

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Sauvant, Karl P.; Allman, Daniel

Research Report

Can India emulate China in attracting and benefitting from FDI?

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 168

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Sauvant, Karl P.; Allman, Daniel (2016): Can India emulate China in attracting and benefitting from FDI?, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 168, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/254002

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues No. 168 February 29, 2016

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu)
Managing Editor: Maree Newson (mareenewson@gmail.com)

Can India emulate China in attracting and benefitting from FDI?

by Karl P. Sauvant and Daniel Allman*

Just four months after his election in May 2014, Prime Minister Narendra Modi launched the "Make-in-India" campaign. The event was broadcast across the globe, with a clear message to foreign investors: India was ripping up the red tape and rolling out the red carpet. The campaign is aimed at "transform[ing] India into a global design and manufacturing hub," measuring its success by the "opening up of key sectors ... to dramatically higher levels of Foreign Direct Investment [FDI]."

How is India doing in this respect, compared to China, which achieved remarkable success through a similar strategy?

In 1980, China's FDI inflows (US\$57 million) were less than three-quarters of India's inflows (US\$79 million). By 2014, China's FDI inflows (US\$129 billion) outstripped India's (US\$34 billion) by almost four times. Measures of inward FDI stock tell the same story: by 2014, India's (US\$252 billion) was about a quarter of China's (US\$1,085 billion) and, for that matter, of Singapore's (US\$912 billion).

The three key FDI determinants explain the story:

- China's economy and infrastructure grew much faster than India's, making China much more attractive to foreign investors and initiating a virtuous cycle: FDI contributes to growth, and growth attracts FDI. It is indicative that foreign affiliates generate over half of China's exports.
- This combined with a progressively more welcoming FDI framework: China ranks higher than India on the World Bank's "doing business" index, the World Economic Forum's "global competitiveness" index and the Milken Institute's "global opportunity" index.⁵

• China established an extensive investment-promotion infrastructure, with investment promotion agencies (IPAs) at all levels of government, to attract FDI and provide various aftercare services to foreign investors. Increasingly, red carpet replaced red tape, while, simultaneously, efforts were made to improve FDI's contribution to China's development.

Given the size and growth of its market, India has the potential to attract considerably more FDI than it has in the past—as reflected in the fact that India has ranked among the top 10 (if not top five) countries in seven of the eight "FDI confidence" indices prepared by A.T. Kearney since 2004. Since these indices are based on the assessment of corporate executives, the business community clearly sees India's FDI potential.

How to realize this potential? What can India learn from China's experience?

- As always, the economic determinants are fundamental. As India promotes growth and improves its infrastructure, it too can initiate a virtuous cycle.
- This needs to be supported by quickly improving the regulatory setting. The Make-in-India campaign recognizes this, promising a growing economy alongside a more welcoming investment climate. (On the OECD's "regulatory restrictiveness" index, India is already assessed as being somewhat less restrictive than China toward FDI—although it remains considerably more restrictive than the OECD average.⁷)
- India's investment-promotion infrastructure needs to be strengthened.

As to investment promotion, three signal actions ought to be taken by India immediately:

- 1. Establish a powerful, unified central IPA, reporting directly to the Prime Minister. Functions should include systematically attracting FDI (and especially FDI exhibiting sustainability characteristics), targeting investors in sectors important to India's development, providing aftercare services, boosting investor trust and perception, and undertaking policy advocacy. Since, in the end, all investment is local, strong IPAs are also desirable at the state level. Proper coordination between the central and state IPAs—admittedly, a challenge—is essential to ensure follow-up and avoid incentive wars among states. As in China, these measures would establish the institutional infrastructure for attracting FDI and benefitting from it. Regular reports to the Prime Minister should detail progress made.
- 2. Create an independent Investment Ombudsperson Office, headed by a person well respected in the private and public sectors. The Office would mediate where issues arise between governmental authorities and foreign investors, including to prevent conflicts escalating into costly international investor-state arbitrations. This becomes all the more important as, inevitably, rising FDI inflows increase the potential for conflicts. The Prime Minister should be informed through a fast-track procedure about problems that require immediate attention at the highest levels. Establishing such an Office (which worked well in the Republic of Korea) would go beyond

- China's investment-promotion efforts, sending a powerful signal to the world's investment community.
- 3. Launch an independent annual *Indian Investment Report*. Such a report should monitor issues regarding FDI in India (placing them also in an international context), assess the impact of FDI on the local economy, examine matters of special interest to India (e.g., technology transfer, tax issues, linkages with global value chains), and recommend ways to increase FDI inflows and their contribution toward sustainable development.

There is no reason why India should not be able to attract as much FDI, and benefit from it to the same extent, as China. It is up to the government of India to accelerate action.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Karl Sauvant and Daniel Allman, 'Can India emulate China in attracting and benefitting from FDI?,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 168, February 29, 2016. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (www.ccsi.columbia.edu)." A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at ccsi@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Maree Newson, mareenewson@gmail.com.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at http://www.ccsi.columbia.edu.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

• No. 167, Nahom Ghebrihiwet, "Mining automation: threat or opportunity for FDI technology spillovers?" February 15, 2016.

^{*} Karl P. Sauvant (karlsauvant@gmail.com) is Resident Senior Fellow, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), Columbia University; Daniel Allman (daniel.allman@columbia.edu) is an LL.M. candidate at Columbia Law School and a legal intern at CCSI. The authors are grateful to Ravi Kant, Premila Nazareth Satyanand and Harsha Singh for their helpful peer reviews. The views expressed by the author of this Perspective do not necessarily reflect the opinions of Columbia University or its partners and supporters. Columbia FDI Perspectives (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.

¹ Government of India, "Make in India" website http://makeinindia.com/about.

² UNCTAD STAT database http://unctadstat.unctad.org.

³ Ibid.

⁴ Ibid.

⁵ See, The World Bank, World Development Indicators http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/world-development-indicators; World Economic Forum, The Global Competitiveness Report 2015-2016 (Geneva: WEF, 2015); and Milken Institute, Global Opportunity Index (Santa Monica: Milken Institute, 2015).

⁶ A.T. Kearney, FDI Confidence Index website http://atkearney.com/ideas-insights.

⁷ See, http://oecd.org/investment/fdiindex.htm.

- No. 166, Eric Neumayer and Peter Nunnenkamp, "Democracies conclude more and stricter international investment agreements but why?" February 1, 2016.
- No. 165, Henry Loewendahl, "A new foreign direct investment accounting methodology for economic development organizations," January 18, 2016.
- No. 164, Anne van Aaken, "International investment law and decentralized targeted sanctions: an uneasy relationship," January 4, 2016.
- No. 163, Moataz Hussein, "Toward balanced Arab regional investment regulations," December 21, 2016.

All previous FDI Perspectives are available at http://ccsi.columbia.edu/publications/columbia-fdi-perspectives/.