

A Service of

ZBW

Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Wiyantoro, Lili Sugeng; Yan, Chen; Yuanyuan, Liu

Article

Evaluating the performance of external auditor during COVID-19: The case of dysfunctional audit behaviour in Indonesia

Cogent Business & Management

Provided in Cooperation with:

Taylor & Francis Group

Suggested Citation: Wiyantoro, Lili Sugeng; Yan, Chen; Yuanyuan, Liu (2023) : Evaluating the performance of external auditor during COVID-19: The case of dysfunctional audit behaviour in Indonesia, Cogent Business & Management, ISSN 2331-1975, Taylor & Francis, Abingdon, Vol. 10, Iss. 2, pp. 1-58, https://doi.org/10.1080/22211075.2022.2246744

https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2246744

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/294580

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.



https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.









Cogent Business & Management

ISSN: (Print) (Online) Journal homepage: www.tandfonline.com/journals/oabm20

Evaluating the performance of external auditor during COVID-19: The case of dysfunctional audit behaviour in Indonesia

Lili Sugeng Wiyantoro, Chen Yan & Liu Yuanyuan

To cite this article: Lili Sugeng Wiyantoro, Chen Yan & Liu Yuanyuan (2023) Evaluating the performance of external auditor during COVID-19: The case of dysfunctional audit behaviour in Indonesia, Cogent Business & Management, 10:2, 2246744, DOI: 10.1080/23311975.2023.2246744

To link to this article: https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2246744

9

© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group.



Published online: 23 Aug 2023.

-	-
r	
L.	D

Submit your article to this journal 🗹





View related articles 🕑



View Crossmark data 🗹



Received: 04 June 2023 Accepted: 02 August 2023

*Corresponding author: Lili Sugeng Wiyantoro, School of Accounting, Dongbei University of Finance and Economics, Dalian, Liaoning China Email: li2k_feuntirta@untirta.ac.id

Reviewing editor: Collins G. Ntim, Accounting, University of Southampton, United Kingdom

Additional information is available at the end of the article

ACCOUNTING, CORPORATE GOVERNANCE & BUSINESS ETHICS | RESEARCH ARTICLE

Evaluating the performance of external auditor during COVID-19: The case of dysfunctional audit behaviour in Indonesia

Lili Sugeng Wiyantoro¹*, Chen Yan² and Liu Yuanyuan¹

Abstract: We investigate the factors impacting dysfunctional audit behaviour (DAB) in audit companies in Indonesia during COVID-19. We additionally examine at how organisational commitment (OC), employee performance (EP), and turnover intention (TI) play a role as mediators in the relationship between locus of control (LOC) and DAB, how OC and TI play a role as mediators between EP and DAB, and how OC plays a role as a mediator between TI, auditor position (AP), and DAB. This study made use of the structural equation model (SEM) and the SmartPLS methodology. The processed data includes 118 external auditor replies received between May and October 2022. DAB was positively impacted by LOC, TI, time budget pressure (TBP), and AP, according to our findings. DAB is negatively affected by EP and OC. The relationship between LOC and DAB is mediated via OC and EP. EP and DAB interactions are mediated via OC and TI. The relationship between TI, AP, and DAB is mediated by OC. Indonesia's first comprehensive causal model examined COVID-19 DAB hazards. DAB assessed



Lili Sugeng Wiyantoro

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Lili Sugeng Wiyantoro is an accounting lecturer at Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa University, Banten, Indonesia. It is still in its final stages as a Ph.D. student at Dongbei University of Finance and Economics in Dalian, China. Auditing, fraud, corporate governance, financial accounting, behavioral accounting, and ethics and the accounting profession are his primary areas of study. Chen Yan is an accounting professor at the School of Accounting, Dongbei University of Finance and Economics and Internal Control Research Center China, Dalian, China. Liu Yuanyuan is an accounting professor at Dongbei University of Finance and Economics in Dalian, China.

PUBLIC INTEREST STATEMENT

🔆 cogent

business & management

This study examines COVID-19-related dysfunctional audit behaviour (DAB) in Indonesian audit firms for evaluating external auditor performance. Our study analyses how OC, EP, and TI mediate in the relationship between LOC and DAB. Our research also analyses the involvement of OC and TI as mediators in the relationship between EP and DAB, and the role of OC as mediators in the relationship between TI, AP and DAB. Studies show LOC, TI, TBP, and AP boost DAB. EP and OC diminish DAB. Internal LOC and EP urge auditors to prevent DAB in COVID-19 audit companies. High EP advises auditors to shun TI to prevent DAB during COVID-19. Due to COVID-19 challenges, low TI and senior auditor roles reduce DAB. This research evaluates auditor performance during COVID-19 and urges audit companies and auditors to apply audit standards, audit processes, review, oversee, and peer-review to minimise DAB, which lowers audit quality.





© 2023 The Author(s). Published by Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The terms on which this article has been published allow the posting of the Accepted Manuscript in a repository by the author(s) or with their consent. COVID-19 auditors. This study addresses audit firm and external auditors. Auditing, reviews, auditor monitoring, and peer-reviewing audit organisations prevent DAB. DAB can lower audit quality and fail audits.

Subjects: Environment & Business; Economic Psychology; Business, Management and Accounting

Keywords: locus of control; organisational commitment; employee performance; turnover intention; auditor position; time budget pressure; dysfunctional audit behaviour

JEL classification: H83; L21; L44; M42

1. Introduction

This study is the first to empirically aim to investigate the determinants of dysfunctional audit behaviour (DAB) in Indonesian audit firms during COVID-19. These determinants are such as employee performance (EP), locus of control (LOC), turnover intention (TI), organisational commitment (OC), auditor position (AP), and time budget pressure (TBP). Secondly, this study aims to investigate the role of mediators, including (1) the involvement of OC, EP, and TI as mediators in the relationship between LOC and DAB. (2) OC and TI are an intermediary in the relationship between EP and DAB. (3) The role of OC as a mediator in the interaction of TI, AP and DAB. DAB affects audit quality with biased opinions, potentially causing audit failure because external auditors are under pressure to conduct DAB. This study focuses on the deployment of general audits that provide views to customers, as well as the DAB behavior displayed by auditors during general audits. During COVID-19, DAB occurs in distant work contexts (Albitar et al., 2020; Amy et al., 2022; Dormishi et al., 2021; Herrbach, 2001; Nehme et al., 2019; Qushtom et al., 2022; Sweeney & Pierce, 2004); This raises concerns about how typical this behaviour is and whether auditors in accounting firms ethically practice it (Hegazy et al., 2017). Remote audit work makes it difficult for auditors to obtain audit documents because they must be adequately explained (Amy et al., 2022; Rose et al., 2020). As a result, external auditors override audit steps, sign off prematurely without completing audit procedures, and report on DAB performance in these circumstances (Gundry & Liyanarachchi, 2007, Hyatt & Taylor, 2010; McNair, 1991; Nguyen Van et al., 2023; Otley & Pierce, 1995; Shapeero et al., 2003). Improper sample reduction, biased sample selection, and initial audits without completion of audit assignments are examples of DABs performed under these conditions (Amy et al., 2022; Coram et al., 2003; Lord & DeZoort, 2001; Smith & Hutton, 1995). Others include insufficient client confirmations, extensive client record reviews, failure to track accounting principles, and reducing audit steps below reasonable levels (Gundry & Liyanarachchi, 2007, McNamara & Liyanarachchi, 2008; Paino et al., 2010; Otley & Pierce, 1996a, 1996b). In practice, the purpose of this study is to indirectly evaluate the performance of auditors in implementing general audits during COVID-19, which is evaluated from individual characteristic performance factors, including EP, LOC, TI, OC, AP, and TBP. Concerning these factors, did they conduct DAB during the general audit during COVID-19?

This study builds on previous research conducted by Donnelly et al. (2003a), Paino et al. (2011), Paino et al. (2012); Yuen et al. (2013); Ghorbanpour et al. (2014); Balasingam et al. (2019); Paino et al. (2019); Amy et al. (2022); Nguyen Van Hau et al. (2023); and Annelin (2023). Apart from that, this study expands on previous research on the factors that influence DAB in audit firms in Indonesia which have been conducted by Alkautsar (2014); Kusumastuti and Nirmala Arum Janie (2014); Anugerah et al. (2016); Umar et al. (2017); Amiruddin (2019); Sunyoto and Sulistiyo (2019); Tjan (2019); Astuty et al. (2022); and Audria and Lubis (2022). Previous research concentrated on the relationship between external audit and DAB dimensions in accounting companies before to COVID-19 and rarely on the factors that influenced DAB during COVID-19, particularly in Indonesia. Because no previous research has explored this topic during COVID-19, researchers are interested in researching the elements that influence DAB in audit firms in Indonesia. Aside from that, Indonesia is a country that takes pandemics seriously, with the government frequently imposing social restrictions and lockdowns. As a result, it has an impact on all professional activities, one of which is how the external auditor feels. During COVID-19, the implementation of the DAB general audit was in the spotlight for research and evaluation of auditor performance because external auditors were under work pressure and pressure in dealing with COVID-19 conditions, which influenced them to carry out DAB (Amy et al., 2022). As a result, COVID-19 influenced external auditors to perform DAB (Amy et al., 2022). The impact of DAB performed by external auditors during COVID-19 on the implementation of the general audit decreased audit quality, resulting in lower-quality audit reports (Albitar et al., 2020; Dormishi et al., 2021; Qushtom et al., 2022).

Furthermore, this study differs from past research in that it uses OC, EP, and TI as moderators of DAB. This study is intriguing since multiple exogenous variables also serve as mediators in the formation of a causal association. Previous study on mediating effects in a complicated research model involving the DAB that establishes the causal relationship has never been explored. Previous studies (Donnelly et al., 2003b; Sunyoto & Sulistiyo, 2019) looked into how OC mediated the relationship between LOC and DAB. Donnelly et al. (2003b) and Ghorbanpour et al. (2014) investigated the mediating role of EP, TI, and OC on the relationship between LOC and Acceptance of Dysfunctional Behaviour in a separate study. Furthermore, Anugerah et al. (2016) investigated the role of turnover intention in mediating the association between LOC, OC, and Reduced audit Quality Behaviour. Anugerah et al. (2016) investigated the mediating influence of auditor performance and OC on the association between external LOC and Reduced Audit Quality Behaviour in a separate study. Paino et al. (2011) investigated the LOC-DAB link as mediated by turnover intention. Paino et al. (2012) separated organisation as an endogenous variable not connected to DAB but related to EP and TI as mediated in a different study. The researcher is interested in investigating OC, EP, and TI as mediators based on past research. Because they are under the conditions encountered by the external auditor during the general audit implementation in the face of COVID-19, the consideration of these three variables is necessary. The first aspect is an OC as a mediator that the auditor must have a commitment to love and progress the audit firm so that the COVID-19 requirements remain strong and the auditor does not do DAB in preserving client connections. The second factor to evaluate is TI as a mediator, which indicates that the auditor does not intend to quit from his or her position as an auditor because it is difficult to find a quality job and subsist. To survive, the auditor must remain loyal to the audit firm and not hunt for a new job or relocate to another firm owing to pandemic conditions. Auditors' high loyalty causes them to refrain from performing DAB. The third factor to examine is staff performance as a mediator, and auditors must perform well at audit companies during the pandemic to protect the company's reputation and deliver high-quality audit reports. As a result, the auditor is expected to perform tasks other than DAB. Prior to COVID-19, auditor experience in conducting DAB in earlier investigations (Anugerah et al., 2016; Donnelly et al., 2003a; Ghorbanpour et al., 2014; Paino et al., 2011, 2012; Sunyoto & Sulistivo, 2019) was under normal settings. Meanwhile, this study evaluates auditor performance in pandemic settings so that researchers are inspired to pursue this research.

The following are the author's motivations for exploring this topic: First, external auditors in Indonesia confront the COVID-19 circumstance, which forces them to operate remotely with various limits in obtaining audit evidence, and it is difficult to carry out audit procedures, so they may be encouraged to conduct out DAB. Second, according to Albitar et al. (2020) and Amy et al. (2022), COVID-19 influences external auditors' ability to conduct DAB because auditors face job pressure while adhering to social distancing constraints. Third, DAB in practise is auditor behaviour that is commonly observed in the field, making it an intriguing topic for researchers to investigate; according to Shapeero et al. (2003), auditors tend to determine and carry out patterns of DAB, with the majority of auditors engaging in DAB. This issue is felt by auditors when they audit, which is influenced by several circumstances; fourth, auditors in all career positions in accounting companies recognise that DAB is performed to a degree, but audit quality is maintained (Nehme, 2017).

As a result, the author is interested in exploring DAB in the implementation of general audits. Fifth, the author is motivated to research this topic because it expands the evidence regarding DAB, specifically premature sign-off and under-reporting of time (Soobaroyen & Chengabroyan, 2006), which are influenced by external and internal attribution theory and theory of planned behaviour factors such as AP, EP, LOC, OC, TBP, and TI. Sixth, according to a study of literature research and empirical evidence, EP, OC, and TI might operate as moderating variables that connect the external audit component to DAB.

This study is based on the attribution theory developed by Heider (1958) and the notion of planned behaviour developed by Aizen and Fishbein (1969). These theories serve as the foundation for linking individual characteristics to DAB. Furthermore, because they are behavioural in nature, the two theories used aim to evaluate the auditor's performance in conducting general audits during COVID-19. First, attribution theory establishes the framework for this research, which demonstrates that auditors undertake DAB as a result of internal control elements such as motivation, personal qualities, ability, and self-perception. Auditors are encouraged to commit to the advancement of accounting firms that avoid DAB, because auditors who have yet to commit to their organisation have the potential to undertake DAB. Auditors with an internal LOC are less likely to engage in DAB, whereas auditors with an external LOC are more likely to engage in DAB. Auditors with high-level abilities, such as a position as an auditor in an accounting firm, have the option to perform DAB. Auditors believe their performance at the audit firm might be improved and aim to leave for another audit firm or other work; auditors who believe this have the possibility to do DAB. According to the attribution theory, extrinsic factors such as ambient conditions can impact the auditor's decision to do DAB. The COVID-19 condition, which requires auditors to work remotely, allows them to undertake DAB. Second, the theory of planned behaviour expands on the context of this research by claiming that auditors perform DAB because they have already intended to do so. The auditor intends to transfer to another audit company and work elsewhere; the auditor does DAB on purpose because he has a short TBP.

Our research contributes several contributions to the literature on the dimensions of external audit, DAB, TI and OC in the context of COVID-19. First, we add to the auditing literature on external auditing dimensions by demonstrating how external auditors should handle remote work during COVID-19. We discovered a link between external LOC and OC. Furthermore, we discovered a link between LOC and EP. Then we discovered a negative association between EP and TI. Furthermore, we discovered a link between TI and OC. As a result, while dealing with COVID-19, external auditors must have strict internal safeguards in place to avoid being influenced by bad behaviour. External auditors pledge to advancement and to the audit firm. External auditors work hard to perform well, and their audit company keeps them as auditors. They must remain loyal as external auditors under COVID-19 conditions because obtaining big incomes and decent positions is extremely tough. Second, by examining how external auditors performed on audits during COVID-19, we contribute to the literature and external auditors at accounting firms on DAB. We discovered a link between TBP and DAB. External auditors perform DAB because they are under time constraints to undertake distant audits in pandemic conditions. Third, we contribute to the research on TI by examining how it affects the relationship between external audit parameters and DAB. We discovered that turnover intention acts as a mediator between LOC and DAB. So that our research adds to external auditors and audit firms, we found that external auditors' selfcontrol during COVID-19 is critical in managing themselves so they don't flip over to audit firms and are mindful not to do DAB, which can affect audit quality. Fourth, we study how OC influences the external audit-DAB relationship. Our findings benefit external auditors and audit firms because OC mediates TI and DAB. To avoid leaving other audit firms or switching employment during COVID-19, external auditors must be loyal. High-loyalty auditors avoid DAB, lowering audit quality. This study may influence accounting company dynamics externally. This study should contribute to auditing and behavioural accounting literature and future research.

First, the novelty of this research evaluates the performance of external auditors in Indonesia during the COVID-19 period, evaluating performance from the individual characteristics factor, namely the relationship between EP, LOC, OC, TI, AP, and TBP with DAB, which previous studies have not done. Second, previous research has not examined the role of OC as a mediator in the link between EP and DAB. Third, TI's role as a go-between in the relationship between EP and DAB. Fourth, consider OC's role in the interaction between TI and DAB. Fifth, one of the research models examined in this work features a one-of-a-kind causal link. This study is conceptually advantaaeous and adds to the auditing and behavioural accounting literature by measuring auditor performance based on internal DAB distinctive characteristics. This study experimentally provides auidance to accounting firms and external auditors on how to systematically implement established audit standards and processes in order to avoid DAB. Furthermore, this study emphasises the significance of audit firm working reviews, auditor supervision, and peer reviews between accounting firms in order to avoid DAB. Furthermore, the execution of this research is directed at auditors as public accounting professionals in the audit office to implement the code of ethics and audit standards in order to avoid DAB, which can degrade audit quality and lead to the failure of a public accounting business.

2. Background

Auditors must follow audit guidelines established by the public accounting profession and audit firms in general audit work, such as following auditing standards, one of which is audit planning. Auditors must comply with auditing standards, which include general standards, fieldwork standards, and reporting requirements, as well as an auditor's code of conduct (International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, 2023). In reality, the auditor violates the code of ethics and auditing standards, which are influenced by the auditor's personality type as well as situational and conditional environmental circumstances. Auditors' deviant behaviour in implementing audits is classified as dysfunctional audit behaviour (DAB). This behaviour has a detrimental influence on audit quality in terms of reliability and accuracy. Various settings and conditions, such as COVID-19, that auditors to carry out DAB. The more pressed the auditor feels by working in certain scenarios and conditions, such as COVID-19, the more ready the auditor is to do DAB. Beginner auditors face pressure from superiors to make decisions that will allow them to conduct DAB, which is influenced by time constraints, financial constraints, and the auditor's position. Auditors who use DAB to make audit decisions are more unethical than auditors who do not use DAB.

Another criterion that the auditor must follow in a general audit is to identify risks and analyse the initial and final materiality levels of the audited account balances. The auditor performs highly extensive audit procedures in order to provide audit opinions based on various documentary evidence collected and audit findings (International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, 2023). One of the initial audit techniques is that the auditor understands the client's business, determines business continuity, and suggests potential misstatements. The auditor evaluates it to assess the fairness of the financial statement presentation, which is required for choosing whether to form an opinion on attestation at the final stage of the audit process. Personal variables such as abilities and personality influence decision-making in determining the attestation's opinion. The most essential aspect of the audit process that influences audit quality is decision making. As a result, the quality of the auditor's assessment influences the auditor's and stakeholders' professional reputations. The assessment includes, among other things, (1) a risk assessment of material misstatement of financial statements; (2) a risk assessment of performance and audit procedures to address the risk of audit failure; and (3) an evaluation of audit evidence to determine audit quality (International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, 2021a). Despite the fact that the auditor employed the same facts and evidence in conducting the audit, the findings of the auditor's assessments varied. Many factors, including the auditors' behaviour, are likely to influence the disparities in these judgements. The auditor manipulates the situation for the sake of convenience and to establish a secure environment for the audit. Individual auditors' decisions to do or choose something in various scenarios are influenced by their opinions. The auditor's

personal view determines his or her decision to approve DAB. Each auditor is capable of carrying out audit programmes in accordance with audit procedures and acting functionally. However, auditors who are unable to perform audits within the audit programme will be motivated to perform DAB inspired by EP, LOC, OC, TI, AP, and TBP.

The audit firm's policy for overcoming DAB is to strengthen the competence and experience of a good auditor during the audit. The auditor's expertise in carrying out the audit demonstrates the auditor's level of knowledge and expertise in carrying out the audit. The stronger the auditor's audit experience, the more thoroughly the auditor understands numerous audit difficulties, and the auditor is more likely to terminate established audit procedures prematurely. Auditor experience is the intensity with which an auditor has conducted audits over a long period of time. Experienced auditors pay close attention to audit procedures with a high degree of accuracy. Another policy targeted to the auditor by the audit business is professional behaviour in avoiding DAB. A professional auditor must be objective when doing audit activities, which displays honesty by not performing premature sign-off, replacing any audit methods, or underreporting time.

Auditors must uphold the code of ethics by refraining from engaging in deviant audit behaviour. Audit firms must conduct quality control in the auditor profession and the accounting firm itself by conducting periodic audit work reviews and peer reviews of audit firms conducted by fellow accounting firms under the Centre for Financial Profession Development at the Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia (Indonesian Institute of Certified Public Accountants, 2021). Audit programmes and procedures are reviewed to avoid disobedience and errors in accordance with auditing and professional standards. Audit businesses use review and peer review as quality control tools to avoid DAB from affecting audit quality. The audit company's quality control system can evaluate the auditor's and audit firm's performance in preventing DAB, one of which is a conflict of interest in the audit assignment between the audit firm, the auditor, and the client. Auditors who are not independent fall under DAB since the client wants the auditor to provide the best opinion. The auditor, on the other hand, must maintain independence in accordance with the accountant's code of ethics and auditing standards (International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board, 2021b). The DAB phenomenon raises concerns about audit quality by providing biassed conclusions and can result in audit failures of customers evaluated by accounting firms' auditors. To avoid DAB, which can reduce the quality of audits performed by auditors at audit firms in Indonesia, the Ministry of Finance of the Republic of Indonesia issued a policy aimed at audit firms that violate standard auditing regulations (SA)—professional standards for Indonesian public accountants (Indonesian Institute of Certified Public Accountants, 2021). Policy to freeze Audit Company permits that breach regulations, which is issued almost every year. To address DAB issues, audit firms must create rules to assess the performance of external auditors during COVID-19, which is based on EP, LOC, OC, TI, AP, and TBP.

3. Theoretical literature review

3.1. Attribution theory

This is the first study to apply Heider's (1958) basic research theory of attribution, which states that individuals can judge the behaviour of others as being influenced by internal factors or social perceptions, better known as dispositional attributions, and external factors, better known as situational attributions. Through a three-stage procedure, each of these persons may grasp and understand what other people's behaviour they are doing (Kelley & Michela, 1980; Gunawan et al., 2020; Handoko et al., 2021; Hendijani et al., 2020; Tran et al., 2022; Wahidahwati & Asyik, 2022). These stages are as follows: (1) the individual must witness another individual's behaviour. (2) Individuals must comprehend that the behaviour they are engaging in is done on purpose. (3) Individuals must decide whether or not to use force to carry out the behaviour. Internal elements stem from a person's personality and personal control, and include motivation for behaviour, personal qualities, and perceptions. Internal factors that induce auditors to undertake DAB are influenced by behaviour in this study, specifically turnover intention (TI) and auditor position (AP).

Internal auditors undertaking DAB are influenced by characteristics, specifically locus of control (LOC) and organisational commitment (OC), and perceptions, specifically auditor performance and time budget pressure (TBP). External factors, on the other hand, are associated with outside forces. That which cannot be controlled comes from humans, namely contextual forces that determine how people behave. Societal environment, societal ideals, and community perspectives are examples of external variables. In this study, the external factors that drove auditors to perform DAB were the conditions of COVID-19, where the auditor had to perform remote audit tasks, as well as when they were presented with a short TBP, thus they decided to undertake DAB.

Auditors utilise the attribution theory to conduct DAB because three internal and external causal factors influence them: Specificity is a situation in which the auditor behaves in carrying out DAB given the circumstances, especially a limited audit time budget. Consensus is a situation in which auditors conducting DAB face the same situation and respond in the same way to conducting DAB, namely the condition of COVID-19 in which auditors conduct remote audits, which have many limitations in collecting documentary evidence, sampling, and performing other audit procedures. When it came to COVID-19, the auditor performed a premature sign-off audit. When the auditor acts consistently to conduct DAB in all situations, this is referred to as consistency. These criteria include auditors with a low commitment to audit firms, auditors with a high turnover intention, auditors with poor performance, and auditors with a higher status. By analysing auditor behaviour from internal and external sources, this attribution theory can be utilised as a foundation for audit companies to evaluate auditor performance during COVID-19. This performance evaluation pertains to the auditor's behaviour when performing audit assignments, including whether they perform DAB, which is influenced by internal factors such as EP, internal LOC, TI, OC, AP, TBP, and external LOC, as well as the condition of COVID-19 as an external factor.

3.2. The theory of planned behaviour

This study also employs the second core theory, Ajzen and Fishbein's (1969) theory of planned behaviour. According to this idea, three elements determine individual behaviour (Aziz et al., 2018; Bernardus et al., 2020). The first factor is belief and behaviour evaluation. The second aspect, normative belief, refers to the expectations of others to execute the behaviour as well as the drive to preserve those expectations of the behaviour that is performed. Perceptual power and individual control for behaviour are the third factors (Phuong Dung et al., 2023; Velástegui et al., 2021). Individual confidence in carrying out planned behaviours that generate negative and positive attitudes (Nguyen et al., 2022). Individual perceptions of what to do as a result of social pressure are formed as a result of normative beliefs (Shodipe & Ohanu, 2023). Individuals' perceptions of control are also influenced by their belief in control (Purwanto et al., 2022). Control over perceptions derives from the combination of individual attitudes towards subjective norms (Nguyen et al., 2020; Zaman et al., 2021).

This theory is particularly relevant as a fundamental theory used to explain the auditor's behaviour in accepting DAB since this behaviour is the auditor's planned aim. Auditors believe that their performance at the audit firm is subpar. They also believe that the work they undertake is a burden on their lives that they cannot complete well, which is an example of external LOC. They have a high turnover rate and intend to move to another audit firm or work in a different profession. Auditors recognise that they have a low level of commitment to the accounting business where they work. Auditors recognise that they hold a very high position or level as auditors due to their extensive knowledge and expertise as auditors who can instruct junior auditors. Auditors encounter a lot of audit work with a short audit time, hence they receive TBP. The auditor's numerous aspects provide assurance, evaluation, perception, and control, and the auditor ultimately plans whether they will obtain DAB. And this is in addition to the issue of environmental conditions, specifically COVID-19, which impacts auditors regardless of whether they approve DAB.

Before accepting the DAB, the auditor must be certain in the results received from the behaviour. The auditors will next decide whether or not to accept the DAB. The auditor faces normative expectations in audit tasks, as well as motivation and confidence from other parties. The greater the requirement for fairness guarantees from users of audited financial statements, the more motivated auditors are to act functionally by avoiding DAB. As a result, the auditor is more confidence in conducting an audit without DAB. Furthermore, the auditor considers the punishments obtained if they do DAB, which is within the auditor's power to avoid DAB acceptance. The more severe the auditor's consequence for accepting DAB, the more motivated the auditor is not to undertake DAB. This theory is also critical for audit firms. Because this condition is carefully intended for auditors to carry out DAB from belief, perception, and motivation elements such as EP, LOC, OC, TI, AP, and TBP, it may be utilised to evaluate auditor performance during COVID-19.

4. Empirical literature and hypotheses development

The premise for strengthening the relationship between internal locus of control and organisational commitment is attribution theory. Someone with excellent self-control, which is impacted by internal forces. These auditors are strongly dedicated to their organisation because of their exemplary behaviour, personal attributes, and self-perceptions. A person's success is determined by their own actions or by external forces influenced by certain circumstances (Frucot & Shearon, 1991). Individuals' achievement of goals and success is influenced by internal and external controls in specific settings and events (Ngoc et al., 2022). Patten (2005) defines locus of control as a person's self-control over an event that occurs to him. A person's self-control consists of both internal and external loci of control. A person's behaviour is heavily influenced by their attributes, which are influenced by an internal and external locus of control (Hyatt & Prawitt, 2001). They, like auditors, can carry out work to attain desired goals by adopting an internal locus of control and viewing life through an external locus of control (Hyatt & Prawitt, 2001). By having a high level of commitment, the locus of control promotes good performance (Donnelly et al., 2003b; Hyatt & Prawitt, 2001). Halil et al. (2012) define organisational commitment as a willingness to advance organisational goals through good behaviours such as presence, discipline, and work performance. Auditors stay with accounting firms because they care about and believe in the goals and values of their organisation and profession (Donnelly et al., 2003a).

Paino et al. (2012), Donnelly et al. (2003a), Ghorbanpour et al. (2014), and Anugerah et al. (2016) conducted empirical study on the relationship between internal locus of control and organisational commitment. Paino et al. (2012) discovered that the internal auditor's locus of control positively influences organisational commitment. Meanwhile, empirical research by Donnelly et al. (2003a), Ghorbanpour et al. (2014), and Anugerah et al. (2016) shows that internal locus of control affects organisational commitment. Auditor participation and loyalty have a significant impact on auditor performance, therefore an auditor's internal locus of control can have an impact on organisational commitment. The more the auditor's internal locus of control, the greater the auditor's commitment to the organisation. As a result, the first proposed hypothesis is:

H1: There is a positive association between internal locus of control and organisational commitment.

Furthermore, attribution theory strengthens the association between internal locus of control (LOC) and employee performance (EP). Someone who has good self-control because of good behaviour, qualities, and perceptions that establish internal LOC. Someone with good internal LOC who is related with EP is motivated and responsible for their task with maximum results. So that a good internal locus of control is directly proportional to one's performance, resulting in good output, some people believe that events are controlled by luck, fate, and other people, whereas others believe that events can be controlled by themselves, which is the internal locus of control (Frucot & Shearon, 1991; Serang & Wiwik, 2020).

Chen and Silverthorne (2008) investigated the effect of locus of control on auditor performance, as did Paino et al. (2012), Ghorbanpour et al. (2014), Rahmisyari and Rizal (2020), and Ngoc et al. (2022). Chen and Silverthorne (2008) found that internal LOC is positively connected to auditor performance in their empirical research. A study conducted by Ghorbanpour et al. (2014), on the other hand, found that internal LOC is inversely connected to auditor performance. External auditors who believe their efforts do not result in good goals or performance are too indolent to work more efficiently because they have a relatively low or high external locus of control (Patten, 2005). They see their work as a burden that they cannot complete well (Ratnawati, 2020). Auditors with a high internal locus of control, on the other hand, are ambitious to perform a good job so that their goals can be met appropriately. Auditors in this category have a high level of responsibility, ability, planning, and goal achievement (Hyatt & Prawitt, 2001). Thus, the internal locus of control, the better the auditor's performance; the more the external auditor's internal locus of control, the second hypothesis suggested is:

H2: Internal locus of control and employee performance have a positive association.

The association between external LOC and turnover intention (IT) is strengthened by the attribution hypothesis. Individuals with external LOC view fate and luck to be external variables impacting their achievement, according to the hypothesis. Individuals with internal LOC outperform externals in terms of business, job happiness, and work drive (Chen & Silverthorne, 2008). Auditors with an internal locus of control are more resilient in general because they have a high level of personal control in coping with a variety of scenarios (Frucot & Shearon, 1991).

Previous research on the link between LOC and TI was undertaken by (Anugerah et al., 2016; Paino et al., 2012). Anugerah et al. (2016) research findings give empirical evidence that external LOC has a favourable link with TI (Anugerah et al., 2016). Internal LOC, on the other hand, has a strained relationship with TI (Paino et al., 2012). Auditors with an external locus of control may prefer to leave a larger accounting company, but auditors with an internal locus of control have a low intention to leave (Donnelly et al., 2003b). Furthermore, auditors with an external locus of control show low organisational commitment, which increases their desire to leave the organisation (Donnelly et al., 2003b). According to Donnelly et al. (2003b), auditors with a turnover goal are more prone to engage in dysfunctional behaviour in order to advance in their careers. Auditors with a high internal locus of control are more committed to their organisations because they are less likely to face punishments and can complete all tasks and targets to the best of their abilities. Auditors with this locus of control are more likely to want to relocate to another audit firm or corporation. Auditors like these are afraid of getting fired if their work does not satisfy the criteria and objectives specified. As a result, the third hypothesis, which is as follows, follows.

H3: External locus of control and turnover intention have a favourable association.

Attribution theory first strengthens the association between external LOC and dysfunctional audit behaviour (DAB). External LOC is influenced by external elements such as fate and luck, who want their job to meet aims, according to this viewpoint. A Locus of Control (LOC), according to Patten (2005), is a hope in life that is controlled by one's actions (internality) or outside influences (externalities). Individuals with internal LOC rely on themselves more to act ethically by regulating diverse situations and taking responsibility for their actions (Bryan et al., 2005). Individuals with external LOC, on the other hand, are governed by forces beyond their control and, as a result, are less personally responsible (Ngoc et al., 2022). Second, if external LOC is connected with dysfunctional audit behaviour (DAB), the theory of planned behaviour is the right framework for this interaction. The auditor carefully develops and executes DAB, which is influenced by social-

environmental elements, specifically COVID-19. Those working remotely had difficulties gathering audit evidence, following systematic audit procedures, and managing a large amount of time and audit work, thus auditors received DAB to help them overcome these restrictions in COVID-19.

Donnelly et al. (2003b); Paino et al. (2011) (Paino et al., 2012); Alkautsar (2014); Ghorbanpour et al. (2014); Anugerah et al. (2016); and Sunyoto and Sulistiyo (2019) conducted previous studies evaluating the association between LOC and DAB. External locus of control has a positive link with DAB, according to empirical study (Alkautsar, 2014; Anugerah et al., 2016; Donnelly et al., 2003b; Ghorbanpour et al., 2014; Paino et al., 2011, 2012). Internal LOC, on the other hand, has a negative association with DAB (Sunyoto & Sulistiyo, 2019). Thus, an auditor with an internal LOC is expected to have a negative association with the desire to sign off prematurely (change/replace audit methods) and to report the time charged (signing ahead of time). Auditors with an internal LOC are more confident in their ability to control their lives than those with greater control (Bryan et al., 2005), whereas externals believe that external factors, such as luck, fate, or the influence of other people, control their lives (Malone & Roberts, 1996). External auditors are more prone to engage in dysfunctional acts that degrade audit quality (Donnelly et al., 2003b; Shapeero et al., 2003). As a result, the researchers proposed a fourth hypothesis:

H4: There is a link between an external locus of control and dysfunctional audit behaviour.

According to the attribution theory, auditors with an external locus of control believe that the outcomes obtained are due to situational elements such as miracles, luck, and the environment rather than their own efforts. As a result, auditors like these must be pushed harder to accomplish audit targets by performing well. Meanwhile, auditors with an internal locus of control do their best and are really devoted to achieving something when given specific targets. Donnelly et al. (2003a), Ghorbanpour et al. (2014), Anugerah et al. (2016), and Sunyoto and Sulistiyo (2019) conducted empirical research on the role of organisational commitment in mediating the relationship between external locus of control and dysfunctional audit behaviour. According to the findings of Donnelly et al. (2003a) and Anugerah et al. (2016), OC modulates the link between external LOC and DAB. Nonetheless, empirical study by Ghorbanpour et al. (2014) and Sunyoto and Sulistiyo (2019) shows that OC does not regulate the relationship between external LOC and DAB. Auditors with an external locus of control are more prone to engage in dysfunctional audit behaviour (DAB) when their organisational commitment to the audit business is very low, especially when combined with situational and environmental elements such as the COVID-19 condition. As a result, the researcher suggests hypothesis 4a as follows:

H4a: The association between external locus of control and dysfunctional audit behaviour is mediated by organisational commitment.

According to the attribution theory, auditors have a high internal LOC driven by views of wanting to advance and obtain good results, which determine what performance they expect. Auditors that have excellent performance and wish to improve their performance at the audit business do not receive DAB. The auditor can plan performance and DAB using the theory of planned behaviour. They can plan the desired performance, but they must have a plan and a drive to move forward. Furthermore, auditors receive DAB under specific conditions and situations, such as COVID-19, which forces them to operate remotely in order to complete audit assignments on time.

Previous research (Anugerah et al., 2016; Donnelly et al., 2003b) looked at employee performance (EP) as a moderator between external LOC and Acceptance of Dysfunctional Behaviour. According to the findings of Donnelly et al. (2003b), EP did not influence the link between external LOC and DAB. Meanwhile, Anugerah et al. (2016) found that EP mediates the link between external LOC and DAB in their empirical investigation. They are inspired to carry out planned and premeditated DAB under these settings. As a result, the auditor's performance as an intermediary connecting LOC and DAB. A good internal LOC has a positive impact on the auditor's performance, so they are less likely to accept DAB. External LOC, on the other hand, has a direct association with DAB, and external LOC has a negative impact on auditor performance, thus they incline to accept DAB. As a result, hypothesis 4b is:

H4b: The association between external locus of control and dysfunctional audit behaviour is mediated by employee performance.

According to attribution theory and the theory of planned behaviour, TI plays a mediating role in the link between external LOC and DAB. Auditors with a high external LOC believe that luck and fate bring about life changes that lead to a desire to leave audit firms and other professions. Auditors are motivated to take DAB because they intend to leave the audit firm to work in their new audit firm or profession. Auditors have the possibility to obtain DAB based on the notion of planned behaviour that auditors have a high turnover intention. According to attribute theory and the theory of planned behaviour, auditors with high external LOC have a higher turnover intention because they quit the audit firm to work for a new audit firm or profession to get DAB. As a result, TI mediates the link between the external LOC and the DAB. Previous research, such as Donnelly et al. (2003b), Paino et al. (2012), Ghorbanpour et al. (2014), and Anugerah et al. (2016), has examined how TI mediates the link between external LOC and DAB. Empirical evidence suggests that TI mediates the link between external LOC and DAB (Anugerah et al., 2016; Donnelly et al., 2003a; Ghorbanpour et al., 2014; Paino et al., 2012). As a result, the proposed 4c hypothesis is:

H4c: The association between external locus of control and dysfunctional audit behaviour is mediated by turnover intention.

According to the attribution theory, an individual is motivated to enhance their performance, which is impacted by internal causes, namely intrinsic motivation, in order to become more devoted to their organisation and profession. Thus, the paradigm of attribution theory strengthens the association between auditor performance and organisational commitment in this study. Auditors excel because they are passionate about the accounting company where they work (Setianingrum et al., 2016). The same is true for good auditor performance since auditors are extremely dedicated to their profession and career. Junior auditors' performance differs from that of senior auditors, managers, and partners, and is determined by the amount of commitment (Martini et al., 2020). The performance of the junior auditor effects the effort to mobilise the organisation, which is influenced by willingness, but the performance of the senior auditor influences the effort to sustain organisational membership, which is influenced by a strong desire (Paino et al., 2012). Previous study has linked auditor performance to organisational commitment, such as empirical research (Ghorbanpour et al., 2014). Ghorbanpour et al. (2014) discovered that auditor performance is positively related to organisational commitment. In general, auditors who do well have a strong organisational commitment to the profession.

H5: There is a favourable association between employee performance and organisational commitment.

According to the attribution theory, elements such as motivation, beliefs, and perceptions influence someone who performs well internally. They believe their performance is good, thus they are loyal to their employer and are hesitant to leave. In other words, they have no intention of relocating to another company or organisation. Auditors with the lowest performance intend to leave the most (Paino et al., 2012). Thus, the inclination to change occupations is low among auditors who do well (Luna-Arocas & Camps, 2007). Previous study has looked at the association between Employee Performance (EP) and Turnover Intention (TI) (Balouch & Hassan, 2014; Biron & Boon, 2013; Ghorbanpour et al., 2014; Nawaz & Pangil, 2016; Paino et al., 2012; Saeed et al., 2014; Shofiatul et al., 2016; Yücel, 2021). According to the findings of Paino et al. (2012) and Ghorbanpour et al. (2014) empirical research, auditor performance is inversely associated to TI. However, empirical research (Shofiatul et al., 2016) shows that auditor performance is inversely associated to TI, but the relationship is not substantial. Empirical evidence suggests that EP is negatively connected to TI (Biron & Boon, 2013; Nawaz & Pangil, 2016; Saeed et al., 2014; Yücel, 2021). Nonetheless, empirical study (Balouch & Hassan, 2014) shows that EP is inversely connected to TI, but the relationship is not substantial. Individual performance suffers when turnover intention is high (Raza & Raja, 2014). The smaller the intention to turnover, the better the auditor's performance. Auditors who perform well are promoted and more loyal to their organisations (Wong et al., 2015), whereas auditors who fail to meet predetermined minimum standards or meet work targets face sanctions and may even be expelled from the audit firm where they work (Paino et al., 2012). The sixth hypothesis is as follows:

H6: Employee performance and turnover intentions have a negative association.

Attribution theory strengthens the initial relationship between EP and DAB; auditors have views and opinions about their performance. If their performance is poor, they will behave poorly when performing audit tasks, and vice versa. The auditor's bad performance persuades him to accept the DAB. The acceptance of DAB reflects the auditor's purpose to plan this behaviour, implying that the notion of planned behaviour reinforces it. Employees who perform well will have less dysfunctional behaviour since they can prevent it and work at full capacity (Johansen & Christoffersen, 2017). Donnelly et al. (2003b); Anugerah et al. (2016); Paino et al. (2012); Ghorbanpour et al. (2014); Nehme et al. (2019) are examples of previous studies evaluating the link between EP and DAB. According to empirical research, auditor performance is negatively related to dysfunctional audit behaviour (Anugerah et al., 2016; Donnelly et al., 2003a; Nehme et al., 2019), but employee performance is positively related to DAB (Ghorbanpour et al., 2014; Paino et al., 2012) because the auditor's goal is to get a promotion and does not consider it unethical behaviour. When conducting audits, high-performing auditors have very low DAB (Nehme et al., 2021). Low auditor performance, on the other hand, influences auditors to engage in highly dysfunctional behaviour (Al-Qatamin et al., 2021; Nehme, 2017); this is because auditors with substandard performance levels lack the expertise and ability to survive in the audit firm (Khalil & Nehme, 2021; Nehme et al., 2021). According to attribution theory, auditors like this would frequently go to great lengths to improve performance so that it looks good, such as committing fraud during the audit process and falsifying audit reports. As a result, the seventh hypothesis suggested is:

H7: There is a link between poor employee performance and dysfunctional audit behaviour.

Previous research had not studied the mediating function of organisational commitment (OC) in the link between EP and DAB, hence this study was innovative. Attribution theory and the theory of planned behaviour both support the function of OC as a mediator in the interaction between EP and DAB. According to attribution theory, auditors believe their performance is good, hence they are committed to the audit firm and should not take DAB. In contrast, auditors who are confident in their performance in the audit firm have minimal commitment to the audit firm, which is the framework of the theory of planned behaviour. Because the COVID-19 condition supports DAB in this reverse connection, the auditor is likely to accept it. The hypothesis of planned behaviour, on the other hand, strengthens this attitude because the auditor intends or consciously accepts DAB. As a result, the proposed hypothesis 7a is: **H7a:** The association between employee performance and dysfunctional audit behaviour is mediated by organisational commitment.

Because there has been no previous research evaluating the function of TI mediation in the link between EP and DAB, this study is unique. Attribution theory and the theory of planned behaviour both support TI's position as a mediator in the interaction between EP and DAB. According to the Attribution theory, auditors who believe their performance at the audit firm is poor intend to leave the firm. Those who intend to leave their jobs at audit firms are encouraged to plan to do DAB as well. This behaviour is related to the planned behaviour idea. EP deals directly with DAB, whereas TI serves as an intermediate, forming an indirect interaction between EP and DAB. External LOC persuades auditors to perform TI in audit firms or other professions so that they can receive DAB in carrying out their audit obligations after leaving the audit firm. So the proposed H7b theory is:

H7b: The desire to turnover influences the association between employee performance and dysfunctional audit behaviour.

According to the theory of planned behaviour, auditors with high turnover intention (TI) have a very low organisational commitment (OC) to the audit business because they want to leave or pursue another career. High TI indicates that they have a strong desire to leave the audit firm, which is impacted by internal elements like as attitudes, views, and motivation, as well as external factors such as the environment. Attribution theory reinforces this state. Kumar et al. (2012); Jehanzeb et al. (2013); Ghorbanpour et al. (2014) investigate the association between turnover intention and organisational commitment. The empirical findings of the study (Ghorbanpour et al., 2014) reveal that TI is inversely connected to OC. The desire to retain organisational membership; in this situation, the auditor is loyal to his profession (Al Balushi et al., 2022). According to the study's findings (Donnelly et al., 2003b), auditors who are willing to leave a high organisation have a low organisational commitment. Auditors who are extremely loyal to the accounting firm where they work are less likely to leave or go on to another audit firm. The more the auditor's intention to leave, the lower the organization's commitment to the profession. As a result, the eighth hypothesis suggested is:

H8: Turnover intention and organisational commitment have a negative association.

The notion of planned behaviour provides a framework for thinking about the interaction between TI and DAB. Auditors engage in planned behaviour when it comes to TI and DAB. Tjan (2019) defines turnover intention as an auditor's desire to depart an accounting business. Auditors have confidence, motivation, and views about doing TI since it is influenced by internal and external factors. Attribution theory supports auditors who do TI in audit organisations. Auditors who perform DAB can also be viewed through the lens of attribution theory because they perform DAB while being influenced by internal factors such as perceptions, motivations, and beliefs.

Donnelly et al. (2003b); Paino et al. (2011); Paino et al. (2012); Ghorbanpour et al. (2014); Anugerah et al. (2016) have previously investigated the link between TI and DAB. TI has a favourable link with DAB, according to empirical study (Anugerah et al., 2016; Donnelly et al., 2003b; Ghorbanpour et al., 2014). While TI has a negative connection with DAB, as demonstrated by Paino et al. (2011) and Paino et al. (2012) studies. According to Malone and Roberts (1996), auditors who intend to move to an accounting firm or other profession are more likely to perform DAB during an audit. This is due to a decrease in the auditor's fear of being fired from the organisation where they work, which causes the auditor to perform DAB. Meanwhile, auditors who intend to leave quickly avoid DAB (Anugerah et al., 2016). The more the auditor's turnover intention, the better the DAB, or auditors, perform during the audit. Furthermore, auditors with a high turnover intention are more likely to perform DAB in order to obtain a more suited position in a new organisation (Anugerah et al., 2016; Donnelly et al., 2003b; Paino et al., 2011). Dysfunctional behaviour leads to lower job satisfaction and higher levels of turnover intention, resulting in lower organisational performance (Paino et al., 2011). Auditors that engage in high DAB have a high turnover intention because they are afraid of being caught (Balasingam et al., 2019). Furthermore, according to attribution theory, auditors engage in dysfunctional audit behaviour and are unconcerned about it since they have a strong intention to leave their work (Tjan, 2019). As a result, the ninth hypothesis is as follows:

H9: There is a link between turnover intent and dysfunctional audit behaviour.

Previous research has not studied the function of mediating organisational commitment (OC) in the relationship between TI and DAB, hence this study is innovative. Attribution theory and the theory of planned behaviour both support the function of OC as a mediator in the interaction between TI and DAB. According to attribution theory, auditors have the view and conviction that using TI would result in the desired changes in their lives. They do TI because they believe it will improve their job. New employment and locations ensure a better life. TI and DAB are both behaviours carried out with the goal of what is planned with a specific purpose, according to the notion of planned behaviour. Auditors feel confident in changing jobs or moving to a different audit firm with higher expectations. Auditors with this level of devotion to the audit firm where they work are more likely to receive DAB in carrying out audit assignments since auditors depart the audit firm where they work. As a result, hypothesis 9a is as follows:

H9a: The association between turnover intention and dysfunctional audit behaviour is mediated by organisational commitment.

Attribution theory and the idea of planned behaviour help to deepen the link between OC and DAB. It explains, in conjunction with attribution theory, why auditors are confident in their commitment to the audit firm and loyalty to their organisation. Auditors are responsible for the advancement of their organisations because they care about them. A committed auditor is also accountable for the audit assignment given if DAB is not scheduled; this relationship is the attitude of the theory of planned behaviour. Organisational commitment is defined as acceptance of the organization's principles and aims (Lord & DeZoort, 2001). Organisational commitment, according to Halil et al. (2012), demonstrates motivation variations between persons with high and low commitment. Individuals who are committed to making the greatest sacrifice contribute to and advance their careers as auditors in the organisation (Donnelly et al., 2003a). Individuals with a high level of organisational commitment desire the organisation to progress and develop in a positive manner (Halil et al., 2012). Individuals with low commitment, on the other hand, are more concerned with themselves than with the interests of others or the organisation (Donnelly et al., 2003a).

As a result, auditors who are less dedicated to the organisation are more likely to perform DAB as a means of promoting themselves (Donnelly et al., 2003a). Auditors with strong organisational commitment, according to Halil et al. (2012), restrict DAB, whereas auditors with insufficient organisational commitment have the ability to engage in extremely dysfunctional audit behaviour (Donnelly et al., 2003a; Malone & Roberts, 1996). Empirical evidence suggests that OC is inversely connected to DAB (Anugerah et al., 2016; Donnelly et al., 2003a; Paino et al., 2012; Sunyoto & Sulistiyo, 2019). While empirical research results (Ghorbanpour et al., 2014; Kusumastuti & Nirmala Arum Janie, 2014; Svanberg & Öhman, 2016) show that OC has a favourable connection with DAB. High commitment to the organisation and the profession to refrain from doing DAB since they are accountable for performing well (Donnelly et al.,

2003a). Most auditors who are disciplined in their work and work hard to achieve organisational goals have a high organisational commitment, do not lower audit quality to reach personal goals, and are more ethical not to perform DAB (Donnelly et al., 2003a). As a result, the tenth hypothesis suggested is:

H10: Organisational commitment and dysfunctional audit behaviour have a negative association.

The association between time budget pressure (TBP) and DAB is strengthened by the theory of planned behaviour and attribution theory. According to the theory of planned behaviour, the auditor is under pressure to meet the audit time budget specified in the plan. The capacity of the auditor to accomplish the task set within the predetermined time budget is related to the auditor's performance rating at the accounting company (Robertson et al., 2011). The time budget is used in accounting companies to plan and manage audit tasks, as well as to control the efficacy of audit fees (Lord & DeZoort, 2001). The audit time budget is highly necessary for the auditor to finish the audit until the audit report is completed, while some scholars believe the time budget is not important for the auditor (Mansor et al., 2022; Sweeney & Pierce, 2004). According to attribution theory, the auditor's perspective has various reasons why it is critical to meet the time limit in order to evaluate auditor performance (Nor et al., 2017). Auditors confront time budget pressures such as failure to trace accounting principles, reduction of audit procedures, and reduction of audit evidence so that audit evidence is weak, according to Soobaroyen and Chengabroyan (2006). Time budget pressure expresses concern about not having enough time to perform audit work as a result of excessive stress on audit work (Amiruddin, 2019; Lord & DeZoort, 2001). Due to a lack of reporting and personal presence in completing audits, duties may not be completed on time due to unrealistic time budgets (Umar et al., 2017; Wetmiller, 2022). This pressure is strongly associated with dysfunctional audit behaviour, which can result in poor audit quality (Astuty et al., 2022; Svanström, 2016). Failure to explore problematic problems and early audit sign-off are examples of dysfunctional audit behaviours (Cook & Kelley, 1988). Furthermore, other issues that put pressure on time budgets include the impact of audit programmes, the effect of client expectations on audit fees, and the previous year's budget (Nehme et al., 2022; Otley & Pierce, 1996a; Pierce & Sweeney, 2004).

DAB has a linear relationship with time budget pressure (Amy et al., 2022; DeZoort & Lord, 1997; Otley & Pierce, 1996a). Pierce and Sweeney (2004), Balasingam et al. (2019), San Ong et al. (2022), and Nguyen Van Hau et al. (2023) did empirical study on the association between TBP and DAB. Time budget pressure is positively and strongly connected with DAB, according to empirical study (Pierce & Sweeney, 2004; Balasingam et al., 2019; San Ong et al., 2022; Nguyen Van Hau et al., 2023. High audit time budget pressure will also influence the auditor's behaviour because the auditor is under pressure to complete the audit promptly following the predetermined audit deadline (Amiruddin, 2019; Coram et al., 2003; Svanberg & Öhman, 2016). Auditors with limited time invite dysfunctional audit behaviour (Kelley & Seller, 1982; Paino et al., 2019). When time budget demands are deemed unaffordable and tighter, DAB will increase (McNamara & Liyanarachchi, 2008; Otley & Pierce, 1996a; Soobaroyen & Chengabroyan, 2006). As a result, the eleventh hypothesis given is:

H11: Time budget pressure and dysfunctional audit behaviour have a good link.

Attribution theory strengthens the association between auditor position (AP) and OC. According to the hypothesis, the auditor is motivated to advance in the audit firm. And the auditor is confident that those with advanced AP and extensive experience demonstrate the auditor's dedication to the audit business. The position or position of the auditor can be seen in the audit firm's hierarchical organisational structure. Auditor positions are organised in the audit firm's organisational structure as follows: junior auditor, senior auditor, manager, and partner (Donnelly et al., 2003a). The position of the auditor in the firm audit differs depending on the auditor's duties, authorities, interests, and responsibilities in the organisation (Lambert & Agoglia, 2011). Organisational commitment is related to tenure in the organisation (Quarles, 1994); individuals are committed because of their position and sense of duty. Meyer and Allen (1984) found that organisational tenure corresponds positively with organisational commitment.

Previous study on the link between AP and OC, such as empirical work by Chen and Francesco (2000), Donnelly et al. (2003a), and Sweeney et al. (2003). Empirical evidence shows that AP correlates positively with OC (Chen & Francesco, 2000; Sweeney et al., 2003). In contrast, empirical studies undertaken by Donnelly et al. (2003a) demonstrated that AP harms OC. Sweeney et al. (2003) found that the higher the auditor's status in the audit business, the greater their commitment to the organisation. Their position and function in the audit company have an impact on auditor performance. In this scenario, an employee is an auditor with a position, significant autonomy, and a high level of dedication to the audit firm (Bassett, 1994). As a result, the twelfth hypothesis given is:

H12: The auditor role and organisational commitment have a good association.

The relationship between AP and DAB can be explained by attribution theory and the theory of planned behaviour. According to attribution theory, auditors are driven to accomplish audit activities in accordance with the audit company's projected targets because they have the motivation and confidence to achieve good AP in the audit firm. According to the theory of planned behaviour, auditors might plan and intend to advance to a higher level in the audit business. A senior AP, on the other hand, can order and influence lower-level auditors to meet audit targets. According to the principle of planned behaviour, AP at a high degree should be given DAB because it is a planned behaviour.

Raghunathan (1991), Donnelly et al. (2003a), Morris (2014), Nor et al. (2015), and Herda and Martin (2016) conducted previous studies on the link between AP and DAB. According to the findings of Donnelly's et al. (2003a) empirical investigation, AP has a negative relationship with DAB. According to Raghunathan (1991) empirical research, the auditor's position influences dysfunctional audit behaviour produced by time budget constraint. Morris (2014); Nor et al. (2015); Herda and Martin (2016) assert that upper-level auditors are more professional when they audit by following proper audit processes (Herda & Martin, 2016; Morris, 2014; Nor et al., 2015). In this study, auditor positions were divided into two categories: lower-level auditor positions, known as junior auditors, and upper-level auditor positions, known as senior management and partner auditors (Ponemon, 1992a). Accounting businesses delegate audit time to junior auditors who can make appropriate decisions based on the risk-based audit time budget (Lee, 2002). Senior auditors must have expertise and skills in recognising any attempt by junior auditors to prematurely sign off (Hyatt & Taylor, 2013), whereas superior auditors evaluate and supervise the work of other auditors (Gimbar et al., 2018). Experienced auditors train and manage inexperienced junior auditors (McManus & Subramaniam, 2009). Auditors exhibit dysfunctional behaviour during audits due to time and money constraints (McNamara & Liyanarachchi, 2008; Ponemon, 1992b; Wetmiller, 2022). To function well, lower-level auditor jobs, such as junior auditors, require the attention, guidance, and supervision of supervisors, managers, and partners (Sweeney et al., 2010). Upper-level auditors must provide lower-level auditors with performance ratings. This review is performed to ensure that lower-level auditors accomplish audit activities correctly and on time in order to minimise DAB (Morris, 2014; Sweeney & Pierce, 2004). As a result, the thirteenth hypothesis is as follows:

Figure 1. Depicts the conceptual framework of the factors impacting dysfunctional audit behaviour (DAB).

Sources: Donnelly et al. (2003), Paino et al. (2011), Paino et al. (2012); Yuen et al. (2013); Ghorbanpour et al. (2014); Balasingam et al. (2019); Paino et al. (2019); Amy et al. (2022); Nguyen et al. (2023); and Annelin (2023)



H13: The auditor role has a negative link with dysfunctional audit behaviour.

Previous research has not studied the mediating function of organisational commitment (OC) in the link between AP and DAB, hence this study is innovative. Attribution theory and the theory of planned behaviour both support the function of OC as a mediator in the interaction between AP and DAB. According to attribution theory, auditors in audit firms have the perception, incentive, and confidence to achieve a higher AP. At a high level, AP demonstrates that they have a high OC as well because most auditors who work in audit firms are aware of the success of their audit businesses. And it differs from auditors who achieve AP at a high level due to the nature and experience of other audit firms, when the auditor has recently joined a new audit firm. DAB is discouraged for higher level APs with high OC because their experience has shown them that it is not a good practise. Auditors have goals and plans to raise their level to a higher position so that they do not face pressure from auditors with a higher level, according to the Figure 1: the conceptual framework of the study. Auditors who desire and aspire to attain high AP have a high OC in the audit firm, therefore they limit DAB when conducting audits. As a result, OC serves as a middleman between AP and DAB. As a result, hypothesis 13a is as follows:

H13a: The association between auditor position and dysfunctional audit behaviour is mediated by organisational commitment.

Figure 1 depicts the conceptual framework of the study, which was developed after a thorough review of mainstream literature.

5. Research design

5.1. Sample and procedure

The participants in this study are external auditors from Indonesian accounting companies. There are 635 accounting firms in Indonesia, according to the Indonesian Institute of Certified Public Accountants (2022). Based on these figures 1 and 2, there are 4,722 external auditors in Indonesia,

Figure 2. Path analysis research model.

Sources Donnelly et al., (2003); Paino et al. (2011, 2012); Ghorbanpour et al. (2014); Anugerah et al. (2016); Sunyoto & Sulistiyo, (2019)



including 1,442 partners and 3,280 auditors who have Certified Public Accountants (CPA) registered with the Indonesian Institute of Certified Public Accountants (2022). However, because the total number of external auditors in Indonesia, including senior and junior auditors, is not known with certainty, the sampling technique in this study used a random sampling technique because the total number of external auditors working in accounting firms in Indonesia is not known with certainty. The external auditors are also dispersed across Indonesia's large area and between islands. Another explanation is the COVID-19 pandemic factor, which is a factor of uncertainty regarding uncertain economic conditions, which enables for the external auditor turnover rate to arise in accounting businesses. The COVID-19 circumstances resulted in the distribution of questionnaires in this study, which was conducted from May to October 2022 utilising a Google form.

In this study, data is processed using structural equation modelling of partial least squares (SEM-PLS) to test causal and theoretical models with SmartPLS. This is due to Cohen's (1992) suggestion that the minimum sample size required for PLS-SEM analysis be 103. The study can estimate the dispersed questionnaires based on these criteria, as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Sample questionnaire count recapitul	ation
Estimated sample determination	The number of surveys used to form the sample
Questionnaire distribution	220
Unanswered questionnaires	85
Total number of questionnaires returned	135
Unreliable responses ruined the questionnaire.	(17)
Processable questionnaires	118
Response rate	61.36%
Usable response rate	53.64%

According to Cohen (1992), the researchers assessed the expected sample size by distributing 220 questionnaires to complete the expected number of study samples based on the minimal sample size criteria for PLS-SEM analysis of at least 103 samples. There were 135 returned questionnaires, with 17 damaged questionnaires because the respondents' answers were untrust-worthy; where respondents with the same number filled in the answers and did not vary, the researcher judged the questionnaire unacceptable for use. As a result, 118 samples were collected, with a response rate of 135 (61.36%) and a usable response rate of 118 (53.64%). As a result, this study lends itself well to structural equation modelling of partial least squares.

5.2. Data collection

As primary data, a questionnaire was constructed based on prior research conducted by external auditors at audit companies and modified to the requirements of COVID-19 in Indonesia. 7 Likert scales were used to create surveys for employee performance, locus of control, turnover intention, organisational commitment, time budget pressure, and dysfunctional audit behaviour. The auditor position variable, on the other hand, employed a dummy variable pertaining to positions in accounting firms. Each study variable or construct is made up of multiple indicators that were created based on past research and include several questions and answer choices on the current Likert scale.

In this study, all variables were examined using a Likert scale with the same seven alternative answers, namely "strongly disagree" (1) to "strongly agree" (7). The survey questionnaire in this study is written in Indonesian. In this study, the opening section of the questionnaire briefly outlined the reasons for the research, as well as the instructions for filling out the survey questionnaire and demographic profile. Respondents completed a demographic profile that included their gender, age, amount of education, job experience, and auditor position. The second section includes questions about each of the variables studied. Table 2 shows the classification of variables, constructs, indicators, and the Likert scale, which are operational variable measurements.

6. Empirical results and discussion

6.1. Empirical results

The findings of this empirical study interpret the respondents' identities, descriptive statistics of the research variables, and PLS test results. The three research findings clarify what was seen, making it simpler for researchers to share their findings in discussion.

6.1.1. Respondent identification

Table 3 shows the gender distribution of the 118 external auditors in this study, revealing that 77 (65%) were male and 41 (35%) were female. The majority of external auditors are between the ages of 31 and 35, with 54 (46%); under 30, with 19 (16%); 36–40, with 32 (27%); and over 41, with 13 (11%). External auditors in Indonesia have an average education level of 71 (60%), master's degree 38 (32%), and doctorate 9 (8%). The majority of external auditor job experience ranges from 5 to 10 (74 or 63%), less than 5 (29 or 25%), and greater than 10 (15 or 12%). 51 senior auditors (43%), 32 junior auditors (27%), 27 managers (23%), and 8 partners (7%) held the most external auditor positions. The identities of the respondents are displayed in Table 3:

6.1.2. Descriptive statistics

This descriptive statistics provides mean, minimum, maximum, and standard deviation values for the research variables. The significance of these descriptive statistics explains that external auditors' EP is good, their self-control is excellent, their TI during COVID-19 is very high, their position is mostly senior and junior auditors, their OC is high, their time budget pressure is high, and their DAB is high. Table 4 shows the results of the descriptive statistics for the research variables.

Table 2. Measure	ment of the constri	ucts			
Variables	Constructs	Indicators & Measurement items	Symbols	Scale	Sources
Independent	Locus of Control: (1) Internal locus of control (2) External locus of control	Indicators: It comprises of eight indicators: work perspectives, completion, expressing disagreement, luck, effort, work relationships, job advancements, and rewards.	ГОС	Likert scale offers seven possible responses, ranging from "strongly disagree" (1) to "strongly agree" (7).	Spector (1988)
		Measurement items:			
		1. My job as an auditor ensures my safety during COVID-19.	LOC1		
		 Regardless of how many audit assignments are assigned, the auditor must complete them correctly during COVID- 19. 	LOC2		
		3. An auditor's job is not boring, which is a good employment for COVID-19.	LOC3		
		4. I'm hoping for good fortune, especially at COVID-19.	LOC4		
		5. I must work hard in order to obtain and achieve something throughout COVID-19.	LOC5		
		6. As an auditor, I require a healthy working relationship during COVID-19.	POC6		
		7. I require a good working connection as an auditor during COVID-19.	LOC7		
		8. Auditors are expecting rewards during COVID-19.	LOC8		
	Auditor Position	Indicators and Measurement items: The first section is about the demographics of the auditor position.	AP	Dummy: an accounting company role. Score one if the auditor is a manager and partner, and 0 if the auditor is a junior and senior.	Donnelly et al. (2003a)
					(Continued)

Table 2. (Continu	ed)				
Variables	Constructs	Indicators & Measurement items	Symbols	Scale	Sources
	Time Budget Pressure	Indicators: It consists of four indicators: the responsibility to finish audit processes, audit procedure implementation, time budget as a limitation, and time constraints.	TBP	Likert scale offers seven possible responses, ranging from "strongly disagree" (1) to "strongly agree" (7).	Pierce and Sweeney (2004)
		Measurement items:			
		1. Audit procedures are audit steps that all auditors must understand throughout COVID-19	TBP1		
		2. Auditors must perform audit procedures until the audit is finished during COVID-19.	TBP2		
		3. Analytical, control, and substantive tests apply COVID-19 audit methods.	TBP3		
		4. Before auditing COVID-19, auditors must determine a time budget.	TBP4		
		5. Auditor time budgets are difficult during COVID-19 since audits take long.	TBP5		
		6. Auditors are frequently stressed by the audit time budget established at COVID-19.	TBP6		
Mediating	Employee Performance	Indicators: Planning, investigation, coordination, supervision, staffing, presentation, and overall are the seven indicators.	С	Likert scale offers seven possible responses, ranging from "strongly disagree" (1) to "strongly agree" (7).	Paino et al. (2012)
		Measurement items:			
		1. Plans must be developed in order to attain targets during COVID-19.	EP1		
		2. Everything that is planned must be thoroughly researched in order to avoid blunders during COVID-19.	EP2		
					(Continued)

Table 2. (Continue	(þa				
Variables	Constructs	Indicators & Measurement items	Symbols	Scale	Sources
		3. During COVID-19, coordination among audit teams, team leaders, partners, and audit firm leaders is critical.	EP3		
		4. Auditors conducting audits during COVID-19 must be supervised by seniors or partners.	EP4		
		5. Audit firms must review and analysis performance throughout COVID-19.	EP5		
		 Audit firms must provide transparent performance evaluations during COVID-19. 	EP6		
		7. Excellent performance is desired by all auditors because it is rewarded at COVID-19.	EP7		
	Turnover intention	Indicators: Contains three indicators: intention to quit and plan to leave the accounting firm, job satisfaction, and work plan. Each indicator is made up of two questions.	11	Likert scale offers seven possible responses, ranging from "strongly	Aranya and Ferris (1984)
		Measurement items:		"strongly agree"	
		1. Every auditor wants to work at a better audit firm during COVID-19.	TI1	(7).	
		2. During COVID-19, I intend to transfer to a better audit firm or change careers.	T12		
		3. I'll leave another audit firm to find job satisfaction at a superior one during COVID-19.	113		
		4. Auditors desire to depart during COVID-19 if their audit firm doesn't offer a good life guarantee.	T14		
		5. COVID-19 audit firms will switch if the auditor's work plan fails.	TI5		
		6. To reduce COVID-19 auditor turnover, the audit firm must provide a clear work plan with several work contracts.	TI6		
					(Continued)

Table 2. (Continu	led)				
Variables	Constructs	Indicators & Measurement items	Symbols	Scale	Sources
	Organizational Commitment	Indicators: Auditor engagement, loyalty, and engagement are the three metrics. Each indicator is made up of two questions.	OC	Likert scale offers seven possible responses, ranging	Mowday et al. (1979)
		Measurement items:		rrom "strongly disagree" (1) to	
		1. I am frequently involved in auditing during COVID-19 because I am committed to my firm.	0C1	"strongly agree" (7).	
		2. I'm devoted to this audit firm during COVID-19 since I'm proud to audit a claim.	0C2		
		3. During COVID-19, an auditor's allegiance demonstrates devotion to the audit firm.	OC3		
		4. Loyal auditors are those who remain committed to the audit firm.	OC4		
		5. The auditor's dedication to the audit job assigned by their audit firm shows accountability to your audit company during COVID-19.	0C5		
		6. The auditor's dedication to their audit firm during COVID-19 is shown by their teamwork, leadership, and partnership.	006		
					(Continued)

	Sources	Donnelly et al. (2003b)										
	Scale	Likert scale offers seven possible responses, ranging	disagree" (1) to	"strongly agree" (7).		Γ		Ι	Γ		Γ	
	Symbols	DAB		DAB1	DAB2	DAB3	DAB4	DAB5	DAB6	DAB7	DAB8	DAB9
	Indicators & Measurement items	Indicators: It is comprised of three indicators: early signing off, underreporting time, and changing audit processes.	Measurement items:	1. Premature sign-off is ideal during COVID-19.	 Auditors benefit greatly from early sign-off in order to conduct audits more rapidly during COVID-19. 	3. Because the audit time was limited and the audit work was stacking up, premature sign-off occurred during COVID-19.	4. Time underreporting is ideal during COVID-19.	5. Auditors benefit greatly from underreporting time in order to conduct audits more rapidly during COVID-19.	6. Because the audit time was limited and the audit work was piling up, time was underreported during COVID-19.	7. Changing audit methods is a great idea for COVID-19.	8. Audit processes were changed since some audit procedures were difficult to complete during COVID-19.	9. Because the audit time was limited and the work was piling up, audit processes were changed during COVID-19.
ned)	Constructs	Dysfunctional Audit Behavior										
Table 2. (Conti	Variables	Dependent										

Table 3. Demographic	profile of the sample		
Demographic Variable	Characteristic	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	77	65
	Female	41	35
	Total	118	100
Age	Under 30	19	16
	31-35	54	46
	36-40	32	27
	Above 41	13	11
	Total	118	100
Education level	Undergraduate and below	71	60
	Master degree	38	32
	Doctor degree	9	8
	Total	118	100
Work Experience	Less than 5	29	25
	5-10	74	63
	Above 10	15	12
	Total	118	100
Auditor position	Partner	8	7
	Manager	27	23
	Senior auditors	51	43
	Junior auditor	32	27
	Total	118	100

Notes: Field survey conducted by the authors from May to October 2022, n = 118

6.1.3. Partial least squares results

This study uses PLS-SEM to investigate causal and theoretical models. The test was evaluated using a two-step procedure: (1) evaluating the measurement model and (2) evaluating the structural model (Hult et al., 2018; Wold, 1980).

6.1.3.1. Measurement models. This measurement model examines the relationship between exogenous and endogenous variables to evaluate research variable indicators. These indicators clarify the concept and improve results. This model evaluates Cronbach's alpha composite dependability (Dijkstra & Henseler, 2015; Hult et al., 2018; Nunnally & Bernstein, 1994). Hair et al. (2017) say composite reliability makes data reliable (greater than 0.7). Individual item reliability has an outer loading of 0.40–0.70 (Hult et al., 2018). Validity is another measurement model. Henseler et al. (2015), Hult et al. (2018) describe data quality as an average variance extracted (AVE greater than 0.5). Table 4 shows this study's findings. All indicators are trustworthy and valid over standard values. This study's construct threshold was 0.557–0.899 (See Table 5).

Table 6 demonstrates the validity of a construct in this study by comparing the square root of AVE with the correlation of latent variables. According to these findings, the square root of AVE is greater than the latent variable correlation, indicating that the questionnaire statements are valid.

Table 4. Displa	iys descriptive s	tatistics for exo	genous and end	ogenous variabl	es
Variables	Item code	Mean n = 118	Min	Max	Standard Deviation
Employee Performance	EP	38	30	48	2.891
Locus of Control	LOC	45	35	54	4.029
Turnover Intention	TI	37	25	42	1.694
Auditor Position	AP	0.3	0	1	0.382
Organization Commitment	OC	31	26	41	1.818
Time Budget Pressure	ТВР	37	27	40	1.927
Dysfunctional Audit Behaviour	DAB	48	37	61	6.537

Table 6 further reveals that the EP variable has an R-square value of 0.308, the TI variable has a value of 0.155, the OC variable has a value of 0.513, and the DAB variable has a value of 0.193. These findings show that LOC variables explain 30.8% of EP variables and 15.5% of TI variables, auditor position (AP) variables explain 51.3% of OC variables, and other variables explain 19.3% of DAB variables.

6.1.3.2. Structural models. The structural evaluation of the model's goal is to assess the model's predictive power as well as the link between exogenous and endogenous variables. The PLS bootstrapping results produced a structural model, which is shown in Figure 3. No elimination was performed because the correlation between the constructs was larger than 0.5, indicating that each variable met the convergent validity criteria. Table 7 shows which H1 to H13 are accepted or rejected. The outcomes of hypothesis testing. Figure 4 shows how to determine the mediating effect of each relationship between variables. Model of Structural Equations with Path Coefficients.

The partial mediation impact on H4a to 13a is calculated using the criteria proposed by Baron and Kenny (1986) and the indirect relationship shown in Table 8, the mediating effect from the path analysis result. To analyse the effect, the indirect effect of each relationship between variables must be analysed using route analysis. According to Bartol (1983), the supported hypothesis has a total indirect effect that is bigger than the path coefficient of 0.050.

6.1.4. Additional analyses

6.1.4.1. Work experience: fewer than five years vs. more than five years. Herda and Martin (2016), Nehme et al. (2019), Al-Qatamin et al. (2021), Mangion et al. (2021), and Mansor et al. (2022) conducted previous study on the association between auditor work experience and DAB. The findings of empirical research by Nehme et al. (2021); and Mansor et al. (2022) indicate that the auditor's job experience is associated to DAB. We did the first additional analysis based on the empirical research findings by looking at the association between auditor job experience and DAB. In this extra test, we distinguish the auditor's job experience in terms of length of work by identifying auditors with less than 5 years of experience are considered auditors with less experience, while those with more than 5 years of experience are considered auditors with a lot of experience in auditing tasks. The first section of the questionnaire (demographic profile) is used to calculate the auditor's job experience as auditors with fewer than 4.29 auditors (25%) have less work experience as auditors with fewer than five years of employment. And auditors with extensive job experience include 89 auditors (75%) with a length of service of 5-

Table 5. Converge	nt validity and reli	ability of construct	s: LOC, OC, EP, TI, T	BP, AP, and DAB
Constructs	Items	Standardized Factor Loading ≥ 0.4	AVE ≥0.5	CR ≥0.7
Locus of Control (LOC	2)			
	LOC1	0.798	0.607	0.925
	LOC2	0.799		
	LOC3	0.734		
	LOC4	0.756		
	LOC5	0.772		
	LOC6	0.835		
	LOC7	0.768		
	LOC8	0.766		
Organization Commit	ment (OC)			
	OC1	0.704	0.558	0.883
	OC2	0.738		
	OC3	0.726		
	OC4	0.780		
	OC5	0.734		
	OC6	0.798		
Employee Performan	ce (EP)			
	EP1	0.578	0.507	0.877
	EP2	0.771		
	EP3	0.795		
	EP4	0.714		
	EP5	0.779		
	EP6	0.697		
	EP7	0.623		
Turnover Intention (T	I)			
	TI1	0.660	0.529	0.870
	TI2	0.614		
	TI3	0.801		
	TI4	0.778		
	TI5	0.786		
	TI6	0.705		
Time Budget Pressure	e (TBP)			

(Continued)

Table 5. (Continue	ed)			
Constructs	Items	Standardized Factor Loading ≥ 0.4	AVE ≥0.5	CR ≥0.7
	TBP1	0.871	0.778	0.954
	TBP2	0.899		
	TBP3	0.883		
	TBP4	0.873		
	TBP5	0.894		
	TBP6	0.869		
Auditor Position (AP)				
	AP	1.000	1.000	1.000
Dysfunctional Audit E	Behaviour			
	DAB1	0.796	0.503	0.899
	DAB2	0.777		
	DAB3	0.647		
	DAB4	0.842		
	DAB5	0.557		
	DAB6	0.563		
	DAB7	0.702		
	DAB8	0.804		
	DAB9	0.632		

Notes: AVE: average variance extracted, CR: composite reliability

10 years, 74 auditors (63%) with a length of service of more than 10 years, and 15 auditors (12%). The following is an additional exam based on the auditor's work experience and duration of service, as shown in Tables 9 and 10 below:

6.1.4.2. Auditor positions: junior vs. senior auditors, including managers and partners. The empirical literature assessment and creation of the thirteenth hypothesis describe the relationship between auditor position and DAB, as well as past investigations on this subject. Because the auditor is under time and money constraints, his or her position influences dysfunctional audit behaviour (Raghunathan, 1991). Upper-level auditors are senior auditors, managers, and partners, while lower-level auditors are junior auditors (Ponemon, 1992a). Based on the empirical research findings, we did a second additional analysis, analysing the relationship between the auditor's position and the DAB. In this supplementary test, we distinguish between the positions of junior auditor and senior auditor. According to Ponemon (1992a), the senior auditor comprises of the senior auditor himself, the management, and partners. The auditor's position was determined by the first section of the questionnaire (demographic profile). According to the statistics provided, there are 32 junior auditors (27%). There are 86 auditors (73%), including the senior auditors, as many as 51 auditors (43%), managers as many as 27 auditors (23%), and partners as many as 8 auditors (7%). The following are extra tests dependent on the auditor's status, as shown in Tables 11 and 12 below:

Tables 9 and 10 reveal no difference in the connection between auditor job experiences: less than 5 years vs. more than 5 years with DAB. All indications in each construct were not deleted throughout the PLS procedure in this additional test in this connection and are compatible with the

Table 6. Latent va	riable correlation, squ	lare root of AVE, an	id R-Square				
Constructs	Items	VAVE	R ²	EP	ГОС	Ц	OC
Employee Performance	д	0,712	0.308	1.000			
Locus of Control	LOC	0,779		.555	1.000		
Turnover Intention	Ц	0,727	0.155	.367	0.321	1.000	
Organization Commitment	OC	0,746	0.513	677.	0.627	0.524	1.000
Auditor Position	AP	1,000		.380	0.154	0.201	0.118
Time Budget Pressure	TBP	0,882		039	0.151	0.007	0.188
Dysfunctional Audit Behaviour	DAB	0,709	0.193	.144	0.220	0.070	-0.041
Notes: VAVE: square ro	ot of AVE, R ² ; R-Square, EP	: employee performance	e, LOC: locus of control, O)C: organization commitme	nt		

ent
mmitm
zation co
: organi:
З
control,
locus of
e, LOC:
performance
employee
EP:
R-Square,
R ² ; F
f ave,
ot o
uare ro
:: sql
VAVE
tes:



results of hypothesis testing. Similarly, there is no difference between Tables 11 and 12, which indicate the link between auditor positions: junior auditors vs. senior auditors, including DAB managers and partners. All auditors, both senior and junior, are affected by time under-reporting (Barrainkua & Espinosa-Pike, 2015). All indications in each construct were not deleted throughout the PLS procedure in subsequent testing in this connection and are compatible with the results of hypothesis testing.

6.2. Discussion

The empirical results of this study's first hypothesis reveal that internal LOC is positively connected to organisational commitment during COVID-19. Internal locus of control motivates auditors to work hard in order to advance the organisation during COVID-19. Auditors with a high internal locus of control believe that all of their accomplishments at work are the consequence of their abilities and hard work (Frucot & Shearon, 1991; Serang & Wiwik, 2020). Individuals with a high external locus of control, on the other hand, believe that ambient or external circumstances have a greater influence than their ability to carry out their work during COVID-19. As a result, auditors with a high internal locus of control will have better organisational commitment during COVID-19

Table 7. Hypotheses test	ing results				
Associated hypothesis	Examined relationships	Path coefficient	t-statistic (bootstrapping)	p-values	Supported hypothesis
H1	Locus of control -> Organization commitment	.481	3.377	P<.01	Supported
H2	Locus of control -> Employee performance	.555	4.472	P<.01	Supported
H3	Locus of control -> Turnover intention	.169	.756	Not significant	Not supported
H4	Locus of control -> Dysfunctional Audit Behaviour	.425	2.437	P<.05	Supported
H5	Employee performance -> Organization commitment	.273	2.541	P<:01	Supported
H6	Employee performance -> Turnover intention	373	1.998	P<.10	Supported
H7	Employee performance -> Dysfunctional Audit Behaviour	234	2.159	P<.05	Supported
H8	Turnover intention -> Organization commitment	354	2.609	P<.01	Supported
бН	Turnover intention -> Dysfunctional Audit Behaviour	484.	2.319	P<.05	Supported
H10	Organization commitment -> Dysfunctional Audit Behaviour	293	1.998	P<.10	Supported
H11	Time Budget Pressure -> Dysfunctional Audit Behaviour	.283	2.453	P<.05	Supported
					(Continued)

Sugeng Wiyantoro et al., *Cogent Business & Management* (2023), 10: 2246744 https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2246744

* cogent -- business & management

Page 31 of 58

Sugeng Wiyantoro et al., Cogent Business & Management (2023), 10: 2246744	
https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2023.2246744	

🔆 cogent - business	& management
---------------------	--------------

Table 7. (Continued)					
Associated hypothesis	Examined relationships	Path coefficient	t-statistic (bootstrapping)	p-values	Supported hypothesis
H12	Auditor position -> Organization commitment	.055	1.989	P<.10	Supported
H13	Auditor position -> Dysfunctional Audit Behaviour	355	1.977	P<.10	Supported

Associated Hypothesis	Mediated relationships	Direct effect (A)	Indirect effect (B)	Indirect effect (C)	Total of indirect effect (D)	Path coefficient of 0.050 (E)	Supported hypothesis (D) > (E)
H4a	LOC → OC → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.425	LOC → OC 0.481	OC → DAB -0.293	$\begin{array}{c} 0.481^{*}\text{-}0.293 = \\ -0.141 \rightarrow 0.141 \end{array}$.050	Supported
H4b	LOC → EP → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.425	LOC → EP 0.555	EP → DAB -0.234	0.555*-0.234 = -0.129 → 0.129	.050	Supported
H4c	LOC → TI → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.425	LOC → TI 0.169	TI → DAB 0.484	0.169 × 0.484 = 0.033	.050	Not Supported
H7a	EP → OC → DAB	EP → DAB -0.234	EP → OC 0.273	OC → DAB -0.293	0.073*-0.293 = −0.079 → 0.079	.050	Supported
H7b	EP → TI → DAB	EP → DAB -0.034	EP → TI -0.373	TI → DAB 0.484	-0.273 × 0.484 = -0.132 → 0.181	.050	Supported
H9a	TI → OC → DAB	TI → DAB 0.484	TI → OC -0.354	OC → DAB -0.293	-0.354*-0.293 = 0.104	.050	Supported
H13a	AP → OC → DAB	AP → DAB -0.085	AP → OC 0.355	OC → DAB -0.293	0.055*-0.293 = -0.133 → 0.133	.050	Supported

is of control, OC: organization commitment, TI: Turnover intention, AP: Auditor position, DAB:		
D) >; (F) = Total of indirect effect > 0.050 (supported hypothesis), EP: employee performance, LOC: I	tional audit behaviour	

Figure 4. Structural equation model with Path Coefficients.

Source: Authors' Model, 2022



since they will strive to give the best for the organisation or accounting business. The findings of this test are congruent with earlier research by Chen and Silverthorne (2008) and with attribution theory.

The empirical findings of the second hypothesis reveal that internal LOC has a beneficial effect on EP during COVID-19. Auditors must retain adequate self-control throughout COVID-19 in order for audit companies to continue their high performance. Auditors with varied LOCs may have distinct motivations and performance in this investigation. Internal LOC auditors likely to have higher levels of work and performance (Patten, 2005; Ratnawati, 2020). When someone with performance satisfaction is successful, he will assume that success is attributable to internal elements, such as effort and ability, and will regard failure as a lack of effort rather than inability. The findings of this test back up prior research (Chen & Silverthorne, 2008) and are consistent with attribution theory.

The empirical findings of the third hypothesis, demonstrating that external LOC has a nonsignificant positive connection with TI during COVID-19, are not validated. Auditors with external LOC always want to move because they are terrified of getting fired if their work is found to be deviating from predetermined targets and standards and exhibiting dysfunctional behaviour. Internal variables (internal LOC) and external causes (external LOC) influence turnover intention as a result of job satisfaction and organisational commitment. Auditors with a high external LOC during COVID-19 have a higher propensity to leave. The auditor believes that everything that occurs at work is the result of environmental circumstances or things related to the accounting company where he works. Auditors with a high external LOC are less able to deal with stress and poor working conditions, resulting in a strong urge to move professions. The findings of this study show insignificant numbers; this could be due to the fact that, based on the data obtained, not all auditors who are dissatisfied with the accounting firm where they work plan to move to another accounting firm; this could be due to the difficulty of finding work in the era of globalisation and during a difficult time of a pandemic, or because the accounting firm where he works is large, and the auditor is afraid of losing the achievements that he has made. The results of this test contradict the findings of (Anugerah et al., 2016; Paino et al., 2012) and are inconsistent with the attribution theory.

Table 9. Addit	tional work e	xperience tes	st: Fewer than	five years							
Constructs	Items	SFL	AVE	చ	Examined relationships	Path coefficient	t-statistic (boot:	strapping)	p-val	les	
Locus of Control	LOC1	0.713	0.589	0.787	10C -> 0C	0.367	4.027		D>0	.01	
(100)	LOC2	0.765			LOC -> EP	0.318	5.283		D>0	01	
<u> </u>	LOC3	0.752			LOC -> TI	0.112	0.521		Not sign	ificant	
	LOC4	0.768			LOC-> DAB	0.326	2.198		D>0	.05	
<u> </u>	LOC5	0.742			EP -> 0C	0.376	3.826		D>0	01	
<u> </u>	LOC6	0.772			EP -> TI	-0.258	1.965		D>0	10	
<u> </u>	LOC7	0.791			EP -> DAB	-0.097	2.159		D>0	05	
	LOC8	0.709			TI -> 0C	-0.264	2.609		D>0	.01	
					TI -> DAB	0.228	2.319		D>0	.05	
Organization	0C1	0.615	0.571	0.762	OC-> DAB	-0.212	1.971		D>0	.10	
Commitment (OC)	0C2	0.629			TBP->DAB	0.189	2.208		D>0	05	
<u> </u>	0C3	0.717			AP -> 0C	0.269	1.969		D > 0	.10	
<u> </u>	0C4	0.618			AP -> DAB	-0.109	1.985		D>0	10	
<u> </u>	0C5	0.625									
<u> </u>	006	0.603		<u>.</u>	MR	DE (A)	IE (B)	IE (C)	TIE (D)	PC (E)	D > F
								-	-		(Continued)

Table 9. (Con	itinued)										
Constructs	Items	SFL	AVE	ຮ	Examined relationships	Path coefficient	t-statistic (be	ootstrapping)	p-valı	les	
Employee Performance	EP1	0.678	0.507	0.777	LOC → OC → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.326	LOC → OC 0.367	OC → DAB -0.212	0.367*-0.212 = -0.078 → 0.078	0.050	
(EP)	Yes										
1	EP2	0.573			LOC → EP → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.326	LOC → EP 0.318	EP → DAB -0.367	$\begin{array}{c} 0.318^{+} - 0.367 = \\ -0.117 \rightarrow \\ 0.117 \end{array}$	0.050	Yes
1	EP3	0.568			LOC → TI → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.326	LOC → TI 0.112	TI → DAB 0.228	0.112 × 0.228 = 0.026	0.050	No
1	EP4	0.572			EP → OC → DAB	EP → DAB -0.097	EP → OC 0.376	OC → DAB -0.212	0.376*-0.212 = -0.080 → 0.080	0.050	Yes
1	EP5	0.623			EP → TI → DAB	EP → DAB -0.097	EP → TI -0.258	TI → DAB 0.228	-0.258 × 0.228 = -0.059 → 0.059	0.050	Yes
	EP6	0.587			TI → OC → DAB	TI → DAB 0.228	TI → OC -0.264	OC → DAB -0.212	-0.264*-0.212 = -0.056	0.050	Yes
EP7	0.538	AP → OC → DAB	AP → DAB -0.109	AP → OC 0.269	OC → DAB -0.212	0.269*-0.212 = -0.080 → 0.080	0.050	Yes			
Turnover	TI1	0.596	0.569	0.717							
Intention (11)	TI2	0.602									
	TI3	0.687									
	714	0.525									
	CTI	0.628									
1	TI6	0.591									
											(Continued)

Table 9. (Cor	ntinued)										
Constructs	Items	SFL	AVE	ຮ	Examined relationships	Path coefficient	t-statistic (b	ootstrapping)	pv-q	Ilues	
Time Budget	TBP1	0.621	0.606	0.728							
Pressure (TBP)											
	TBP2	0.681									
	TBP3	0.673									
	TBP4	0.617									
	TBP5	0.711									
TBP6	0.688										
Auditor Position (AP)	AP	1.000	1.000	1.000							
Dysfunctional	DAB1	0.551	0.529	0.712							
Audit Benavior (DAB)	DAB2	0.643									
	DAB3	0.562									
	DAB4	0.531									
	DAB5	0.605									
	DAB6	0.512									
	DAB7	0.596									
	DAB8	0.657									
	DAB9	0.589									
Notes: SFL: Stan Path coefficient	dardized Factor of 0.050, (D) >; (Loading ≥ 0.4, AVI (F) = Total of indir	E: average varian ect effect > 0.050	ce extracted, CR (supported hype	: composite relial othesis), EP: emp	bility, MR: mediate Iloyee performanc	ed relationships, e, LOC: locus of	DE: direct effect, control, OC: orga	IE: indirect effec inization commitr	t, TIE: total of inc ment, TI: Turnov€	lirect effect, PC: er intention, AP:

Notes: SFL: Standardized Factor Loading ≥ 0.4 , AVE: at Path coefficient of 0.050, (D) \Rightarrow , (F) = Total of indirect Auditor position, DAB: Dysfunctional audit behaviour

Table 10. Ad	lditional job e	xperience tes	t: more than	five years							
Constructs	Items	SFL	AVE	CR	Examined relationships	Path coef	ficient	t-statistic (boo	tstrapping)	<i>p</i> -values	
Locus of Control	LOC1	0.812	0.713	0.901	70C -> OC	0.32	1	2.67	2	P< 0.01	
(LOC)	LOC2	0.801			LOC -> EP	0.49	2	5.01	~	P < 0.01	
	LOC3	0.746			LOC -> TI	0.05	5	0.900	50	Not significant	
	LOC4	0.713			LOC-> DAB	0.42	6	2.089		P< 0.05	
	LOC5	0.791			EP -> OC	0.40	6	3.72	1	P< 0.01	
	POC6	0.691			EP -> TI	94.0-	58	1.97	~	P<0.10	
	LOC7	0.806			EP -> DAB	-0.2(59	2.21,	.+	P< 0.05	
	LOC8	0.811			TI -> OC	0-0.4()5	3.58	7	P < 0.01	
					TI -> DAB	0.39	8	2.40	10	P < 0.05	
Organization	0C1	0.719	0.671	0.867	OC-> DAB	57:0-	16	1.979		P<0.10	
Commitment (OC)	0C2	0.744			TBP->DAB	0.50	6	2.33	~	P < 0.05	
	0C3	0.708			AP -> OC	0.47	9	1.96	1	P<0.10	
	0C4	0.721			AP -> DAB	-0.1(69	1.98	2	P< 0.10	
	0C5	0.787									
	900	0.736			MR	DE (A)	IE (B)	IE (C)	TIE (D)	PC (E)	D > F
						-					(Continued)

Table 10. (Co	ntinued)										
Constructs	Items	SFL	AVE	ß	Examined relationships	Path coe	fficient	t-statistic (bo	otstrapping)	p-values	
Employee Performance	EP1	0.611	0.529	0.891	LOC → OC → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.429	LOC → OC 0.321	OC → DAB -0.387	0.321*-0.387 = −0.124 → 0.124	0.050	
(EP)	Yes										
1	EP2	0.831			LOC → EP → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.429	LOC → EP 0.492	EP → DAB -0.269	0.492*-0.269= -0.132 → 0.132	0.050	Yes
1	EP3	0.808			LOC → TI → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.429	LOC → TI 0.055	TI → DAB 0.398	0.055 × 0.398 = 0.022	0.050	No
1	EP4	0.826			EP → OC → DAB	EP → DAB -0.269	EP → OC 0.409	OC → DAB -0.387	0.409*-0.387 = -0.158 → 0.158	0.050	Yes
I	EP5	0.796			EP → TI → DAB	EP → DAB -0.269	EP → TI -0.468	TI → DAB 0.398	-0.468 × 0.398 = -0.186 → 0.186	0.050	Yes
I	EP6	0.738			TI → OC → DAB	TI → DAB 0.398	TI → OC -0.405	OC → DAB -0.491	-0.405*-0.491 = 0.199	0.050	Yes
EP7	0.719	AP → OC → DAB	AP → DAB -0.169	AP → OC 0.476	OC → DAB -0.491	$0.476^{*-}0.491 = -0.234 \rightarrow 0.234$	0.050	Yes			
Turnover	TI1	0.727	0.619	0.889							
Intention (TI)	T12	0.791									
1	TI3	0.691									
L	TI4	0.796									
<u>. </u>	TI5	0.765									
<u>. </u>	TI6	0.812									
											(Continued)

Table 10. (Co	ontinued)								
Constructs	Items	SFL	AVE	ຮ	Examined relationships	Path coefficient	t-statistic (bootstrapping)	p-values	
	T11	0.727	0.619	0.889					
	T12	0.791							
	TI3	0.691							
	4IT	0.796							
	TI5	0.765							
	T16	0.812							
Time Budget	TBP1	0.885	0.796	0.867					
Pressure (TBP)	TBP2	0.819							
	TBP3	0.826							
	TBP4	0.894							
	TBP5	0.828							
	TBP6	0.810							
Auditor Position (AP)	AP	1.000	1.000	1.000					
									(Continued)

Table 10. (C	ontinued)									
Constructs	Items	SFL	AVE	ĸ	Examined relationships	Path coefficient	t-statistic (bo	otstrapping)	p-values	
Dysfunctional	DAB1	0.801	0.681 0.	892						
Audit Behaviour (DAB)										
	DAB2	0.837								
	DAB3	0.749								
	DAB4	0.777		<u> </u>						
	DAB5	0.886		<u> </u>						
	DAB6	0.767								
	DAB7	0.862		<u> </u>						
	DAB8	0.817								
DAB9	0.762									
Notes: SFL: Star Path coefficient Auditor position	idardized Factor of 0.050, (D) >; (, DAB: Dysfunctic	Loading 2 0.4, AVE: ((F) = Total of indirect onal audit behavioui	average variance extri t effect > 0.050 (suppo r	acted, CR: orted hypo	composite reliabil thesis), EP: emplo	lity, MR: mediated relationshi yee performance, LOC: locus	ss, DE: direct effect, of control, OC: organ	IE: indirect effect nization commitr	;, TIE: total of inc nent, TI: Turnove	lirect effect, PC: er intention, AP:

Table 11. Ad	ditional audit	or position te	sts: junior au	ditors							
Constructs	Items	SFL	AVE	CR	Examined relationships	Path coe	efficient	t-statistic (bo	otstrapping)	p-values	
Locus of Control	LOC1	0.613	0.521	0.781	10C -> 0C	0.2	86	2.59	96	P < 0.01	
(TOC)	LOC2	0.645			LOC -> EP	0.2	88	3.01	6	P < 0.01	
	LOC3	0.673			IT <- 71	0.0	45	50.0	95	Not significant	
	LOC4	0.585			LOC-> DAB	0.3	56	2.09	16	P < 0.05	
	LOC5	0.598			EP -> 0C	0.3	77	2.80	17	P < 0.01	
	POC6	0.616			EP -> TI	-0.2	221	1.96	57	P< 0.10	
	LOC7	0.629			EP -> DAB	-0.2	279	2.25	58	P < 0.05	
	LOC8	0.663			TI -> 0C	-0.1	661	2.99	26	P < 0.01	
					TI -> DAB	0.3	97	2.18	36	P < 0.05	
Organization	0C1	0.675	0.563	0.809	OC-> DAB	-0.2	101	1.97	14	P< 0.10	
Commitment (OC)	0C2	0.683			TBP->DAB	0.1	18	2.32	22	P < 0.05	
1	0C3	0.677			AP -> 0C	0.4	01	1.97	/3	P< 0.10	
1	OC4	0.638			AP -> DAB	-0.1	[12	1.96	36	P< 0.10	
	0C5	0.715									
	006	0.709			MR	DE (A)	IE (B)	IE (C)	TIE (D)	PC (E)	D>F
											(Continued)

Table 11. (Cd	ntinued)										
Constructs	Items	SFL	AVE	ະ	Examined relationships	Path coe	fficient	t-statistic (b	ootstrapping)	<i>p</i> -values	
Employee Performance	EP1	0.521	0.638	0.753	LOC → OC → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.356	LOC → OC 0.298	OC → DAB -0.291	0.298*-0.291 = −0.087 → 0.087	0.050	
(EP)	Yes										
1	EP2	0.632			LOC → EP → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.356	LOC → EP 0.288	EP → DAB -0.279	0.288*-0.279 = -0.080 → 0.080	0.050	Yes
1	EP3	0.691			LOC → TI → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.356	LOC → TI 0.045	TI → DAB 0.397	0.045 × 0.397 = 0.017	0.050	No
1	EP4	0.707			EP → OC → DAB	EP → DAB -0.279	EP → OC 0.377	OC → DAB -0.291	0.377*-0.291 = -0.110 → 0110	0.050	Yes
	EP5	0.619			EP → TI → DAB	EP → DAB -0.279	EP → TI -0.221	TI → DAB 0.397	-0.221 × 0.397 = -0.088 → 0.088	0.050	Yes
	EP6	0.590			TI → OC → DAB	TI → DAB 0.397	TI → OC -0.199	OC → DAB -0.291	-0.199*-0.291 = 0.058	0.050	Yes
EP7	0.668	AP → OC → DAB	AP → DAB -0.112	AP → OC 0.401	OC → DAB -0.291	0.401*-0.291 = -0.117 → 0.117	0.050	Yes			
Turnover	TI1	0.599	0.543	0.745							
Intention (TI)	T12	0.626									
1	TI3	0.721									
	TI4	0.687									
	TI5	0.662									
	TI6	0.537									
											(Continued)

Table 11. (Co	ntinued)										
Constructs	Items	SFL	AVE	CR	Examined relationships	Path coef	ficient	t-statistic (t	ootstrapping)	p-values	
Turnover	T11	0.599	0.543	0.745							
Intention (II)											
1	TI2	0.626									
1	TI3	0.721									
1	TI4	0.687									
1	TI5	0.662									
TI6	0.537										
Time Budget	TBP1	0.711	0.661	0.808							
Pressure (1BP)	TBP2	0.702									
<u>ı </u>	TBP3	0.769									
<u>ı </u>	TBP4	0.805									
<u>ı </u>	TBP5	0.766									
<u>ı </u>	TBP6	0.869									
Auditor Position (A)	AP	1.000	1.000	1.000							
											(Continued)

Table 11. (Co	ntinued)								
Constructs	Items	SFL	AVE	ຮ	Examined relationships	Path coefficient	t-statistic (bootstrapping)	p-values	
Dysfunctional	DAB1	0.796	0.503	0.899					
Audit Benaviour (DAB)									
1	DAB2	0.777							
<u> </u>	DAB3	0.647							
<u> </u>	DAB4	0.842							
<u> </u>	DAB5	0.557							
1	DAB6	0.563							
1	DAB7	0.702							
1	DAB8	0.804							
DAB9	0.632								
Notes: SFL: Stand Path coefficient Auditor position,	dardized Factor I of 0.050, (D) >; (DAB: Dysfunctio	Loading 20.4, AVE (F) = Total of indire anal audit behavio	:: average varianc sct effect > 0.050 vur	e extracted, CR: (supported hypo	: composite reliabii othesis), EP: emplo	lity, MR: mediated relationships iyee performance, LOC: locus o	, DE: direct effect, IE: indirect effe f control, OC: organization commi	ct, TIE: total of inc tment, TI: Turnove	lirect effect, PC: er intention, AP:

Table 12. Ad	lditional audit	or jobs tested	d: senior audit	tors, including	l managers a	ind partners				
Constructs	Items	SFL	AVE	ß	Examined relationships	Path coefficient	t-statistic (bootstr	apping)	p-values	
Locus of Control	LOC1	0.814	0.715	0.901	10C -> 0C	0.468	4.212		P<0.01	
(LOC)	LOC2	0.768			LOC -> EP	0.563	3.627		P<0.01	
	LOC3	0.812			IT <- JOL	0.148	0.817		Not significant	
	LOC4	0.788			LOC-> DAB	0.587	2.108		P<0.05	
	LOC5	0.791			EP -> 0C	0.526	3.333		P<0.01	
	POC6	0.732			EP -> TI	-0.405	1.969		P<0.10	
	LOC7	0.825			EP -> DAB	-0.319	2.317		P < 0.05	
	LOC8	0.861			TI -> 0C	-0.613	3.068		P<0.01	
					TI -> DAB	0.255	2.088		P < 0.05	
Organization	0C1	0.839	0.629	0.879	OC-> DAB	-0.492	1.970		P<0.10	
Commitment (OC)	0C2	0.744			TBP->DAB	0.361	2.281		P<0.05	
	0C3	0.768			AP -> 0C	0.724	1.974		P<0.10	
	0C4	0.837			AP -> DAB	-0.148	1.981		P<0.10	
	0C5	0.751								
	006	0.789			MR	DE (A)	IE (B)	IE (C)	TIE (D) PC (E) D > F
						-				(Continued)

Table 12. (Co	ntinued)										
Constructs	Items	SFL	AVE	ម	Examined relationships	Path coefficient	t-statistic (b	ootstrapping)	p-valı	sar	
Employee Performance	EP1	0.671	0.625	0.893	LOC → OC → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.587	LOC → OC 0.468	OC → DAB -0.492	0.468*-0.492 = -0.230 → 0.230	0.050	
(EP)	Yes										
1	EP2	0.825			LOC → EP → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.587	LOC → EP 0.563	EP → DAB -0.319	0.563*-0.319 = -0.180 → 0.180	0.050	Yes
1	EP3	0.725			LOC → TI → DAB	LOC → DAB 0.587	LOC → TI 0.148	TI → DAB 0.255	0.148 × 0.255 = 0.038	0.050	9 N
1	EP4	0.824			EP → OC → DAB	EP → DAB -0.319	EP → OC 0.526	OC → DAB -0.492	0.526*-0.492= -0.259 → 0.259	0.050	Yes
1	EP5	0.749			EP → TI → DAB	EP → DAB -0.319	EP → TI -0.405	TI → DAB 0.255	-0.405* 0.255 = -0.103 → 0.103	0.050	Yes
1	EP6	0.819			TI → OC → DAB	TI → DAB 0.255	TI → OC -0.613	OC → DAB -0.492	-0.613*-0.492 = 0.302	0.050	Yes
EP7	0.882	AP → OC → DAB	AP → DAB -0.148	AP → OC 0.724	OC → DAB -0.492	0.724*-0.492 = -0.356 → 0.356	0.050	Yes			
Turnover	T11	0.770	0.687	0.899							
Intention (11)	T12	0.743									
1	TI3	0.789									
1	TI4	0.862									
1	TI5	0.872									
	TI6	0.789									
											(Continued)

Table 12. (Co	ntinued)										
Constructs	Items	SFL	AVE	ъ	Examined relationships	Path coefficient	t-statistic (b	ootstrapping)	۵۸-d	Ilues	
Time Budget	TBP1	0.854	0.801	0.980							
Pressure (IBP)											
	TBP2	0.890									
	TBP3	0.897									
	TBP4	0.892									
	TBP5	0.894									
TBP6	0.869										
Auditor Position (AP)	AP	1.000	1.000	1.000							
Dysfunctional	DAB1	0.796	0.503	0.899							
Audit Benaviour (DAB)	DAB2	0.777									
	DAB3	0.647									
	DAB4	0.842									
	DAB5	0.557									
	DAB6	0.563									
	DAB7	0.702									
	DAB8	0.804									
I	DAB9	0.632									
Notes: SFL: Stan Path coefficient	dardized Factor of 0.050, (D) >; (Loading 20.4, AVE F) = Total of indire	:: average varian ct effect > 0.050	ce extracted, CR: (supported hype	composite relia othesis), EP: emp	bility, MR: mediate Joyee performanc	ed relationships, e, LOC: locus of	DE: direct effect, control, OC: orgo	IE: indirect effec inization commitr	t, TIE: total of inc ment, TI: Turnove	lirect effect, PC: er intention, AP:

Notes: SFL: Standardized Factor Loading ≥ 0.4 , AVE: at Path coefficient of 0.050, (D) \Rightarrow , (F) = Total of indirect Auditor position, DAB: Dysfunctional audit behaviour

The fourth hypothesis' empirical data reveal that external LOC is positively connected to DAB during COVID-19. Auditors with a high external locus of control regard the results or outcomes obtained from situational elements such as the environment and luck or miracles rather than their own efforts. Individuals with this personality need to be pushed more since they desire to work hard to reach predetermined goals and are frequently reactive. Circumstances at work that placed the auditor under stress. The auditor's discomfort is highly likely to be exacerbated by the pressure of COVID-19. The auditor may want to reduce existing dissonance by engaging in dysfunctional behaviour such as premature termination of audit procedures, obtaining inaccurate evidence, errors in carrying out audit procedures, and other dysfunctional behaviours that the auditor may engage in because auditors with high external LOC tend to blame the situation and do not try hard to get the job done properly, so they engage in DAB. Based on the data obtained in this study, the test results showed an insignificant number. This could be because the sample in the study, namely senior auditors and managers with tenure of 5 to 10 years, has a higher percentage level, indicating that respondents already have long enough experience in their field to be considered to have been able to reduce the existing level of dissonance because they are used to working under pressure. This test's findings support those of Donnelly et al. (2003b); Paino et al. (2011); Paino et al. (2012); Alkautsar (2014); Ghorbanpour et al. (2014); Anugerah et al. (2016); and are consistent with attribution theory and the idea of planned behaviour.

The empirical findings of the fifth hypothesis reveal that EP is favourably connected to OC during COVID-19. Auditors who are dedicated to their organisation do very well to demonstrate their dedication. In general, auditors who are deeply committed to the audit firm where they work are anticipated and regarded as doing their best for the firm's advancement through superior performance. The more devoted and willing an auditor is to carry out the tasks assigned to him by his employer, the better the work results. The findings of this study support the findings of previous research (Ghorbanpour et al., 2014) and are consistent with attribution theory.

The empirical findings of the sixth hypothesis reveal that EP has a negative correlation with TI during COVID-19. The stronger the auditor's performance during COVID-19, the more likely the auditor is to consider not switching to a better accounting firm because it was difficult to acquire a better position during the COVID-19 time. Auditors with bad performance are less likely to consider changing occupations because they believe they are not capable of obtaining a job at another accounting firm, and it is extremely difficult to obtain a better job due to the Covid conditions. The findings of this study support the findings of Paino's et al. (2012) and Ghorbanpour et al. (2014) research and are consistent with attribution theory.

The empirical findings of the seventh hypothesis reveal that EP is negatively connected to DAB during COVID-19. External auditors anticipate that their performance during COVID-19 will be satisfactory, allowing the audit firm to keep its contract as an auditor. As a result, auditors who believe their performance at the audit business is good do not take DAB because they are afraid of being judged by their superiors. However, due to the short and remote nature of the audits during COVID-19, junior and senior auditors may conduct DABs as directed by team leaders or supervisors. They do so because they anticipate supervisors to be pleased with their performance. The findings of this study support the findings of Donnelly et al. (2003b), Anugerah et al. (2016), and Nehme et al. (2019), and are consistent with attribution theory and the theory of planned behaviour.

The empirical data for the eighth hypothesis reveal that EP is adversely associated to TI during COVID-19. External auditors in Indonesia during COVID-19 were devoted to their employment as auditors since they couldn't find better ones. They enjoy their jobs better because external auditors do not want to relocate or quit the audit firm where they work since they have a strong attachment to the audit firm. External auditors believe that under COVID-19 conditions, it is difficult to make a move to another audit company or find a better employment. The state of COVID-19 impacts how good and efficient remote work is done. Even if external auditors audit remotely, this

can be overcome with a Zoom meeting, and they realise they are doing their best. In auditing, they use DAB. It is up to the individual. The findings of this study support the findings of Ghorbanpour et al. (2014) research and are consistent with the notion of planned behaviour.

The empirical findings of the ninth hypothesis reveal that TI is favourably connected to DAB during COVID-19. Auditors who do high DAB have a high TI because they are concerned about being detected (Balasingam et al., 2019). It causes auditors to do DAB to be unconcerned since they have a strong desire to leave their work (Tjan, 2019). Auditors who intend to leave will most likely perform DAB because they seek a more appropriate job in the next organisation (Donnelly et al., 2003b; Paino et al., 2011). This study's findings support the findings of Donnelly et al. (2003b), Ghorbanpour et al. (2014), and Anugerah et al. (2016), as well as attribution theory and the theory of planned behaviour.

The tenth hypothesis empirical findings reveal that OC is negatively connected to DAB during COVID-19. Auditors understand the value of maintaining a sense of shared ownership in order to promote their audit firm. Auditors are becoming more conscious of the need of commitment to an audit business in COVID-19 because they must work well with the economic crisis brought on by COVID-19. Auditors who have an organisational commitment during the COVID-19 period must audit well, even if they do so distantly in terms of DAB, whether they do so or not. The findings of this study support the findings of Donnelly et al. (2003a), Paino et al. (2012), Anugerah et al. (2016), Sunyoto & Sulistiyo (2019), and are consistent with attribution theory and the theory of planned behaviour.

The empirical findings of the eleventh hypothesis reveal that TBP is favourably associated to DAB during COVID-19. In COVID-19, external auditors have significant time constraints. Because this scenario was unanticipated, they were forced to work remotely under tight time constraints. This pressure motivates auditors to do everything necessary to keep their audit work under the given time budget, including conducting DAB. However, functional behaviour might alleviate the situation of the auditor who is under pressure due to a time constraint. Work harder, spend time more efficiently, request more time from superiors, and employ more efficient audit techniques are examples of functional behaviour (Nguyen Van Hau et al., 2023; Coller et al., 2002; Otley & Pierce, 1996a). One of the management control techniques and one of the operational keys in audit assignments is the time budget (Herrbach, 2001). Auditor career advancement and assessment are linked to the auditor's ability to organise and finish assignments and audits on time according to the audit time budget (Nouri & Parker, 1998). They execute audit duties in accordance with audit procedures in order to produce audit views in accordance with relevant audit standards and guidelines (Hemmingsson & Lindbom, 2020; Soobaroyen & Chengabroyan, 2006). Because the auditor is involved in premature sign-off, which causes a fall in audit quality, dysfunctional audit behaviour is sometimes referred to as a decline in audit quality (Otley & Pierce, 1996a; Pierce & Sweeney, 2004; Aswar et al., 2021). Under tight financial constraints, excessive premature sign-off in audit assignments might undermine the auditor's control system and jeopardise audit quality (Otley & Pierce, 1996a). The findings of this test back up the findings of Pierce and Sweeney (2004). Balasingam et al. (2019), San Ong et al. (2022), and Nguyen Van Hau et al. (2023), and are consistent with attribution theory and the theory of planned behaviour.

The empirical findings of the twelfth hypothesis show that AP is positively related to OC during COVID-19; this is due to the fact that they must consider future conditions regarding their audit firm due to the uncertainty condition of COVID-19, which disrupts going concern for clients who want to audit their firm. Partners, managers, and other auditors must be passionate about their audit firm. They are still concerned about the state of COVID-19, which has caused changes and instability in their going concern audit firm. If the client's going concern is disturbed, it will have an influence on the audit firm's going concern; they will also be disrupted, causing their commitment to the audit firm to be questioned. The results of this test support the findings of Chen and Francesco's (2000) and Sweeney et al. (2003) research and are consistent with attribution theory.

According to the empirical findings of the thirteenth hypothesis, AP is negatively connected to DAB during COVID-19. In audit businesses, there are two types of auditors: junior auditors and senior auditors. The senior auditor guides, trains, leads, and supervises the junior auditor during audits (Sweeney et al., 2010). The junior auditor can obtain DAB on orders from the senior auditor, but when combined with the COVID-19 condition, the junior auditor is under pressure to receive DAB (Herda et al., 2019). Audit assignments are still done out remotely during COVID-19, and junior auditors might obtain DAB. The results of this test support the findings of Donnelly's et al. (2003a) research and are consistent with the theories of attribution theory and planned behaviour theory.

Based on the route analysis results from COVID-19, Table 8 demonstrates that OC and EP mediate the link between LOC and DAB, whereas TI does not. EP and DAB's interaction is mediated via OC and TI. The link between TI and DAB is mediated by OC. Finally, OC serves as a go-between for AP and DAB. The effect of mediation during COVID-19 is that (1) external auditors in Indonesia must be loyal to their work as external auditor professionals because many employees were fired by corporations during the COVID-19 period. Many organisations cut off work contact with employees at the time because they couldn't pay salaries owing to disruptions in operational activities. As a result, they have no plans to leave audit companies because they are more loyal as external auditors during COVID-19. After all, audit work may currently be done remotely, albeit with significant constraints. Meanwhile, many other occupations struggle with remote employment. According to the table's findings, TI mediates the link between EP and DAB. Auditors can exercise good self-control by not intending to turn over to audit companies or other jobs and avoiding DAB during COVID-19 because DAB can reduce audit quality. The constraints of remote work must provide good work by giving good audit reports of high audit quality. (2) External auditors in Indonesia saw the significance of maintaining OC because it was difficult to obtain jobs and high-paying positions under COVID-19. According to the table's findings, OC medicates the link between LOC, EP, TI, AP, and DAB. The auditor's internal LOC is more devoted and informed of the audit firm's progress in avoiding performing DAB in the audit. (3) During COVID-19, external auditors in Indonesia were also motivated to demonstrate their good performance in order to continue working as auditors by having an internal LOC and paying attention to DAB because DAB could lower audit quality. As a result of these findings, EP modulates the link between LOC and DAB.

Tables (9–12) present an additional examination of the effect of work experience and auditor position in this study. DAB is more common in experienced auditors than in rookie auditors (Nehme et al., 2019). Auditor misconduct during the audit, a lack of supervision from team members, and subpar audit team work all have an impact on the auditor's effectiveness (Herrbach, 2001). Senior auditors focus on attaining targeted audit objectives by conducting audit duties as efficiently as feasible while also utilising well-budgeted time allocations to achieve improved performance. The audit objective will be met most efficiently if the senior auditors, both senior and junior, are affected by time under-reporting (Barrainkua & Espinosa-Pike, 2015). Audit inspection and evaluation must be performed correctly in order to produce superior audit quality, as indicated by the audit being completed within the budget deadline (Otley & Pierce, 1996a; Nehme et al., 2015). To avoid audit failures, the auditor must conduct out audit procedures using stages from a variety of existing items (Hemmingsson & Lindbom, 2020).

7. Summary and conclusion

According to the findings of the study and discussion, evaluating the performance of external auditors during COVID-19 in Indonesia can be done by looking at the elements that influence dysfunctional audit behaviour (DAB). Internal LOC has a positive relationship with OC and EP but a negative relationship with TI and DAB. External LOC has a non-significant positive relationship with TI but a significant positive relationship with DAB. EP has a positive

relationship with OC but a negative relationship with TI and DAB. However, TBP is positively connected to DAB, while auditor position is positively related to OC but negatively related to DAB. Furthermore, in terms of the mediating role, we discovered that OC and EP mediated the link between LOC and DAB, whereas TI did not. EP and DAB's interaction is mediated via OC and TI. The link between TI and DAB is mediated by OC. Finally, OC serves as a go-between for AP and DAB. This study's research results and conclusions support earlier research and are consistent with attribution theory and the notion of planned behaviour. It may be concluded that external auditors in Indonesia conduct DAB during COVID-19 because they conduct remote audits, have limited audit time, and face time budget constraints; this is supported by the favourable association between external LOC, TI, and TBP and DAB. Then, as indicated by OC and EP mediating the relationship between LOC and DAB, OC mediating the relationship between AP and DAB, the mediation relationship strengthens their DAB.

Our study has made significant contributions to the general auditing literature and practise. First, we contributed to the auditing literature by conducting general audits on individual characteristic variables, which are dimensions of external audit aspects involving DAB. Second, evaluate the performance of external auditors in general audits during COVID-19 to add to the literature and external auditors at accounting firms on DAB. Third, it adds to the research on turnover intention by assessing the impact of TI on the link between external audit parameters and DAB. Fourth, it adds to the OC literature by investigating its impact on the relationship between the external audit dimension and DAB. In contrast, our practise research contributes to the public accounting profession and external auditors' understanding of the dynamics that occur in accounting firms, our research adds to that knowledge. It is cited in the literature on behavioural accounting, auditing, and the public accounting profession, as well as in future research.

This research is unique in that it examines the performance of external auditors in Indonesia during COVID-19 using individual characteristic characteristics such as EP, LOC, OC, TI, AP, and TBP with DAB, which has not been done previously. Second, past research has not addressed the role of OC and TI as mediators in the relationship between EP and DAB, as well as the involvement of OC in the relationship between TI and DAB. The third study has a causal relationship using a complex research model that has not been done previously. This research's application is intended at accounting companies and external auditors to apply audit methods consistently and in accordance with auditing standards, rather than accepting DAB, which can impair audit quality. Furthermore, this research implements audit companies and auditors as a public accounting profession to maintain control systems such as peer-reviewing colleague audit firms, monitoring auditors, and reviewing them to avoid DAB and to respect the code of ethics and auditing standards.

You might broaden your research by incorporating antecedent variables that influence DAB. Among the antecedent factors are audit programme influence, budgetary participation, and client influence. The time budget constraint can serve as a bridge between the antecedent variables and DAB. The study's limitation is that due to Indonesia's vast island region, gathering questionnaires for external auditors is challenging. The bulk of the external auditors who replied to the poll were from the island of Java, which is home to numerous accounting companies in Indonesia.

Author details

Lili Sugeng Wiyantoro¹ E-mail: li2k_feuntirta@untirta.ac.id Chen Yan²

- Liu Yuanyuan¹
- ¹ School of Accounting, Dongbei University of Finance and Economics, Dalian, Liaoning, China.
- ² China Internal Control Research Center; School of Accounting, Dongbei University of Finance and Economics, Dalian, Liaoning, China.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Citation information

Cite this article as: Evaluating the performance of external auditor during COVID-19: The case of dysfunctional audit behaviour in Indonesia, Lili Sugeng Wiyantoro, Chen Yan & Liu Yuanyuan, *Cogent Business & Management* (2023), 10: 2246744.

References

- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1969). The prediction of behavioral intentions in a choice situation. Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 5(4), 400–416. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1031(69)90033-X
- Al Balushi, A. K., Thumiki, V. R. R., Nawaz, N., Jurcic, A., Gajenderan, V., & Tyrowicz, J. (2022). Role of organizational commitment in career growth and turnover intention in public sector of Oman. *PLoS One*, 17(5), e0265535. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0265535
- Albitar, K., Gerged, A. M., Kikhia, H., & Hussainey, K. (2020). Auditing in times of social distancing: The effect of COVID-19 on auditing quality. *International Journal* of Accounting & Information Management, 29(1), 169–178. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJAIM-08-2020-0128
- Alkautsar, M. (2014). Locus of control, commitment professional and dysfunctional audit behaviour. International Journal of Humanities and Management Sciences, 2(1), 35–38. https://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/ documentrepid=rep1&type=pdf&doi=

c2742b63979a5902cba7ae400644bb48ff0ba587 Al-Qatamin, K. I., Salleh, Z., & Ali, A. (2021). The impact of auditors' industry knowledge and experience on premature sign-offs: Evidence from Jordan. International Journal of Business and Society, 22(3), 1569–1588. https://doi.org/10. 33736/ijbs.4322.2021

- Amiruddin, A. (2019). Mediating effect of work stress on the influence of time pressure, work-family conflict and role ambiguity on audit quality reduction behavior. International Journal of Law and Management, 61(2), 434-454. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-09-2017-0223
- Amy, Y. C. M., Hong, L. C., & Tiong, J. L. B. (2022). The effect of job context and COVID-19 on perceived audit quality behaviour: The mediating role of job satisfaction. JBFEM, 5(1), 13–30. https://doi.org/10. 32770/jbfem.vol513-30
- Annelin, A. (2023). Audit team equality and audit quality threatening behaviour. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 38(2), 158–185. https://doi.org/10.1108/MAJ-08-2021-3288
- Anugerah, R. I. T. A., Anita, R. I. Z. Q. A., Sari, R. N., Abdillah, M. R., & Iskandar, T. M. (2016). The analysis of reduced audit quality behavior: The intervening role of turnover intention. *International Journal of Economics & Management*, 10(2), 341–353. http:// www.ijem.upm.edu.my/vol10 noS2.htm
- Anugerah, R., Anita, R., Sari, R. N., & Zenita, R. (2016). External locus of control and reduced audit quality behavior: The mediating effects of auditor performance and organizational commitment. *Journal of Economics, Business and Management*, 4(5), 353–357. https://doi.org/10.18178/joebm.2016.4.5. 416
- Aranya, N., & Ferris, K. R. (1984). A reexamination of accountants' organizational-professional conflict. Accounting Review, 1–15. https://www.jstor.org/ stable/247113
- Astuty, W., Anindya, D. A., Ovami, D. C., & Pasaribu, F. (2022). The impact of due professional care, time budget pressure and dysfunctional behavior on audit quality. Academy of Entrepreneurship Journal, 28(1), 1–10. https://www.proquest.com/openview/ 6749ab16b8e92ea3c2cb45389cdbf9b8/1?cbl= 29726&pq-origsite=gscholar
- Aswar, K., Fahmi Givari, A., Wiguna, M., & Hariyani, E. (2021). Determinants of audit quality: Role of time budget pressure. Problems and Perspectives in

Management, 19(2), 308–319. https://doi.org/10. 21511/ppm.19(2).2021.25

- Audria, D., & Lubis, R. H. (2022). The effect of management pressure, locus of control and audit disfunctional behavior on auditor performance (empirical study of public accounting firms in Medan city). *International Journal of Economics (IJEC)*, 1(1), 34–41. https://doi.org/10.55299/ijec.v1i1.70
- Aziz, S., Afaq, Z., & Wright, L. T. (2018). Adoption of Islamic banking in Pakistan an empirical investigation. Cogent Business & Management, 5(1), 1548050. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2018. 1548050
- Balasingam, S., Arumugam, D., & Sanatova, A. (2019). Auditors acceptance of dysfunctional behaviour in Kazakhstan. International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, 7(55), 134–140. https:// www.researchgate.net/profile/Suresh-Balasingam /publication/333447429_Auditors_acceptance_of_ dysfunctional_behaviour_in_Kazakhstan/links/ 607cfd09881fa114b41109dc/Auditors-acceptance-of -dysfunctional-behaviour-in-Kazakhstan.pdf
- Balouch, R., & Hassan, F. (2014). Determinants of job satisfaction and its impact on employee performance and turnover intentions. *International Journal* of Learning and Development, 4(2), 120–140. https:// doi.org/10.5296/ijld.v4i2.6094
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality & Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173–1182. https://doi.org/10. 1037/0022-3514.51.6.1173
- Barrainkua, I., & Espinosa-Pike, M. (2015). New insights into underreporting of time: The audit partner context. Accounting Auditing & Accountability Journal, 28(4), 494–541. https://doi.org/10.1108/ AAAJ-02-2014-1618
- Bartol, K. M. (1983). Turnover among DP personnel: A causal analysis. *Communications of the ACM, 26* (10), 25–30. https://doi.org/10.1145/358413.358433
- Bassett, G. (1994). The case against job satisfaction. Business Horizons, 37(3), 61–69. https://doi.org/10. 1016/0007-6813(94)90007-8
- Bernardus, D., Murwani, F. D., Ardyan, E., Padmawidjaja, L., Aji, I. D. K., Jatiperwira, S. Y., Hermanto, Y. B., Hermanto, Y. B., & Kusumojanto, D. D. (2020). Which psychological characteristics strengthen "the entrepreneurial intention-action relationship"?: An extension of the theory of planned behavior. Cogent Business & Management, 7(1), 1823579. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 23311975.2020.1823579
- Biron, M., & Boon, C. (2013). Performance and turnover intentions: A social exchange perspective. Journal of Managerial Psychology, 28(5), 511–531. https://doi. org/10.1108/JMP-09-2011-0054
- Bryan, D. O., Quirin, J. J., & Donnelly, D. P. (2005). Locus of control and dysfunctional audit behavior. *Journal of Business & Economics Research (JBER)*, 3(10). https:// doi.org/10.19030/jber.v3i10.2813
- Chen, Z. X., & Francesco, A. M. (2000). Employee demography, organizational commitment, and turnover intentions in China: Do cultural differences matter? *Human Relations*, 53(6), 869–887. https://doi.org/10. 1177/0018726700536005
- Chen, J. C., & Silverthorne, C. (2008). The impact of locus of control on job stress, job performance and job satisfaction in Taiwan. *Leadership & Organization Development Journal*, 29(7), 572–582. https://doi.org/ 10.1108/01437730810906326

- Cohen, J. (1992). A power primer. Psychological Bulletin, 112(1), 155–159. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909. 112.1.155
- Coller, M., Higgs, J. L., & Wheeler, S. (2002). An experimental market analysis of auditor work-level reduction decisions. Advances in Accounting, 19, 53–70. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0882-6110(02)19004-3
- Cook, E., & Kelley, T. (1988). Auditor stress and time-budgets. *The CPA Journal*, 58(7), 83. https:// www.proquest.com/openview/ 70cd4013661fbfaf3857634f112e269e/1?pq-origsite
- =gscholar&cbl=41798
 Coram, P., Ng, J., & Woodliff, D. (2003). A survey of time budget pressure and reduced audit quality among Australian auditors. Australian Accounting Review, 13 (29), 38-44. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1835-2561. 2003 th00218 x
- DeZoort, F. T., & Lord, A. T. (1997). A review and synthesis of pressure effects research in accounting. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 16, 28–85. https://www.pro quest.com/openview/8e9eb137b f01a22e74892170d5ca251d/1?pq-origsite=gscho lar&cbl=31366
- Dijkstra, T. K., & Henseler, J. (2015). Consistent partial least squares path modeling. *MIS Quarterly*, 39(2), 297–316. https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2015/39.2. 02
- Donnelly, D. P., Quirin, J. J., & O'Bryan, D. (2003a). Attitudes toward dysfunctional audit behavior: The effects of locus of control, organizational commitment, and position. *Journal of Applied Business Research (JABR)*, 19(1). https://doi.org/10.19030/jabr. v19i1.2151
- Donnelly, D. P., Quirin, J. J., & O'Bryan, D. (2003b). Auditor acceptance of dysfunctional audit behavior: An explanatory model using auditors' personal characteristics. *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, 15(1), 87–110. https://doi.org/10.2308/bria.2003.15.1.87
- Dormishi, M., Taherinia, M., Moslemi, A., & Givaki, I. (2021). The effect of auditors' inefficient behavior on audit quality using structural equation modeling. International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, 12, 2461–2474. https://doi.org/10. 22075/ijnaa.2021.6313
- Frucot, V., & Shearon, W. T. (1991). Budgetary participation, locus of control, and Mexican managerial performance and job satisfaction. Accounting Review, 80–99. https://www.jstor.org/stable/247707
- Ghorbanpour, Z., Dehnavi, H. D., & Heyrani, F. (2014). Examination of auditor acceptance of dysfunctional behavior using a heuristic model. International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences, 4(1), 41–51. https://doi. org/10.6007/IJARAFMS/v4-i1/509
- Gimbar, C., Jenkins, J. G., Saucedo, G., & Wright, N. S. (2018). Shifting styles: Do auditor performance levels influence the review process? *International Journal of Auditing*, 22(3), 554–567. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijau. 12140
- Gunawan, S., Budiarsi, S. Y., Hartini, S., & Liu, G. (2020). Authenticity as a corporate social responsibility platform for building customer loyalty. *Cogent Business & Management*, 7(1), 1775023. https://doi. org/10.1080/23311975.2020.1775023
- Gundry, L. C., & Liyanarachchi, G. A. (2007). Time budget pressure, auditors' personality type, and the incidence of reduced audit quality practices. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 19(2), 125–152. https://doi.org/ 10.1108/01140580710819898

- Hair, J. F., Jr., Matthews, L. M., Matthews, R. L., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). PLS-SEM or CB-SEM: updated guidelines on which method to use. *International Journal of Multivariate Data Analysis*, 1(2), 107–123. https://doi. org/10.1504/IJMDA.2017.087624
- Halil, P., Azlan, T., Syed, I., & Ar, Z. S. (2012). Organizational and professional commitment on dysfunctional audit behaviour. African Journal of Business Management, 6(4), 1434–1440. https://doi. org/10.5897/AJBM11.1974
- Handoko, J., Narsa, I. M., Basuki, B., & Ntim, C. G. (2021). Role difference and negativity bias relevance in strategy review: An experiment. Cogent Business & Management, 8(1), 1938928. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 23311975.2021.1938928
- Hegazy, S., Sangster, A., & Kotb, A. (2017). Mapping forensic accounting in the UK. Journal of International Accounting, Auditing & Taxation, 28, 43–56. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intaccaudtax. 2016.12.004
- Heider, F. (1958). The naive analysis of action. In F. Heider, The psychology of interpersonal relations (pp. 79–124). John Wiley & Sons Inc. https://doi.org/ 10.1037/10628-004
- Hemmingsson, O., & Lindbom, L. (2020). Audit quality in Swedish audit firms: The significance of ethical firm culture from a behavioral perspective. http://urn.kb. se/resolve?urn=urn%3Anbn%3Ase%3Auu%3Adiva-413781
- Hendijani, R., Steel, P., & Foroudi, P. (2020). Motivational congruence effect: How reward salience and choice influence motivation and performance. *Cogent Business & Management*, 7(1), 1791444. https://doi. org/10.1080/23311975.2020.1791444
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science, 43 (1), 115–135. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8
- Herda, D. N., Cannon, N. H., & Young, R. F. (2019). The effects of supervisor coaching and workplace mindfulness on audit quality-threatening behavior among staff auditors. *Current Issues in Auditing*, 13(1), 1–P6. https://doi.org/10.2308/ciia-52324
- Herda, D. N., & Martin, K. A. (2016). The effects of auditor experience and professional commitment on acceptance of underreporting time: A moderated mediation analysis. *Current Issues in Auditing*, 10(2), A14– A27. https://doi.org/10.2308/ciia-51479
- Herrbach, O. (2001). Audit quality, auditor behaviour and the psychological contract. European Accounting Review, 10(4), 787–802. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 09638180127400
- Hult, G. T. M., Hair, J. F., Proksch, D., Sarstedt, M., Pinkwart, A., & Ringle, C. M. (2018). Addressing endogeneity in International Marketing applications of partial least squares structural equation modeling. *Journal of International Marketing*, 26(3), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1509/jim.17.0151
- Hyatt, T. A., & Prawitt, D. F. (2001). Does congruence between audit structure and auditors' locus of control affect job performance? *The Accounting Review*, 76(2), 263–274. https://doi.org/10.2308/accr.2001.76. 2.263
- Hyatt, T. A., & Taylor, M. H. (2010). Audit Supervisors' Response to Audit Staff False Sign-off: Insights from Retributive Justice. Boise State University. Working paper.

- Hyatt, T. A., & Taylor, M. H. (2013). The effects of time budget pressure and intentionality on audit supervisors' response to audit staff false sign-off. *International Journal of Auditing*, 17(1), 38–53. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1099-1123.2012.00456.x
- Indonesian Institute of Certified Public Accountants. (2021). Commitment to report summary of financial reports. Professional Standards of Public Accountants. Standard Audit 810 (Revision 2021). https://spap.iapi.or.id
- Indonesian Institute of Certified Public Accountants. (2022). Accounting firm and public accountant. https://drive.google.com/file/d/
- 1JqnTO5NDAXcOZxAL-l2NcBfdFMAkN3vU/preview International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. (2021a). Handbook of International quality control, Auditing, review, other Assurance, and related services pronouncements. International Federation of Accountants, 2021, https://www.ifac.org/system/ files/publications/files/IAASB-2021-Handbook-Volume-1 ndf
- International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. (2021b, December). The IAASB's work plan for 2022– 2023: A public interest focus in uncertain times. *Agenda Item 4-A (REVISED (2).* IAASB Meeting. https://www.iaasb.org/publications/2021-handbookinternational-quality-control-auditing-review-otherassurance-and-related-services
- International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board. (2023). Handbook of International quality control, Auditing, review, other Assurance, and related services pronouncements. International Federation of Accountants, 2023, https://www.ifac.org/system/ files/meetings/files/20211206-IAASB-Agenda_Item_4-A_REVISED2-Work_Plan_2022 -2023_Approved_Clean_0.pdf
- Jehanzeb, K., Rasheed, A., & Rasheed, M. F. (2013). Organizational commitment and turnover intentions: Impact of employee's training in private sector of Saudi Arabia. International Journal of Business & Management, 8(8), 79–90. https://doi.org/10.5539/ ijbm.v8n8p79
- Johansen, T. R., & Christoffersen, J. (2017). Performance evaluations in audit firms: Evaluation foci and dysfunctional behaviour. *International Journal of Auditing*, 21(1), 24–37. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijau. 12079
- Kelley, H. H., & Michela, J. L. (1980). Attribution theory and research. Annual Review of Psychology, 31(1), 457–501. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ps.31. 020180.002325
- Kelley, T., & Seller, R. E. (1982). Auditor stress and time budgets. The CPA Journal, 52(12), 24. https://www. proquest.com/openview/780ba3ade15335199 ce39c1c7b1fc5f3/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=41797
- Khalil, S., & Nehme, R. (2021). Performance evaluations and junior auditors' attitude to audit behavior: A gender and culture comparative study. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 31(2), 239–257. https://doi. org/10.1108/MEDAR-04-2021-1285
- Kumar, R., Ramendran, C., & Yacob, P. (2012). A study on turnover intention in fast food industry: Employees' fit to the organizational culture and the important of their commitment. The International Journal of Academic Research in Business & Social Sciences, 2
 (5), 9-42. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/ 267824175_A_Study_on_Turnover_Intention_in_ Fast_Food_Industry_Employees%27_Fit_to_the_ Organizational_Culture_and_the_Important_of_ their_Commitment?enrichId=rgreq-7584dfdedc9b0223b56077aab1de8f57-XXX&enrichSource=

Y292ZXJQYWdlOzI2NzgyNDE3NTtBUzoxOTI3Nzk2MD Q4MTU4NzJAMTQyMjk3MzY0NzM0NQ%3D%3D&el= 1 x 2& esc=publicationCoverPdf

- Kusumastuti, R., & Nirmala Arum Janie, D. Y. A. H. (2014). The influence of organizational commitment to the dysfunctional audit behavior with workplace Spirituality as a moderating variable: An empirical study of public accounting office inIndonesia. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 11 (1). https://doi.org/10.7718/iamure.v11i1.801
- Lambert, T. A., & Agoglia, C. P. (2011). Closing the loop: Review process factors affecting audit staff followthrough. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 49(5), 1275–1306. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1475-679X. 2011.00423.x
- Lee, B. (2002). Professional socialization, commercial pressures and junior staff's time-pressured irregular auditing—A contextual interpretation. *The British* Accounting Review, 34(4), 315–333. https://doi.org/ 10.1006/bare.2002.0214
- Lord, A. T., & DeZoort, F. T. (2001). The impact of commitment and moral reasoning on auditors' responses to social influence pressure. Accounting, Organizations & Society, 26(3), 215–235. https://doi. org/10.1016/S0361-3682(00)00022-2
- Luna-Arocas, R., & Camps, J. (2007). A model of high performance work practices and turnover intentions. *Personnel Review*, 37(1), 26–46. https://doi.org/10. 1108/00483480810839950
- Malone, C. F., & Roberts, R. W. (1996). Factors associated with the incidence of reduced audit quality behaviors. *Auditing*, 15(2), 49. https://www.proquest. com/openview/efb1386e33fa1fba887c5
- ba46930b4d5/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=31718 Mangion, C., Tabone, N., Baldacchino, P. J., & Grima, S. (2021). Audit quality and resilience beyond the role stress model: A Maltese perspective. https://www. um.edu.mt/library/oar/handle/123456789/96394
- Mansor, M. A., Ismail, R. F., Hussin, N., & Amin, N. A. M. (2022). Effect of demographic factors, occupational stress and job satisfaction on dysfunctional audit behaviour among auditors. Environment-Behaviour Proceedings Journal, 7(SI7), 39–43. https://doi.org/10. 21834/ebpj.v7iSI7.3886
- Martini, I. A. O., Supriyadinata, A. E., Sutrisni, K. E., & Sarmawa, I. W. G. (2020). The dimensions of competency on worker performance mediated by work commitment. *Cogent Business & Management*, 7(1), 1794677. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2020. 1794677
- McManus, L., & Subramaniam, N. (2009). Ethical evaluations and behavioural intentions of early career accountants: The impact of mentors, peers and individual attributes. Accounting & Finance, 49(3), 619–643. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-629X.2009. 00301.x
- McNair, C. J. (1991). Proper compromises: The management control dilemma in public accounting and its impact on auditor behavior. Accounting, Organizations & Society, 16(7), 635–653. https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(91)90017-9
- McNamara, S. M., & Liyanarachchi, G. A. (2008). Time budget pressure and auditor dysfunctional behaviour within an occupational stress model. *Accountancy, Business and the Public Interest*, 7(1), 1–43. https:// citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type= pdf&doi=

594369378f3df0f928082ac6577515ac67242517

McNamara, S. M., & Liyanarachchi, G. A. (2008). Time budget pressure and auditor dysfunctional behaviour within an occupational stress model. *Accountancy,* Business and the Public Interest, 7(1), 1-43. https:// citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/document?repid=rep1&type= pdf&doi=

594369378f3df0f928082ac6577515ac67242517

- Meyer, J. P., & Allen, N. J. (1984). Testing the "side-bet theory" of organizational commitment: Some methodological considerations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 69(3), 372–378. https://doi.org/10.1037/ 0021-9010.69.3.372
- Morris, J. T. (2014). The impact of authentic leadership and ethical firm culture on auditor behavior. *Journal* of Behavioral Studies in Business, 7, 1. http://www. isihome.ir/freearticle/ISIHome.ir-24102.pdf
- Mowday, R. T., Steers, R. M., & Porter, L. W. (1979). The measurement of organizational commitment. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 14(2), 224–247. https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-8791(79)90072-1
- Nawaz, M., & Pangil, F. (2016). The relationship between human resource development factors, career growth and turnover intention: The mediating role of organizational commitment. *Management Science Letters*, 6(2), 157–176. https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl. 2015.12.006
- Nehme, R., Assaker, G., & Khalife, R. (2015). Dynamics of audit lag-Board of directors and audit committees' effect. Corporate Ownership & Control, 12(3), 281–294. https://doi.org/10.22495/cocv12i3c3p1
- Nehme, R. (2017). Performance evaluation of auditors: A constructive or a destructive tool of audit output. Managerial Auditing Journal, 32(2), 215–231. https:// doi.org/10.1108/MAJ-09-2016-1446
- Nehme, R., AlKhoury, C., & Al Mutawa, A. (2019). Evaluating the performance of auditors: A driver or a stabilizer of auditors' behaviour. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management, 69(9), 1999–2019. https://doi.org/10. 1108/IJPPM-08-2018-0306
- Nehme, R., Michael, A., & Haslam, J. (2022). The impact of time budget and time deadline pressures on audit behaviour: UK evidence. *Meditari Accountancy Research*, 30(2), 245–266. https://doi.org/10.1108/ MEDAR-09-2019-0550
- Nehme, R., Michael, A., & Kozah, A. E. (2021). Performance appraisal and premature sign-offs and underreporting of chargeable time of external auditors-internal benchmarking of experience and gender. Benchmarking: An International Journal, 28(4), 1319–1334. https://doi.org/10.1108/BLJ-10-2019-0467
- Ngoc, N. L. B., Kesavayuth, D., & Rangkakulnuwat, P. (2022). Effect of locus of control on job performance: Evidence from Australian panel data. *Applied Economics*, 29(1), 1–25. https://so01.tci-thaijo.org/ index.php/AEJ/article/view/247732/168125
- Nguyen, P. M., Dinh, V. T., Luu, T. M. N., Choo, Y., & Palazzo, M. (2020). Sociological and theory of planned behaviour approach to understanding entrepreneurship: Comparison of Vietnam and South Korea. Cogent Business & Management, 7(1), 1815288. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2020. 1815288
- Nguyen, D. T., Tran, V. D., & Ghafoor, A. (2022). The impact of COVID-19 lockdown on intention to follow preventive measures in Vietnam: Integrated protection motivation theory and theory planned behavior. *Cogent Business & Management*, 9(1), 2054502. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2054502
- Nguyen Van Hau, P. T. H., Diep, N. N., & Giang, H. H. (2023). Determining factors and the mediating effects of work stress to dysfunctional audit behaviors among Vietnamese auditors. *Calitatea*, 24(193), 164–174. https://doi.org/10.47750/QAS/24.193.18

- Nor, M. N. M., Smith, M., Ismail, Z., & Nahar, H. S. (2015). Unethical audit behaviour among Malaysian auditors: An exploratory study. Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities, 23(1), 59–72. https://www. researchgate.net/profile/Fan-Fah-Cheng/publication/ 298091139_Identifying_factors_correlated_with_cor porate_cash_holdings_in_Korea_and_Malaysia/links/ 618a117061f098772072d1c0/Identifying-factorscorrelated-with-corporate-cash-holdings-in-Koreaand-Malaysia.pdf#page=73
- Nor, M. N. M., Smith, M., Ismail, Z., & Taha, R. (2017). The effect of time budget pressure on auditors' behaviour. Advanced Science Letters, 23(1), 356–360. https://doi.org/10.1166/asl.2017.7185
- Nouri, H., & Parker, R. J. (1998). The relationship between budget participation and job performance: The roles of budget adequacy and organizational commitment. Accounting, Organizations & Society, 23 (5–6), 467–483. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(97)00036-6
- Nunnally, J. C., & Bernstein, I. H. (1994). *Psychological theory*. MacGraw-Hill.
- Otley, D. T., & Pierce, B. J. (1995). The control problem in public accounting firms: An empirical study of the impact of leadership style. Accounting, Organizations & Society, 20(5), 405–420. https://doi.org/10.1016/ 0361-3682(95)00003-R
- Otley, D. T., & Pierce, B. J. (1996a). Auditor time budget pressure: Consequences and antecedents. Accounting Auditing & Accountability Journal, 9(1), 31–58. https://doi.org/10.1108/09513579610109969
- Otley, D. T., & Pierce, B. J. (1996b). The operation of control systems in large audit firms. Auditing, 15(2), 65. https://www.proquest.com/openview/ 72b3d13b0786c4ef7554626e28716bd1/1?cbl= 31718&pq-origsite=gscholar&parentSessionId= sOfCnir3I%2F%2B1%2BluCYSLxVzh38T08rmweRJ0% 2F6NLBrfM%3D
- Paino, H., Ismail, Z., & Smith, M. (2010). Dysfunctional audit behaviour: an exploratory study in Malaysia. Asian Review of Accounting, 18(2), 162–173. https:// doi.org/10.1108/13217341011059417
- Paino, H., Ismail, Z., Smith, M., & Omar, N. (2019). Modelling attitudes towards dysfunctional audit behavior using firm factors. International Journal of Business and Management Science, 9(2), 159–170. http://www.safaworld.org/IJBMS/v9n2.htm
- Paino, H., Pahang, U. T. M., Ismail, Z., & Smith, M. (2011). Dysfunctional audit behaviour: The effects of employee performance, turnover intentions and locus of control. Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing, 7(4), 418. https://www.researchgate.net/ profile/Tehmina-Khan-3/publication/262876490_ Sustainability_Accounting_Education_Scale_Scope_ and_a_Global_Need/links/558b43ad08ae31be b10049ac/Sustainability-Accounting-Education-Scale -Scope-and-a-Global-Need.pdf#page=100
- Paino, H., Smith, M., & Ismail, Z. (2012). Auditor acceptance of dysfunctional behaviour: An explanatory model using individual factors. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 13(1), 37–55. https://doi.org/10. 1108/09675421211231907
- Paino, H., Thani, A., & SI, S. I. Z. (2012). Organizational and professional commitment on dysfunctional audit behaviour. African Journal of Business Management, 6(4), 1434. https://doi.org/10.5897/AJBM11.1974
- Patten, D. M. (2005). An analysis of the impact of locusof-control on internal auditor job performance and satisfaction. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 20(9), 1016–1029. https://doi.org/10.1108/ 02686900510625343

- Phuong Dung, P. T., Minh an, H., Huy, P. Q., & Dinh Quy, N. L. (2023). Understanding the startup's intention of digital marketing's learners: An application of the theory of planned behavior (TPB) and technology acceptance method (TAM). Cogent Business & Management, 10(2), 2219415. https://doi.org/10. 1080/23311975.2023.2219415
- Pierce, B., & Sweeney, B. (2004). Cost-quality conflict in audit firms: An empirical investigation. *European Accounting Review*, 13(3), 415–441. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/0963818042000216794
- Ponemon, L. A. (1992a). Auditor underreporting of time and moral reasoning: An experimental lab study. Contemporary Accounting Research, 9(1), 171–189. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1911-3846. 1992.tb00875.x
- Ponemon, L. A. (1992b). Ethical reasoning and selection-socialization in accounting. Accounting, Organizations & Society, 17(3-4), 239–258. https:// doi.org/10.1016/0361-3682(92)90023-L
- Purwanto, P., Abdullah, I., Ghofur, A., Abdullah, S., & Elizabeth, M. Z. (2022). Adoption of Islamic microfinance in Indonesia an empirical investigation: An extension of the theory of planned behaviour. Cogent Business & Management, 9(1), 2087466. https://doi. org/10.1080/23311975.2022.2087466
- Quarles, R. (1994). An examination of promotion opportunities and evaluation criteria as mechanisms for affecting internal auditor commitment, job satisfaction and turnover intentions. *Journal of Managerial Issues*, 176–194. https://www.jstor.org/stable/40604019
- Qushtom, T. F. A., Al-Fasfus, F. S., Alshawahneh, H. M. I., & Marei, A. (2022). Exploring the expected moderating effect of COVID-19 on the effectiveness of ISA 520-Analytical procedures-on the quality of auditors' reports in Jordan. In Explore Business, Technology Opportunities and Challenges After the COVID-19 Pandemic (pp. 1395–1404). https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-3-031-08954-1 121
- Raghunathan, B. (1991). Premature signing-off of audit procedures: An analysis. Accounting Horizons, 5(2), 71. https://www.proquest.com/openview/ 316b93ca1df60a10302ad1a89035d162/1?pqorigsite=gscholar&cbl=3330
- Rahmisyari, R., & Rizal, R. (2020). Influence of locus of control on performance of government internal auditors. *Point of View Research Accounting and Auditing*, 1(4), 134–140. https://doi.org/10.47090/pov raa.v1i4.81
- Ratnawati, V. (2020). Factors affecting tax auditors' performance: The moderating role of locus of control. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 18(2), 36. https://doi.org/10.21511/ppm.18(2).2020.04
- Raza, H., & Raja, P. L. (2014). Antecedents and consequences of individual performance: Analysis of turnover intention model (empirical study of public accountants in Indonesia). *Journal of Economics and Behavioral Studies*, 6(3), 169–180. https://doi.org/10. 22610/jebs.v6i3.480
- Robertson, J. C., Stefaniak, C. M., & Curtis, M. B. (2011). Does wrongdoer reputation matter? Impact of auditor-wrongdoer performance and likeability reputations on fellow auditors' intention to take action and choice of reporting outlet. *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, 23(2), 207–234. https://doi.org/10.2308/ bria-50022
- Rose, A. M., Rose, J. M., Suh, I., & Thibodeau, J. C. (2020). Analytical procedures: Are more good ideas always better for audit quality? *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, 32(1), 37–49. https://doi.org/10.2308/ bria-52512

- Saeed, I., Waseem, M., Sikander, S., & Rizwan, M. (2014). The relationship of turnover intention with job satisfaction, job performance, leader member exchange, emotional intelligence and organizational commitment. International Journal of Learning and Development, 4(2), 242–256. https://doi.org/10.5296/ ijld.v4i2.6100
- San Ong, T. Z. E., Teh, B. H., Sim, G. K., & Hossain, M. I. (2022). Does dysfunctional behavior matter when it comes to audit quality in Malaysia? Asian Journal of Accounting & Governance, 17, 1–12. https://doi.org/ 10.17576/AJAG-2022-17-01
- Serang, J. E., & Wiwik, U. (2020). The effect of professionalism, leadership style, organizational commitment and locus of control on auditor performance. International Journal of Advances in Scientific Research and Engineering, 6(3), 217–226. https://doi. org/10.31695/IJASRE.2020.33778
- Setianingrum, R. M., Hastuti, S., & Hasibuan, T. T. (2016). Auditor performance based on leadership style and Organization commitment in gender perspective. *Research Journal of Finance & Accounting*, 7(18), 42–52. https://iiste.org/Journals/index.php/RJFA/arti cle/view/33291/34189
- Shapeero, M., Koh, H. C., & Killough, L. N. (2003). Underreporting and premature sign-off in public accounting. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 18(6/7), 478–489. https://doi.org/10.1108/ 02686900310482623
- Shodipe, O. T., & Ohanu, I. B. (2023). Harmonizing work safety and health practices towards technician's productivity in the workplace: A modified theory of planned behaviour. Cogent Business & Management, 10(2), 2216432. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975. 2023.2216432
- Shofiatul, B. J., Baridwan, Z., & Hariadi, B. (2016). Determinant of auditors' turnover intention from public accounting firm. Imperial Journal of Interdisciplinary Research, 2(2), 537–547. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Binti-Shofiatul/publication/334759261_Determinant_Of_ Auditors'_Turnover_Intention_From_Public_ Accounting_Firm/links/5d3fa36e4585153e592cfbb4/ Determinant-Of-Auditors-Turnover-Intention-From-Public-Accounting-Firm.pdf
- Smith, W. R., & Hutton, M. R. (1995). Underreporting time: An analysis of current tax practice. *Journal of Applied Business Research (JABR)*, 11(1), 39–45. https://doi. org/10.19030/jabr.v11i1.5890
- Soobaroyen, T., & Chengabroyan, C. (2006). Auditors' perceptions of time budget pressure, premature sign offs and under-reporting of chargeable time: Evidence from a developing country. *International Journal of Auditing*, 10(3), 201–218. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1099-1123.2006.0350.x
- Spector, P. E. (1988). Development of the work locus of control scale. *Journal of Occupational Psychology*, 61 (4), 335–340. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8325. 1988.tb00470.x
- Sunyoto, Y., & Sulistiyo, H. (2019). Locus of control, dysfunctional audit behavior, and the mediating role of organizational commitment. *Calitatea, 20*(170), 19–24. https://www.proquest.com/openview/ db4f45f91a52ca1915710ba7756d5057/1?cbl= 1046413&pq-origsite=gscholar
- Svanberg, J., & Öhman, P. (2016). The effects of time budget pressure, organisational-professional conflict, and organisational commitment on dysfunctional auditor behaviour. International Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Performance Evaluation, 12 (2), 131–150. https://doi.org/10.1504/IJAAPE.2016. 075619

- Svanström, T. (2016). Time pressure, training activities and dysfunctional auditor behaviour: Evidence from small audit firms. *International Journal of Auditing*, 20(1), 42–51. https://doi.org/10.1111/ijau.12054
- Sweeney, B., Arnold, D., & Pierce, B. (2010). The impact of perceived ethical culture of the firm and demographic variables on auditors' ethical evaluation and intention to act decisions. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 93(4), 531–551. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-009-0237-3
- Sweeney, B., & Pierce, B. (2004). Management control in audit firms: A qualitative examination. Accounting Auditing & Accountability Journal, 17(5), 779–812. https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570410567818
- Sweeney, J. T., Quirin, J. J., & Fisher, D. G. (2003). A structural equation model of auditors' professional commitment: The influence of firm size and political ideology. Advances in Accounting Behavioral Research, 6, 3–25. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-7979(03)06001-0
- Tjan, J. S. (2019). An analysis of the factors which influence dysfunctional auditor behavior. *Problems and Perspectives in Management*, 19(1), 216–224. https:// doi.org/10.21511/ppm.17(1).2019.22
- Tran, V. D., Nguyen, M. D., & Lương, L. A. (2022). The effects of online credible review on brand trust dimensions and willingness to buy: Evidence from Vietnam consumers. *Cogent Business & Management*, 9(1), 2038840. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975. 2022.2038840
- Umar, M., Sitorus, S. M., Surya, R. L., Shauki, E. R., & Diyanti, V. (2017). Pressure, dysfunctional behavior, fraud detection and role of information technology in the audit process. *Australasian Accounting Business & Finance Journal*, 11(4), 102–115. https://doi.org/10. 14453/aabfj.v11i4.8
- Velástegui, O. V., Chacón, S. C., & Sroka, W. (2021). Emotional competencies and entrepreneurial intention: An extension of the theory of planned behavior case of Ecuador. Cogent Business & Management, 8

(1), 1943242. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975. 2021.1943242

- Wahidahwati, W., & Asyik, N. F. (2022). Determinants of auditors' ability in fraud detection. Cogent Business & Management, 9(1), 2130165. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 23311975.2022.2130165
- Wetmiller, R. J. (2022). The copycat effect: Do social influences allow peer team members' dysfunctional audit behaviors to spread throughout the audit team? *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 23(2), 362–380. https://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-12-2020-0232
- Wold, H. (1980). Model construction and evaluation when theoretical knowledge is scarce: Theory and application of partial least squares. In Evaluation of Econometric Models (pp. 47–74). https://doi.org/10. 1016/B978-0-12-416550-2.50007-8
- Wong, Y. T., Wong, Y. W., & Wong, C. S. (2015). An integrative model of turnover intention: Antecedents and their effects on employee performance in Chinese joint ventures. *Journal of Chinese Human Resource Management*, 6(1), 71–90. https://doi.org/10.1108/ JCHRM-06-2014-0015
- Yücel, İ. (2021). Transformational leadership and turnover intentions: The mediating role of employee performance during the COVID-19 pandemic. Administrative Sciences, 11(3), 81. https://doi.org/10. 3390/admsci11030081
- Yuen, D. C., Law, P. K., Lu, C., & Guan, J. Q. (2013). Dysfunctional auditing behaviour: Empirical evidence on auditors' behaviour in Macau. International Journal of Accounting & Information Management, 21(3), 209–226. https://doi.org/10. 1108/IJAIM-12-2012-0075
- Zaman, U., Zahid, H., Habibullah, M. S., Din, B. H., & Wright, L. T. (2021). Adoption of big data analytics (BDA) technologies in disaster management: A Decomposed Theory of Planned Behavior (DTPB) approach. Cogent Business & Management, 8(1), 1880253. https://doi.org/10.1080/23311975.2021. 1880253