

Faccio, Sondra

Research Report

FDI contracts should include investor obligations on sustainable development

Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 388

Provided in Cooperation with:

Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI) - A joint center of Columbia Law School and the Earth Institute, Columbia University

Suggested Citation: Faccio, Sondra (2024) : FDI contracts should include investor obligations on sustainable development, Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 388, Columbia University, Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), New York, NY

This Version is available at:

<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/300629>

Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.



Columbia FDI Perspectives

Perspectives on topical foreign direct investment issues

Editor-in-Chief: Karl P. Sauvant (Karl.Sauvant@law.columbia.edu)

Managing Editor: Chioma Menankiti (clm2249@columbia.edu)

The Columbia FDI Perspectives are a forum for public debate. The views expressed by the authors do not reflect the opinions of CCSI or our partners and supporters.

No. 388 July 22, 2024

FDI contracts should include investor obligations on sustainable development

by
Sondra Faccio*

[FDI contracts](#) may be concluded by states and/or state-owned enterprises and MNEs, and they are usually regulated by the law of the host state. Unlike international investment treaties, through which states undertake obligations about the treatment of FDI in their territories, FDI contracts detail the [commitments and economic benefits of states and investors](#) for specific FDI projects.

FDI contracts, especially in the natural resources and energy industries, increasingly [feature provisions committing investors to undertake tailor-made activities toward sustainable FDI](#). These “investor obligations regarding sustainable development”, which sometimes may [be based on domestic legislation](#), reflect states’ efforts to maximize the contribution of FDI projects to local development and attenuate the negative environmental and social impacts of such projects.

Investor obligations may relate to:

- The employment and training of local workers, requiring investors to contribute financial and other means to reach given targets of employment and/or for the training of local workers.

For example, the [Philippines’ FDI contracts](#) establish that: “[t]he Contractor ... shall, in consultation and with consent of the Government, prepare and undertake an extensive training programme suitable to Filipino nationals in all levels of employment”. The program requires investors to reach specific targets of domestic employment, e. g., that, in Year 1, 75% of professional and management workers engaged in investment operations shall be Filipinos.

- The development of the local community, requiring investors to provide financial support, infrastructure and/or key services to the population affected by FDI and engage in stakeholder consultations.

For example, [Guinea’s contracts](#) oblige investors to pay a yearly amount corresponding to 0.5% of their turnover for the benefit of local communities and to conclude local development

agreements. These agreements must establish, *inter alia*, procedures for the realization of social projects.

- Respect of human rights and the environment, obliging investors to perform impact assessments and create monitoring, management and/or recovering plans, and grievance mechanisms.

For example, [Brazil's model concession contract](#) establishes that “[i]t is the duty of the operator company to continuously monitor all the activities that involve operational, environmental or human health risks, through a monitoring center, necessarily located in Brazil”. Some contracts, e. g., [Cameroon's contracts](#), may spell out what continuous monitoring consists of.

In addition, some FDI contracts affirm the state's right to adopt regulatory measures to protect health, safety and the environment. These provisions aim to prevent investor claims—under contractual stabilization clauses and treaty standards, such as fair and equitable treatment—rather than to impose obligations upon investors. For example, the [Republic of Congo's](#) and [Mozambique's](#) FDI contracts establish that stabilization clauses are not applicable to changes in legislation pertaining to health, safety, labor, social security, or the environment.

There are at least three benefits of including this type of clauses in FDI contracts:

- They commit foreign investors to contribute—through activities and finances—to host states' efforts to achieve sustainable development, considering the specificities of projects and the host communities involved. In this regard, FDI contracts go beyond the [new generation of investment treaties](#) that broadly prohibit investors to take actions that may endanger the enjoyment of human rights and/or the environment, or ask them to strive to comply with domestic rules or advance sustainable development.
- Violations of contractual obligations by investors may entitle host states to [file claims against investors before arbitral tribunals, including ICSID tribunals](#) (Art. 36). Moreover, FDI contracts may provide the basis for [states' counterclaims](#) and defenses in investor-state treaty arbitrations.
- They ensure the state's right to regulate. FDI contracts may prevent investors' claims (both under contracts and investment treaties) or offer defense arguments to states.

The above makes FDI contracts potentially effective in raising the bar of FDI governance toward sustainability in host states: the specific and proactive nature of obligations and the threat of states' claims and counterclaims may encourage compliance by investors. This, in turn, may help [rebalancing the one-sided structure of the current investment law regime](#).

Yet, the benefit may be less than it seems due to the hesitancy of states—especially least developed ones—to initiate arbitration against investors under FDI contracts. States still face the costs, risks and negative publicity associated with arbitration. However, hesitancy may decline when contractual

clauses are spelled out in clear and specific language, accompanied by effective monitoring, reporting and enforcement.

Overall, FDI contracts are more likely to advance sustainable development when they:

- Contain obligations for investors to conclude agreements with the local community (rather than to “make efforts”, or to “negotiate”).
- Identify local community representatives or set procedures for their identification.
- Clearly identify resources to be committed by the investor for training, local development, etc.
- Provide for monitoring and reporting, to ensure the community (and the state) remains abreast of developments through the life of investment projects, and mechanisms for accountability.
- Feature broad arbitration clauses to cover any breach of contract by investors.
- Safeguard states’ right to regulate in the public interest.

One of the key challenges is to [strengthen the negotiating capacity of host states](#) (or to [obtain international assistance available for FDI contract negotiations](#)), with a view to improving host states’ ability to maximize benefits and minimize negative effects derived from FDI projects.

* Sondra Faccio (sondra.faccio@unitn.it) is Assistant Professor of International Law at the School of International Studies and the Faculty of Law of the University of Trento (Italy). This *Perspective* is based on “[Investment contracts and the reform of investment arbitration: towards sustainability](#)” and the chapter on “[Extractive industry for sustainable development? Some reflections on the role of investment contracts in ensuring benefit sharing and community participation in natural resource governance](#)”. The author wishes to thank Lorenzo Cotula, Tehtena Mebratu-Tsegaye and Louis Wells for their helpful peer reviews.

The material in this Perspective may be reprinted if accompanied by the following acknowledgment: “Sondra Faccio, ‘FDI contracts should include investor obligations on sustainable development’ Columbia FDI Perspectives, No. 388, July 22, 2024. Reprinted with permission from the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (<http://ccsi.columbia.edu>).” A copy should kindly be sent to the Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment at ccsi@law.columbia.edu.

For further information, including information regarding submission to the *Perspectives*, please contact: Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment, Chioma Menankiti, at clm2249@columbia.edu.

The Columbia Center on Sustainable Investment (CCSI), a joint center of Columbia Law School and Columbia Climate School at Columbia University, is a leading applied research center and forum dedicated to the study, practice and discussion of sustainable international investment. Our mission is to develop and disseminate practical approaches and solutions, as well as to analyze topical policy-oriented issues, in order to maximize the impact of international investment for sustainable development. The Center undertakes its mission through interdisciplinary research, advisory projects, multi-stakeholder dialogue, educational programs, and the development of resources and tools. For more information, visit us at <http://ccsi.columbia.edu>.

Most recent Columbia FDI Perspectives

- No. 387, Priyanka Kher, '[Investing abroad: Why Indian firms should forge ahead and how the government can help](#),' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, July 8, 2024
- No. 386, Charles-Emmanuel Côté, Shotaro Hamamoto, Marcin J. Menkes, and Xu Qian, '[The growing interest for joint interpretations of investment treaties by state parties](#),' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, June 24, 2024
- No. 385, Daniel Gerkin and Michelle Weinbaum, '[Passive financing in the crosshairs: CFIUS intensifies focus on limited partners in covered private equity transactions](#),' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, June 10, 2024
- No. 384, Rodrigo Polanco, '[Limited home state measures in the WTO Investment Facilitation for Development Agreement: a missed opportunity or a starting point](#),' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, May 27, 2024
- No. 383, Andrea Shemberg, '[Recognizing the state's "duty to regulate": the mindset needs to shift](#),' *Columbia FDI Perspectives*, May 13, 2024