

Alon, Ilan; Cherp, Aleh

Research Report

Is China's outward investment in oil a global security concern?

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 81

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Alon, Ilan; Cherp, Aleh (2012) : Is China's outward investment in oil a global security concern?, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 81, Columbia University, Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCCI), New York, NY

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/253915>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues by
the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment

No. 81 October 22, 2012

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu)

Managing Editor: Jennifer Reimer (jreimer01@gmail.com)

Is China's outward investment in oil a global security concern?

by

Ilan Alon and Aleh Cherp*

The motivations prompting China's dramatic increase in outward foreign direct investment (OFDI) are not always clear, especially regarding OFDI by state-owned enterprises (SOEs) in energy and natural resources. First, both commercial and governmental interests are intertwined, although not necessarily in lock-step. Chinese SOEs listed in the West may worry about the reputational risks to their global corporate citizenship, while government stakeholders may instead focus on diplomatic international relations. Second, subsidies for oil investments may be viewed as serving Chinese national interests and threatening the national security of the host countries. Whether China's OFDI will benefit or harm global energy security, economic development and diplomatic relations is still hotly contested.

China is acutely concerned about the security of its oil supplies. In the 1950s, the newly established People's Republic of China was at the mercy of the Soviets for oil. The Sino-Soviet split in the 1960s led to critical oil shortages and jeopardized the Chinese military. The Daqing Oilfield production starting in 1963 gave China temporary independence from oil imports. However, due to the depletion of Daqing and rising domestic consumption, China again became a net oil importer in 1993, sparking old anxieties and precipitating China's national goal "to provide reliable and adequate supply of oil [to the Chinese people] at a reasonable price."¹

Each of China's three oil-related objectives -- adequacy, reasonable price, reliability -- is linked to OFDI in oil.² First, such investment contributes to the adequacy of oil supply by increasing the

* Ilan Alon (IALON@rollins.edu) is Cornell Chair of International Business and Director of the China Center at Rollins College and Fellow and Visiting Scholar at Harvard Kennedy School; Aleh Cherp (cherpa@ceu.hu) is Professor at Central European University (Budapest) and the Coordinating Lead Author (Energy Security) of the Global Energy Assessment. The authors wish to thank Deborah Brautigam and an anonymous reviewer for their helpful peer reviews. **The views expressed by the authors of this *Perspective* do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Columbia University or its partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.**

¹ Guy C. K. Leung, "China's energy security: Perception and reality," *Energy Policy*, vol. 39 (March 2011), p. 1332.

² Ibid.

flow of oil into the market. Second, supply by national oil companies is deemed to be more reliable than foreign-sourced supplies, especially in the event of crises when state-controlled assets (such as the tanker fleet) can be directed to serve national oil needs. However, national ownership does not protect from natural disasters, political instability in producing countries, terrorist attacks, or military intervention in the Straits of Malacca.³ Finally, subsidized investment may provide for more stable and predictable prices -- at least if it can be sustained in the long term and thus investment can be protected from market volatility.

Thus, China's investment in foreign oil assets is linked to the country's national energy security objectives. It is not surprising that, in our recent survey of nine state-owned oil companies,⁴ government encouragement and resource security were among the top motivations for outward oil investment. But does this investment represent a security threat to other countries?

There are two arguments supporting this concern: first, it is argued that the contest over scarce oil resources may spark confrontation between the US and China; and second, it is argued that China will exert military power to protect its oil assets, by, for example, developing a blue-water navy to shield its tanker fleet or assert its claims on disputed island chains or other territories with strong potential for energy development. Both of these arguments have been frequently challenged.⁵ For example, oil produced by Chinese SOEs abroad is sold on the global market and thus benefits all consumers, not only China. Also, China's outward energy investments address a major global energy security concern, namely the lack of investment repeatedly highlighted by the International Energy Agency (IEA).⁶ Further, Chinese concerns about the vulnerability of sea lanes and chokepoints are shared by other nations; thus, Chinese efforts to protect them and to diversify trade routes should be welcome.

There are, however, other reasons to be cautious about the rise of China's OFDI in oil. Conventional oil is ultimately a limited resource that produces greenhouse gases. By funding oil exploration and extraction, China is subsidizing greenhouse gas emissions and diverting resources from needed investment in alternative energy technologies. In addition, investment in countries owning oil resources sometimes protects regimes the West considers unsavory.

Investing in oil abroad is not the only Chinese strategy to enhance its energy security. Domestic investments in renewables soared to US\$ 50 billion in 2010,⁷ as compared to fossil fuel subsidies estimated at US\$ 40 billion in 2008⁸ and OFDI in oil at US\$ 18 billion in 2009.⁹ It should also be in China's best interest to see poorly governed oil-rich nations stabilize and more fully integrate into the global trading system. At the same time, the global energy organizations such as the

³ ZhongXiang Zhang, "China's energy security, the Malacca dilemma and responses," *Energy Policy*, vol. 39 (December 2011), pp. 7612-7615.

⁴ Unpublished survey on Chinese SOEs going global, in collaboration with Euromed, Shanghai; data collected Summer 2011.

⁵ Leung, op. cit.

⁶ International Energy Agency, *World Energy Outlook 2011* (Paris: OECD/IEA, 2011).

⁷ Renewable Energy Policy Network for the 21st century, *Renewables 2011: Global Status Report* (Paris: REN21, 2011), p. 35.

⁸ International Energy Agency, *World Energy Outlook 2010* (Paris: OECD/IEA, 2010).

⁹ Julie Jiang and Jonathan Sinton, "Overseas investments by Chinese national oil companies: Assessing the drivers and impacts," IEA Information Paper, February 2011.

IEA, largely influenced by European and US interests, should actively involve China in order to ensure more global cooperation and equitable distribution of power.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: “Ilan Alon and Aleh Cherp, ‘Is China’s outward investment in oil a global security concern?,’ Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 81, October 22, 2012. Reprinted with permission from the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (www.vcc.columbia.edu).” A copy should kindly be sent to the Vale Columbia Center at vcc@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submitting to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment, Jennifer Reimer, jreimer01@gmail.com or jreimer@lyhplaw.com.

The Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCC – www.vcc.columbia.edu), led by Lisa Sachs, is a joint center of Columbia Law School and The Earth Institute at Columbia University. It seeks to be a leader on issues related to foreign direct investment (FDI) in the global economy. VCC focuses on the analysis and teaching of the implications of FDI for public policy and international investment law.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 80, Jo En Low, “State-controlled entities as ‘investors’ under international investment agreements,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, October 8, 2012.
- No. 79, Lise Johnson, “Absent from the discussion: The other half of investment promotion,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, September 24, 2012.
- No. 78, Elizabeth Broomfield, “Reconciling IMF rules and international investment agreements: An innovative derogation for capital controls,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, September 10, 2012.
- No. 77, Sandy Walker, “A new economic nationalism? Lessons from the PotashCorp decision in Canada,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, August 27, 2012.
- No. 76, Perrine Toledano and Julien Topal, “A good business reason to support mandatory transparency in extractive industries,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, August 13, 2012.
- No. 75, Alex Berger et al., “Attracting FDI through BITs and RTAs: Does treaty content matter?,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, July 30, 2012.
- No. 74, M Sornarajah, “Starting anew in international investment law,” July 16, 2012.
- No. 73, Lorenzo Cotula, “Law at two speeds: Legal frameworks regulating foreign investment in the global South,” June 29, 2012.
- No. 72, Torfinn Harding and Beata Javorcik, “Roll out the red carpet and they will come: Investment promotion and FDI inflows,” June 18, 2012.
- No. 71, Thomas Jost, “Much ado about nothing? State-controlled entities and the change in German investment law,” June 4, 2012.

All previous *FDI Perspectives* are available at <http://www.vcc.columbia.edu/content/fdi-perspectives>.