

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Kerner, Andrew

## Research Report How to analyze the impact of bilateral investment treaties on FDI

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 249

### **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

*Suggested Citation:* Kerner, Andrew (2019) : How to analyze the impact of bilateral investment treaties on FDI, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 249, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/254083

### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



# WWW.ECONSTOR.EU



## Columbia FDI Perspectives Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues No. 249 April 8, 2019 Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu) Managing Editor: Marion A. Creach (marion.creach@sciencespo.fr)

## How to analyze the impact of bilateral investment treaties on FDI\*

by Andrew Kerner<sup>\*\*</sup>

Bilateral investment treaties' (BITs) capacity to catalyze long-term investment is central to their appeal. However, even after years of study, it is not clear that they encourage investment.

The principal barrier to answering that question is the literature's practice of proxying long-term investments with balance-of-payments (BOP)-based measures of FDI. Such data capture the net value of capital transactions (debt, equity, reinvested earnings) between MNEs and their affiliates over the course of a year. Their availability for many countries over long periods of time makes them attractive for studying the impact of BITs. However, they are mismatched for the task, and their use is more problematic than commonly understood.

Some of these limitations have been noted in an earlier *Perspective*.<sup>1</sup> They include:

- Variation in reporting standards, notably regarding the inclusion of reinvested earnings and methods used to value FDI stocks. This limits these data's usefulness to research designs that require cross-national comparisons, which the study of BITs typically does.
- The association of capital with its immediate source and destination. This can distort information as to where capital is being deployed and by whom when FDI is (as it often is) routed through intermediate destinations and can lead to misidentifying domestic investments as FDI if they are "round-tripped."

These problems are fixable, and an earlier *Perspective* describes significant efforts underway.<sup>2</sup> Other features of these data are not as fixable. Most importantly:

• BOP-based FDI data include capital flows associated with efforts to minimize tax liability or take advantage of local interest rates, rather than to serve any long-term enterprise. These flows more closely resemble portfolio investments,<sup>3</sup> and it is unclear whether BITs would

affect them. Their inclusion limits these data's ability reliably to estimate BITs' effects on the relevant flows.

- BOP-based FDI data exclude the accumulation of assets by MNEs' foreign affiliates through means other than FDI, including local borrowing. That is not a flaw in the data—local borrowing is not FDI—but when such funds are used to finance risk-sensitive projects, they are relevant to estimating BITs' potential impacts.
- BITs not only plausibly affect the localization of MNEs' operations, but also whether these operations are financed in ways that are captured in BOP-based FDI data. Lowered perceptions of political risk can be expected to improve the terms on which affiliates can borrow locally, and encourage them to do so.<sup>4</sup> Because local borrowing is excluded from FDI data, BITs might increase the scale of MNEs' operations while decreasing its visibility to analysts using FDI data.

These problems are inherent to BOP-based FDI data and cannot really be fixed. A better understanding of BITs' impact on MNEs' operations requires measuring these operations more directly.

The most commonly used, publically-available<sup>5</sup> datasets amenable to this purpose are the US Bureau of Economic Analysis' (BEA) "Activities of U.S. multinational enterprises" data<sup>6</sup> and the OECD's "Activities of multinational enterprises" data,<sup>7</sup> both of which use firm-level surveys to capture MNEs' foreign assets and operations, regardless of how those assets and operations are financed. These data are often more directly relevant to the study of BITs. The BEA's data, for example, allow us fixed capital investment, independent of whether it is financed through local borrowing or through FDI, or if the relevant capital flows were routed through intermediate destinations.

These data are imperfect, of course. The BEA's data require a singular focusing on the operations of majority-owned US MNEs. The OECD data offer a broader sample, but limited coverage of MNEs' activities in the developing countries that are most relevant to analyze BITs' effects. Nonetheless, these data are often preferable to traditional alternatives and should be used whenever research designs allow it. That is a substantial qualification, particularly with respect to sample size, but those limitations are typically preferable to those posed by BOP-based FDI data.

<sup>\*</sup> The *Columbia FDI Perspectives* are a forum for public debate. The views expressed by the author(s) do not reflect the opinions of CCSI or Columbia University or our partners and supporters. *Columbia FDI Perspectives* (ISSN 2158-3579) is a peer-reviewed series.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Andrew Kerner (kerneran@msu.edu) is a Research Associate in the Political Science department at Michigan State University. This *Perspective* is based on Andrew Kerner, "What can we really know about BITs and FDI?" *ICSID Review-Foreign Investment Law Journal*, vol. 33 (2018), pp. 1-13. The author is grateful to Maria Borga, Raymond Mataloni and Theodore Moran for their helpful peer reviews.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Karl P. Sauvant, "Beware of FDI statistics", Columbia FDI Perspectives, no. 215, December 18, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Maria Borga, "Not all foreign direct investment is foreign: the extent of round-tripping," *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, no. 172 April 25, 2016.

<sup>3</sup> Olivier Blanchard and Julien Acalin, "What does measured FDI actually measure?" *Policy Brief*, 16-17 (Washington: PIIE, 2016).

<sup>6</sup> <u>BEA</u>, "Activities of U.S. multinational enterprises: 2016," *News Release* (US Department of Commerce, August 24, 2018).

<sup>7</sup> OECD, AMNE Database, last updated on June 21, 2017.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: "Andrew Kerner, 'How to analyze the impact of bilateral investment treaties on FDI,' Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 249, April 8, 2019. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (<u>www.ccsi.columbia.edu</u>)." A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at <u>ccsi@law.columbia.edu</u>.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Marion A. Creach, <u>marion.creach@sciencespo.fr</u>.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at <a href="http://www.ccsi.columbia.edu">http://www.ccsi.columbia.edu</a>.

### Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 248, Stephan W. Schill and Geraldo Vidigal, "Investment dispute settlement à la carte within a multilateral institution: A path forward for the UNCITRAL process?," March 25, 2019
- No. 247, Karl P. Sauvant, "The state of the international investment law and policy regime," March 11, 2019
- No. 246, Joachim Pohl, "Is international investment threatening or under threat?," February 25, 2019
- No. 245, Carlos Esplugues, "A future European FDI screening system: solution or problem?," February 11, 2019
- No. 244, Mark Feldman, "China's Belt and Road investment governance: building a hybrid model," January 28, 2019

All previous FDI Perspectives are available at http://ccsi.columbia.edu/publications/columbia-fdi- perspectives/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Selin Sayek, Alexandre Lehmann and Hyoung Goo Kang, "Multinational affiliates and local financial markets," *Working Paper 04/107* (Washington: IMF, 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Commercial datasets are sometimes available as well, albeit at a cost and often without substantial temporal coverage.