

Dupuy, Arnaud; Galichon, Alfred

## Article

# A note on the estimation of job amenities and labor productivity

Quantitative Economics

## Provided in Cooperation with:

The Econometric Society

*Suggested Citation:* Dupuy, Arnaud; Galichon, Alfred (2022) : A note on the estimation of job amenities and labor productivity, Quantitative Economics, ISSN 1759-7331, The Econometric Society, New Haven, CT, Vol. 13, Iss. 1, pp. 153-177, <https://doi.org/10.3982/QE928>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/296272>

### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

### Terms of use:

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

## Supplement to “A note on the estimation of job amenities and labor productivity”

(*Quantitative Economics*, Vol. 13, No. 1, January 2022, 153–177)

ARNAUD DUPUY

CREA, University of Luxembourg and IZA

ALFRED GALICHON

Departments of Economics and of Mathematics, New York University and Department of Economics, Sciences-Po

This supplement contains two additional sections. The first presents results on how to deal with missing data on transfers, whereas the second introduces the associated concentrated maximum likelihood function.

### APPENDIX C: EXTENSION TO RANDOMLY MISSING TRANSFERS

In some applications, data will come from surveys where typically nonresponse to questions about earnings are frequently encountered. Our proposed estimation strategy extends to the case where, for some random matches, transfers are missing. The log-likelihood expression presented in Theorem 1 offers a very intuitive way of understanding how missing transfers for some random observations will impact the estimation. To formalize ideas, let  $p$  be the probability that for any arbitrary match the transfer is missing. The sample is still representative of the population of matches, but a random part of the sample consists of matches with observed transfers, that is,  $(X_i, Y_i, W_i)_{i=1}^{n^o}$ , and the other part of matches with missing transfers, that is,  $(X_i, Y_i, \cdot)_{i=n^o+1}^n$  where  $n^o$  is the number of matches with observed transfers and  $n$  is as before the size of our sample of matches (we have reordered the observations such that those matches with observed transfers are indexed first). The log-likelihood in this situation is therefore

$$\log \hat{L}(\theta) = \log \hat{L}_1(\theta) + \log \hat{L}_2(\theta) + n^o \log p + (n - n^o) \log(1 - p),$$

where  $\log \hat{L}_1(\theta)$  is given as in equation (3.11) and  $\log \hat{L}_2(\theta)$  reads now as

$$\log \hat{L}_2(\theta) = - \sum_{i=1}^{n^o} \frac{(W_i - w_i(\theta))^2}{2s^2} - \frac{n^o}{2} \log s^2 \quad (\text{C.1})$$

thus  $p = n^o/n$ . As  $n^o$  tends to 0, and hence  $p$  tends to 0, the log-likelihood function tends to  $\log \hat{L}_1(\theta)$ . In contrast, when  $n^o$  tends to  $n$ , and hence  $p$  tends to 1, the expression of

---

Arnaud Dupuy: [arnaud.dupuy@uni.lu](mailto:arnaud.dupuy@uni.lu)

Alfred Galichon: [ag133@nyu.edu](mailto:ag133@nyu.edu)

$\log \hat{L}_2(\theta)$  in equation (C.1) tends to that of  $\log \hat{L}_2(\theta)$  in equation (3.12) such that the log-likelihood function tends to equation (3.10).

#### APPENDIX D: CONCENTRATED LIKELIHOOD

In most applications, the parameters of primary interest are those governing workers' deterministic values of amenities and firms' deterministic values of productivity, that is,  $A$  and  $\Gamma$ , respectively. The remaining parameters  $(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, t, s^2)$  are auxiliary, and the focus of attention is the *concentrated log-likelihood*, which is given by

$$\log l(A, \Gamma) := \max_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, t, s^2} \log \hat{L}(\theta) = \log \hat{L}_1(\Phi) + \max_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, t, s^2} \log \hat{L}_2(A, \Gamma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, t, s^2),$$

where as usual,  $\Phi = A + \Gamma$ . Denoting  $\sigma_1^*$ ,  $\sigma_2^*$ ,  $t^*$  and  $s^{*2}$  the optimal value of the corresponding parameters given  $A$  and  $\Gamma$ , one gets

$$(\sigma_1^*, \sigma_2^*, t^*) = \arg \min_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, t} \sum_{i=1}^n (W_i - w_i(\theta))^2, \quad (\text{D.1})$$

which is the solution to a nonlinear least squares problem which is readily implemented in standard statistical packages, and  $s^{*2} = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (W_i - w_i(\theta^*))^2$ . The partial derivative of the concentrated log-likelihood with respect to  $A_k$  is given by

$$\frac{\partial \log l(A, \Gamma)}{\partial A_k} = \frac{\partial \log \hat{L}_1(\Phi)}{\partial \Phi_k} + \frac{\partial \log \hat{L}_2(A, \Gamma, \sigma_1^*, \sigma_2^*, t^*, s^{*2})}{\partial A_k}$$

and a similar expression holds for  $\partial \log l / \partial \Gamma_k$ . These formulas are derived in the following proof.

PROOF. Recall  $\theta = (A, \Gamma, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, t, s^2)$ . The maximum likelihood problem can be written as

$$\max_{\theta} \log \hat{L}(\theta) = \max_{A, \Gamma} \log l(A, \Gamma),$$

where  $\log l(A, \Gamma) = \max_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, t, s^2} \log \hat{L}(\theta)$  is the concentrated log-likelihood, which can be rewritten as

$$\log l(A, \Gamma) = \log \hat{L}_1(\theta) + \max_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, t, s^2} \log \hat{L}_2(\theta), \quad (\text{D.2})$$

where

$$\max_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, t, s^2} \log \hat{L}_2(\theta) = - \min_{s^2} \left( \frac{n}{2} \log s^2 + \frac{1}{2s^2} \min_{\sigma_1, \sigma_2, t} \sum_{i=1}^n (W_i - w_i(\theta))^2 \right) \quad (\text{D.3})$$

The second minimization in equation (D.3) is an ordinary least squares problem whose solution given  $A, \Gamma$ , denoted  $(\sigma_1^*, \sigma_2^*, t^*)$ , is the vector of coefficients of the OLS regression of  $W$  on  $(\gamma - b, a - \alpha, 1)$ . The value of  $s^2$ , denoted  $s^{*2}$ , is given by

$$s^{*2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (W_i - w_i(\theta^*))^2}{n}.$$

The envelope theorem yields an expression for the gradient of the concentrated log-likelihood with respect to the concentrated parameters  $A$  and  $\Gamma$ , that is,

$$\nabla_{A,\Gamma} \log l(A, \Gamma) = \nabla_{A,\Gamma} \log \hat{L}_1(\theta^*) + \nabla_{A,\Gamma} \log \hat{L}_2(\theta^*).$$

The elements of the first part of the gradient are given in Theorem 2 part (i) whereas parts (ii), (iv), and (v) of Theorem 2 provide the building blocks for the elements of the second part of the gradient.  $\square$

Co-editor Christopher Taber handled this manuscript.

Manuscript received 17 July, 2017; final version accepted 28 July, 2021; available online 30 August, 2021.