

Tchamyou, Vanessa; Edoh, Ofeh M.

Working Paper

Gender inclusion and sustainable development in Africa

AGDI Working Paper, No. WP/22/086

Provided in Cooperation with:

African Governance and Development Institute (AGDI), Yaoundé, Cameroon

Suggested Citation: Tchamyou, Vanessa; Edoh, Ofeh M. (2022) : Gender inclusion and sustainable development in Africa, AGDI Working Paper, No. WP/22/086, African Governance and Development Institute (AGDI), Yaoundé

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/298179>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

A G D I Working Paper

WP/22/086

Gender Inclusion and Sustainable Development in Africa

Vanessa S. Tchamyou

Association for Promoting Women in Research and Development in Africa
Yaoundé – Cameroon

E-mails: simenvanessa@yahoo.com ,
simenvanessa@aspworda.org

Ofeh M. Edoh

University of Yaoundé II, SOA
Yaoundé – Cameroon

E-mail: marilynedoh@gmail.com

Research Department

Gender Inclusion and Sustainable Development in Africa

Vanessa S. Tchamyou & Ofeh M. Edoh

Abstract

This study investigates how ameliorating gender inclusion affects sustainable development in Africa over the period 2000-2019 in 42 African countries. It argues that enhancing gender inclusion in all sectors of society promotes and sets a better pace for the attainment of sustainable development in Africa. The gender inclusion variable used is the females employed as a ratio of the working-age. The study employs the Generalized Method of Moments as the main analysis method alongside the Ordinary Least Squares method. It is expected that gender inclusion substantially affects sustainable development in Africa and subsequently, adequate measures should be taken into consideration to boost gender inclusion such as promoting financial inclusion, engaging inclusive education, and engaging inclusive participation in decision making processes at the level of policy making.

Keywords: Gender inclusion; sustainable development; Africa

JEL Classification: J16; Q01; O55

1. Introduction

In 2017, the Global Gender Report published by the World Economic Forum revealed that women make up a half of the world's population just like their male counterparts but despite this, the former do not have equal access to education, economic involvement, health assistance, financial earnings and resilience, and impactful decision-making power (Schwab *et al.*, 2017). The importance of having women participate more in societal, economic and environmental aspects cannot be understated given that such participation breathes grounds for increased returns in gross domestic product (Abney and Laya, 2018), environmental sustainability (Buckingham-Hatfield, 2002; Agarwal, 2010; Abney and Laya, 2018) overall economic prosperity (World Bank, 2015; Schwab *et al.*, 2017), and reduction of poverty (Buckingham-Hatfield, 2002; Efobi, Tanankem and Asongu, 2018) which is a key limiting factor of sustainable development. Gender inclusion in itself is crucial in building sustainable development since female participation in economic activities touches across most of the 17 mentioned Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) outlined in the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals agenda (United Nations (UN), 2015). Gender inclusion is thus very important in the world and in Africa most especially because this region records very low female participation in formal economic activities (Efobi *et al.*, 2018) when compared to the rest of the world. Therefore, this study seeks to assess how the enforcement of gender inclusion across divers economic spheres affects sustainable development in Africa and it is mainly inspired by two factors. The first of these factors is the pertinence of gender inclusion in strengthening and fostering sustainable development in this present SDGs era and secondly, gaps in literature.

Relative to gender inclusion and sustainable development, the UN Women (2014) have it that these two are pressing and inseparable needs that have to be met to permit full realization of women and girls human rights, as well to assist in a smooth transition to sustainable development by countries in the world. In reemphasizing the importance of gender inclusion for sustainable development, Agarwal (2018) has it that although the importance of gender has been recognized across some SDGs, the fact that some of these SDGs (such as 14 and 15) are silent on the role played, gender may make things more difficult in achieving the objectives set out by the SDGs if its role is neglected.

This study's contribution to extant literature is in two-fold. While other studies have examined the influence and nexus between gender inclusion and certain specific components of sustainable development such as poverty reduction, ecological transition and economic growth, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study carried out to examine the influence of gender inclusion on sustainable development by making use of the composite sustainable development index. The study therefore brings in more evidence on the importance of enhancing gender inclusion by providing robust evidence from Africa. In the second place, the study provides evidence across different income groups due to the fact that countries with higher incomes across the globe tend to be countries that display a relative higher gender parity gap, thus facilitating the process of achieving inclusive sustainable development. The rest of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 focuses on a brief literature review; Section 3 engages the data and methodologies followed by Section 4 with the results and discussion, and finally Section 5 which concludes with policy implications.

2. Literature review: gender and sustainable development in Africa

This section is particularly engaged in three main strands: (i) gender and inclusion, (ii) gender and sustainable development and (iii) gaps in the literature.

First, the popularity of gender inclusion in existing literature has spread over the years considering the influence it has in driving economic activities. As such, an increasing number of works that focuses on gender inclusion and inclusive societies. The positive influence of gender inclusive societies has and is continuously being advocated for by many organizations across the world such as the OECD which has so far been instrumental in supporting and drawing attention on the importance of gender inclusive societies for reliable economic growth (OECD, IMF, ILO & World Bank Group, 2014). One of the policies engaged by these organizations is higher labor participation wherein they advocate for more inclusion of women into the labor force systems, being particularly the case of most G20 countries. The United Nations Women (2014) stated that in a world like this where sustainable development keeps facing unnumbered challenges, the centrality of gender equality cannot be undermined. Thus, Mlambo-Hgcuka, Under-secretary-general and executive director, UN women in her words “*Finally, women’s knowledge, agency and collective action has huge potential to improve resource productivity, enhance ecosystem*

conservation and sustainable use of natural resources, and to create more sustainable, low-carbon food, energy, water and health systems. Failure to capitalize on this would be a missed opportunity. Women should not be viewed as victims, but as central actors in moving towards sustainability” (UN Women, 2014, p. 7). In relation to this, studies on gender inclusion and inclusive societies have had their focus on the way in which these subject matter affects sustainable development from different angles of the SDGs.

The inclusion of women across divers economic domains has received particular attention in the financial world as several authors have it that financial inclusive societies turn out to provide more opportunities for women in the area of household contribution to bettering health and consumption which curbs poverty as well as providing economic prowess to contribute to a more active and profitable society in terms of growth (OECD, 2014; Pitt, 2014; Kairiza *et al.*, 2017). In Ethiopia, the government implemented several gender related policies due to the fact that evidence on gender inequality and discrimination are glaring as the economy faces a retarded development process due to high gender gaps and low female economic empowerment (Environmental Protection authority, 2012). An understanding of the importance of gender inclusive societies backed by the necessary intervening actions by governments across the globe such as in the case of Ethiopia will catapult the globe’s achievement of an accelerated and profitable sustainable development. Other studies such as Alvarez (2013) and Stevens (2010) still on the issue of gender and inclusive societies have shown that achieving sustainable development is impossible without gender equality and the economic empowerment of women and that these variant serves as a precondition for and at the same time an indicator for sustainable development. Stevens (2010) further reiterates that not only does gender inclusion service the purpose of sustainable development but that poor gender inclusive societies rather face worsening economic situations which breath social disturbances and environmental degradation. All of these therefore, respells the importance of developing and enhancing more gender inclusive societies.

Second, on the nexus between gender and sustainable development, Bayeh (2016) in her study on the role of empowering women and achieving gender equality in the sustainable development of Ethiopia, used a qualitative method of analysis, whilst building on data from secondary sources. Results revealed that the capacities of women in assisting to build the

different dimensions of sustainable development in Ethiopia are relatively underutilized. The study revealed that women make up half of the Ethiopian population but there exists an underutilization of women's potential in improving economic, political, social and environmental quality for development given the existence of a wide gender gap, thus concluding that the attainment of sustainable development without concrete inclusion of women at every step of the way is not realizable.

In another study by Efobi *et al.* (2018) on female economic participation with information and communication technology advancement for 48 countries in Africa from 1990 to 2014. Use was made of the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS), Fixed Effects (FE) and the Generalized Method of Moments (GMM) regression techniques and it was established that the improvement of technology leads to an increase in female economic participation. This therefore implicates that more societies become gender inclusive, the more economic participation and subsequently, favorable impacts on sustainable development.

Asongu & Odhiambo (2020a) in their study on how enhancing gender inclusion affects inequality for 42 African countries for the period 2000 to 2014 established that the resultant effect of enhancing gender inclusion on inequality brings about a positive net effect. Hence, it was concluded that although gender inclusion is quite necessary, it is not sufficient in reducing inequality. As such, in this sustainable development era, to achieve sustainable development requires a complement of gender inclusion alongside other necessary measures to curb increased inequality which emanates from enhancing gender inclusion.

Third, this study bridges the gap in the literature by providing evidence on how gender inclusion affects all the components of sustainable development (i.e. by using the sustainable development index) thus, having a more comprehensive exhibition of the influence of gender inclusion on sustainable development in Africa whilst providing robust results based on different income groups. It equally provides robust results across different income groups in Africa. This study therefore seeks to answer the following research question; how does the enhancement of gender inclusion affect sustainable development in Africa? This question will be answered by considering the methodology in the following section.

3. Data and Research Methodology

3.1 Data

Data used in the study are collected from 42 countries in Africa between the year 2000 to 2019. The choice of years and variables is particularly influenced by the availability of data. The data sources used to obtain data include the World Governance Indicators (WGI) of the World Bank which captures the government effectiveness control variable, the World Development Indicators (WDI) of the World Bank provides data on the independent variables and the remaining control variables. The Hickel database for the year 2020 is used to obtain data on the sustainable development index. The 42 countries are selected with respect to data availability constraints.

3.1.1. Dependent Variable

The dependent variable is the SDI of Hickel, which depicts countries' efficiency in achieving development, especially human development. This index is a quotient of two factors; the human development index and the ecological impact index. The Human Development Index (HDI) is a geometric mean obtained from the sum of life expectancy index, education index and an income index. On the other hand, the ecological index is made up of a material footprint and CO₂ emission that is consumption based, calculated with respect to the extent to which they exceed per capita shares of planetary boundaries. This index has been used in empirical studies by Nchofounget *al.* (2022).

3.1.2. Independent Variable of Interest

The independent variable of interest is gender inclusion. The measure used as proxy for gender inclusion is the females employed as a ratio of the working-age. Asongu & Odhiambo, (2020a) have adopted similar measures of gender inclusion. They argue that the resultant effect of enhancing gender inclusion on inequality brings about a positive net effect. As such, the hypothesis tested by this study is as follows: gender inclusion enhances sustainable development in Africa.

3.1.3. Control Variables

The control variables chosen are related to the existing literature on inclusive development. These variables include financial development, proxied by domestic credit to the private sector, globalization proxied by trade openness and foreign direct investments, governance captured by government effectiveness and lastly, economic growth.

Variable	Extant Literature	Expected Sign
Domestic credit to the private sector	<i>Nchofoung et al., 2022; Chien et al., 2021</i>	+
Trade openness	<i>Nchofoung et al., 2022; Asongu and Nwachukwu, 2017; Sheikh et al., 2020</i>	+/-
Foreign direct investments	<i>Nchofoung et al., 2022; Aust et al., 2019</i>	+/-
Government effectiveness	<i>Nchofoung et al., 2022; Bayeh, 2016; Asongu and Odhiambo, 2020b</i>	+
Economic growth	<i>Nchofoung et al., 2022; Chien et al., 2021; Bayeh, 2016</i>	+/-

3.2 Model Specification and Regression Methodology

3.2.1. Model Specification

Since 2015 after the adoption of the sustainable development agenda, several policy institutions have with their knowledge, been seeking for the right policy instruments and pathway to put in place for the realization of the SDGs but most countries especially the developing countries still have a blurred vision with respect to the attainment of the SDG agenda. For this SDGs agenda to be successful, every national or economic domain particularity that could impact environmental, economic and social developments should be put under serious exploitation. This is the case with gender inclusion, which is one of the societal aspects that has grown over the years and has been cited as a leading influencer on sustainable development. Gender inclusion could therefore impact the economy through its ability to enhance economic diversity through businesses, leading to better living conditions, hence human development. This therefore enhances economic activities such as trade and creation of more wealth for countries thus making countries attractive

for foreign direct investments. This foreign direct investment on their part will enhance job creation and overall development in countries.

From the above, a theoretical model can be derived, linking gender inclusion and sustainable development.

$$SDI = f(\text{Gender Inclusion})$$

This can further be expanded to include all the control variables such that;

$$SDI_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 Fem_{it} + \beta_2 Account_{it} + \beta_3 Internet_{it} + \beta_4 Credit_{it} + \beta_5 FDI_{it} + \beta_6 Trade_{it} + \beta_7 Gov_{it} + \beta_8 Growth + \varepsilon_{it}$$

Where β is the coefficient associated to each variable, ε is the error term, i is the cross-sectional dimension at period t . SDI is the sustainable development index which is the dependent variable, Fem, Account and Internet are the measure of gender inclusion which stand for the females employed as a ratio of the working-age, share of adult women with a formal bank account and ICT – share of women aged 15-49 who use computer and/or internet at least once a week, and every day, respectively. Credit, FDI, trade, Gov and Growth are the control variables which represent domestic credit to the private sector, foreign direct investments, trade openness, government effectiveness and economic growth respectively.

3.2.2. Regression Methodology

The methodology adopted in this study is the GMM based on the fact that cross sections are relatively more than the time periods of the study. The use of this method is backed by the following: (i) number of countries (42 countries) is greater than the time period (20 years, 2000-2019), (ii) consideration of cross-country characteristics due to the fact that the data is of a panel structure, and (iii) the problem of endogeneity (i.e. simultaneity and omitted variable problems) is addressed. The Roodman (2009) specification is adopted for use, as an extension of Arellano and Bover, 1995. The use of this method is consistent with Nchofoung and Asongu, (2022); Tchamyouet *al.* (2019); Efobiet *al.* (2018); and Asongu and Odhiambo, (2020a). The inclusion of a lagged dependent variable is due to fixed effects considerations of the error and the panel

bias observed when the OLS is used. The following equations constitute a summary of the GMM specification;

$$SDI_{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 SDI_{it(t-\mu)} + \beta_2 Fem_{it} + \beta_3 Account_{it} + \beta_4 Internet_{it} + \sum_{h=1}^5 \delta_h W_{h,i(t-\mu)} + \eta_i + U_t + \varepsilon_{it}$$

$$SDI_{it} - SDI_{it(t-\mu)} = \beta_1 (SDI_{it(t-\mu)} - SDI_{it(t-2\mu)}) + \beta_2 (Fem_{it} - Fem_{it(t-\mu)}) + \beta_3 (Account_{it} - Account_{it(t-\mu)}) + \beta_4 (Internet_{it} - Internet_{it(t-\mu)}) + \sum_{h=1}^5 \delta_h (W_{h,i(t-\mu)} - W_{h,i(t-2\mu)}) + (U_t - U_{t-\mu}) + (\varepsilon_{it} - \varepsilon_{it-\mu})$$

The variables in the GMM are considered as above. Due to the possibility of identification, restriction and simultaneity problems, all the independent variables are considered sources of endogeneity and there are all treated as endogenous variables. This basis finds its backing in the works of Asongu and Nwachukwu, (2016); Tchamyouet *al.* (2019) and Nchofounget *al.* (2021).

4. Results and discussion

In this section deals with the presentation of results, beginning with overall summary statistics, correlation statistics and then the results from the GMM regression which will comprise a distinction between income groups in Africa.

4.1 Summary statistics and correlation statistics

Table 1 below presents descriptive statistics of the variables included in the model

Table 1: Summary of descriptive statistics

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
sdi ¹	837	.534	.109	.282	.791
employ ²	820	53.524	19.595	8.36	86.01
dcps ³	751	22.401	24.288	.008	142.422
fdi ⁴	743	-8.397e+08	2.160e+09	-2.511e+10	8.749e+09
trade ⁵	762	71.707	33.433	20.723	225.023
gdpc ⁶	839	2187.653	2800.99	111.927	16213.481
govteff ⁷	798	-.623	.569	-1.922	1.057

Source: computed by authors. ¹ sustainable development index, ² females employed as a ratio of the working-age, ³ domestic credit to the private sector as % of GDP, ⁴ foreign direct investment, ⁵ trade openness, ⁶ GDP per capita, ⁷ government effectiveness

Table 2 below presents the correlation table which depicts the strength and the direction which exist between the variables used in the model. Values range from -1 to +1: the closer they are to 1 indicates a stronger correlation between the said variables and the closer they are to zero reveals a weaker correlation. This analysis mainly puts to check the presence of multicollinearity amongst the independent variables.

Table 2: Matrix of correlations

Variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
(1) sdi	1.000						
(2) employm	-0.586	1.000					
(3) dcps	0.417	-0.399	1.000				
(4) fdi	-0.187	0.159	-0.228	1.000			
(5) trade	0.249	-0.298	0.154	-0.003	1.000		
(6) gdpc	0.586	-0.558	0.494	-0.174	0.369	1.000	
(7) govteff	0.239	-0.252	0.644	-0.181	0.141	0.406	1.000

Source: computed by authors. ¹ sustainable development index, ² females employed as a ratio of the working-age, ³ domestic credit to the private sector as % of GDP, ⁴ foreign direct investment, ⁵ trade openness, ⁶ GDP per capita, ⁷ government effectiveness

4.2 Baseline regressions

This subsection presents the baseline results of the ordinary least squares (OLS), fixed effects (FE) and the Driscoll and Kraay (1998) estimate (1, 2 & 3 respectively). The results are somewhat similar but cannot be interpreted at this level due to some econometric constraints such the unchecked heterogeneity.

Table 3: Baseline regressions

VARIABLES	(1) OLS Dependent variable = sdi	(2) FE Dependent variable = sdi	(5) Driscoll & Kraay Dependent variable = sdi
employm ¹	-0.002*** (0.000)	-0.005*** (0.001)	-0.005*** (0.001)
dcps ²	-0.345 0.001*** (0.000)	-0.880 0.001*** (0.000)	-0.880 0.001*** (0.000)
fdi ³	0.163 -0.000* (0.000)	0.354 0.000* (0.000)	0.354 0.000 (0.000)
trade ⁴	-0.054 0.000 (0.000)	0.030 0.000 (0.000)	0.030 0.000 (0.000)
gdpc ⁵	0.009 0.000*** (0.000)	0.039 0.000*** (0.000)	0.039 0.000*** (0.000)
govteff ⁶	0.343 -0.019** (0.008)	0.199 -0.005 (0.009)	0.199 -0.005 (0.018)
Constant	-0.104 0.588*** (0.020)	-0.029 0.743*** (0.035)	-0.029 0.743*** (0.033)
Observations	555	555	555
R-squared	0.459	0.173	
Number of id		36	
Number of groups			36

Standard errors in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Source: Authors. sdi =sustainable development index, ¹females employed as a ratio of the working-age, ² domestic credit to the private sector as % of GDP, ³foreign direct investment, ⁴ trade openness, ⁵GDP per capita, ⁶ government effectiveness

4.3 GMM results

The results below show the effect of gender inclusion (females employed as a ratio of the working-age) on sustainable development. Table 4 presents the results from the full sample using the GMM estimator while Table 5 and Table 6 present the results across different income groups.

Table 4 reveals that females employed as a ratio of the working age group does not have any influence on sustainable development when regressed with other variables (eq1). On the other hand, when it is regressed as the lone variable (eq2), it shows that it has a positive significant influence on sustainable development.

Table 4: GMM results (full sample)

VARIABLES	(1) eq1 sdi	(2) eq2 sdi	(3) eq3 sdi	(4) eq4 sdi	(5) eq5 sdi	(6) eq6 sdi	(7) eq7 sdi
L.sdi ¹	1.004*** (0.0197)	0.986*** (0.0612)	0.936*** (0.0176)	0.679*** (0.0611)	0.840*** (0.0395)	0.895*** (0.0239)	0.659*** (0.0831)
employm ²	-0.000303 (0.000187)	0.00162*** (0.000579)					
dcps ³	-0.000480*** (9.53e-05)		6.34e-05 (7.62e-05)				
fdi ⁴	-0*** (0)			-0* (0)			
trade ⁵	2.36e-05 (5.25e-05)				-0.000370** (0.000178)		
gdpc ⁶	-2.68e-06*** (5.47e-07)					-1.11e-06* (6.76e-07)	
govteff ⁷	0.00976* (0.00548)						0.0410* (0.0222)
Constant	0.0396*** (0.0151)	-0.0794 (0.0570)	0.0377*** (0.00822)	0.180*** (0.0337)	0.118*** (0.0279)	0.0640*** (0.0128)	0.214*** (0.0371)
Observations	534	776	713	710	722	795	754
Number of id	36	41	42	41	39	42	42
ar2p	0.366	0.454	0.392	0.982	0.355	0.374	0.796
sarganp	0.960	0.965	0.209	0.981	0.967	0.834	0.993
hansenp	0.571	0.316	0.110	0.00340	0.0333	0.0929	0.00649
chi2	349790	10970	936611	6999	17842	44672	5591
chi2p	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ar1p	0.0617	0.0419	0.0412	0.0365	0.0283	0.0435	0.0156

Standard errors in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Source: Authors. sdi =sustainable development index,¹log of sdi,²females employed as a ratio of the working-age, ³ domestic credit to the private sector as % of GDP, ⁴foreign direct investment, ⁵ trade openness, ⁶GDP per capita, ⁷ government effectiveness

Table 5 below reveals that gender inclusion has a negative insignificant influence on sustainable development in low-income countries in Africa.

Table 5: GMM results across different income groups (Low Income group)

VARIABLES	(1) eq1 sdi	(2) eq2 sdi	(3) eq3 sdi	(4) eq4 sdi	(5) eq5 sdi	(6) eq6 sdi	(7) eq7 sdi
l.sdi ¹	0.928*** (0.0319)	1.060*** (0.0533)	0.953*** (0.00923)	0.878*** (0.0123)	0.899*** (0.0217)	0.817*** (0.0264)	0.797*** (0.0313)
employ ²	-3.87e-05 (0.000275)	0.00507*** (0.00147)					
dcps ³	-0.000102 (0.000308)		0.000260 (0.000165)				
fdi ⁴	0** (0)			-0 (0)			
trade ⁵	0.000128*** (1.53e-05)				-0.000306*** (0.000112)		
gdpc ⁶	5.24e-07 (1.12e-05)					2.46e-05*** (6.85e-06)	
govteff ⁷	-0.000291 (0.00662)						-0.00709 (0.00486)
Constant	0.0370 (0.0253)	-0.369*** (0.123)	0.0244*** (0.00331)	0.0615*** (0.00690)	0.0696*** (0.00792)	0.0776*** (0.0119)	0.0925*** (0.0152)
Observations	185	304	277	255	256	304	288
Number of id	12	16	16	15	14	16	16
ar2p	0.763	0.0955	0.593	0.382	0.205	0.424	0.479
sarganp	0.936	1.000	0.804	0.947	0.970	0.999	0.838
hansenp	0.971	0.474	0.172	0.191	0.166	0.621	0.231
chi2	571882	1193	2.270e+06	231454	78893	68436	36558
chi2p	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ar1p	0.0295	0.0922	0.0511	0.0612	0.0322	0.0382	0.0491

Standard errors in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Source: Authors. sdi =sustainable development index,¹log of sdi,²females employed as a ratio of the working-age, ³ domestic credit to the private sector as % of GDP, ⁴ foreign direct investment, ⁵ trade openness, ⁶ GDP per capita, ⁷ government effectiveness

On the other hand, for middle income countries in Africa, Table 6 reveals that gender inclusion has a positive significant influence on sustainable development.

Table 6: GMM results across different income groups (Middle Income group)

VARIABLES	(1) eq1 sdi	(2) eq2 sdi	(3) eq3 sdi	(4) eq4 sdi	(5) eq5 sdi	(6) eq6 sdi	(7) eq7 sdi
L.sdi ¹	0.977*** (0.0334)	0.889*** (0.0399)	0.887*** (0.0284)	0.789*** (0.0752)	0.633*** (0.0474)	0.847*** (0.0313)	0.403*** (0.0787)
employ ²	0.000261* (0.000153)	0.00174*** (0.000652)					
dcps ³	-0.000235*** (5.37e-05)		6.54e-06 (0.000178)				
fdi ⁴	-0 (0)			-0*** (0)			
trade ⁵	8.66e-05 (5.54e-05)				-0.000379*** (0.000109)		
gdpc ⁶	-2.15e-06*** (3.33e-07)					-1.62e-06* (9.18e-07)	
govteff ⁷	0.0109* (0.00596)						-0.0299 (0.0278)
Constant	0.0178 (0.0256)	-0.00451 (0.0211)	0.0722*** (0.0193)	0.127*** (0.0459)	0.252*** (0.0298)	0.0987*** (0.0196)	0.341*** (0.0368)
Observations	331	453	398	417	428	453	430
Number of id	23	24	24	24	23	24	24
ar2p	0.160	0.125	0.118	0.128	0.164	0.124	0.923
sarganp	0.405	0.997	0.747	0.943	0.946	0.833	0.998
hansenp	0.892	0.644	0.490	0.118	0.0243	0.370	0.0164
chi2	330953	11632	59406	14727	8659	38828	3746
chi2p	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ar1p	0.157	0.112	0.123	0.0974	0.116	0.134	0.465

Standard errors in parentheses

*** p<0.01, ** p<0.05, * p<0.1

Source: Authors. sdi =sustainable development index,¹log of sdi,²females employed as a ratio of the working-age, ³ domestic credit to the private sector as % of GDP, ⁴ foreign direct investment, ⁵ trade openness, ⁶ GDP per capita, ⁷ government effectiveness

Summarily, the results depict two different effects. For the baseline line results, females employed as a ratio of the working age (economic gender inclusion) has a negative significant effect on sustainable development in Africa. The main results (GMM) reveal that this gender inclusion variable has a negative insignificant effect on sustainable development when regressed with other variables but has a positive significant effect on sustainable development when regressed alone. This negative result is partly in accordance with the results found by Asongu and Odhiambo (2020a) wherein it was discovered that enhancing gender inclusion rather leads to

intensification of inequality, which is unfavorable for sustainable. They explained that enhancing gender inclusion rather creates a wider link between the already wealthier class of women who have the means of engaging in economic activities and the poor women who have little or nothing with respect to wealth and income. However, their results and conclusion show that gender inclusion is important for sustainable development but not a sufficient in itself to boost sustainable development; hence, the need to be joined with other economic, and societal variables. This negative result contradicts the results of Bayeh (2016).

On the other hand, a look at the various income groups in Africa reveals that for the low-income group, gender inclusion has a negative insignificant effect on sustainable development in Africa while for the middle-income countries, gender inclusion has a positive significant effect on sustainable development. This can be explained by the fact that in the low-income countries, the greater proportion of people in the agricultural sector are poor women who basically farm for subsistent needs (feeding) and a very few in the other economic sectors who live averagely. This thus reveals a relatively longer period for the fruits of empowering women in such communities to be considerably felt on development. With the middle-income countries, due to a certain level of advancement, narrowed inequality and low poverty situations, women are open to better opportunities and livelihoods in these countries thus, a better impact felt by their economic activities on development of such communities. Efobi *et al.* (2018) in their study find a significant positive effect of gender inclusive societies on development.

5. Conclusion and Implications

The objective of this paper was to empirically investigate the effect of gender inclusion on sustainable development in Africa. The methodology involved the use of the OLS for comparative checks of results, the Fixed effects estimator, to control for individual heterogeneity, the Driscoll and Kraay estimator to check for cross sectional dependence between the different panels and the GMM, to correct simultaneity bias and unobserved heterogeneity. The results shows that gender inclusion has a negative effect on sustainable development in Africa but reveals contradiction when income groups are taken into consideration as seen, wherein the middle-income group in Africa experiences a positive effect of gender inclusion on sustainable development.

As policy implications, this study recommends policy makers in low-income countries in Africa to do everything within their reach to have equitable gender inclusive societies, that is, to narrow the gap between the already wealthy class of women and the poor by having more women included in different economic sector activities which has the possibility of creating a more conducive atmosphere for sustainable development. Lessons can be captured from the case of Ethiopia as revealed by the Environmental Protection Authority, (2012) wherein the government implemented several gender related policies due to the fact that evidence on gender inequality and discrimination had glaring effects as the economy faced a retarded development process due to high gender gaps and low female economic empowerment (Environmental Protection authority, 2012). While the middle-income countries in Africa a better off, and enjoy the positive influence of gender inclusive societies on their development, policy makers in the low-income countries have to re-strategize on how to close gender empowerment gaps amongst their women.

Finally, the study leaves room for future research works. Firstly, the gender variable used here is generally an economic variable, therefore other environmental, technological and political variables should be considered. Also, country-specific studies should equally be carried out for the sake of country specific policy implications and recommendations. This will provide further research and evidence in understanding the effect of gender on sustainable development.

References

- Abney, D., & Laya, A. G. (2018). This is why women must play a greater role in the global economy. In *World Economic Forum*. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2018/01/this-is-why-women-must-play-a-greater-role-in-the-global-economy/> (Accessed 15/11/2021)
- Agarwal, B. (2010). *Gender and green governance: the political economy of women's presence within and beyond community forestry*. OUP Oxford.
- Agarwal, B. (2018). Gender equality, food security and sustainable development goals. *Current opinion in environmental sustainability*, 34, 26-32.
- Alvarez, M. L. (2013, October). From unheard screams to powerful voices: A case study of women's political empowerment in the Philippines. In *12th National Convention on Statistics* (pp. 1-73).
- Asongu, S., & Nwachukwu, J. (2016). The role of governance in mobile phones for inclusive human development in Sub-Saharan Africa. *Technovation*, 55, 1-13.
- Asongu, S., & Nwachukwu, J. (2017). Globalization and inclusive human development in Africa. *Man and the Economy*, 4(1).
- Asongu, S. A., & Odhiambo, N. M. (2020a). How enhancing gender inclusion affects inequality: Thresholds of complementary policies for sustainable development. *Sustainable Development*, 28(1), 132-142.
- Asongu, S. A., & Odhiambo, N. M. (2020b). Governance, CO2 emissions and inclusive human development in sub-Saharan Africa. *Energy Exploration & Exploitation*, 38(1), 18-36.
- Aust, V., Morales, A. I., & Pinto, I. (2019). How does foreign direct investment contribute to sustainable development goals? Evidence from African countries. *Journal of Cleaner Production* (2019), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.118823>.
- Bayeh, E. (2016). The role of empowering women and achieving gender equality to the sustainable development of Ethiopia. *Pacific Science Review B: Humanities and Social Sciences*, 2(1), 37-42.
- Buckingham-Hatfield, S. (2002). Gender Equality: A Prerequisite for Sustainable Development. *Geography*, 87(3), 227–233. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40573738>
- Chien, F., Anwar, A., Hsu, C. C., Sharif, A., Razzaq, A., % Sinha, A. (2021). The role of information and communication technology in encountering environmental degradation: proposing n SDG framework for the BRICS countries. *Technology in Society*, 65, 101587.

Efobi, U. R., Tanankem, B. V., & Asongu, S. A. (2018). Female economic participation with information and communication technology advancement: Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. *South African Journal of Economics*, 86(2), 231-246.

Environmental Protection Authority, (2012). United Nations conference on sustainable development (Rio+20), National report of Ethiopia, 2012.

Kairiza, T., Kiprono, P., & Magadzire, V. (2017). Gender differences in financial inclusion amongst entrepreneurs in Zimbabwe. *Small Business Economics*, 48(1), 259-272.

Nchofoung, T. N., Achuo, E. D., & Asongu, S. A. (2021). Resources rents and inclusive human development in developing countries. *Resources Policy*, 74, 102382.

Nchofoung, T. N., & Asongu, S. A. (2022). ICT for sustainable development: Global comparative evidence of globalization thresholds. *Telecommunications Policy*, 46(5), 102296.

OECD, (2014). Enhancing women's economic empowerment through entrepreneurship and business leadership in OECD countries. https://www.oecd.org/gender/Enhancing%20Women%20Economic%20Empowerment_Fin_1_Oct_2014.pdf

OECD, IMF, ILO and World Bank Group (2014). Achieving stronger growth by promoting a more gender-balanced economy. Report prepared for the G20 labour and employment ministerial meeting, Melbourne, Australia, 10-11 September 2014 <https://www.oecd.org/g20/topics/employment-and-social-policy/ILO-IMF-OECD-WBG-Achieving-stronger-growth-by-promoting-a-more-gender-balanced-economy-G20.pdf> (Accessed 13/11/2021).

Pitt, M. M. (2014). Response to 'The impact of microcredit on the poor in Bangladesh: Revisiting the evidence'. *Journal of Development studies*, 50(4), 605-610.

Roodman, D. (2009). How to xtabond2: An introduction to difference and system GMM in Stata. *The stata journal*, 9(1), 86-136.

Schwab, K., Samans, R., Zahidi, S., Leopold, T. A., Ratcheva, V., Hausmann, R., & Tyson, L. D. (2017). The global gender gap report 2017. World Economic Forum.

Sheikh, M. A., Malik, M. A., & Masood, R. Z. (2020). Assessing the effects of trade openness on sustainable development: evidence from India. *Asian Journal of Sustainability and Social Responsibility* (2020) 5:1 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41180-019-0030-x>

Stevens, C. (2010). Are women the key to sustainable development? *Sustainable development insights*, 3(11), 1-8.

Tchamyou, V. S., Erreygers, G., & Cassimon, D. (2019). Inequality, ICT and financial access in Africa. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 139, 169-184.

United Nations (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 agenda for sustainable development. <https://sdgs.un.org/sites/default/files/publications/21252030%20Agenda%20for%20Sustainable%20Development%20web.pdf> (Accessed 14/11/2021).

United Nations Women (2014). World survey on the role of women in development 2014: Gender equality and sustainable development. https://www.womenforwater.org/uploads/7/7/5/1/77516286/un_women_world_survey_gender_equality_and_sustainable_development_2014.pdf (Accessed 13/11/2021).

World Bank (2015). Unlocking the potential for women through technology for Sri Lanka's development. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2015/04/09/unlocking-potential-women-technology-ict-development> (Accessed 19/11/2021).