

Pradas García, Marcos; Maciá Andreu, María José; García-Tascón, Marta; Gallardo Guerrero, Ana María

Article

Analysis of loyalty and future intentions of the users of the golf courses in Andalusia, Spain

European Journal of Government and Economics (EJGE)

Provided in Cooperation with:

Universidade da Coruña

Suggested Citation: Pradas García, Marcos; Maciá Andreu, María José; García-Tascón, Marta; Gallardo Guerrero, Ana María (2020) : Analysis of loyalty and future intentions of the users of the golf courses in Andalusia, Spain, European Journal of Government and Economics (EJGE), ISSN 2254-7088, Universidade da Coruña, A Coruña, Vol. 9, Iss. 2, pp. 181-199, <https://doi.org/10.17979/ejge.2020.9.2.5841>

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/298622>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>



European Journal of Government and Economics

Vol.9 • No.2
2020
ISSN: 2254-7088

Special Issue. The Role of Institutions and Governance in Sport



Servizo de Publicacións
UNIVERSIDADE DA CORUÑA



Number 9, issue 2, June 2020

Special Issue. The Role of Institutions and Governance in Sport

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17979/ejge.2020.9.2>

- How the UEFA Financial Fair Play regulations affect to football clubs' priorities and leagues' competitive balance?** 119-142
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17979/ejge.2020.9.2.5842>
Pedro Garcia-del-Barrio and Giambattista Rossi
- Surveys assessing sports services and municipal governance** 143-154
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17979/ejge.2020.9.2.5949>
Júlia Bosch, Laureà Fanega, Jaume García, Núria Hernández, Xavier Moya, and Carles Murillo
- Evaluation of the perceived social impacts of the Formula E Grand Prix of Santiago de Chile** 155-169
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17979/ejge.2020.9.2.5850>
David Parra-Camacho, Daniel Michel Duclos Bastías, Frano Giakoni Ramírez, and Samuel López-Carril
- Comparative analysis of income trends and perceived value of squad of the highest turnover European football clubs (2010-2019)** 170-180
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17979/ejge.2020.9.2.5953>
Benito Pérez-González, Luis de la Riva, José Bonal, and Álvaro Fernández-Luna
- Analysis of loyalty and future intentions of the users of the golf courses in Andalusia, Spain** 181-199
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17979/ejge.2020.9.2.5841>
Marcos Pradas García, María José Maciá Andreu, Marta García-Tascón, and Ana María Gallardo Guerrero
- Female leadership in sports clubs** 200-209
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17979/ejge.2020.9.2.5840>
Alfonso Martínez-Moreno, Francisco Cavas-García, Francisco Cano-Noguera, and Arturo Díaz-Suárez
- The profile of leisure time sports people and their reason for doing sport in Spanish sports facilities** 210-219
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17979/ejge.2020.9.2.5846>
Moisés Grimaldi-Puyana, Pablo Gálvez-Ruiz, Manel Valcarce-Torrente, and Ainara Bernal-García



Analysis of loyalty and future intentions of the users of the golf courses in Andalusia, Spain

Marcos Pradas García ^{a*}, María José Maciá Andreu ^b, Marta García-Tascón ^c, Ana María Gallardo Guerrero ^b

^a Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

^b Universidad Católica de Murcia, Spain

^c Universidad Pablo Olavide, Spain

* Corresponding author at: marcos.pradas@gmail.com

Article history. Received 13 December 2019; first revision required 27 January 2020; accepted 20 February 2020.

Abstract. Spain is a European leader as a golf tourism destination, and Andalusia is the region that receives the most tourism in this sector, boosting not only the golf industry but also the percentage of income overall. Thus, user loyalty and knowing the future intentions of users is a matter of vital importance in these sports organizations. This study analyses 636 users of 17 golf courses in Andalusia –73.43% men and 26.42% women– and with an average age of 50.2 ± 15.6 . The results show that more than 70% of users would encourage their families to play on the golf course and recommend it in more than 75% of them. In conclusion, this study emphasises the need for the use of the adapted tool, as it is a valid and reliable instrument that guides on the aspects demanded by the user as well as how to build loyalty.

Keywords. sports management, loyalty, golf courses

JEL Codes. D7, D71, D9, D91, L83, Z2, Z21

DOI. <https://doi.org/10.17979/ejge.2020.9.2.5841>

1. Introduction

A million golf tourists have visited Spain since 2012, according to the International Association of Golf Tour Operators (IAGTO). This association ranks Spain second most popular in the world as a destination for foreign tourists whose travel is motivated by golf. Golf tourism generates an expenditure of around 1.2 billion euros (IAGTO, 2013, 2019). Spanish Golf Federation (RFEG) said that Spain is considered a leading country in golf growth, and has 271,170 players as of January 1st, 2019 (RFEG, 2019).

Spain is the first choice of golf destination for 29% of European tourists, with British tourists being the largest group (KPMG, 2017). Favourable weather conditions and the lack of geopolitical problems compared to direct competitors such as North Africa, Egypt and Turkey are some of the factors that contribute to this prominence (Pinero, 2017); which has led to a rapid increase in this type of facility, not without creating a broad social debate about the environmental impacts involved (Vargas-Sánchez and Riquel-Ligero, 2015).

Golf is an economic engine that also drives other industries (Barciela, 2017), such as tourism. According to Aymerich and Anabitarte (2016), 41% of the rounds played in Spanish

golf courses are by foreign players. According to a study carried out by Global Business Partners in 2016, golf generates more than 2 billion euros per year in revenue in Spain, as well as 11,000 jobs and 1.1 million tourists.

Andalusia has become the most important destination for golf tourism in Spain, with exponential growth in the number of golf courses that complete the tourism and leisure offer (Riquel-Ligero and Vargas-Sánchez, 2012). Currently, there are 349 golf courses in Spain, of which 93 (26.65%) are located in Andalusia (RFAG, 2019). Although golf tourism generates significant profits, the golf courses are frequently questioned about their close relationship with the environment and, consequently, their possible adverse impact on it. The golf courses have adopted an environmental regulation more rigorous in recent years by the Andalusian Government (López-Bonilla, Reyes-Rodríguez and López-Bonilla, 2018).

The golf tourism in Andalusia is characterised by a high degree of loyalty among users, low seasonality and expenditure over 100€ per day (Pradas and García-Tascón, 2019a) and the scale 'Big-five' model, on the Brand Personality Scale, can identify attributes that stakeholders consider to be essential for a golf destination (Pereira, Correia and Schutz, 2015).

One of the most important purposes of sports services is to meet the user's future intentions. Consequently, it is of paramount importance to analyse the user's loyalty, as it has become one of the main objectives in sports management (Cáslavová, Pecinová, Ruda and Šíma, 2018; García, Alguacil, and Molina, 2020); and examine how loyalty influences the relationship between customer satisfaction and repurchase intention (Woo, 2017; Yi and La, 2004) or the relationship between team loyalty, sponsorship awareness, attitude toward the sponsor, and purchase intentions (Biscaia, Correia, Rosado, Ross, and Maroco, 2013; Wakefield, 2016).

Loyalty for Liat, Mansori and Huei (2014) is defined as commitment toward preferred products or services. Also, loyalty is defined as the repeating purchases and/or a recommendation to the third party by consumers (Kuhn, Bendesa, Wiranatha, and Oka-Suryawardani, 2019a) therefore, considering golf as an industry that offers products and/or services, this concept could be applied.

The loyalty of users in any type of organisation is vitally important, being one of the elements that guarantee not only the benefits, but also the permanence and growth of the same (Crosby and Johnson, 2008; Van Asperen, De Rooij, and Dijkmans, 2018). Customer loyalty has many benefits, economic and non-economic, so the implementation and continuous improvement of loyalty programs are becoming a strategy to maximise the benefits to companies (Chen and Quester, 2006; Pradas and García-Tascón, 2019b) or knowing the degree of customer loyalty with low-quality perceptions (García-Fernández, Sánchez-Oliver, Grimaldi-Puyana, Ferné-Gavira, and Gálvez-Ruíz, 2017).

There is an agreement regarding the causal relationship between quality and loyalty (Theodorakis, Howat, Ko, and Avourdiadou, 2014). Some studies establish that knowing the degree of customer loyalty allows facility managers to identify customers with low perceptions of quality and act accordingly to improve their loyalty (Baena-Arroyo, Gálvez-Ruiz, Sánchez-Oliver, and Bernal-García, 2016; Barragán-Codina, Castillo-Villarreal, and Guerra-Rodríguez, 2009;

García-Fernández, Sánchez-Oliver, Grimaldi-Puyana, Ferné-Gavira, and Gálvez-Ruiz, 2017; Granja-Dueñas, 2013).

Studies on the perceived quality of services are intended to find out what factors are related to the retention of users, as well as to know what reasons lead the user to leave a sports centre (Martínez and Martínez 2009; Nuviala, Grao, Pérez and Nuviala, 2012; Tsitskari, Tsiotras, and Tsiotras, 2006).

A large number of studies have focused on responding to customer loyalty problems at the facilities (García-Fernández, Bernal-García, Fernández-Gavira and Vélez-Colón, 2014; García-Fernández and Pires-Veja, 2010; MacIntosh and Law, 2015), as well as knowing the attitudes, profile and behaviour of users of sports centres (Martínez and Martínez, 2009; Molina, Mundina, and Gómez-Tafalla, 2018; Nuviala et al., 2012).

Loyalty in the sports sector has been evaluated by means of instruments that reflect both the behavioural approach (Pinillos, 2004; Triadó and Aparicio, 2004) and the attitudinal approach (Bodet, 2012; Wei, Hung, Yang, and Jui, 2010), as well as a scale in Spanish (Nuviala et al., 2014).

Regarding studies related to perceived quality and golf, several studies have been carried out internationally (Crilley, Murray, Howat, March, and Adamson, 2002; Kuhn et al., 2019a; Kuhn, Bendesa, Wiranatha, and Oka-Suryawardani, 2019b; Woo, 2017; Wu and Ai, 2015). In Spain, studies related to golf and quality, highlight the research of Serrano (2013), Iglesias (2015), Pradas (2016) and Iglesias and Lara (2019).

Likewise, it tackles the study on the situation of golf and tourism in Andalusian region (Paniza, 2005, 2010), golf as a tourist product (García-Fernández et al., 2013), or the analysis of loyalty of users of a golf course in the Region of Murcia (Cavas-García, Díaz-Suárez, and Martínez-Moreno, 2018). Studies have also been conducted regarding the design and implementation of an internal control system to optimise the use of human, material and financial resources in a golf club (Pincay-Quimiz and Romero-Maquilon, 2015).

For these reasons, the main objective of this study is to focus on analysing future behavioural intentions and loyalty of golf users in the Spanish region of Andalusia; based on the sociodemographic profile (sex and country of residence).

2. Method

It is a descriptive, non-experimental and cross-cutting/transversal study which aims to analyse the loyalty of golf course users in Andalusia.

2.1. Participants

To calculate the sample size, a standard error of 5%, a confidence level of 95%, and $p = 0.5$ were initially set, obtaining a corrected sample size equal to 381. It was then decided to increase the accuracy of the study by fixing a standard error of no more than 4%, resulting in a final sample of 636 users from 17 golf courses in Andalusia. A total of $n = 16$ users were

eliminated due to experimental mortality by not responding to one or more of the items that made up the questionnaire. Respondents voluntarily agreed to carry out the study, provided they met the following inclusion criteria:

1. Over 18 years old.
2. User of the golf course.
3. Handicap (a license granted by the RFEG to allow the player to go out to play the course and measure their level of play).

Regarding the main characteristics of the sample, the average age of the user was 50.2 ± 15.6 years and 73.43% of the users were men and 26.42% of women. In addition, 59.51% of the users were Spanish, 11.81% were from the United Kingdom, and 9.05% from Sweden.

2.2. Instrument

The instrument used to carry out this study is based on the Zeithaml, Berry and Parasuraman (1996) Behaviour Intentions Scale, translated and adapted to tourism services by Setó (2003), and validated by Nuviala et al. (2014) for users of sports services. Pradas (2016) adapted the tool for golf courses by using the validation of Nuviala et al. (2014) to replace the terms '*sports organisations*' and/or '*sports services*' with '*golf courses*' or '*sports facilities*' in the final version of the tool.

The questionnaire (see Appendix) consisted of a battery of 13 items that were intended to measure a wide range of behavioural intentions based on the user's opinions related to loyalty to the golf course, through a Likert 1–5 scale (1. Totally Disagree, 2. Disagree, 3. Neither agree nor disagree, 4. Agree, 5. Totally agree).

Furthermore, for a better understanding, the variables have been grouped into three categories: 'loyalty' refers to the intention to repurchase and recommend the golf course (V1-V5), 'price sensation' (V6-V9), and 'response' (V10-V13). These dimensions make it possible to relate the concept of fidelity and the service quality, satisfaction, image and/or trust of users based on Setó (2003). Also, this block model has been used in Cavas-García et al. (2018).

2.3. Procedure

After arrangements were made with the managers of each of the golf courses, data collection was carried out between February and December 2015. The questionnaire was self-administered, always in the presence of the interviewer. The objectives of the research work were explained to the participants, and they were instructed to complete all the responses to reduce experimental mortality and information regarding the confidentiality of the study. It was thought to be convenient to complete between 20 and 80 questionnaires in each course, according to their dimensions, and on different days and time slots to increase the sample representativeness, with an approximate duration per participant of between 5–10 minutes.

2.4. Data Analysis

The data analysis was carried out through the statistical package SPSS 20.0 for Windows. First, a descriptive analysis of the sample was carried out for the different variables grouped into three main dimensions: loyalty, price sensation and response. Subsequently, a bivariate analysis of these same variables was carried out with respect to the country of residence and sex of the respondents, using the Mann-Whitney U test. It ends with a two-stage cluster/conglomerate, to identify and classify participants in user groups with similar opinions on loyalty to the golf course.

3. Results

A total of 636 users of golf courses in Andalusia were interviewed. The analysis was done on 13 variables in three categories: 'loyalty' (intention to repurchase and recommend the golf course, V1-V5), 'price sensation' (V6-V9), and 'response' (V10-V13). Furthermore, aspects related to the sociodemographic profile, such as sex and place of residence, were also analysed for a better understanding of the variables.

Regarding the results of the 'loyalty' block, Table 1 shows that 47.16% of users agreed with the statement: *I will tell other people about this golf course* (V1); 31.95% 'totally agreed' and only 1.69% of users 'totally disagreed'. 42.64% agreed to *recommend the golf course* (V2) and 32.82% 'totally agreed', while a negative response was given by fewer than 10% of users. More than 70% of users agreed (37.48%) or 'totally agreed' (34.56%) to *encourage family and friends to play on this golf course* (V3). On the contrary, 4.45% totally disagree.

Regarding if they *consider the golf course as the first option for any service they might need* (V4), 35.74% agreed. Almost 50% was divided between fully agree (23.77%) and neither agree nor disagree (24.85%) and only 6.13% of users disagreed. Approximately two-thirds of the users believed that they *would play more on the golf course in the following years* (V5) (totally agree 32.62% and agree 34.46%).

Table 1. Descriptive analysis of loyalty variables (V1-V5).

	Totally disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Totally agree
1. I will tell other people about this golf course	1.69	6.30	12.90	47.16	31.95
2. I will recommend this golf course to anyone seeking my advice	4.29	4.60	15.65	42.64	32.82
3. I will encourage my family and friends to play on this golf course	4.45	6.30	17.21	37.48	34.56
4. For any service I might need I consider this golf course as my first choice	6.13	9.51	24.85	35.74	23.77
5. In the next few years I plan to play more on this golf course	6.00	9.85	17.07	34.46	32.62

Table 2. Variables related to 'Price sensation' (V6-V19) and 'response' (V10-V13).

	Totally disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Totally agree
6. In the next few years I will play less on this golf course.	34.51	29.28	17.88	9.24	9.09
7. I will recommend this golf course to anyone seeking my advice.	16.44	18.89	38.09	17.36	9.22
8. I will encourage my family and friends to play on this golf course	19.48	27.61	37.19	15.72	14.50
9. For any service I might need I consider this golf course as my first choice.	30.52	23.47	25.15	14.11	6.75
10. In the next few years I plan to play more on this golf course.	14.57	16.10	34.36	19.17	15.80
11. If I have a problem. I will tell other customers/people.	11.50	11.66	34.66	23.62	18.56
12. If I have a problem with this golf course I will make a claim with external entities such as the Consumers and Users Association.	25.23	15.38	29.38	20.15	9.85
13. If I have a problem with the service, I will complain to the golf course manager	9.26	8.95	25.93	31.33	24.54

In reference to price sensation (V6-V9) and response (V10-V13), it is observed in Table 2 that less than 20% of users agree (9.24%) or totally agree (9.09%) that they would play less in the following years on the golf courses (V6). In this sense, a large percentage of users (38.10%) did not agree or disagree with the statement that they may play on another golf course that offers better service (V7). More than half of the users claim that although the prices are higher, they will continue playing in this course, (V8), as almost 50% say they would be willing to pay a higher price for playing on this golf course for the service received (V9).

For the response change to another golf course, if I have a problem with the service (V10), users did not show a strong agreement or disagreement. The highest percentage of responses was that 34.36% said they did not agree or disagree. The users showed a behaviour similar to the previous one (V12). The most chosen option for both statements was not to agree or disagree, with 34.66% and 29.38%, respectively. In V13, approximately 55% of users fully agreed (24.54%) or agreed (31.33%) that they would do so.

Table 3 shows a bivariate analysis of the dimensions of loyalty, price sensation and response according to the country of residence, performing the Mann-Whitney U test for sociodemographic variables with two categories of responses. It is observed that, in general, users residing outside of Spain had a higher rating than residents in Spain. However, these differences are mostly insignificant, with only the following variables being significant: Even if prices go up, I will continue to play in this golf course ($U = 37054.00$; $p = 0.042$), I am willing to pay a higher price for services that I receive ($U = 35841.50$; $p = 0.009$) and if I have a problem I will complain to the director of the golf course ($U = 30042.00$; $p < 0.001$).

Table 3. Bivariate analysis of the Loyalty (V1-5), Price Sensation (V6-9) and Response (V10-13) dimensions according to the country where they resided.

	Country	Mean	SD	Confidence Interval 95%		Mean comparison test p-value 0.05
				Upper limit	Lower limit	
1. I tell positive features other people about this golf course.	Spain	3.972	0.985	3.879	4.055	U=39385.50 0.373
	Outside Spain	4.114	0.742	4.006	4.220	
2. I recommend this golf course to anyone seeking my advice.	Spain	3.907	0.985	3.800	4.006	U=39339.50 0.345
	Outside Spain	4.080	0.798	3.968	4.195	
3. I encourage my family and friends to play on this golf course.	Spain	3.868	1.150	3.758	3.962	U=39600.00 0.445
	Outside Spain	4.029	0.874	3.900	4.151	
4. For any service you might need consider this golf course as the first choice.	Spain	3.561	1.205	3.446	3.682	U=38826.00 0.239
	Outside Spain	3.754	0.898	3.623	3.886	
5. In the next few years I will play more on this golf course.	Spain	3.804	1.195	3.699	3.903	U=38041.00 0.156
	Outside Spain	3.701	1.134	3.525	3.855	
6. In the next few years I will play less on this golf course.	Spain	2.267	1.320	2.147	2.383	U=37288.50 0.081
	Outside Spain	2.379	1.165	2.195	2.549	
7. I may play in another golf course that offers better services.	Spain	2.896	1.192	2.791	3.004	U=37018.00 0.051
	Outside Spain	2.684	1.111	2.506	2.856	
8. Even if the prices were higher, I would play on this golf course.	Spain	2.732	1.284	2.615	2.857	U=37054.00 0.042*
	Outside Spain	2.909	1.068	2.761	3.072	
9. I am willing to pay a higher price for playing on this golf course for the service I receive.	Spain	2.378	1.299	2.268	2.495	U=35841.50 0.009*
	Outside Spain	2.600	1.077	2.440	2.750	
10. I would switch to another golf course if I have a problem with the service.	Spain	3.089	1.303	2.962	3.212	U=39423.50 0.382
	Outside Spain	2.989	1.104	2.828	3.153	
11. If I have a problem, I tell other customers/people.	Spain	3.236	1.274	3.121	3.350	U=40311.00 0.659
	Outside Spain	3.309	1.070	3.161	3.468	
12. If I have a problem with this golf course, I will make a claim with external entities such as the Consumers and Users Association.	Spain	2.772	1.326	2.653	2.886	U=38765.00 0.297
	Outside Spain	2.649	1.230	2.463	2.827	
13. If I have a problem with the service claim from the golf course manager.	Spain	3.679	1.199	3.571	3.788	U=30042.00 0.000*
	Outside Spain	3.149	1.165	2.969	3.333	

Table 4 shows the results of the variables analysed according to gender. Only significant differences between genders are observed in the variables *Encourage my family and friends to play in this golf course* ($U = 37495.00$; $p = 0.024$), in which women had a higher rating; *In the next few years play more in this golf course* ($U = 37796.50$; $p = 0.048$), in which women also showed better evaluation; and *change the golf course if I have problems with the service* ($U = 37614.00$; $p = 0.029$), which in this case the assessment was higher among men.

Table 4. Bivariate analysis of the dimension of Loyalty (V1-5), Price sensation (V6-9) y Response (V10-13) by sex.

	Sex	Mean	SD	Confidence Interval 95%		Mean comparison test; p-value 0.05
				Upper limit	Lower limit	
1. I share positive features of this golf course with other people.	Men	3.98	0.91	3.91	3.91	U=38665.00; 0.086
	Woman	4.09	0.94	3.95	3.95	
2. Recommend this golf course to anyone seeking my advice.	Men	3.92	0.91	3.82	3.82	U=39322.50; 0.159
	Women	4.03	1.02	3.88	3.88	
3. Encourage my family and friends to play on this golf course.	Men	3.87	1.06	3.78	3.78	U=37495.00; 0.024*
	Women	4.04	1.08	3.88	3.88	
4. For any service you might need consider this golf course as the first choice.	Men	3.58	1.10	3.47	3.47	U=38230.50; 0.056
	Women	3.72	1.184	3.55	3.55	
5. In the next few years, I will play more on this golf course.	Men	3.75	1.13	3.64	3.64	U=37796.50; 0.048*
	Women	3.87	1.27	3.67	3.67	
6. In the next few years, I will play less on this golf course.	Men	2.29	1.24	2.18	2.18	U=40093.50; 0.420
	Women	2.26	1.35	2.07	2.07	
7. I may play in another golf course that offers better services.	Men	2.88	1.12	2.78	2.78	U=38621.50; 0.094
	Women	2.71	1.28	2.52	2.52	
8. Even if the prices were higher, I would play on this golf course.	Men	2.75	1.22	2.64	2.64	U=40743.00; 0.497
	Women	2.82	1.24	2.64	2.64	
9. I am willing to pay a higher price for playing on this golf course for the service I receive.	Men	2.45	1.24	2.34	2.34	U=41081.50; 0.605
	Women	2.39	1.24	2.21	2.21	
10. I would switch to another golf course if I have a problem with the service.	Men	3.12	1.26	3.00	3.00	U=37614.00; 0.029*
	Women	2.87	1.18	2.70	2.70	
11. If I have a problem, I tell other customers/people.	Men	3.30	1.20	3.19	3.19	U=39518.50; 0.202
	Women	3.15	1.26	2.95	2.95	
12. If I have a problem with this golf course, I will make a claim with external entities such as the Consumers and Users Association.	Men	2.76	1.30	2.64	2.64	U=40479.00; 0.560
	Women	2.69	1.29	2.50	2.50	
13. If I have a problem with the service, I will complain to the golf course manager.	Men	3.52	1.21	3.41	3.41	U=40664.50; 0.581
	Women	3.57	1.21	3.39	3.39	

Very small cluster sizes	79 (13.1%)
Very large cluster sizes	314 (52.15%)
Ratio of sizes: largest cluster to smallest cluster	3.97

Figure 1. Cluster sizes obtained by a biestatic cluster analysis.

After the descriptive and bivariate analysis of the sociodemographic and loyalty variables, a two-stage cluster/conglomerate statistical analysis is performed, which identifies and classifies the participants in groups of people with similar opinions about loyalty to the course. Three clusters (different groups of people with similar opinions) are evidenced according to the average of the discriminatory variable (Figure 1).

Thus, cluster 1 represents users of the opinion regarding the chances that they will leave the golf course. They are called unfaithful clients and represent 13.1% of the participants and respond to the variables of playing in another course with better services or if they would change because they had a problem with the course (V6), (V7) and (V10). Cluster 2 represents users with higher opinions or in accordance with the fact that they would claim to manager or consumers association if they had a problem (V12), (V13) and (V11). The clients are probably unfaithful and represent 52.1% of the participants. Finally, cluster 3 was formed by customers who would remain on the golf course. That is, they are called loyal customers and represent 34.8% (n=of the participants (V3) (V4), (V1), (V8) and (V9).

4. Discussion

Knowing the future intentions of user behaviour regarding sports organisations is vital because it influences their permanence and growth (Chen and Quester, 2006; Crosby and Johnson, 2008; Van Asperen et al., 2018).

This study of customer loyalty to golf courses seeks to identify low perceptions in the variables analysed in order to establish areas of improvement in service quality to improve loyalty and seek greater loyalty (Baena-Arroyo et al., 2016; García-Fernández, et al., 2017; Theodorakis et al., 2014).

In the *age* variable, it is observed that half of the golf players (50.94%) were aged between 50–69 years, the average age being 50.17 years; Similar values (50 years) have been recorded in the Murcia Region study (Cavas-García et al., 2018) or the Australian study of Crilley et al. (2002). But in this case, it accounted for 36% of the participants. In the Serrano study (2013) there is a slightly lower average age with 47.58 years and the study analysed people from the ages of 16 to 79 years; more than half (57.75%) was a range of age 41 and 60 years. Paniza (2005) said that the profile of the Andalusian golf player is between the ages of 41 and 50 but does not show an average numerical value that endorses it. There are other studies where the average age is very low compared to these studies. The average age of 16.14 years is recorded, and they analyse the performance of amateur golf players (Pinto and Vázquez, 2013).

Most of the participants (72.24%) resided in Spain, followed by a small percentage of the

total residing in other countries such as Sweden (7.67%), Iceland (4.75%) and Denmark (3.22%). Regarding nationality, about 60% were Spanish participants (59.51%), followed by other nationalities such as the United Kingdom (11.81%) and Sweden (9.05%). In the study by Crilley et al. (2002) only 5% of users were born in English-speaking countries, and the study by Navarro-Vera and Ortuño-Padilla (2010) records that of the homeowners in a residential golf complex, 60% are foreigners, of which two-thirds come from the United Kingdom, and more than 20% come from Germany and the Netherlands.

With respect to the loyalty variables of the users according to their sex, only two variables stand out in which there were significant differences in the loyalty block and where a slightly higher assessment was shown in women (V3) and (V5) concerning encouraging family members to sign up for the course, as well as playing more in the course where they were; and with respect to the variable (V10) of the response block, the assessment by men was higher in terms of changing the course if there is any problem with the service. If the results are reviewed with the study by Serrano, Rial, García and Gambau (2011) there are differences between the sexes, but only relative to specific aspects of the management and adaptation of the golf service.

Most of the golf courses users were men (73.43%), compared to 26.42% of women. Although the incorporation of women into the sport has been later, participation in golf were recorded as 58% men and 42% women (Hosteltur, 2012). However, other studies show data of 74.1% of male tourists compared to 25.9% of women (Junta de Andalucía. Ministry of Tourism and Commerce, 2012). Higher differences were found in the Serrano study (2013) where the percentage of men (82.2%) was much higher than the percentage of women (17.8%); values that are maintained in the Australian work of Crilley et al. (2002) were 83% of male users. In courses other than golf, such as fitness (García et al., 2014; García-Ferrando and Llopis, 2011; Molina et al., 2018) have a greater influx of women to these sports services.

It is relevant to know the global opinion that users have about the performance of the golf club, so 47.16% of users agreed with the statement of telling positive aspects to other people (V1), 31.95% totally agreed and only 1.69% of users strongly disagreed. The study by Cavas-García et al. (2018), given the same statement, 45.2% of users agree and 47.6% totally agree.

On the one hand, 42.64% agreed to recommend the course (V2) and 32.82% totally agreed, while a negative response was only given by less than 10% of users, while the percentages are higher in Cavas-García et al. (2018) made in Murcia. On the other hand, these values are similar to those in the study by Paniza (2005), where 45% of the users of the Andalusian courses expressed positive opinions. In the study by Crilley et al. (2002), 68% of users recommend the course and 24% would strongly recommend it, in the present study carried out in Andalusia, higher values are recorded (32.82%).

More than 70% of users agreed (37.48%) or totally agreed (34.56%) in (V3) about encouraging the family to attend the golf course. Conversely, only 4.45% strongly disagreed. In the works of Hennessey, MacDonald and MacEachern (2008) and Hutchinson, Wang and Lai (2010), the overall satisfaction has a significant influence on word-of-mouth references and the

intentions of using a service again.

Some of the users (17.63%) agreed that they would play in another golf course that offered better services (V7), and only 9.22% registered total agreement. Some studies indicate that the state of the golf course and facilities are the attributes that best explain user preferences (Hwang and Won, 2010; Won, Hwang, and Kleiber, 2009).

The loyalty category is the one that best expresses the intention to repurchase and recommend the service (Setó, 2003). In the work done in Murcia by Cavas-García et al. (2018), similar results were obtained to those found in this work, highlighting that the opinions in Murcia have been in all cases superior to the clients of the golf courses in Andalusia. Approximately two-thirds of the clients stated that in the next few years they would play more on the golf course (V5) where they were a member (67.08%), 'totally agree' (32.62%) and 'agree' (4.46%). These terms are related to loyalty (Sanz and Ponce de León, 2005, 2006), while Van Asperen et al. (2018) indicates that this loyalty is related to social networks.

The category of price sensation and being willing to pay more for the service offered (V9) in the course expressed 'totally agree' (6.75%) and 'agree' (14.11%), values slightly higher than the work from Cavas-García et al. (2018) in Murcia. Serrano (2013), confirms that users are willing to pay more for better customer service in the human factor, considerations that are shared by other studies in other types of sports services (Bodet, 2006; Dorado, 2004; Rial, Valera, Rial, and Real, 2010). Regarding the dimension of response and change to another golf course in case of a problem (V10), they expressed 'totally agree' (15.80%) and 'agree' (19.17%). In the study in Murcia by Cavas-García et al. (2018), much better results have been obtained (doubling) in the affirmation of 'totally agree' (37.70%), while maintaining similar values in that of 'agree' (21.4%).

Relevant in this investigation is the contribution of the cluster statistical analysis identifying and classifying the participants in groups of people with similar opinions about loyalty with the course. Data guides managers of the golf courses to establish strategies based on the results of clusters 1 and 2. It is evident that cluster 1 (V6-V7-V10) represents the so-called unfaithful clients (13.1%) who would easily switch to another golf course in the event of dissatisfaction or any problem. Cluster 2 (V11-V12-V13), represent half of the participants with 52.1% and show customers unfaithful ones identified with opinions related to the fact that they would claim. Undoubtedly, this business model based on the loyalty of golfers should take into account these data and establish strategies to reduce these percentages (Valenzuela- Fernández, 2010). The Galician Autonomous Community jointly work the Galician golf courses in marketing to the French golf tourist (Clúster Turismo Galicia, 2016).

In general, and not only on golf courses, there must be a joint effort between manager and rest of the entity's employees (Ramos-Farroñán, Valdivia-Salazar, and Vidaurre-Sandoval, 2019; Rodríguez, 2016), they must plan actions to retain the client faithful (Valenzuela- Fernández, 2010), in the case of cluster 3 (V1-V3-V4-V8-V9) is formed by the clients that would remain on the golf course and represents a high percentage, 34.81% of the participants.

5. Conclusions and practical implications

The study presents a tool to predict future intentions of golf course users' behaviour, a simple scale that can be used by managers to measure customer loyalty to their golf course.

Other aspects to highlight about the opinion that customers show are:

1. A positive general opinion (V1-V2-V3) of the clients is observed on the golf courses they attend, highlighting in V1, that almost 50% agree (47.16%) to spread the good aspects from the countryside to friends and family.
2. Managers of the golf courses must pay special attention to variables (V2) and (V3), which are the ones with which the clients feel most identified and can guide the establishment of loyalty policies.
3. Given the claim that although the prices are higher, the client will continue playing on the golf course (V8), only 30.22% positioned themselves in the 'totally agree' and 'agree' responses. These values invite to the reflection since almost half (47.09%) of the users have positioned themselves in the affirmation of 'totally disagree' and 'disagree'.
4. Users who reside outside of Spain collect an assessment of the golf courses higher than those provided by residents in Spain (V8-V9) and obtain a better score in these variables related to loyalty. In the variable (V13) related to the variable block response, the clients residing in Spain show a higher assessment and indicate that if they had any problem with the service, they would complain to the director of the golf course.
5. The discriminatory variable most valued in the clusters was 'encourage family and friends to attend the club' (V3).

These results affirm that the study is a reliable and valid instrument for management because it provides very useful information about the future intentions of golf course clients, and the client base is one of the greatest assets of a golf course. In that way, this tool is very suitable for managers. It helps them identify the future intentions of the client and allows them to plan actions to hold those that are potentially included in the disloyal and first cluster group to leave the club. In conclusion, managers are advised that they must offer better service and adapt their offerings in order to build customer loyalty.

References

- Aymerich, F., and Anabitarte, J. (2016). El Impacto Económico del Golf en España. Retrieved from <http://www.rfegolf.es/ArtculosDocumento/Turismo%20e%20impacto%20econ%C3%B3mico/Turismo%20e%20impacto%20econ%C3%B3mico%202016/2016%20impacto%20econ%C3%B3mico%20del%20golf%20en%20Espa%C3%B1a.pdf>
- Baena-Arroyo, M.J., Gálvez-Ruiz, P., Sánchez-Oliver, A.J., and Bernal-García, A. (2016). La relación entre la experiencia de servicio, el valor percibido y las intenciones de comportamiento en clientes de actividades dirigidas. *Revista de Psicología Del Deporte*, 25(3), 89–92.
- Barciela, F. (2017). La industria del golf sale del hoyo. La crisis y las nuevas regulaciones para el sector como las relativas al consumo de agua han propiciado la creación de empresas de servicios. Retrieved from https://elpais.com/economia/2017/11/30/actualidad/1512058937_849515.html
- Barragán-Codina, J., Castillo-Villareal, J., and Guerra-Rodríguez, P. (2009). La retención de empleados eficientes: importancia estratégica de la fidelización de los empleados. *Daena (International Journal of Good Conscience)*, 4(2), 145-159. Retrieved from <http://www.spentamexico.org/v4-n2/4%282%29%20145-159.pdf>
- Biscaia, R., Correia, A., Rosado, A., Ross, S.D., and Maroco, J. (2013). Sport sponsorship: The relationship between team loyalty, sponsorship awareness, attitude toward the sponsor, and purchase intentions. *Journal of Sport Management*, 27(4), 288–302. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsm.27.4.288>
- Bodet, G. (2006). Investigating Customer Satisfaction in a Health Club Context. *European Sport management Quaterly*, 6, 149-165. <https://doi.org/10.1080/16184740600954148>
- Bodet, G. (2012). Loyalty in sport participation services: An examination of the mediating role of psychological commitment. *Journal of Sport Management*, 26(1), 30-42. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsm.26.1.30>
- Cáslavová, E., Pecinová, M., Ruda, T., and Šíma, M. (2018). Service quality in sport: A case study of golf resorts in the Liberec region. *Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Kinanthropologica*, 54(2), 137-148. <https://doi.org/10.14712/23366052.2018.11>
- Cavas-García, F., Díaz-Suárez, A., and Martínez-Moreno, A. (2018). Lealtad de los clientes de un campo de golf. Un estudio de caso. *Journal of Sports Economics and Management*, 8(2), 100-106.
- Chen, S.C., and Quester, P.G. (2006). Modeling store loyalty: perceived value in market orientation practice. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 20(3), 188-198. <https://doi.org/10.1108/08876040610665643>
- Clúster Turismo de Galicia. (2016). La coordinación entre hoteles y campos de golf, clave para la comercialización del producto en Galicia. Retrieved from <http://clusterturismo galicia.com/la-coordinacion-entre-hoteles-y-campos-de-golf-clave-para-la-comercializacion-del-producto-en-galicia-el-hotel-los-abetos-de-santiago-de-compostela-acogio-una-jornada-en-la-que-se-dieron-cita-las-di/>

- Crilley, G., Murray, D., Howat, G., March, H., and Adamson, D. (2002). Measuring Performance in operational management and customer service quality. A survey of financial and non-financial metrics from the Australia golf Industry. *Journal of Leisure Property*, 2(4), 369-371. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.rlp.5090157>
- Crosby, L.A., and Johnson, S.L. (2008). En busca de experiencias que generen fidelidad. *Harvard Deusto Márketing y Ventas*, 85, 38-48.
- Dorado, A. (2004). Análisis de la satisfacción de los usuarios: hacia un nuevo modelo de gestión basado en la calidad para los servicios deportivos municipales (Tesis doctoral). Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, España.
- García, F., Alguacil, M., and Molina, N. (2020). Predicción de la lealtad de los usuarios de centros deportivos privados. Variables de gestión y bienestar subjetivo. *Retos*, 38, 16-19. Retrieved from <https://recyt.fecyt.es/index.php/retos/article/view/73345/46263>
- García-Fernández, J., Bernal-García, A., Fernández-Gavira, J., and Vélez-Colon, L. (2014). Analysis of existing literature on management and marketing of the fitness centre industry. *South African Journal for Research in Sport, Physical Education and Recreation*, 36(3), 75-91.
- García-Fernández, J., Fernández-García, J., and Bernal-García, A. (2014). La percepción de calidad y fidelidad en clientes de centros de fitness low-cost. *Suma Psicológica*, 21(2), 123-130. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0121-4381\(14\)70015-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0121-4381(14)70015-3)
- García-Fernández, J., and Pires-Veja, F. (2010). Fidelización de usuarios mayores en centros de fitness: Gestión de clientes por programas de actividad física. *Retos. Nuevas tendencias en Educación Física, Deporte y Recreación*, 17, 103-106.
- García-Fernández, J., Sánchez-Oliver, A.J., Grimaldi-Puyana, M., Fernández-Gavira, J., and Gálvez-Ruiz, P. (2017). La calidad y la fidelidad del cliente: Un análisis de segmentación en centros de fitness low-cost. *Journal Sport Psychology*, 26, 17-22.
- García-Ferrando, M., and Llopis, R. (2011). Encuesta sobre los hábitos deportivos en España 2010. Madrid, España: Consejo Superior de Deportes – Centro de Investigaciones Sociológicas.
- Granja-Dueñas, T.A. (2013). Guía para la Aplicación de Store Environment y Field Marketing a través del uso de Neuromarketing en almacenes de ropa deportiva. Caso Marathon Sports (Tesis Doctoral). Universidad de las Américas, Ecuador.
- Hennessey, S.M., MacDonald, R., and MacEachern, M. (2008). A Framework for Understanding Golfing Visitors to a Destination. *Journal of Sport and Tourism*, 13(1), 5–35. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14775080801972023>
- Hosteltur (2012). Especial Golf - El oro verde el turismo. Retrieved from https://issuu.com/hosteltur_2012/docs/especial_golf_hosteltur_2012-el_oro_verde_del_turi
- Hutchinson, J., Wang, Y., and Lai, F. (2010). The impact of satisfaction judgment on behavioral intentions: An investigation of golf travelers. *Journal of Vacation Marketing*, 16(1), 45-59. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1356766709356203>

- Hwang, S., and Won, D. (2010). A conjoint analysis regarding influencing factors of golfers' preferred driving ranges in Korea. *International Journal of Leisure and Tourism Marketing*, 1(3), 227-237. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJLTM.2010.029586>
- Iglesias, M.A. (2015). El deporte del golf: análisis sectorial y aplicación del modelo EFQM a la gestión de campos de golf en Castilla y León (Tesis Doctoral). Universidad de Valladolid, España.
- Iglesias, M.A., and Lara, A.J. (2019). Turismo de golf en países del sur de Europa. Un mercado potencial. *Estudios de Economía Aplicada*, 37(1), 64-78. <https://doi.org/10.25115/eea.v37i1.2573>
- Junta de Andalucía. Consejería de Turismo y Comercio. (2012). Turismo de golf en Andalucía. Informe anual 2012. Retrieved from http://www.juntadeandalucia.es/turismoycomercio/publicaciones/143324771_2012.pdf
- KPMG (2017). Golf Participation Report for Europe 2017. Retrieved from https://www.golfbenchmark.com/golf_participation_report_for_europe_2017
- Kuhn, P., Bendesa, K., Wiranatha, A.S., and Oka-Suryawardani, I.G.A. (2019a). The antecedents of golfers, satisfaction and its effects on their loyalties: A structural equation model for golf tourism in Bali, Indonesia. *Journal of Tourism and Hospitality Management*, 7(1), 66-73. <https://doi.org/10.15640/jthm.v7n1a7>
- Kuhn, P., Bendesa, K., Wiranatha, A.S., and Oka-Suryawardani, I.G.A. (2019b). Does Motivation and Trust Important for Tourists' Loyalties? A Quantitative Perspective from Golf Tourism in Bali of Indonesia. *E-journal of tourism*, 6, 88-101. <https://doi.org/10.24922/eot.v6i1.47481>
- Liat, C.B., Mansori, S., and Huei, C.T. (2014). The associations between service quality, corporate image, customer satisfaction, and loyalty: Evidence from the Malaysian hotel industry. *Journal of hospitality marketing and management*, 23(3), 314-326. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19368623.2013.796867>
- López-Bonilla, J.M., Reyes-Rodríguez, M.D.C., and López-Bonilla, L.M. (2018). The Environmental Attitudes and Behaviours of European Golf Tourists. *Sustainability*, 10, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10072214>
- MacIntosh, E., and Law, B. (2015). Should I stay or should I go? Imploring the decision to join, maintain, or cancel a fitness membership. *Managing Sport and Leisure*, 20(3), 191-210. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23750472.2015.1025093>
- Martínez, J.A., and Martínez, L. (2009). A customer management model in sports services; a system dynamics approach. *Revista Internacional de Medicina y Ciencias de la Actividad Física y del Deporte*, 9(36), 431-453.
- Molina, N., Mundina, J., and Gómes-Tafalla, A. (2018). Perfil del usuario de centros deportivos privados, según género, edad y nivel de antigüedad. *SPORT TK: Revista Euroamericana de Ciencias del Deporte*, 8(1), 23-28. <https://doi.org/10.6018/sportk.362281>
- Navarro-Vera, J.R., and Ortuño-Padilla, A. (2010). Impacto de los campos de golf en Levante. Ciudad y Territorio. *Estudios Territoriales*, 163, 35-48.

- Nuviala, A., García- Fernández, J., Bernal-García, A., Grao-Cruces, A., Teva-Villén, M.R., and Pérez-Ordás, R. (2014). Adaptación y validación de la Escala de Intenciones Futuras de Comportamiento en usuarios de servicios deportivos. *Universitas Psychologica*, 13(3), 1071-1082. <https://doi.org/10.11144/Javeriana.UPSY13-3.avei>
- Nuviala, A., Grao-Cruces, A., Pérez, J.A., and Nuviala, R. (2012). Quality, Satisfaction and Perceived Value in Groups of Users of Sports Organisations in Spain. *Kinesiology*, 44(1), 94-103. Retrieved from <https://www.redalyc.org/pdf/1153/115324888002.pdf>
- Paniza, J.L. (2005). La percepción social del golf en Andalucía. Málaga, España: Consejería de Comercio, Turismo y Deporte.
- Paniza, J.L. (2010). Empleo y formación en los campos de golf de Andalucía. Sevilla, España: Consejería de Turismo, Comercio y Deporte.
- Pedreño, A.D. (2015). Perfil sociodemográfico y características del viaje del turista de golf no residente en España: 2010-2013. *Anuario de Jóvenes Investigadores*, 8, 75-77.
- Pereira, R.L., Correia, A.H., and Schutz, R.L. (2015). Towards a taxonomy of a golf-destination brand personality: Insights from the Algarve golf industry. *Journal of Destination Marketing and Management*, 4(1), 57-67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdmm.2014.12.003>
- Pinillos, J. (2004). Winning the retention battle: librando la batalla por la retención. *Investigación y Marketing*, 83, 39-42.
- Pincay-Quimiz, V., and Romero-Maquillón, M.G. (2015). Diseño e implementación de un sistema de control interno para optimizar el uso de los recursos humanos, materiales y financieros en la urbanización Mocoli golf club de la ciudad de Guayaquil (Tesis doctoral). Universidad de Guayaquil, Ecuador.
- Pinto, M.F., and Vázquez, N. (2013). Ansiedad estado competitiva y estrategias de afrontamiento: su relación con el rendimiento en una muestra argentina de jugadores amateurs de golf. *Revista de Psicología del Deporte*, 22(1), 47-52.
- Pradas, M. (2016). Análisis de la calidad de los servicios prestados de los clientes internos y externos de los campos de golf en Andalucía (Tesis Doctoral). Universidad Pablo de Olavide, España.
- Pradas, M., and García-Tascón, M. (2019a). Satisfacción con el turismo de golf en Andalucía y su impacto económico. *Revista Española de Educación Física y Deportes*, 426, 227-233. Retrieved from <http://www.reefd.es/index.php/reefd/article/download/783/663>
- Pradas, M., and García-Tascón, M. (2019b). Análisis de intenciones futuras de comportamiento en usuarios de campos de golf de Andalucía. *Revista Española de Educación Física y Deportes*, 426, 533-539. Retrieved from <http://reefd.es/index.php/reefd/article/viewFile/826/701>
- Pinero, J.M. (2016). El turismo y la industria del golf en España. Un nuevo año de record trabajo. Retrieved from <https://www.auren.com/es-ES/blog/turismo/2016/11/07/el-turismo-y-la-industria-del-golf-en-espana>

- Ramos-Farroñán, E.V., Valdivia-Salazar, C.A., and Vidaurre-Sandoval, J.E. (2019). El geomarketing como estrategia para el posicionamiento de una óptica en Chiclayo 2018. *Tzhoecon*, 11(1), 24-33.
- Real Federación Española de Golf (2019). Evolución de licencias desde 1967. Retrieved from <http://www.rfegolf.es/ArticulosDocumento/LICENCIAS/evolucionlicencias.pdf>
- Rial, J., Varela, J., Rial, A., and Real, E. (2010). Modelización y medida de la Calidad Percibida en centros deportivos: la escala QSport-10. *Revista Internacional de Ciencias del Deporte*, 18(6), 57-73. <https://doi.org/10.5232/ricyde2010.01804>
- Riquel-Ligero, F., and Vargas-Sánchez, A. (2012). The institutional framework of environmental character on andalusian golf courses: a factor analysis. *Cuadernos de Turismo*, 29, 287-289.
- Rodríguez, M.A. (2016). Análisis de las estrategias de fidelización de los clientes internos (Tesis Doctoral). Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina.
- Sanz, E., and Ponce de León, A. (2005-2006). Valoración de los recursos del ocio físico-deportivo: análisis y selección de atributos. *Contextos educativos*, 8-9, 201-209. Retrieved from <https://dialnet.unirioja.es/descarga/articulo/2091399.pdf>
- Serrano, V. (2013). Diseño y elaboración de una herramienta de gestión para evaluar la calidad percibida de los usuarios en clubes de golf (Tesis Doctoral). Universidad de la Coruña, España.
- Serrano, V., Rial, A., García, O., and Gambau, V. (2011). El perfil de usuario en clubes de golf sociales-mixtos con campos de 9 hoyos en Galicia: un intento de segmentación desde la perspectiva de género. *Cuadernos de Psicología del Deporte*, 11(2), 131-138. Retrieved from <https://revistas.um.es/cpd/article/view/133921/123281>
- Setó, D. (2003). La fidelidad del cliente en el ámbito de los servicios: un análisis de la escala intenciones de comportamiento. *Investigaciones Europeas de Dirección y Economía de la Empresa*, 9(2), 189-204.
- The Global Golf Tourism Organisation [IAGTO] (2013). Golf tourism report 2013. Retrieved from <http://www.engorussia.ru/upload/2883.pdf>
- The Global Golf Tourism Organisation [IAGTO] (2019). The Global Golf Tourism Organisation. Retrieved from <http://www.iagto.com>
- Theodorakis, N.D., Howat, G., Ko, Y.J., and Avourdiadou, S. (2014). A comparison of service evaluation models in the context of sport and fitness centres in Greece. *Managing Leisure*, 19(1), 18-35. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13606719.2013.849505>
- Triadó, X., and Aparicio, P. (2004). El tipo de cuota como variable de fidelización de usuarios en entidades deportivas: un reto actual de consecuencias futuras. *Investigación y Marketing*, 83, 31-38.
- Tsitskari, E., Tsiotras, D., and Tsiotras, G. (2006). Measuring service quality in sport services. *Total Quality Management and Business Excellence*, 17(5), 623-631. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14783360600588190>
- Valenzuela-Fernández, L. (2010). Marketing orientado al cliente y ética empresarial: efectos sobre el valor de la cartera (Tesis Doctoral). Universidad de Chile, Chile.

- Wakefield, K. (2016). Using fan passion to predict attendance, media consumption and social media behaviors. *Journal of Sport Management*, 30(3), 229-247. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsm.2015-0039>
- Van Asperen, M., de Rooij, P., and Dijkmans, C. (2018) Engagement-Based Loyalty: The Effects of Social Media Engagement on Customer Loyalty in the Travel Industry, *International Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Administration*, 19(1), 78-94. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15256480.2017.1305313>
- Vargas-Sánchez, A., and Riquel-Ligero, F. (2015). Golf tourism, its institutional setting, and environmental management: A longitudinal analysis. *European Journal of Tourism Research*, 9, 41–56.
- Wei, P., Hung, H., Yang, H., and Jui, Y. (2010). Examination of the influence of service quality on membership renewal in fitness centers in San Francisco Bay Area. *Journal of Service Science*, 3(2), 13-24. <https://doi.org/10.19030/jss.v3i2.366>
- Won, D., Hwang, S., and Kleiber, D.A. (2009). How do golfers choose a golf course? A conjoint analysis of influencing factors. *Journal of Park and Recreation Administration*, 27(2), 1-16.
- Woo, B. (2017). Testing the relationships among motivation, service quality, customer satisfaction and loyalty: A case of recreational golfers in South Korea. *International Journal of Applied Sport Sciences*, 29(1), 64-76. <https://doi.org/10.24985/ijass.2017.29.1.64>
- Wu, H.C., and Ai, C.H. (2016). Synthesizing the effects of experiential quality, excitement, equity, experiential satisfaction on experiential loyalty for the golf industry: The case of Hainan Island. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Management*, 29, 41-59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhtm.2016.05.005>
- Yi, Y., and La, S. (2004). What influences the relationship between customer satisfaction and repurchase intention? Investigating the effects of adjusted expectations and customer loyalty. *Psychology and Marketing*, 21(5), 351–373. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20009>
- Zeithaml, V.A., Berry, L., and Parasuraman, A. (1996). The behavioral consequences of service quality. *Journal of Marketing*, 60(2), 31-46. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299606000203>

Appendix

Table A.1. Questionnaire adapted of future behavioural intentions (Loyalty) of the golf courses (Pradas, 2016).

	1	2	3	4	5
1. I tell positive features other people about this golf course.					
2. I recommend this golf course to anyone seeking my advice.					
3. I encourage to my family and friends to play on this golf course.					
4. For any service you might need consider this golf course as the first choice.					
5. In the next few years I will play more on this golf course.					
6. In the next few years I will play less on this golf course.					
7. I may play in another golf course that offers better services.					
8. Even if the prices were higher, I would play on this golf course.					
9. I am willing to pay a higher price for playing on this golf course for the service I receive.					
10. I would switch to another golf course if I have a problem with the service.					
11. If I have a problem, I tell other customers/people.					
12. If I have a problem with this golf course, I will make a claim with external entities such as the Consumers and Users Association.					
13. If I have a problem with the service claim from the golf course manager.					