# ECONSTOR 

Make Your Publications Visible.

# Working Paper <br> On the estimation of reduced rank regressions 

Working Paper, No. 2002-08

## Provided in Cooperation with:

Department of Economics, Brown University

Suggested Citation: Hansen, Peter Reinhard (2002) : On the estimation of reduced rank regressions,
Working Paper, No. 2002-08, Brown University, Department of Economics, Providence, RI

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/80161

## Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

## Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.

## On the Estimation of

## Reduced Rank Regressions

## Peter Reinhard Hansen ${ }^{1}$

Working Paper 2002-08
March, 2002


Brown University<br>Department of Economics

[^0]
#### Abstract

It is well-know that estimation by reduced rank regression is given by the solution to a generalized eigenvalue problem. This paper presents a new proof to establish this result and provides additional insight into the structure of the estimation problem. The proof is a direct algebraic proof that some might find more intuitive than existing proofs.
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## 1 Introduction

Reduced rank regression (RRR) problems appear in several econometric models. Examples include the analysis of multivariate time-series, see Velu, Reinsel, and Wichern (1986) and Velu and Reinsel (1987) and the analysis of cointegrated variables in the vector autoregressive framework, see Johansen (1988, 1991, 1996). Reduced rank regression was introduced by Anderson (1951) and the book by Reinsel and Velu (1998) contains an excellent exposition of reduced rank regression and its relations to econometric models.

The objective in a $\operatorname{RRR}$ is to minimize the sum of squared residual subject to a reduced rank condition. Without the rank condition the estimation problem is a simple OLS problem. The properties RRR and OLS estimators have been analyzed and compared by Anderson (2002), in both a stationary and non-stationary setting. To show that the RRR estimators solve the minimization problem is not as simple as is the case for the OLS estimator. The estimation problem of a RRR can be simplified to the problem $\max _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times r}}\left|x^{\prime} M x\right| /\left|x^{\prime} N x\right|$, where $M$ and $N$ are data-dependent matrices, and where $|A|$ denotes the determinant of a squared matrix $A$. The difficult step is to show that $\hat{x}=\left(\hat{v}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{v}_{r}\right)$ is the solution to this problem, where $\hat{v}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{v}_{r}$ are the the eigenvectors of $|\lambda N-M|=0$ that corresponds to the $r$ largest eigenvalues. This result can be obtained by a second order Taylor expansion, as in Johansen (1996); by reference to Poincare's theorem, see Magnus and Neudecker (1988); or by the algebraic proof presented in this paper. The new proof is based on a determinant representation that yields additional insight into estimation problems under additional restrictions. ${ }^{1}$

## 2 Reduced Rank Regression

A reduced rank regression takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0 t}=\alpha \beta^{\prime} Z_{1, t}+\Psi Z_{2, t}+\varepsilon_{t}, \quad t=1, \ldots, T \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where $Z_{0, t}, Z_{1, t}$, and $Z_{2, t}$ are vectors of dimension $p, p_{1}$, and $p_{2}$ respectively, and where $\alpha, \beta$, and $\Phi$ are parameters of dimension $p \times r, p_{1} \times r$, and $p \times p_{2}$ respectively. The error term, $\varepsilon_{t}$, is iid, with mean, $E\left(\varepsilon_{t}\right)=0$, and variance $\operatorname{var}\left(\varepsilon_{t}\right)=\Omega$, and $\varepsilon_{t}$ is independent of $\left(Z_{1 t}, Z_{2, t}, Z_{1, t-1}, Z_{2, t-1}, \ldots\right)$. The RRR estimators of $\alpha, \beta$, and $\Psi$ are defined as the solution to $\min _{\alpha, \beta, \Psi}\left|\sum_{t=1}^{T} \varepsilon_{t} \varepsilon_{t}^{\prime}\right|$, and the RRR estimator is the maximum likelihood estimator if $\varepsilon_{t}$ is assumed to be normally distributed.

In matrix notation a RRR take the form $\mathbf{Z}_{0}=\mathbf{Z}_{1} \beta \alpha^{\prime}+\mathbf{Z}_{2} \Psi^{\prime}+\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$, where the $t$ th row of $\mathbf{Z}_{0}, \mathbf{Z}_{1}, \mathbf{Z}_{2}$, and $\varepsilon$ is given by $Z_{0, t}^{\prime}, Z_{1, t}^{\prime}, Z_{2, t}^{\prime}$, and $\varepsilon_{t}^{\prime}$ respectively, $t=1, \ldots, T$, so that $\operatorname{var}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)=I_{T} \otimes \Omega$. We define the moment matrices $M_{i j}=\mathbf{Z}_{i}^{\prime} \mathbf{Z}_{j} / T, i, j=0,1,2$ and $S_{i j}=$ $M_{i j}-M_{i 2} M_{22}^{-1} M_{2 i}, i, j=0,1$.

Theorem 1 (Reduced Rank Regression) The parameter estimators of (1) are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\beta} & =\left(\hat{v}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{v}_{r}\right) \phi \\
\hat{\alpha}(\beta) & =S_{01} \hat{\beta}\left(\hat{\beta}^{\prime} S_{11} \hat{\beta}\right)^{-1} \\
\hat{\Psi} & =M_{02} M_{22}^{-1}-\hat{\alpha} \hat{\beta}^{\prime} M_{12} M_{22}^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left(\hat{v}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{v}_{r}\right)$ are the eigenvectors corresponding to the $r$ largest eigenvalues $\hat{\lambda}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{\lambda}_{r}$ of $\left|\lambda S_{11}-S_{10} S_{00}^{-1} S_{01}\right|=0,{ }^{2}$ and where $\phi$ is an arbitrary $r \times r$ matrix with full rank.

Remark 1 The parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are not identified. However, the $r \times r$ matrix, $\phi$, can be used as a normalization device. E.g. if the normalization $\beta=\left(I_{r}, \beta_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$ is desired, one can choose $\phi$ to be the inverse of the matrix that consists of the first rows of $\left(\hat{v}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{v}_{r}\right)$.

Remark 2 With a Gaussian likelihood, the MLE estimator for $\Omega$ is given by $\hat{\Omega}=S_{00}-$ $S_{01} \hat{\beta}\left(\hat{\beta}^{\prime} S_{11} \hat{\beta}\right)^{-1} \hat{\beta}^{\prime} S_{10}$ and the maximum value of the likelihood is $L_{\max }^{-2 / T}(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}, \hat{\Psi}, \hat{\Omega})=$ $(2 \pi e)^{p}\left|S_{00}\right| \prod_{i=1}^{r}\left(1-\hat{\lambda}_{i}\right)$.

Remark 3 Johansen (1988) applied $R R R$ to the vector autoregressive model with cointegrated variables. The RRR structure appears from the error correction model, $\Delta X_{t}=$ $\alpha \beta^{\prime} X_{t-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Gamma_{i} \Delta X_{t-i}+\Phi D_{t}+\varepsilon_{t}$, by setting $Z_{0 t}=\Delta X_{t}, Z_{1 t}=X_{t-1}$ and $Z_{2 t}=$ $\left(\Delta X_{t-1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \Delta X_{t-k+1}^{\prime}, D_{t}^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}$.

[^2]The following lemma is a central element of the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 2 Let $x$ be a $p \times r$ matrix, $M$ and $N$ be $p \times p$ symmetric matrices, where $M$ is positive semi-definite and $N$ is positive definite. Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}$ be the eigenvalues of $|\lambda N-M|=0$, ordered in descending order, and let $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{p}$ be the corresponding eigenvectors.

Then $\hat{x}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right)$ maximizes and $\tilde{x}=\left(v_{p-r+1}, \ldots, v_{p}\right)$ minimizes the function $f(x)=$ $\left|x^{\prime} M x\right| /\left|x^{\prime} N x\right|$, and the maximum and minimum are given by $f(\hat{x})=\prod_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}$ and $f(\tilde{x})=$ $\prod_{i=p-r+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}$ respectively.

The proof of Johansen (1988) is based on a second order Taylor expansion of $\log f(x) .^{3}$ Below we shall present an algebraic proof, which applies a representation of determinants that involve products on non-squared matrices.

We introduce the following notation. Let $\mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}$ denote the set of all possible subsets of $J \subset\{1, \ldots, p\}$ that consist of $r \leq p$ distinct integers. For a given subset, $J \subset \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}$, a $p \times r$ matrix, $y$, and a $p \times p$ matrix $\Lambda$, we define the $r \times r$ matrices $y_{J}=\left\{y_{i j}\right\}_{i \in J, j=1, \ldots, r}$ and $\Lambda_{J}=\left\{\Lambda_{i j}\right\}_{i, j \in J}$. We use $\operatorname{diag}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}\right)$ to denote the $p \times p$ diagonal matrix with diagonal elements: $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{p}$.

Example 1 For $p=3, r=2$ we have $\mathbb{D}_{3}^{2}=\{\{1,2\},\{1,3\},\{2,3\}\}$, and the subset $J=$ $\{1,2\}$ and the matrix

$$
y=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
y_{11} & y_{21} & y_{31} \\
y_{12} & y_{22} & y_{32}
\end{array}\right)^{\prime}, \text { lead to } y_{J}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
y_{11} & y_{12} \\
y_{21} & y_{22}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ results $\Lambda_{J}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$.

The following lemma provides a useful determinant representation.

Lemma 3 Let $\Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$, and $y$ a real $p \times r$ matrix, where $r \leq p$. Then with the definitions above, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|y^{\prime} \Lambda y\right|=\sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}}\left|y_{J}^{\prime} \Lambda_{J} y_{J}\right|=\sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}}\left|y_{J}^{\prime} y_{J}\right| \Pi_{i \in J} \lambda_{i}=\sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}}\left|y_{J}\right|^{2} \Pi_{i \in J} \lambda_{i} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]Let $Q^{\prime} \Lambda Q=S_{11}^{-1 / 2} S_{10} S_{00}^{-1} S_{01} S_{11}^{-1 / 2}$ be an orthogonal decomposition and define $y=$ $Q S_{11}^{1 / 2} \beta$. In the appendix we show that minimizing the determinant of the sum of squared residuals, is equivalent to maximizing $\left|y^{\prime} \Lambda y\right| /\left|y^{\prime} y\right|$, where $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}$ are the eigenvalues of $\left|\lambda S_{11}-S_{10} S_{00}^{-1} S_{01}\right|=0$, and Lemma 3 shows that $\left|y^{\prime} \Lambda y\right| /\left|y^{\prime} y\right|=\sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}}\left|y_{J}\right|^{2} \Pi_{i \in J} \lambda_{i}$. So restrictions on $\beta$ translate into restrictions on $y$ through $y(\beta)=Q S_{11}^{1 / 2} \beta$, which lead to restrictions on the possible convex combination, $\left\{\left|y_{J}\right|^{2}\right\}_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}}$, that one can take over the elements $\left\{\Pi_{i \in J} \lambda_{i}\right\}_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}}$. This observation may be useful for the estimation of reduced rank regressions that are subject to parameter restrictions on $\beta$, but we shall not attempt to address this issue in this paper.

## 3 Conclusion

This paper presented a new representation for determinants of products of non-squared matrices that led to a new algebraic proof of Theorem 1. The determinant representation provides additional insight into the estimation problem in the reduced rank regressions that are subject to parameter restrictions.

## A Appendix

Proof of Lemma 3. The second and third equality follows trivially from $|A B|=|A||B|$ for matrices of proper dimensions, and first holds trivially for $r=1$ or $p=r$. So the proof is completed by induction as follows. Given that $(i)(2)$ holds for $(p, r)=(\tilde{p}-1, \tilde{r}-1)$; and (ii) (2) holds for $(p, r)=(\tilde{p}-1, \tilde{r})$, we show that (2) holds for $(p, r)=(\tilde{p}, \tilde{r})$. The following scheme

| $p \backslash r$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | $\cdots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $\checkmark$ | - | - | - |  |
| 2 | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | - | - |  |
| 3 | $\checkmark$ | $?$ | $\checkmark$ | - |  |
| 4 | $\checkmark$ | $?$ | $?$ | $\checkmark$ |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |  |  |  | $\ddots$ |

shows that this completes the proof.
Let $\tilde{\Lambda} \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p-1}\right)$ and consider the case where $\left(y_{p 1}, \ldots, y_{p r}\right)=(0, \ldots, 0)$. In
this case we define $\tilde{y} \equiv\left\{y_{i j}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, p-1}$, and by (ii) we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|y^{\prime} \Lambda y\right| & =\left|\tilde{y}^{\prime} \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{y}\right|=\sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p-1}^{r}}\left|y_{J}^{\prime} y_{J}\right| \cdot \Pi_{i \in J} \lambda_{i} \\
& =\sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}, p \notin J}\left|y_{J}^{\prime} y_{J}\right| \cdot \Pi_{i \in J} \lambda_{i}+\sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}, p \in J}\left|y_{J}^{\prime} y_{J}\right| \cdot \Pi_{i \in J} \lambda_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the last term is zero we have proven the lemma for the case where $\left(y_{p 1}, \ldots, y_{p r}\right)=0$.
Assume now that $\left(y_{p 1}, \ldots, y_{p r}\right) \neq 0$. Choose a full rank $r \times r$-matrix $Q$, such that $\left(y_{p 1}, \ldots, y_{p r}\right) Q=(0, \ldots, 0,1)$ and define the $p-1 \times r-1$ matrix $\tilde{z}$ to be the first $r-1$ columns of $\tilde{y} Q$. Then it holds that

$$
|Q|^{2}\left|y^{\prime} \Lambda y\right|=\left|Q^{\prime} \tilde{y}^{\prime} \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{y} Q+\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0_{r-1 \times r-1} & 0  \tag{3}\\
0 & \lambda_{p}
\end{array}\right)\right|=\left|Q^{\prime} \tilde{y}^{\prime} \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{y} Q\right|+\left|\tilde{z}^{\prime} \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{z}\right| \lambda_{p}
$$

By assumption (ii), the first term can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Q^{\prime} \tilde{y}^{\prime} \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{y} Q\right|=|Q|^{2} \sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p-1}^{r}}\left|\tilde{y}_{J}^{\prime} \tilde{\Lambda}_{J} \tilde{y}_{J}\right|=|Q|^{2} \sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}, p \notin J}\left|y_{J}^{\prime} \Lambda_{J} y_{J}\right| . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $J \in \mathbb{D}_{p-1}^{r-1}$ we have that

$$
\left|\tilde{z}_{J}\right|=\left|\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{z}_{J} & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\right|=\left|y_{\tilde{J}} Q\right|, \text { and } \lambda_{p}\left|\tilde{\Lambda}_{J}\right|=\left|\Lambda_{\tilde{J}}\right|
$$

where $\tilde{J}=\{J \cup\{p\}\} \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}$, so the second term of (3) can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{z}^{\prime} \tilde{\Lambda} \tilde{z}\right| \lambda_{p}=\lambda_{p}=|Q|^{2} \sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r-1}, p \in J}\left|y_{J}^{\prime} \Lambda_{J} y_{J}\right|, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we made use of assumption (i). Combining the identities (3-5), we have shown $|Q|^{2}\left|y^{\prime} \Lambda y\right|=|Q|^{2} \sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}, p \notin J}\left|y_{J}^{\prime} \Lambda_{J} y_{J}\right|+|Q|^{2} \sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}, p \in J}\left|y_{J}^{\prime} \Lambda_{J} y_{J}\right|=|Q|^{2} \sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}}\left|y_{J}^{\prime} \Lambda_{J} y_{J}\right|$, which completes the proof.

Lemma 4 Let $\Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right)$ where $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{p} \geq 0$ and consider the function $g(y)=\left|y^{\prime} \Lambda y\right| /\left|y^{\prime} y\right|$. For $\hat{y}=\left(I_{r}, 0_{r \times p-r}\right)^{\prime}, \tilde{y}=\left(0_{r \times p-r}, I_{r}\right)^{\prime}$, (the first $r$ and last $r$ unit vectors) it holds that $\max _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times r}} g(y)=g(\hat{y})=\prod_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}$ and $\min _{y \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times r}} g(y)=g(\tilde{y})=$ $\prod_{i=p-r+1}^{p} \lambda_{i}$.

Proof. By Lemma 3 we have that $g(y)=\left|y^{\prime} \Lambda y\right| /\left|y^{\prime} y\right|=\sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}}\left|y_{J}\right|^{2} \Pi_{i \in J} \lambda_{i} / \sum_{J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}}\left|y_{J}\right|^{2}$, which is a convex combination over $\prod_{i \in J} \lambda_{i}, J \in \mathbb{D}_{p}^{r}$. Since the smallest and largest elements are $\prod_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}$ and $\prod_{i=1}^{r} \lambda_{i}$, and these values can be obtained with $\tilde{y}$ and $\hat{y}$ the proof is complete.
Proof of Lemma 2. The matrix $\left(N^{-\frac{1}{2}} M N^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)$ is symmetric positive semi-definite, so we can diagonalize it as $N^{-\frac{1}{2}} M N^{-\frac{1}{2}}=Q^{\prime} \Lambda Q$, where $Q^{\prime} Q=I, \Lambda=\operatorname{diag}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{p}\right)$, and where $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_{p} \geq 0$. By defining $y=Q N^{\frac{1}{2}} x$, we have that $\left|x^{\prime} M x\right| /\left|x^{\prime} N x\right|=$ $\left|y^{\prime} \Lambda y\right| /\left|y^{\prime} y\right|$. By Lemma 4, this is maximized (minimized) by $\hat{y}=\left(I_{r}, 0\right)^{\prime}\left(\tilde{y}=\left(0, I_{r}\right)^{\prime}\right)$, so $f(x)$ is maximized (minimized) by $\hat{x}=N^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\prime} \hat{y}\left(\tilde{x}=N^{-\frac{1}{2}} Q^{\prime} \tilde{y}\right)$.
Proof of Theorem 1. The objective is to minimize $m_{0}(\alpha, \beta, \Psi)$, where

$$
m_{0}(\alpha, \beta, \Psi)=\left|T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t} \hat{\varepsilon}_{t}^{\prime}\right|, \quad \hat{\varepsilon}_{t}=Z_{0 t}-\alpha \beta^{\prime} Z_{1 t}-\Psi Z_{2 t}
$$

It is simple to verify that $\arg \min _{\Psi} m(\alpha, \beta, \Psi)=\hat{\Psi}(\alpha, \beta)=M_{02} M_{22}^{-1}-\alpha \beta^{\prime} M_{12} M_{22}^{-1}$, as it follows by the simple regression result. By defining the auxiliary variables, $R_{0 t}=Z_{0 t}-$ $M_{02} M_{22}^{-1} Z_{2 t}$ and $R_{1 t}=Z_{1 t}-M_{12} M_{22}^{-1} Z_{2 t}$, the estimation problem is simplified to minimizing $m_{1}(\alpha, \beta)=\left|T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{t} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{t}^{\prime}\right|$, where $\tilde{\varepsilon}_{t}=R_{0 t}-\alpha \beta^{\prime} R_{1 t}$.

Similarly, we find that $\arg \min _{\alpha} m_{1}(\alpha, \beta)=\hat{\alpha}(\beta)=S_{01} \beta\left(\beta^{\prime} S_{11} \beta\right)^{-1}$, and the simplified problem is now to minimize $m_{2}(\beta)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
m_{2}(\beta) & =T^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(R_{0 t}-\hat{\alpha}(\beta) \beta^{\prime} R_{1 t}\right)\left(R_{0 t}-\hat{\alpha}(\beta) \beta^{\prime} R_{1 t}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =\left|S_{00}-S_{01} \beta\left(\beta^{\prime} S_{11} \beta\right)^{-1} \beta^{\prime} S_{10}\right|=\left|S_{00}\right| \frac{\left|\beta^{\prime}\left(S_{11}-S_{10} S_{00}^{-1} S_{01}\right) \beta\right|}{\left|\beta^{\prime} S_{11} \beta\right|}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $0 \leq \hat{\rho}_{1} \leq \cdots \leq \hat{\rho}_{p}$ be the eigenvalues of $\left|\rho S_{11}-\left(S_{11}-S_{10} S_{00}^{-1} S_{01}\right)\right|=0$ and $\hat{v}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{v}_{p}$ the corresponding eigenvectors. Then, by Lemma $2, \hat{\beta}=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}\right)$ minimizes $m_{2}(\beta)$. The eigenvectors satisfy $\left[S_{11}-\left(S_{11}-S_{10} S_{00}^{-1} S_{01}\right)\right] v_{i}=\rho_{i} v_{i}, i=1, \ldots, p$. Since $v_{i}$ is also an eigenvectors to $\left(S_{11}-S_{10} S_{00}^{-1} S_{01}\right)$ with eigenvalue $\hat{\lambda}_{i}=1-\hat{\rho}_{i}$, it follows that the solution is given from the $r$ largest eigenvalues of $\left|\lambda S_{11}-S_{10} S_{00}^{-1} S_{01}\right|=0$.
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