

Shen, Xiaofang

**Research Report**

## How the private sector is changing Chinese investment in Africa

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 93

**Provided in Cooperation with:**

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

*Suggested Citation:* Shen, Xiaofang (2013) : How the private sector is changing Chinese investment in Africa, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 93, Columbia University, Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCC), New York, NY

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/253927>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



## Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues by  
the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment

No. 93 April 15, 2013

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu)

Managing Editor: Jennifer Reimer (jreimer01@gmail.com)

### How the private sector is changing Chinese investment in Africa

by  
Xiaofang Shen\*

In August 2012, US Secretary of the State Hilary Clinton offered a thinly veiled criticism of China's role in Africa, calling upon African countries to guard against those that "come in, take out natural resources, pay off leaders and leave."<sup>1</sup> The Chinese official news agency, Xinhua, retorted immediately that "Clinton's implication that China has been extracting Africa's wealth for itself is utterly wide of the truth."<sup>2</sup>

Data gathered from China, six African countries<sup>3</sup> and company interviews suggest that neither Clinton nor Xinhua was correct. China's investment in Africa is far from monolithic and is growing increasingly diverse. The driving force behind this evolution is the rising role of China's private sector. Private investors are primarily driven by profit and they can create real economic opportunities for host countries.

Until 2005, only 52 private investment projects in Africa were registered with the Chinese government.<sup>4</sup> By April 2012, this number had jumped to 923, representing 55% of the 1,679 Chinese outward foreign direct investment (OFDI) projects active in Africa.<sup>5</sup> However, China's

---

\* Xiaofang Shen (xshen2@live.johnshopkins.edu) is Senior Visiting Scholar at the Johns Hopkins University School of Advanced International Studies, after 22 years of experience working with the World Bank Group as an investment climate specialist. The author wishes to thank Ilan Alon, Hinrich Voss and Kenny Zhang for their helpful peer reviews. **The views expressed by the author of this *Perspective* do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Columbia University or its partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.**

<sup>1</sup> "Hillary Clinton launches African tour with veiled attack on China," *The Guardian*, August 1, 2012, available at: <http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2012/aug/01/hillary-clinton-africa-china>.

<sup>2</sup> Ibid.

<sup>3</sup> Including four top recipients: Nigeria, Ethiopia, Zambia, and Ghana, plus Liberia and Rwanda.

<sup>4</sup> Depending on the size and nature of the projects, Chinese firms are required to obtain government approval/registry/filing when making outbound investments.

<sup>5</sup> For more on the data discussed herein, see Xiaofang Shen, "Private Chinese investment in Africa: Myths and realities," World Bank Policy Research Working Paper Series 6311, January 2013, available at: [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=2198780](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2198780).

data provide only a partial picture. Host country data consistently show the number of Chinese companies operating in their countries to be 3-4 times higher (see the supporting materials below).

Moreover, there are stark differences between private and state-led investment in Africa in terms of sectors. The former mostly involve manufacturing (36%) and services (22%); in contrast, state-led projects focus heavily on construction (35%) and resource-extraction (25%). Six host countries confirm the concentration of Chinese investment projects in manufacturing (44%) and services (40%). Unsurprisingly, feedback on job-creation is positive in all six countries surveyed.

However, host governments' views regarding the impact on local industrialization are somewhat mixed. While the injected Chinese capital and technology are appreciated, there are worries about competition hurting domestic firms. Governments are disappointed with the lack of technology transfer. Some are also concerned about labor and environmental standards.

Interviews with firms shed light on their motives. Most manufacturers moving to Africa belong to "sunset industries," which had their heyday as the world's factories in the past but are in danger of losing that title. Relocating to distant, foreign countries is risky and laborious, but almost inevitable for some looking to survive and grow.

This suggests that the surge of private investment in Africa is a reaction to the challenge China must meet in the next decade. To maintain productivity and stay competitive in a globalized market, Chinese firms must move up the value chain through strategic industrial upgrading, innovation and, sometimes, relocation.

That is good news for Africa. Countries looking to transform resource-based economies into industrialized ones face a time-bound opportunity. Industrialization is a process involving many steps. The labor-intensive and low-tech manufacturing brought in by Chinese firms provides a desirable first step in this process. Such manufacturing activities are easy for low-income countries to absorb and adapt, while allowing comparative advantages to be realized.

Dynamic global industrial relocation is nothing new. China itself benefited tremendously from the "flying-geese paradigm" in its economic take-off. The first wave of FDI to China, which lasted throughout the 1980s, was led not by western multinationals enterprises, but a host of small and medium-sized investors from Hong Kong, Taiwan and other Asian emerging markets. It was these "second-class" investors who brought China initial foreign capital and technology, which helped China tap into its comparative advantages and enter the global economy.

Africa faces a similar opportunity as China did twenty years ago, but benefits will not materialize without efforts. Despite the rising interest in Africa, Africa receives only 2.2% of China's total dollar amount of OFDI, including private and state-led investment. If anything, Africa still carries a negative image as a distant, poor and unstable investment destination among the majority of Chinese firms, resulting from both real problems and misperceptions.

To enlarge its share of the Chinese OFDI pie, African governments must forcefully address concerns expressed by interviewed investors regarding infrastructure, policy stability and

administrative efficiency. They should also improve regulatory transparency to make compliance easy and abuse difficult. When promoting themselves to Chinese investors, governments should give priority to servicing those who have come. The “word of mouth” effect is particularly strong among Chinese investors; one happy business could help attract 5-10 new ones.

Finally, improving technology transfer and business linkages with local firms are critical areas in which host governments should be active promoters. Chinese and local firms should collaborate for their self-interests. After all, better business integration helps sustain business successes while rendering long-term benefits for host economies. It is a win-win solution.

*The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: “Xiaofang Shen, ‘How the private sector is changing Chinese investment in Africa,’ Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 93, April 15, 2013. Reprinted with permission from the Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (www.vcc.columbia.edu).” A copy should kindly be sent to the Vale Columbia Center at vcc@law.columbia.edu.*

For further information, including information regarding submitting to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment, Jennifer Reimer, jreimer01@gmail.com. In addition to her role as Research Associate for the VCC, Ms. Reimer is Legal Counsel for LG Electronics’ Regional Headquarters for the Middle East and Africa.


The Vale Columbia Center on Sustainable International Investment (VCC), led by Lisa Sachs, is a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University. It is the only applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment, through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools.

### **Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives**

- No. 92, Vid Prislán and Ruben Zandvliet, “Labor provisions in bilateral investment treaties: Does the new US Model BIT provide a template for the future?,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, April 1, 2013.
- No. 91, Anthony O’Sullivan and Alexander Böhmer, “The Arab Awakening, act II: Time to move more boldly on investment,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, March 18, 2013.
- No. 90, Shaun E. Donnelly, “A business perspective on a China - US bilateral investment treaty,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, March 4, 2013.
- No. 89, Joachim Karl, “Investor-state dispute settlement: A government’s dilemma,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, February 18, 2013.
- No. 88, Jarrod Wong, “The compensatory nature of moral damages in investor-state arbitration,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, February 4, 2013.
- No. 87, Ralph Alexander Lorz, “Trying to change the rules for responding to arbitration unilaterally: The proposed new framework for investor-state dispute settlement for the EU,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, January 22, 2013.
- No. 86, Catharine Titi, “EU investment agreements and the search for a new balance: A paradigm shift from laissez-faire liberalism toward embedded liberalism?,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, January 3, 2013.
- No. 85, Karl P. Sauvants and Huiping Chen, ‘A China – US bilateral investment treaty: A template for a multilateral framework for investment?,’ Columbia FDI Perspectives, December 17, 2012.
- No. 84, Saurav Pathak et al., “Inward foreign direct investment: Does it enable or constrain domestic technology entrepreneurship?,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, December 3, 2012.
- No. 83, Xiaofang Shen, “Untying the land knot: Turning investment challenges into opportunities for all citizens,” Columbia FDI Perspectives, November 19, 2012.


**All previous *FDI Perspectives* are available at <http://www.vcc.columbia.edu/content/fdi-perspectives>.**

**Figure 1. Sector spread of Chinese OFDI in Africa, comparing government and private-led projects, 2011.**



Source: Xiaofang Shen, *op. cit.*, calculated on the basis of data from Ministry of Commerce, China.

**Figure 2. Chinese investment in six African countries, comparing home and host country data (Project number, as of end-2011)**



Source: Xiaofang Shen, *op. cit.*, calculated on the basis of data from Ministry of Commerce, China as well as data from each of the six examined host countries.