ECONSTOR Make Your Publications Visible.

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Wang, Hao et al.

Article

Study on the Influencing factors of urban economic resilience in post epidemic Era: A case study of Kunming City

Journal of Urban Management

Provided in Cooperation with:

Chinese Association of Urban Management (CAUM), Taipei

Suggested Citation: Wang, Hao et al. (2021) : Study on the Influencing factors of urban economic resilience in post epidemic Era: A case study of Kunming City, Journal of Urban Management, ISSN 2226-5856, Elsevier, Amsterdam, Vol. 10, Iss. 3, pp. 255-264, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jum.2021.06.006

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/271428

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



ND https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/





Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Urban Management

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jum

Research Article

Study on the Influencing factors of urban economic resilience in post epidemic Era—A case study of Kunming City

Hao Wang, Yan Li * , Yuzi Liu, Fengling Qing, Yidian Zhou, Youming Chen, Dandan Fang

School of Architecture and Urban Planning, Yunnan University, Kunming, Yunnan, 650500, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: COVID-19 Economic resilience Influencing factors Structural equation model(SEM)

ABSTRACT

At the end of 2019, the outbreak of the COVID-19 has a huge impact on China and the world economy, highlighting the vulnerability of the economy in the face of major shocks. The capability to resist pressure and risk of economic resilience can significantly improve the capability of the economy to resist external interference and recover its development. Kunming is located in the "Belt and Road" Economic Zone and is a bridgehead that radiates South Asia and Southeast Asia. Its economic development is particularly affected by the epidemic. How to improve the resilience of the regional economy will be of great strategic significance to achieve high-quality economic development. Taking Kunming as an example, this paper identifies the influencing factors of regional economic development through literature research and expert interviews and constructs a hypothesis model of influencing factors of economic resilience. From the three dimensions of "defense, absorption and learning" of resilience theory, data are collected through questionnaires, and the mechanism between resilience theory and economic development is explored by using the structural equation model(SEM). According to the main factors identified by the model, the paper figures out countermeasures to prompt the development of the regional economic better and faster.

1. Introduction

Compared with the developed areas in the east, Kunming, which is located in the southwestern border of China, faces practical challenges such as relatively lagging economic development and low per capita income. As the capital of Yunnan, the central city of the central Yunnan city cluster, and the bridgehead facing South Asia and Southeast Asia, the negative impact of the epidemic is particularly obvious (Ma & Liu, 2020). The degree of volatility and uncertainty in its economic development has greatly deepened, and there are major problems and risks in regional economic development. Because of this, this study takes Kunming as the research object and explores the use of structural equation models based on 211 valid sample data in Kunming. At the same time, the article takes the economic development of Kunming as the starting point and analyzes the three dimensions of economic resilience (defense capability-capability to resist risks, absorption capability-capability to resolve risks, and learning capability-capability to restore economic development). By describing the complex relationship between various variables, various factors affecting Kunming's economic development are discussed.

* Corresponding author.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jum.2021.06.006

Received 15 November 2020; Received in revised form 18 February 2021; Accepted 18 June 2021

Available online 13 July 2021







E-mail addresses: tianwendiyu@163.com (H. Wang), Leeyan5@163.com (Y. Li), liu_yuzi@foxmail.com (Y. Liu), 1315760322@qq.com (F. Qing), 1270313734@qq.com (Y. Zhou), youming1219@163.com (Y. Chen), ddaisyfang@163.com (D. Fang).

^{2226-5856/© 2021} The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Zhejiang University and Chinese Association of Urban Management. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

There is no theory directly related to "resilience" in mainstream economics. Fujita and Thisse (Fujita & Thisse, 2002) are the first scholars to introduce resilience into the field of spatial economics. They refer to the idea of multiple equilibrium in the theory of ecological resilience to explain the internal mechanism of various agglomeration phenomena in the real economy. As a result, the research on economic resilience is gradually unfolded, and its importance in the field of economic geography is increasing. On the basis of a systematic review of relevant literature, this paper puts forward the definition of economic resilience (Capello et al., 2016; Martin et al., 2015; Rose & Krausmann, 2013). Economic resilience is a kind of adaptive dynamic adjustment ability, which emphasizes the dynamic process that a country or region makes adaptive adjustment when it suffers from external shocks. In this process, social



Fig. 1. Technology roadmap.

resources are reallocated, new changes in industrial structure occur, and the maximum feasible output or upper limit of employment of the region is changed, which does not necessarily lead to the recovery of the economy, It is to enter a more powerful and sustainable balanced development path than before the impact, or a more negative and shrinking growth path than before the impact. Martin (Martin, 2011) proposed four interrelated stages to describe the response of regional economy to recession: a) resistance (regional vulnerability or sensitivity to interference); b) recovery (the degree and speed of rebound to the pre shock state); c) adjustment direction (the degree of structural and functional changes); and D) renewal (restoration of pre shock path).

By combing the research results at home and abroad, scholars' research on economic resilience is more in the qualitative introduction stage. As the academic circles pay more attention to resilience, the empirical analysis and evaluation of urban economic resilience become particularly important. Hang Su (Su, 2015) believed that economic resilience is an important evaluation indicator of a country's economy's capability to withstand external shocks. He pointed out that there are abundant theoretical studies on economic resilience at present, but few empirical analyses. Binlian Wan (Wan & Zhai, 2017) aimed at the current situation of insufficient empirical analysis and research on domestic economic resilience. Taking the Wenchuan earthquake as an example, the economic resilience index was used as a measure to analyze and compare the differences in economic resilience in different regions and their causes. Kan Zhou (Zhou et al., 2019) is based on the ARIMA model and uses an improved variable scale return DEA model to reveal the post-disaster economic development status and recovery process of the Wenchuan earthquake. Mingdou Zhang (Zhang & Feng, 2019) used the analytic hierarchy process to analyze and discuss the issue of coordination between urban resilience and the level of economic development in the Yangtze River Delta urban agglomeration. Thus, it can be seen that most of the existing literature on economic resilience uses the most common research method in the measurement of resilience index to simply analyze the factors that affect urban economic resilience, and less comprehensive analysis is performed using more complex models. Compared with most models, the structural equation model is more flexible in dealing with variables, and the final result is closer to the facts. However, in recent years, although structural equation models are mostly used in the analysis and demonstration of the influence factors of various social phenomena, the research on the influence factors of urban economic resilience is rarely involved. Fuqian Fang (Fang & Lu, 2009) used the data obtained from the questionnaire survey to establish a structural equation model and conducted an empirical analysis of the welfare status of urban residents in my country's functional space. Junlin Ji (Ji et al., 2020) used structural equation models to design observation variables to explore the factors affecting wine brand loyalty. The results showed that cultural identity is the most important factor affecting wine brand loyalty. At the same time, in the face of the challenge of the COVID-19 to China's economic resilience and the impact of the high-quality transformation and upgrading of China's economy (Wang & Gao, 2020), this paper introduces a structural equation model based on 211 valid sample data in Kunming. Exploratory calculation and analysis of factors affecting Kunming's economic development after the COVID-19. To enrich the research methods of economic resilience, and to propose Kunming's economic strategy to deal with the impact of the epidemic, to achieve better and faster development of the regional economy.

2. Technology Roadmap

The technical roadmap of this paper is as follows (Fig. 1):

3. Hypothesis and theoretical model

3.1. Hypothesis

Combining with the economic development of Kunming City, based on the existing theories, according to literature reviews and expert interviews, this study identified three dimensions of defense, absorption and learning as the key influencing factors (Shan, 2019; Zhang, 2018), and set defense capability, absorption capability, learning capability and economic development level as four variables, and put forward the following assumptions (Fig. 2):

H1. defense capability has a significant impact on economic development;



Fig. 2. The initial theoretical framework of factors affecting economic resilience.

- H2. absorption capability has a significant impact on economic development;
- H3. learning capability has a significant impact on economic development;
- H4. defense capability has a significant effect on absorption capability;
- H5. defense capability has a significant influence on learning capability.

3.2. Theoretical model

The structural equation model (SEM) is a kind of confirmatory model analysis. According to the measurement model and hypothetical structure model established in advance, then collect data to verify the model. The covariance matrix of variables is processed and analyzed to analyze the hypothetical relationship among potential variables. The analysis has two statistical methods: factor analysis and path analysis. Compared with multiple regression estimation, the structural equation model is more flexible in dealing with variables. In the structural equation model, latent variables are used to observe the residual estimation of observed values, and its processing effect is better. Moreover, the structural equation model is based on the continuous modification of the original model, and the final result is closer to the fact (Hou et al., 2004, pp. 5–103).

The model includes two basic models: the structural model and the measurement model. The measurement model is composed of a potential model, observation variables, and error terms, which reflect the corresponding relationship between observed variables and potential variables. The structural model reflects the causality of potential variables, including the unexplained residual part of "dependent variables". The latent variable as the cause is called the external potential variable, while the latent variable as the result is called the internal potential variable (Wu, 2010, pp. 1–71). The relationship between the factors is shown in the following figure (Fig. 3):

The relationship between observable variables and latent variables can be expressed as follows:

 $x=\lambda x\xi+\delta$

$$y = \lambda y \eta + \varepsilon$$

The structural model can be written as follows:

$$\eta = b\xi + \zeta$$

x-is the vector composed of exogenous explicit variables;

y-vector composed of endogenous explicit variables;

 ξ — vector composed of exogenous latent variables;

η-vector composed of endogenous latent variables;

 λx — is the relationship matrix between the exogenous latent variable and exogenous explicit variable;

 λy —is the relationship matrix between the endogenous latent variable and endogenous explicit variable;

 δ — error term of the exogenous explicit variable;

ε— error term of the endogenous explicit variable.

In this study, the structural equation model can not only analyze the impact of each independent variable on economic development but also analyze the internal relationship between each variable at the same time, which can better clarify the three resilience dimensions and their influence paths. Not only that, but it also allows errors between independent variables and dependent variables, which can establish a causal relationship between variables under the premise of considering errors, which is more in line with reality. This study uses the structural equation model to explore the influencing factors of economic resilience, and the theoretical model is shown in the figure.

According to the hypothesis H1~H6, referring to the existing literature and considering the available capability of data, four latent variables are set to analyze the factors affecting Kunming's economic development and their internal relations. Finally, three observation



Fig. 3. Structural equation model (SEM).

variables are set under each latent variable, with a total of 12 observation variables. Among them, X1~X14 (Chen, 2020; Cheng et al., 2020; Xu & Zhang, 2019; Zhu & Sun, 2020) respectively represent the government's public financial expenditure, the proportion of industrial structure, the degree of economic openness, residents' education expenditure, per capita consumption, wage income, the number of employees, the number of large enterprises, the proportion of scientific research institutions' funds, the number of scientific research institutions, the educational expenditure accounting for the government's fiscal expenditure, the price growth level, GDP growth level, per capita wealth, etc. Likert 7 scale was used in the questionnaire, set 1 = very obvious decrease, 2 = obvious decrease, 3 = general obvious decrease, 4 = no change, 5 = generally obvious increase, 6 = obvious increase, 7 = especially obvious increase. The collected questionnaires are quantified and marked. The specific latent variables, observed variables, and items are shown in the table, and the theoretical model is shown in the figure. According to the data collected from the questionnaire, SPSS25.0 is used for principal component analysis. In this paper, the maximum variance rotation method is used for the principal component analysis of the orthogonal rotation axis. The index structure of each factor is reasonable, and four principal components are extracted, as shown in the table below (Table 1).

4. Empirical test

4.1. Data collection

The survey was conducted from January to October 2020. A stratified sampling survey was conducted among different groups in Kunming employing telephone interviews, email distribution, online questionnaires, and offline discussions. A total of 230 samples were collected after removing the question questionnaire and repeated the questionnaire, 212 valid questionnaires were found, with an effective rate of 92.2%. According to the information of the interviewees, men accounted for 53.72%, women accounted for 46.28%, and men were slightly higher than women; age, 18–50 years old accounted for 89.14%; education level, bachelor's degree or above accounted for 75.16%; occupations engaged in include college students, civil servants, researchers, teachers, service staff, journalists and so on. Thus it can be seen that the respondents of the questionnaire involve most of the occupations and people in Kunming, and the survey is carried out from various aspects to ensure the comprehensiveness of the survey.

Before the formal issuance of the questionnaire, a pre-test is carried out on the questionnaire, and a small-scale distribution test is used to ensure the accuracy and completeness of the questionnaire. Firstly, the questionnaire is collected in a small-scale way, and the questionnaire is modified according to the feedback opinions to eliminate the easily misunderstood or wrong narration (Table 2).

4.2. Data check

4.2.1. Reliability test

To carry out reliable capability analysis by using SPSS25.0 software to measure whether the relative relevance of one item of the scale to other items is better, and meet the requirements of stability and reliability. Cronbach's α and the correlation coefficient (CITC) of the total score of the calibration items are used for judgment. According to the standard of Nunnally (1994), Cronbach's α value \geq 0.70 is considered high reliability; when 0.35 \leq Cronbach's α value \leq 0.70, it belongs to medium reliability. The result is as follows (Table 3):

4.2.2. Validity test

For the content validity, this paper followed the procedure of questionnaire design strictly, when designing the questionnaire, and has gone through the stages of literature research and expert opinions. so it can be considered that this study has content validity. While for the structural validity test, the KMO value of the test statistics, the P-value of the Bartlet spherical test, and the cumulative variance contribution rate are selected as the validity test indicators. The specific values are shown in the following table (Table 4). It can be seen from the table below that, except for the learning capability due to the three measurable variables, the KMO value is less than 0.7, and the KMO values of the other three latent variables are all greater than 0.7. The significance level of Bartlett's sphere test (Sig. value is

Table 1

Principal component analysis.

Measurable variables	component1	component 2	component 3	component 4
The number of employed persons X7	0.841	-0.030	0.251	0.043
Wage earning X6	0.785	0.257	0.089	0.012
The number of big enterprises X8	0.664	0.330	0.128	0.068
Consumption per persion X5	0.654	0.314	0.077	-0.017
Goverments' fiscal spendings X1	0.033	0.762	0.257	0.003
Proportion of industrial structure X2	0.224	0.752	0.050	-0.035
Economic openness X3	0.309	0.691	0.041	0.037
Household education spending X4	0.488	0.621	0.079	-0.020
Prices growth level X12	0.010	0.257	0.811	0.066
GDP growth X13	0.231	0.194	0.809	0.009
Per capita X14	0.195	-0.060	0.746	0.016
Education expenditure accounts for the level of government financial expenditure X11	-0.071	0.007	0.009	0.848
Number of research institutions X10	0.070	-0.070	-0.041	0.801
Proportion of funds of scientific research institutions X9	0.057	0.052	0.101	0.693

Table 2

Questionnaire survey and variable description.

Latent variable	Measurable variables and codes	Meaning and nature of indicators	Mean	Standard deviation
Defense capability	Public expenditure of the government X1	Reflecting the extent of government public financial expenditure(+)	4.58	1.32
	The proportion of industrial structure X2	Reflecting the proportion of economic structure(+)	4.33	1.32
	Economic openness X3	Reflecting the openness of the economy(+)	4.25	1.31
	Resident education expenditure X4	Reflecting the education investment level of residents(+)	4.29	1.20
Absorption capability	Per capita consumption X5	Reflecting the extent to which consumption contributes to economic growth(+)	4.22	1.38
	Income X6	Reflecting the degree of economic growth(+)	3.85	1.26
	Number of employed persons X7	Reflecting the stability of society(+)	3.88	1.34
	Number of large enterprises X8	Reflecting the scale of development of the city(+)	4.09	1.14
Learning capability	The proportion of funding of scientific research institutions X9	Reflecting the intensity of scientific research investment(+)	3.67	1.08
	Number of scientific research institutions X10	Reflecting potential innovation capability(+)	3.45	1.23
	The extent of education expenditure in government expenditure X11	Reflecting the government's emphasis on scientific research(+)	3.48	1.28
The level of economic	Price growth level X12	Reflecting the state of economic development t(+)	4.76	1.30
development	GDP growth level X13	Reflecting whether the economy is booming(+)	4.37	1.29
	Wealth per capita X14	Reflecting the wealth of residents(+)	4.80	1.40

Table 3

Reliability check results.

Latent variable	Measurable variable	CITC	Cronbach's α	Total Cronbach's α
Defense capability	X1, X2, X3, X4	>0.5	0.771	0.803
Absorption capability	X5, X6, X7, X8	>0.5	0.798	
Learning capability	X9, X10, X11	>0.5	0.687	
Economic development	X12, X13, X14	>0.5	0.748	

0.000) is less than 1%, indicating that there is a significant correlation between the original variables. If the cumulative variance contribution rate is more than 80%, the sample data has good validity, and the test results are suitable for structural equation analysis.

4.3. Construction of the structural model

Based on the data, literature, and research experience, the initial structural equation model of the potential variable and the observed variable was established, and the fitting degree and path Coefficient of the model was analyzed by the maximum likelihood method using Amos22.0 software, and fitting the initial model of the influencing factors. According to Kline's viewpoint of skewness coefficient and Kurtosis Coefficient, the data skewness coefficient and Kurtosis Coefficient of each potential variable in the model are all <3 and 8 respectively, which shows that the data of each variable accords with normal distribution and satisfies the requirements of structural equation modeling. The initial model obtained is shown in the figure (Fig. 4):

From the fitting parameters of the initial model, the model parameters are not ideal, and the fitting effect of the model is average. Therefore, the initial model needs to be further modified. The basis for the revision of the structural equation model includes the rationality of the model parameters and the adaptability of the entire model. It is not that the better the fitting parameters of the model, the better the model results. Therefore, it is necessary to modify the actual situation and theoretical significance of the model, so that the model not only has statistical theoretical significance but also reflects practical significance. The absolute fitting coefficient, relative fitting coefficient, and simplification coefficient are used to evaluate the degree of model optimization (Fig. 5).

4.4. Model correction

The maximum likelihood estimation method is used to re-fit the modified model, add or delete paths in the model, cancel fixed

Table	4
-------	---

Results of the validity test.

Measurement Index		Defense capability	Absorption capability	Learning capability	Economic development
KMO values Bartlett sphericity test	χ ² Df	0.781 207.411 6	0.789 252.263 6	0.628 112.410 3	0.759 160.728 3
Cumulative variance contr	ibution rate/%	87.738	89.486	85.076	87.554



Fig. 4. Initial structural equation model of influencing factors of economic resilience.



Fig. 5. Standardized modified structural equation model for influencing factors of economic resilience.

parameters, etc., to improve the overall fitness of the model. The final model is obtained after the parameter definition and parameter inspection. The standardized path coefficient of the model is shown in the above figure (Table 5).

The fitness of the model is tested by Amos22.0 software. Table 6 shows the index values for evaluating the fitting of the model, including the absolute fitting index, relative fitting index, and simple fitting index. It can be seen from Table 6 that the data of each index are within the standard range, which shows that the overall fitness of the revised model is better.

5. Analysis and suggestions

5.1. Result analysis

Model evaluation is to find an excellent model that accords with theory and has practical significance. Whether the model is valid or not depends on whether the basic fitness of the model, i.e. the significance of the C.R. value and P-value of the path coefficient, meets the requirements. The standardized path coefficient of path analysis of structural equation model is expressed as follows:

According to the results of model modification, in the initial model, except hypothesis 5, the other four hypotheses are all valid. The analysis shows that defense capability, absorption capability, and learning capability have a significant (P < 0.05) direct impact on economic resilience, with path coefficients of 0.21, 0.35, and 0.03, respectively. It can be seen from the table that each path coefficient has statistical significance, and the paths among the above latent variables are valid. In the structural equation model, under the premise of a significant correlation, the greater the absolute value of the path coefficient, the greater the influence degree between these factors. Therefore, in the influencing factor model of economic toughness, absorption capability has the greatest direct impact on economic toughness (0.346); The effect of defense factors is second (0.213). The defense capability has an indirect impact on economic resilience by affecting absorption capability.

5.2. Conclusions and suggestions

In this paper, the structural equation model is used to analyze the influencing factors of Kunming's economic resilience, and the relationship models between latent variables and latent variables, and between latent variables and explicit variables are constructed. The main influence of paths and influence degrees of the main driving factors are revealed. The results show that: defense capability, absorption capability, and learning capability are the main driving factors affecting economic resilience, which can have a direct impact on it. The total effect of the three factors on economic resilience is absorption capability (0.346) > defense capability (0.213) > learning capability (0.031). Also, in this study, it is found that the defense capability has a direct impact on economic resilience, but also has an indirect positive impact on economic resilience through the intermediary role of absorption capability.

Among them, the structural parameters of defense capability and absorption capability are significant, and their standardized path coefficients are 0.213 and 0.346 respectively, that is, when the defense capability changes 1, the economic development changes 0.213, and when the absorption capability changes 1, the economic development changes 0.346; And the total effect of the two is 0.559, indicating that defense capability and absorption capability have extremely significant (P < 0.01) positive effects on economic development, and absorption capability has the greatest impact on economic resilience. Measurable variables under absorption capability include per capita consumption X5, wage income X6, the number of employed persons X7, and the number of large enterprises X8, which is the same as the research of Jun He(2018). He believes that consumption plays an important role in promoting economic development and is the backbone of driving economic development, which plays an important guiding role in economic development (He & Ge, 2018). At the same time, appropriately narrowing the income gap will not lead to lowering the cost of economic growth, and enhancing the income level of residents can better promote economic development, thus improving economic resilience (Luo, 2019). Employees also play a positive role in promoting economic development. Fangzhi Hu (2020) put forward measures such as promoting the development of the odd jobs economy and promoting flexible employment through multiple channels (Hu & Shao, 2020). Compared with small and medium-sized enterprises, large enterprises have a positive and significant impact on the growth of regional economic aggregate and have a significant contribution to regional economic stability. Its impact on regional economic growth and economic stability will be further enhanced by its scale expansion. Therefore, large enterprises have played an important role in regional economic development (Li et al., 2020).

As for defense factors, the measurable variables include government public finance expenditure X1, industrial structure proportion X2, economic openness X3, and resident education expenditure X4. According to the estimation coefficient (Wagner's law coefficient) obtained from the regression between the proportion of government fiscal expenditure in GDP and per capita GDP (logarithm value), there is a significant positive correlation between economic development and the scale of total fiscal expenditure, and with the continuous development of the economy, the government's fiscal expenditure scale is also constantly expanding (Qi & Yue, 2020). Jinquan Liu (2020) applied the PVAR model to analyze the panel data of industrial structure upgrading and green economy development level of 30 provinces in China from 2002 to 2018 and found that the causal relationship between industrial structure upgrading and green economy is concerned, taking high-quality economic development as the internal foundation of a higher-level open economy can better cope with the changes of domestic and foreign environments and realize the steady, healthy, and high-quality development of China's economy in the new stage, new normal and new era (Hu, 2020). Bowen Yang (2019), based on the provincial panel data from 2000 to 2018, used the random effect model (RE) to analyze the impact of public education expenditure on the regional economic growth gap in China and found that education expenditure played an important role in regional economic growth (Yang & Gao, 2019).

As for learning factors, measurable variables include the proportion of funds of scientific research institutions X9, the number of scientific research institutions X10, and the degree of education expenditure to government financial expenditure X11. And the path coefficient of learning capability in economic development is 0.031, which is the last of all potential variables, that is when the learning capability changes 1, the economic development changes 0.213. Although the impact on economic development is small (P < 0.01), the positive path coefficient also plays a positive role, which is consistent with the research of MaYu(2016). With the full implementation of the strategy of building an innovative country and the rapid development of the knowledge economy and information age, the important position and driving role of scientific research innovation in the development of higher education is becoming more and more obvious,

Table 5

The evaluation index value of structural equation model fitness.

index		Criteria	Initial model fitting results	Modify the simulation results of the model
Absolute fitness index	CMIN/DF	1–3	1.662	1.407
	GFI	>0.90	0.929	0.941
	AGFI	>0.90	0.896	0.911
	RMSEA	< 0.08	0.056	0.044
Relative fitness index	NFI	>0.90	0.879	0.900
	IFI	>0.90	0.948	0.969
	TLI	>0.90	0.933	0.959
	CFI	>0.90	0.947	0.968
Simple fitness index	PNFI	>0.50	0.695	0.692
	PCFI	>0.50	0.749	0.745
	PGFI	>0.50	0.637	0.627

Table 6

Estimation results of the structural equation modeling.

learning capability ← defense capability -0.004 0.105 -0.037 -0.003 absorption capability ← defense capability 0.747 0.107 6.988*** 0.734 economic development ← defense capability 0.224 0.106 1.532*** 0.213 economic development ← Learning capability 0.027 0.068 0.397* 0.031 economic development ← absorption capability 0.027 0.068 0.397* 0.346 X4 ← defense capability 1.000 *** 0.738 X3 ← defense capability 1.020 0.117 8.712*** 0.661 X1 ← defense capability 0.870 0.118 8.417*** 0.661 X1 ← defense capability 0.870 0.116 7.493*** 0.651 X5 ← absorption capability 1.033 0.120 8.600*** 0.739 X7 ← absorption capability 0.987 0.188 8.236*** 0.698 X12 ← conomic development 1.033 0.120 8.600*** 0.739 X8 ← absorption capability 0.887 0.188 8.2	Path	Standardized path coefficient estimation	S.E.	C.R.	Standardized path coefficient estimation
defense capability0.7470.1076.988***0.734absorption capability \leftarrow defense capability0.2240.1461.532***0.213economic development \leftarrow lacarning capability0.0270.0680.397*0.031economic development \leftarrow absorption capability0.3580.1462.450***0.346X4 \leftarrow defense capability1.000***0.738X3 \leftarrow defense capability0.9890.1178.712***0.689X2 \leftarrow defense capability0.9890.1188.417***0.661X1 \leftarrow defense capability0.8700.1167.493***0.589X5 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.739X5 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0330.1208.600***0.739X7 \leftarrow absorption capability1.08870.1088.236***0.698X12 \leftarrow economic development1.2090.1128.486***0.872X14 \leftarrow economic development1.2090.128.486***0.872X14 \leftarrow economic development0.8250.1177.049***0.545X14 \leftarrow economic development0.8250.1177.049***0.545X14 \leftarrow economic development0.8250.1177.049***0.834X14 \leftarrow economic development0.8250.1177.049***0.545X14 \leftarrow economic development0.8250.1370.546X14 \leftarrow economic development0.8250.1435.216***0.646	learning capability ←	-0.004	0.105	-0.037	-0.003
absorption capability \leftarrow defense capability0.7470.1076.988***0.734economic development \leftarrow defense capability0.2240.1461.532***0.213economic development \leftarrow Learning capability0.0270.0680.397*0.031economic development \leftarrow absorption capability0.3580.1462.450***0.346X4 \leftarrow defense capability1.000***0.738X3 \leftarrow defense capability0.9890.1188.417***0.661X1 \leftarrow defense capability0.8700.1167.493***0.589X5 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.651X6 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0330.1208.600***0.739X7 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0980.1298.518***0.739X5 \leftarrow absorption capability0.8870.1298.518***0.739X7 \leftarrow absorption capability0.8870.1088.236***0.698X12 \leftarrow economic development1.2090.1428.486***0.872X14 \leftarrow economic development0.8250.1177.049***0.545X14 \leftarrow economic development0.8250.1177.049***0.834X10 \leftarrow absorption capability0.7450.1435.216***0.646	defense capability				
economic development \leftarrow defense capability0.2240.1461.532***0.213economic development \leftarrow lasorption capability0.0270.0680.397*0.031economic development \leftarrow absorption capability0.3580.1462.450***0.346X4 \leftarrow defense capability1.0001.178.712***0.689X2 \leftarrow defense capability0.9890.1188.417***0.661X1 \leftarrow defense capability0.8700.1167.493***0.589X5 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.651X6 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0330.1208.600***0.739X7 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0980.1298.518***0.739X3 \leftarrow development1.2090.1428.486***0.698X12 \leftarrow conomic development1.2090.1428.486***0.545X14 \leftarrow conomic development0.8250.1177.049***0.545X14 \leftarrow conomic development0.8250.1177.049***0.545X14 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.545X14 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.545X14 \leftarrow conomic development0.8250.1177.049***0.545X14 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.834X14 \leftarrow absorption capability0.7450.1435.216***0.646	absorption capability ←defense capability	0.747	0.107	6.988***	0.734
economic development \leftarrow Learning capability0.0270.0680.397*0.031economic development \leftarrow absorption capability0.3580.1462.450***0.346X4 \leftarrow defense capability1.0000.1178.712***0.689X2 \leftarrow defense capability0.9890.1188.417***0.661X1 \leftarrow defense capability0.8700.1167.493***0.589X5 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.651X6 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0330.1208.600***0.739X7 \leftarrow absorption capability0.8870.1088.236***0.698X12 \leftarrow economic development1.000***0.714X13 \leftarrow economic development0.8250.1177.049***0.545X14 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.545X14 \leftarrow absorption capability0.8250.1177.049***0.646	economic development ←defense capability	0.224	0.146	1.532***	0.213
economic development \leftarrow absorption capability0.3580.1462.450***0.346X4 \leftarrow defense capability1.000***0.738X3 \leftarrow defense capability1.0200.1178.712***0.689X2 \leftarrow defense capability0.9890.1188.417***0.661X1 \leftarrow defense capability0.8700.1167.493***0.651X5 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.651X6 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0330.1208.600***0.739X7 \leftarrow absorption capability0.8870.1088.236***0.698X12 \leftarrow economic development1.000***0.714X13 \leftarrow economic development0.8250.1177.049***0.545X14 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.84X14 \leftarrow absorption capability0.8250.1177.049***0.84X14 \leftarrow absorption capability0.005***0.646	economic development ←Learning capability	0.027	0.068	0.397*	0.031
X4 \leftarrow defense capability1.000***0.738X3 \leftarrow defense capability1.0200.1178.712***0.689X2 \leftarrow defense capability0.9890.1188.417***0.661X1 \leftarrow defense capability0.8700.1167.493***0.589X5 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.651X6 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0330.1208.508***0.739X7 \leftarrow absorption capability0.8870.1088.236***0.698X12 \leftarrow economic development1.000***0.714X13 \leftarrow economic development1.2090.1428.486**0.872X14 \leftarrow economic development0.8250.1177.049***0.545X11 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.834X10 \leftarrow absorption capability0.7450.1435.216***0.646	economic development ←absorption capability	0.358	0.146	2.450***	0.346
X3 \leftarrow defense capability1.0200.1178.712***0.689X2 \leftarrow defense capability0.9890.1188.417***0.661X1 \leftarrow defense capability0.8700.1167.493***0.589X5 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.651X6 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0330.1208.600***0.739X7 \leftarrow absorption capability0.8870.1088.236***0.698X12 \leftarrow economic development1.000***0.714X13 \leftarrow economic development1.2090.1428.486***0.872X14 \leftarrow economic development0.8250.1177.049***0.834X10 \leftarrow absorption capability0.7450.1435.216***0.646	X4 ←defense capability	1.000		***	0.738
X2 \leftarrow defense capability0.9890.1188.417***0.661X1 \leftarrow defense capability0.8700.1167.493***0.589X5 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.651X6 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0330.1208.600***0.739X7 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0980.1088.236***0.698X12 \leftarrow economic development1.000***0.714X13 \leftarrow conomic development1.2090.1428.486***0.872X14 \leftarrow economic development0.8250.1177.049***0.545X11 \leftarrow absorption capability0.7450.1435.216***0.646	X3 ←defense capability	1.020	0.117	8.712***	0.689
X1 \leftarrow defense capability 0.870 0.116 7.493*** 0.589 X5 \leftarrow absorption capability 1.000 *** 0.651 X6 \leftarrow absorption capability 1.033 0.120 8.600*** 0.739 X7 \leftarrow absorption capability 1.098 0.129 8.518*** 0.739 X8 \leftarrow absorption capability 0.887 0.108 8.236*** 0.698 X12 \leftarrow economic development 1.000 *** 0.714 X13 \leftarrow economic development 1.209 0.142 8.486*** 0.872 X14 \leftarrow economic development 0.825 0.117 7.049*** 0.545 X11 \leftarrow absorption capability 0.745 0.143 5.216*** 0.646	X2 ←defense capability	0.989	0.118	8.417***	0.661
X5 \leftarrow absorption capability1.000***0.651X6 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0330.1208.600***0.739X7 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0980.1298.518***0.739X8 \leftarrow absorption capability0.8870.1088.236***0.698X12 \leftarrow conomic development1.000***0.714X13 \leftarrow conomic development0.8250.1177.049***0.545X14 \leftarrow conomic development0.8250.1177.049***0.834X11 \leftarrow absorption capability0.7450.1435.216***0.646	X1 ←defense capability	0.870	0.116	7.493***	0.589
X6 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0330.1208.600***0.739X7 \leftarrow absorption capability1.0980.1298.518***0.739X8 \leftarrow absorption capability0.8870.0088.236***0.698X12 \leftarrow conomic development1.000***0.714X13 \leftarrow conomic development0.8250.1177.049***0.545X14 \leftarrow conomic development0.8020.1177.049***0.834X11 \leftarrow absorption capability0.7450.1435.216***0.646	X5 \leftarrow absorption capability	1.000		***	0.651
$\begin{array}{cccc} X7 \leftarrow absorption capability & 1.098 & 0.129 & 8.518^{**} & 0.739 \\ X8 \leftarrow absorption capability & 0.887 & 0.108 & 8.236^{***} & 0.698 \\ X12 \leftarrow conomic development & 1.000 & & 1.42 & 8.486^{***} & 0.714 \\ X13 \leftarrow conomic development & 0.825 & 0.17 & 7.049^{***} & 0.545 \\ X14 \leftarrow conomic capability & 1.000 & & & 0.834 \\ X10 \leftarrow absorption capability & 0.745 & 0.143 & 5.216^{***} & 0.646 \\ \end{array}$	X6 ←absorption capability	1.033	0.120	8.600***	0.739
X8 ←absorption capability 0.887 0.108 8.236*** 0.698 X12 ← economic development 1.000 *** 0.714 X13 ←economic development 1.209 0.142 8.486*** 0.872 X14 ← economic development 0.825 0.117 7.049*** 0.545 X11 ← absorption capability 1.000 *** 0.834 X10 ←absorption capability 0.745 0.143 5.216*** 0.646	X7 ←absorption capability	1.098	0.129	8.518***	0.739
X12 ← economic development 1.000 *** 0.714 X13 ← economic development 1.209 0.142 8.486*** 0.872 X14 ← economic development 0.825 0.117 7.049*** 0.545 X11 ← absorption capability 1.000 *** 0.834 X10 ← absorption capability 0.745 0.143 5.216*** 0.646	X8 ←absorption capability	0.887	0.108	8.236***	0.698
X13 ← economic development 1.209 0.142 8.486*** 0.872 X14 ← economic development 0.825 0.117 7.049*** 0.545 X11 ← absorption capability 1.000 *** 0.834 X10 ← absorption capability 0.745 0.143 5.216*** 0.646	X12← economic development	1.000		***	0.714
X14← economic development 0.825 0.117 7.049*** 0.545 X11← absorption capability 1.000 *** 0.834 X10←absorption capability 0.745 0.143 5.216*** 0.646	X13←economic development	1.209	0.142	8.486***	0.872
X11← absorption capability 1.000 *** 0.834 X10←absorption capability 0.745 0.143 5.216*** 0.646	X14← economic development	0.825	0.117	7.049***	0.545
X10←absorption capability 0.745 0.143 5.216*** 0.646	$X11 \leftarrow absorption capability$	1.000		***	0.834
	X10←absorption capability	0.745	0.143	5.216***	0.646
$X9 \leftarrow absorption capability$ 0.494 0.101 4.897*** 0.485	X9 ←absorption capability	0.494	0.101	4.897***	0.485

*、** and *** indicated significant levels at 10%, 5% and 1% levels, respectively.

so the scientific research innovation of higher education effectively promotes the development of local economy (MaYu).

As far as defense capability is concerned, the path coefficient is 0.734, which indicates that defense capability has an extremely significant (P < 0.01) positive effect on absorption capability. Improving defense capability can greatly improve absorption capability, thus enhancing economic resilience and promoting economic development. Also, the path coefficient of defense capability to learning capability is -0.003. Although the path coefficient is small, it is the only variable with the reverse effect. Therefore, we should pay more attention to the influence on learning capability while improving defense capability.

Also, the region selected by the institute is located in the southwest border area, and the research results will help the government to accurately grasp the driving factors of economic resilience, and then formulate more scientific, effective, and reasonable policies from the macro and micro levels, and also provide a reference for other border areas.

Declaration of competing interest

We declare that we have no financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that can inappropriately influence our work, there is no professional or other personal interest of any nature or kind in any product, service and/or company that could be construed as influencing the position presented in, or the review of, the manuscript entitled, "Study on the Influencing Factors of Urban Economic Resilience in Post Epidemic Era—A Case Study of Kunming City".

References

Capello, R., Caragliu, A., & Fratesi, U. (2016). Spatial heterogeneity in the costs of the economic crisisin europe: are cities sources of regional resilience? *Journal of Economic Geography*, 15(No.5), 951–972.

Chen, W. (2020). Research on the Spatio-temporal evolution and improvement path of urban resilience in China. Nanhua University.

Cheng, X., Yang, Y., Wang, Z., & Li, X. (2020). Construction and application of the evaluation system of private economic resilience. Journal of Beijing Union University (Humanities and Social Sciences Edition), 18, 79–88, 03.

Fang, F., & Lu, W. (2009). Analysis of the factors influencing the welfare level of Chinese urban residents—based on Amartya Sen's capability method and structural equation model. *Management World*, 17–26, 04.

Fujita, M., & Thisse, F. (2002). Economics of agglomeration: cities, industrial location and regional growth. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

He, J., & Ge, J. (2018). Talk about how to further strengthen the basic role of consumption in economic development. Business and Economic Research, (22), 38–40. Hou, J., Wen, Z., & Cheng, Z. (2004). Structural equation model and its application. Beijing: Education Science Press.

Hu, H. (2020). Openness, development, and deepening: Research on the interaction between high-quality economic development and the new system of the open economy. *Henan Social Sciences*, 28, 37–46, 02.

Hu, F., & Shao, J. (2020). Promote the development of the gig economy and promote flexible employment through multiple channels. *Theory Monthly*, (10), 67–72. Ji, J., Yang, Y., Chen, H., Xu, S., & Liu, W. (2020). Structural equation analysis of influencing factors of wine brand loyalty — taking Jiaodong as an example. *Chinese Brew*, 39, 216–220, 05.

Liu, J., & Que, W. (2020). Research on the correlation effect of innovation, industrial structure upgrading, and green economic development. Industrial Technology Economy, 39(11), 28–34.

Li, S., Ye, X., & Zhang, S. (2020). Research on the relationship between enterprise-scale and a regional economy based on the perspective of growth and stability. Economic Theory and Economic Management, 40–57, 02.

 Luo, C. (2019). Resident income gap and high-quality economic development. Journal of Xiangtan University (Philosophy and Social Sciences Edition), 43, 51–57, 04.
 Ma, G., & Liu, Y. (2020). Analysis of the function of social organization in the Yunnan border area under the normal situation of epidemic prevention and control. Journal of the Yunnan Provincial Committee Party School of the Communist Party of China, 21, 97–100, 03.

Martin, R. (2011). Regional economic resilience, hysteresis and recessionary shocks. Journal of Economic Geography, 12(1), 1-32.

Martin, R., Sunley, P., & Tyler, P. (2015). Local growth evolutions: recession, resilience and recovery. Cambridge Journal of Regions, Economy and Society, 8(No.2), 141–148.

L. Ma, S. Yu. Research on the driving force of university scientific research innovation to promote the development of the local economy. Social Sciences in Ningxia. Qi, C., & Yue, X. (2020). The relationship between fiscal expenditure and economic development—a new interpretation of Wagner's law. *Economic Theory and Economic Management*, 43–57, 07.

Rose, A., & Krausmann, E. (2013). An economic framework for the development of a resilience index for business recovery. International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction, 5(No.1), 73–83.

Shan, M. (2019). Research on the measurement of urban economic resilience in northeast China. Harbin Normal University.

Su, H. (2015). Research progress on economic resilience. Economic Dynamics, 144-151, 08.

Wang, Y., & Gao, J. (2020). Impact of new crown disease, economic resilience, and high-quality development in China. *Economics and Management*, 42, 5–17, 05.
Wan, B., & Zhai, G. (2017). Research on regional economic growth model based on resilience—taking the Wenchuan earthquake as an example. *Modern Urban Studies*, 41–47, 09.

Wu, M. (2010). Structural equation model-Operation and application of AMOS. Chengdu: Chongqing University Press.

Xu, Y., & Zhang, L. (2019). The economic resilience and origin of Chinese cities: A perspective of diversified industrial structure. Finance and Trade Economics, 40, 110-126, 07.

Yang, B., & Gao, Y. (2019). Research on the impact of public education expenditure on regional economic growth—based on the analysis of panel data from the East, Middle, and West regions. Price Theory and Practice, 137–140, 09.

Zhang, T. (2018). Research on the time and space evolution of regional economic resilience in the Yangtze River Delta. Nanjing Normal University.

Zhang, M., & Feng, X. (2019). Comparative study on the coordination of urban resilience and economic development level of cities in the Yangtze River Delta city cluster. Urban Development Research, 26, 82–91, 01.

Zhou, K., Liu, B., & Fan, J. (2019). Measurement of economic resilience and recovery efficiency of the severely-stricken Wenchuan Ms 8.0 earthquake. Acta Geographica Sinica, 74(10), 2078–2091.

Zhu, J., & Sun, H. (2020). Research on the temporal and spatial evolution and influencing factors of urban resilience in China's three urban clusters. Soft Science, 34, 72–79, 02.