10^a Self-concept math (grade 9) Traditional gender roles (score)	2.83 2.62 2.93	$1.06 \\ 0.93 \\ 0.62$	1842 1784 1863	2.90 2.41 3.22	$1.05 \\ 0.89 \\ 0.43$	991 967 1009	$2.76 \\ 2.86 \\ 2.59$	$1.07 \\ 0.91 \\ 0.63$	851 817 854	0.15^{***} - 0.46^{***} 0.63^{***}

Table 1: Summary statistics: Math

* p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01.

^a 6-point grading scale ranging from 1 (excellent) to 6 (insufficient).

Table 2:	Summ	ary sta	atistics	: Gern	nan					
	All				Girls			Boys	Diff.	
	М	S.D.	Ν	М	S.D.	Ν	М	S.D.	Ν	
Female	0.52	-	1642							
Advanced course German	0.54	-	1642	0.62	-	859	0.47	-	783	0.15^{***}
Female German teacher (grade 10) Same gender dummy (grade 10)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.63 \\ 0.50 \end{array}$	-	$\begin{array}{c} 1642 \\ 1642 \end{array}$	0.62	-	859	0.36	-	783	0.26***
Student's characteristics German performance grade 10^a Self-concept German (grade 9) Traditional gender roles (score)	2.67 3.03 2.93	$0.81 \\ 0.59 \\ 0.61$	$1616 \\ 1571 \\ 1638$	2.48 3.14 3.23	$0.79 \\ 0.54 \\ 0.42$	841 826 858	2.87 2.90 2.60	$0.79 \\ 0.63 \\ 0.61$	775 745 780	-0.39*** 0.23*** 0.63***

. α

* p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01.

a 6-point grading scale ranging from 1 (excellent) to 6 (insufficient).

level (in grade 11). For the choice of German as a basic or advanced course, the number of observations is slightly lower (1,642).

Tables 1 and 2 show that the gender gaps in performances in grade 10, as measured by grades, and the tendency to choose an advanced-level course are more pronounced in German (to the disadvantage of boys) than in math (to the disadvantage of girls). Teacher gender is unevenly distributed across subjects: 45 percent of all students in our sample have a female math teacher in grade 10, and 63 percent have a female German teacher.

Additional variables for our empirical analysis are the student's self-concept (or: self-

assessment) related to subject-specific abilities and the student's opinion on traditional gender roles. Both variables are based on the extent to which students agree to statements on a four-point scale. For the subject-specific self-assessments, only one question was asked for each subject.² We can elicit the student's opinion on traditional gender roles based on their level of agreement with five statements.³

3 Empirical Analysis and Results

Although we cannot take advantage of a clean experimental setting, some institutional features may allow us to closely resemble causal effects. Teacher-to-class assignments can be viewed as quasi-random with respect to our key variable of interest as this assignment does not depend on teacher gender, but rather on teacher expertise. Within a given subject, teacher gender does not correlate with the share of male or female students in a class. Also the average ability of a class, proxied by the average grade, does not significantly correlate with teacher gender in math. Yet, female teachers in German give, on average, slightly better grades (2.624 vs. 2.748).⁴

Tables 3 and 4 display our main results of linear probability models.⁵ These models are gradually extended to also include student-specific and school-specific control variables. Furthermore, as Schøne et al. (2020) show that the gender ratio in class is associated with subject choices, we also include this variable.⁶

Our results confirm the hypothesis that girls who have a female math teacher in grade 10 are significantly more likely to choose math as an advanced course in upper secondary school than girls who are taught by a male teacher. Our point estimates in Table 3 correspond to an increased likelihood of 6.2 to 10.4 percentage points. We do not find a

²Math: "I get good grades in math" (1 = strongly disagree, 5 = strongly agree), German: "I am a hopeless case" (1 = strongly agree, 4 = strongly disagree)".

³These were "Duties in life: men should earn money." (1: totally disagree, 4: totally agree), "Men are more capable in some jobs.", "Men and women have the same duties in house care work", "There should be equal quotas in politics", and "Men and women have equal control over technical devices". We calculate the arithmetic mean across these statements so that the final variable is still between one and four. Note that Cronbach's Alpha reliabilities (Cronbach, [1951]) are high (0.76).

 $^{^{4}}p$ -values of Student's *t*-tests: 0.734 (students' gender, math), 0.869 (grades, math), 0.899 (students' gender, German), and 0.003 (grades, German).

⁵Probit and logit models yield very similar results.

⁶Unfortunately, this information is only available for a subsample.

similar (i.e., symmetric) effect for boys.

In addition, our results support the hypothesis that both girls' and boys' choices of German as an advanced course in upper secondary school depend on teacher gender. Table 4 shows that while the same-sex teacher effect for girls is about the same in German as in math.7 the estimated coefficients for boys turn significant at the 10 percent level when we include a broader set of control variables. Moreover, these coefficients are also substantial in magnitude. The same-sex teacher effects on choosing German as an advanced course in upper secondary school are thus rather similar (i.e., symmetric) for boys and girls.

4 Conclusions

Our results corroborate the hypothesis that teacher gender matters at the time when subject choices of German students in upper secondary school are taken. Moreover, we find that girls respond more strongly than boys to same-sex role models regarding their choice of advanced courses, especially in math. Thus, if the policy goal is to increase the proportion of females in STEM subjects, it should make sense to match girls with female role models as math teachers early in their school career.

⁷The fact that the coefficient estimate on same-sex teacher for girls is insignificant after including the gender ratio in class in column (4) could be due to the substantially lower number of observations.

		G	Firls			Boys					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)			
Same-sex teacher	0.081^{**} (0.032)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.062^{**} \\ (0.030) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.062^{**} \\ (0.031) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.104^{***} \\ (0.039) \end{array}$	-0.027 (0.034)	-0.042 (0.032)	-0.032 (0.032)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.025 \\ (0.041) \end{array}$			
Math performance (grade 10)		-0.135^{***} (0.014)	-0.096^{***} (0.018)	-0.086^{***} (0.023)		-0.142^{***} (0.014)	-0.081^{***} (0.020)	-0.066^{**} (0.027)			
Self-concept Math ^a (z-score)			$\begin{array}{c} 0.081^{***} \\ (0.020) \end{array}$	0.048^{*} (0.025)			$\begin{array}{c} 0.121^{***} \\ (0.021) \end{array}$	0.088^{***} (0.027)			
Traditional gender $roles^b$ (z-score)			$0.004 \\ (0.022)$	$0.018 \\ (0.029)$			-0.011 (0.017)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.007 \\ (0.022) \end{array}$			
Share of male students in class				0.007 (0.121)				$\begin{array}{c} 0.329^{***} \\ (0.125) \end{array}$			
Type of school dummy East Germany dummy	No No	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	No No	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes			
$\frac{N}{R^2}$	1011 0.006	991 0.104	$948 \\ 0.129$	$590 \\ 0.105$	$\begin{array}{c} 860 \\ 0.001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 851\\ 0.132\end{array}$	$804 \\ 0.171$	$503 \\ 0.122$			

Table 3: Same gender effects: Math

Data: NEPS, Starting Cohort Grade 9.
The dependent variable is a binary variable which is 1 if the student chooses math as an advanced course in grade 11 and 0 otherwise.
^a (Standardized) score, values between one (low) and four (high).
^b (Standardized) score, values between one (non-traditional) and four (very traditional).
Robust standard errors in parentheses, * p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01.

		Gir	ls			Boys				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)		
Same-sex teacher	$\begin{array}{c} 0.112^{***} \\ (0.034) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.076^{**} \\ (0.035) \end{array}$	0.073^{**} (0.035)	$\begin{array}{c} 0.033 \ (0.046) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.006 \\ (0.037) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.054 \\ (0.037) \end{array}$	0.065^{*} (0.038)	0.079^{*} (0.048)		
German performance (grade 10)		-0.081^{***} (0.021)	-0.047^{*} (0.024)	-0.054^{*} (0.032)		-0.133^{***} (0.022)	-0.122^{***} (0.025)	-0.138^{***} (0.030)		
Self-concept German ^a (z-score)			0.050^{**} (0.020)	0.043^{*} (0.026)			$0.013 \\ (0.018)$	$0.008 \\ (0.023)$		
Traditional gender $roles^b$ (z-score)			-0.031 (0.025)	-0.059^{*} (0.031)			-0.029 (0.018)	-0.046^{**} (0.022)		
Share of male students in class				-0.232 (0.147)				$0.241 \\ (0.177)$		
Type of school dummy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
East Germany dummy	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Ν	859	841	810	471	783	775	735	473		
R^2	0.012	0.046	0.047	0.066	0.000	0.072	0.073	0.088		

Table 4: Same gender effects: German

Data: NEPS, Starting Cohort Grade 9.
The dependent variable is a binary variable which is 1 if the student chooses German as an advanced course in grade 11 and 0 otherwise.
^a (Standardized) score, values between one (low) and four (high).
^b (Standardized) score, values between one (non-traditional) and four (very traditional).
Robust standard errors in parentheses, * p < 0.10, ** p < 0.05, *** p < 0.01.

References

- Bettinger, E. P. and Long, B. T. (2005). Do faculty serve as role models? the impact of instructor gender on female students. *American Economic Review*, 95(2):152–157.
- Blossfeld, H., Roßbach, H., and von Maurice, J. (2011). The German National Educational Panel Study (NEPS). Zeitschrift für Erziehungswissenschaft: Sonderheft, 14.
- Bottia, M. C., Stearns, E., Mickelson, R. A., Moller, S., and Valentino, L. (2015). Growing the roots of stem majors: Female math and science high school faculty and the participation of students in stem. *Economics of Education Review*, 45:14–27.
- Carrell, S. E., Page, M. E., and West, J. E. (2010). Sex and science: How professor gender perpetuates the gender gap. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 125(3):1101–1144.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient alpha and the internal structure of tests. *Psychometrika*, 16(3):297–334.
- Lim, J. and Meer, J. (2020). Persistent effects of teacher–student gender matches. *Journal* of Human Resources, 55(3):809–835.
- Porter, C. and Serra, D. (2020). Gender differences in the choice of major: The importance of female role models. *American Economic Journal: Applied Economics*, 12(3):226–54.
- Sansone, D. (2017). Why does teacher gender matter? *Economics of Education Review*, 61:9–18.
- Schøne, P., von Simson, K., and Strøm, M. (2020). Peer gender and educational choices. Empirical Economics, 59(4):1763–1797.