

Bauer, Thomas K.; Dross, Patrick J.; Haisken-DeNew, John P.

**Working Paper**  
**Sheepskin Effects in Japan**

IZA Discussion Papers, No. 593

**Provided in Cooperation with:**  
IZA – Institute of Labor Economics

*Suggested Citation:* Bauer, Thomas K.; Dross, Patrick J.; Haisken-DeNew, John P. (2002) : Sheepskin Effects in Japan, IZA Discussion Papers, No. 593, Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA), Bonn

This Version is available at:  
<https://hdl.handle.net/10419/21292>

**Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:**

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

**Terms of use:**

*Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.*

*You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.*

*If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.*

IZA DP No. 593

## Sheepskin Effects in Japan

Thomas K. Bauer  
Patrick J. Dross  
John P. Haisken-DeNew

October 2002

# Sheepskin Effects in Japan

**Thomas K. Bauer**

*IZA Bonn and CEPR*

**Patrick J. Dross**

*DIW Berlin and Humboldt University, Berlin*

**John P. Haisken-DeNew**

*DIW Berlin and IZA Bonn*

Discussion Paper No. 593

October 2002

IZA

P.O. Box 7240

D-53072 Bonn

Germany

Tel.: +49-228-3894-0

Fax: +49-228-3894-210

Email: [iza@iza.org](mailto:iza@iza.org)

This Discussion Paper is issued within the framework of IZA's research area *Mobility and Flexibility of Labor*. Any opinions expressed here are those of the author(s) and not those of the institute. Research disseminated by IZA may include views on policy, but the institute itself takes no institutional policy positions.

The Institute for the Study of Labor (IZA) in Bonn is a local and virtual international research center and a place of communication between science, politics and business. IZA is an independent, nonprofit limited liability company (Gesellschaft mit beschränkter Haftung) supported by the Deutsche Post AG. The center is associated with the University of Bonn and offers a stimulating research environment through its research networks, research support, and visitors and doctoral programs. IZA engages in (i) original and internationally competitive research in all fields of labor economics, (ii) development of policy concepts, and (iii) dissemination of research results and concepts to the interested public. The current research program deals with (1) mobility and flexibility of labor, (2) internationalization of labor markets, (3) welfare state and labor market, (4) labor markets in transition countries, (5) the future of labor, (6) evaluation of labor market policies and projects and (7) general labor economics.

IZA Discussion Papers often represent preliminary work and are circulated to encourage discussion. Citation of such a paper should account for its provisional character. A revised version may be available on the IZA website ([www.iza.org](http://www.iza.org)) or directly from the author.

## **ABSTRACT**

### **Sheepskin Effects in Japan\***

Using data for the 1990's, this paper examines the role of sheepskin effects in the returns to education for Japan. Our estimations indicate that sheepskin effects explain about 50% of the total returns to schooling. We further find that sheepskin effects are only important for workers in small firms with the size of these effects being similar to comparable estimates for the US. These results could be explained by the particular recruitment system of large firms in Japan, which makes the university diploma as a screening device unimportant for large firms.

JEL Classification: J31, J24, I21

Keywords: returns to education, sheepskin effects, Japan

Corresponding author:

Thomas K. Bauer  
IZA  
P.O. Box 7240  
53072 Bonn  
Germany  
Tel.: +49-228-3894-529  
FAX: +49-228-3894-510  
Email: [bauer@iza.org](mailto:bauer@iza.org)

---

\* We would like to thank Ms. Akiko Nagai at the Tokyo Institute for Household Economy for her help in accessing the Japanese Panel Survey on Consumers and David Jaeger for helpful discussions.

## I. Introduction

The economic literature faces a long-lasting debate on the causes of the positive relationship between schooling and earnings. According to the human capital theory, skills obtained in school directly increase productivity which in turn results in higher earnings. According to the screening theory of education, schooling enhances earnings because it is used as a signaling device that allows employers to assess the innate productivity of potential employees, not because schooling makes individuals more productive *per se* (Arrow 1973, Spence 1973). A widely used empirical method to test the validity of the screening hypothesis is to test for the existence of sheepskin effects in the returns to schooling, since significant returns to a diploma conditional on completed years of schooling indicates that education acts as a screening device that credentiares higher innate productivity in addition to potential direct productivity effects.<sup>1</sup>

Existing empirical evidence on sheepskin effects is almost exclusively based on data for the US. This paper aims to test for the existence of sheepskin effects in the returns to schooling using individual data from Japan. From investigating the role in sheepskin effects in a labor market that differs substantially from the labor market in the US, we aim to provide additional insights to the human capital theory-screening hypothesis debate.

Starting in the 1960s, a period of rapid economic growth, long-term relationships between employers and employees became the norm in most Japanese firms. This “lifetime employment system” reflects the tendency of Japanese companies to make considerable investments in the skills of their employees through in-house training in order to adapt more quickly to changing economic situations and technological development (JIL-Tokyo 2001). The expectation that an employee stays in a firm for the rest of his working-life should give firms incentives to make considerable screening efforts before hiring an individual. Indeed, especially large Japanese firms tend to recruit new employees directly from universities, which is usually preceded by

---

<sup>1</sup>See, among others, Layard and Psacharopoulos (1974), Hungerford and Solon (1987), Belman and Heywood (1991), Belman and Heywood (1997), Card and Krueger (1992), Heywood (1994), Jaeger and Page (1996), Gullason (1999) and Park (1999).

substantial screening through written examinations and interviews by the firm while applicants are still at the university (Hart and Kawasaki 1999, JIL-Tokyo 2001). Because of this special recruitment system, Japanese employers possess already considerable information on the innate productivity of their employees at the time of hiring, which in turn implies a secondary role of university diplomas as a screening device. Thus, we expect the returns to diplomas conditional on years of schooling to be lower in Japan than in the US. Since usually large Japanese corporations adopt the above-mentioned recruitment system, we further expect that the importance of sheepskin effects in Japan to decrease with firm-size.

In the following section we give a short description of the Japanese schooling and recruitment system. Section III describes the data set and our empirical approach. Section IV presents the estimation results for various specifications. Our baseline specification closely follows existing studies for the US to facilitate comparability across the two countries. We further investigate whether there are significant firm-size-differences in the estimated sheepskin effects. Section V concludes.

## **II. Education and Labor Market Recruitment in Japan**

The structure of the Japanese educational system is very similar to that of the US, with compulsory education lasting 9 years, 6 years of which are primary school followed by 3 years of lower secondary school. After compulsory schooling, individuals in Japan typically attend additional 3 years of upper secondary school. Completion of upper secondary school allows individuals to proceed either to a junior college, which usually requires additional 2 years, or to university (see Table 1). Usually after 4 years at university, graduates could obtain a Bachelor degree. Only a smaller number proceeds to a Master or PhD Level. Approximately 70% of the Japanese universities are private. They differ in their level of prestige and are structured similar to those in the United States. Only a very small number of individuals attains only minimum

compulsory education. Over the 1990s, at least 90% of all those receiving new diplomas or certificates attained either upper secondary or some other higher level of schooling. Between 1993 and 1997 more than 40% of new labor market entrants had a higher educational degree (JIL-Tokyo 2001).

The Japanese curricula places more emphasize on general knowledge and self-development than on acquiring specialized knowledge at an early stage. Formal education is rather understood as a general qualification for the professional life than for a certain occupation. A “streaming” of students similar to the process in many other developed countries does not take place. In the short period of transition from school to work, however, there is nonetheless an intensive selection process. The Japanese system of recruitment and job placement also differs from that of the West in that it is highly structured and strictly organized. Japanese firms tend to recruit new employees directly from schools and universities with substantial screening involved (Hart and Kawasaki 1999).

Japan developed a stable job placement system that involves firms, schools and administrative services, allowing a smooth transition from school to work. Throughout the process, schools play a very important role, with high schools providing career consultation to students to help them determine their future career path, i.e. whether they should enter the labor market after completion of upper secondary school or whether they should proceed to higher education. Every year, companies provide job information to the public employment security offices, who in turn pass this information on to schools. Teachers then recommend students to the appropriate firms. Compared to their western counterparts, young people in Japan start their job search activities very early, because there is insufficient time between graduation in March of a given year and when new work contracts begin on April 1. Thus, job applications and job entrance examinations start up to *one year before* students leave the educational system.

Although universities offer job placement services to their students, recruitment of university graduates is not as strictly organized as in upper secondary schools. As described in JIL-Tokyo

(2001), students also apply directly to firms by themselves. Already at the end of their junior year, students can attend information sessions held by companies. Those who apply, go through several examinations and two or three interviews during their studies until final employment decisions are made. The selection criteria of Japanese firms concentrate on general abilities which indicate a potential basis for the further development by the on-the-job training (Hart and Kawasaki 1999). Note that the Japanese recruitment system is used predominantly by large firms. In 1999, for example, only between 4.4% and 5.0% of firms with less than 100 employees utilized this recruitment system (JIL-Tokyo 2001).

Based on the special recruitment system in Japan, we derive two hypotheses concerning the role of schooling degrees as a screening device, which will be tested in our empirical analysis. First, compared to other countries without such a strictly organized placement systems we expect sheepskin effects in Japan to be less important than, for example, in the US, since the system provides firms already a considerable information on the innate productivity of applicants making the role of schooling degrees as screening device less important. Second, since predominantly large firms utilize this recruitment system, we expect sheepskin effects to be more important in small firms.

### III. Description of the Data and Empirical Approach

In this paper we use the *Japanese Panel Survey on Consumers* (JPSC), a panel of approximately 1000 men, collected and made available by the Institute for Household Economy in Tokyo.<sup>2</sup> For the analysis, we use data from 1993 to 1997. Men working in the agricultural sector or in the public service have been excluded from the analysis. In addition we excluded all observations with missing values to one of the variables used in the empirical analysis leading to final sample of 735 full-time working men, comprising a total of 2,184 person-year observations.

Many existing empirical studies of sheepskin effects do not have a direct measure of degree

---

<sup>2</sup>See Dross and Haisken-DeNew (2002) for a more detailed description of the data set.



receipt. These studies usually estimate sheepskin effects by specifying a spline function of completed years of schooling with discontinuous knots at the usual number of years needed to complete a degree. Using data from the CPS, Jaeger and Page (1996) have shown that these studies usually underestimate sheepskin effects of high school and college degrees. Similar to the data used by Jaeger and Page (1996), the *Japanese Panel Survey on Consumers* provides information on both years of schooling and degrees received, which allows us to avoid the bias of studies imputing degree receipt from the usual years of education. For each level of education the JPSC provides detailed information as to whether one merely started and whether one actually completed the degree or certificate. Using this information we set up dummy variables to identify dropouts at a certain level and those with graduate degrees. Since we also have information on the year in which persons left the educational system, we are further able to calculate exact years of education.

A cross-tabulation of degrees obtained by completed years of schooling is provided by Table 2. About 47% of the individuals in our sample completed upper secondary school and another 37% completed university. Note that these numbers are similar to those reported in aggregate statistics. About 42% of the individuals who completed only upper secondary school report exactly 12 years of schooling, 9% finished in less than 12 years, and 49% report 13 years of schooling. Among those who finished junior college, 70% needed exactly 14 years of schooling. The remaining 30% report more than 14 years of education. Finally, among those who received at least a Bachelor degree, about 5% took less than 16 years of education, 33% took 16 years and more than 62% took more than 16 years.

Our empirical strategy follows closely Jaeger and Page (1996) in order to facilitate comparison between the US and Japan. The baseline specification does not include diploma effects, i.e.:

$$\ln Y = X \alpha_1 + S \beta_1 + \epsilon. \tag{1}$$

where  $Y$  is gross yearly labor earnings of individual  $i$ ,<sup>3</sup> where  $Y$  contains all components of labor earnings that are crucial for an analysis of Japanese earnings: base wage, allowances, mid-year and year-end bonuses, overtime compensation, etc. and  $X$  is a vector of control variables other than schooling. In each model,  $X$  includes a quadratic in labor market experience and four year dummies. In a different specification we further add a quadratic in firm tenure. Labor market experience is measured as years since leaving full-time education. Firm tenure is measured using explicit information how long a person is employed in the current firm provided by the data. The vector  $S$  includes different variables indicating the years of completed schooling. We consider two different specifications: (i) we use a continuous measure of the years of completed schooling; and (ii) we present results from a specification where the vector  $S$  consists of dummy variables for each year of completed schooling with 0-9 years of schooling as reference group. Finally,  $\epsilon$  is a standard error term.

To investigate the existence of sheepskin effects, we add to this specification a vector  $D$  of dummy variables measuring degree effects, i.e., we estimate:

$$\ln Y = X \alpha_2 + S \beta_2 + D \gamma + \epsilon . \quad (2)$$

Whereas the estimated  $\beta_1$ 's from equation (1) could be interpreted as total return to education, the estimated  $\beta_2$ 's from equation (2) show the total return *net* of degree effects. Hence, the difference between the estimated  $\beta_1$  and  $\beta_2$  could be interpreted as the part of the total return to education that is due to sheepskin effects (Jaeger and Page 1996). Similar to the specification chosen by Jaeger and Page (1996), we assume that those individuals with some junior college or some university have completed high school. Thus, we set the high school dummy equal to 1 for those with schooling beyond high school.<sup>4</sup> The reported coefficients on the diploma dummies which are beyond high school therefore could be interpreted as marginal effect over a high school degree.

---

<sup>3</sup>Available starting 1993, units are 10,000 Yen per year.

<sup>4</sup>For example, the dummy variables for "junior high school", "high school" and "university dropout" are set equal to 1 if individuals have finished High School and started University without receiving a certificate.

To test whether there are significant differences between small and large firms, we fully interacted equations (1) and (2) with two firm size dummies which differentiate small firms with less than 100 employees from large firms with at least 100 employees. In 1,169 cases (41.5% of all observations), individuals report to be working for a small firm; in the remaining 1,645 cases (58.5% of all observations) they report to be employed in a firm with more than 100 employees.

#### IV. Estimation Results

Columns (1), (3), and (5) of Table 3 report the results obtained from estimating equation (1), and columns (2), (4), and (6) those from equation (2). All estimations are performed using OLS on the pooled data. The total returns of receiving a particular degree beyond upper secondary are reported at the bottom of Table 3.

The estimated coefficient on completed years of schooling reported in column (1) of Table 3 indicates that one additional year of schooling increases gross yearly earnings by roughly 7%. This return reduces to 3% when degree effects are added to the specification (see column (2)). Hence, according to these estimates more than 50% of the total returns to schooling in Japan are due to sheepskin effects. The return to a high school degree is estimated to be 26%, which is considerably higher than the respective return of about 11% obtained by Jaeger and Page (1996) for the US. However, the estimated marginal effect of receiving a university degree above a high school degree is about 20%; almost 10 percentage points lower than the comparable effect in the US. Even though the Japanese recruitment system for university graduates lowers the returns to an university degree if compared to the US, which is in line with the expectations derived from the screening hypothesis, we still find significant sheepskin effects. Note that receiving a junior college degree or attending some university without receiving a degree does not have significant additional effects to a high school diploma.

Using dummy variables for completed years of schooling rather than the continuous measure does not have significant effects on the estimation results. Columns (3) and (4) indicate again that sheepskin effects account on average for about 50% of the total returns to schooling. The point estimates for the returns of a high school degree and the marginal effect of receiving a university degree are somewhat lower than those reported in column (2). The total returns to the different degrees are 18% for a high school degree, 15% for completing junior college, 24% for attending some university, and 38% for completing university (see bottom of Table 3). Controlling in addition for firm tenure lowers the point estimates for the returns to completed years of schooling. Whereas this change in the specification does not significantly affect the returns to a university diploma, the returns to a high school degree become insignificant. These changes in the estimation results could be explained with the relative importance of firm-specific human capital in the Japanese labor market.<sup>5</sup>

Table 4 report the results when we fully interact equations (1) and (2) with the two firm-size dummies. We report only results that correspond to the specifications reported in column (4) and (6) of Table 3.<sup>6</sup> For both specifications we further report the firm-size differences in the estimated coefficients.

Our estimation results indicate that sheepskin effects are limited to workers in small firms. For workers in large firms we do not find significant sheepskin effects on earnings. Note further that the estimated marginal returns of a university degree above a high school diploma of 26% in the specification reported in column (1) and 32% in column (2) of Table 4 are comparable to those reported by Jaeger and Page (1996) for the US. The point estimates for the returns to completed years of schooling are higher in large firms if compared to small firms and only for large firms these returns are statistically significant.<sup>7</sup> Note, however, that in most cases the

---

<sup>5</sup>See also Hashimoto and Raisian (1985), Clark and Ogawa (1992), and Dross and Haisken-DeNew (2002) for empirical evidence on the role of employment tenure for wages in Japan.

<sup>6</sup>The results for all other specifications is available upon request.

<sup>7</sup>These results are similar to those of Heywood (1994), who analyzed differences of sheepskin effects between workers in union and nonunion firms and between workers in the public and the private sector. Heywood (1994) finds significant sheepskin effects only for private nonunion workers.

estimated coefficients for small and large firms are not statistically significant from each other. Overall, however, these results confirm our expectations. Due to the recruitment practice of large firms in Japan, schooling degrees as screening device seem to be more important for small firms. For large firms the practice to screen students through exams and interviews while they are still at the university makes the university diploma as a signal for innate productivity unimportant.

## V. Conclusions

Using individual-level data for the period from 1993 to 1997, this paper investigates the existence of sheepskin effects in Japan. Due to the particular recruitment system of large companies in Japan, which screen potential employees through exams and interviews while they are still at the university, we expect smaller sheepskin effects for Japanese workers employed in large firms. Our estimation results confirm this expectation. Not differentiating between small and large firms we find significant sheepskin effects. Sheepskin effects account on average for about 50% of the total returns to schooling. The estimated total returns to the different degrees are 18% for a high school degree, 15% for completing junior college, 24% for attending some university, and 38% for completing university. These effects are smaller than comparable estimates for the US. Differentiating sheepskin effects by firm size indicates, that sheepskin effect are more important for workers in small firms. Furthermore the sheepskin effects for workers in small companies appear to similar in size than comparable effects in the US.

## References

- ARROW, K. J. (1973): "Higher Education as a Filter," *Journal of Public Economics*, 2, 193–216.
- BELMAN, D., AND J. S. HEYWOOD (1991): "Sheepskin Effects in the Returns to Education: An Examination of Women and Minorities," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 73(4), 720–724.
- (1997): "Sheepskin Effects by Cohort: Implications of Job Matching in a Signaling Model," *Oxford Economic Papers*, 49, 623–637.
- CARD, D., AND A. B. KRUEGER (1992): "Does School Quality Matter? Returns to Education and the Characteristics of Public Schools in the United States," *Journal of Political Economy*, 100(1), 1–40.
- CLARK, R. L., AND N. OGAWA (1992): "Employment Tenure and Earnings Profiles in Japan and the United States: Comment," *American Economic Review*, 82(1), 336–345.
- DROSS, P. J., AND J. P. HAIKEN-DENEW (2002): "Employment Tenure and Earnings Profiles in Japan: New Trends for the 1990's," Discussion paper, DIW, Berlin.
- GULLASON, E. T. (1999): "The Stability Pattern of Sheepskin Effects and Its Implications for the Human Capital Theory-Screening Hypothesis Debate," *Eastern Economic Journal*, 25(2), 141–149.
- HART, R. A., AND S. KAWASAKI (1999): *Work and Pay in Japan*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge and New York.
- HASHIMOTO, M., AND J. RAISIAN (1985): "Employment Tenure and Earnings Profiles in Japan and the United States," *American Economic Review*, 75, 721–735.
- HEYWOOD, J. S. (1994): "How Widespread are Sheepskin Returns to Education in the U.S.?" *Economics of Education Review*, 13(3), 227–234.
- HUNGERFORD, T., AND G. SOLON (1987): "Sheepskin Effects in the Returns to Education," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 69(1), 175–177.
- JAEGER, D. A., AND M. E. PAGE (1996): "Degrees Matter: New Evidence on Sheepskin Effects in the Returns to Education," *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 78(4), 733–740.
- JIL-TOKYO (2001): "The Labor Situation in Japan 2001," Japan Institute of Labour.
- LAYARD, R., AND G. PSACHAROPOULOS (1974): "The Screening Hypothesis and the Returns to Education," *Journal of Political Economy*, 87(5), 989–998.
- PARK, J. H. (1999): "Estimation of Sheepskin Effects Using the Old and the New Measures of Educational Attainment in the Current Population Survey," *Economics Letters*, 62, 237–240.
- SPENCE, A. M. (1973): "Job Market Signalling," *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 88, 355–379.

Table 1: Educational System in Japan

Type of Degree	Typical Years of Schooling	Typical Total Years of Schooling	Typical Age
<i>Compulsory Education</i>			
Elementary	6	6	6 - 12
Lower Secondary	3	9	12 - 15
<i>Secondary and Higher Education</i>			
Upper Secondary	3	12	15 - 18
Junior College	2	14	18 - 20
University (Undergraduate)	4	16	18 - 22
University (Graduate)	3	19	22 - 25

Source: JIL-Tokyo (2001)

Table 2: Highest Degree by Completed Years of Schooling

Years of Schooling:	Lower Secondary	Upper Secondary Dropout	Upper Secondary Completed	Junior College Completed	University Dropout	University Completed	Total	(in %)
0 - 9	112	9	4	0	0	0	125	(4.4)
10	44	48	25	0	0	0	117	(4.2)
11	10	36	92	0	0	0	138	(4.9)
12	0	6	551	0	14	2	573	(20.4)
13	0	7	647	0	9	10	673	(23.9)
14	0	0	0	60	20	10	90	(3.2)
15	0	0	0	15	12	30	57	(2.0)
16	0	0	0	0	7	342	349	(12.4)
17	0	0	0	5	17	373	395	(14.0)
18 or more	0	0	0	6	6	285	297	(10.6)
Total (in %)	166 (5.9)	106 (3.8)	1319 (46.9)	86 (3.1)	85 (3.0)	1052 (37.4)	2814 (100.0)	
Mean Years of Schooling	9.4	10.6	12.4	14.6	14.8	16.8	-	-

Source: Japanese Household Panel 1993-1997, own calculations.

Table 3: Sheepskin Effects in Japan

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Upper Secondary Dropout	-	0.152** (0.062)	-	0.165*** (0.063)	-	0.122** (0.059)
Upper Secondary Completed	-	0.231*** (0.050)	-	0.168** (0.072)	-	0.099 (0.072)
<i>Marginal Effects over Upper Secondary:</i>						
Junior College Completed	-	-0.034 (0.050)	-	-0.028 (0.092)	-	0.030 (0.102)
University Dropout	-	0.044 (0.057)	-	0.045 (0.062)	-	0.095 (0.073)
University Completed	-	0.179*** (0.050)	-	0.155* (0.088)	-	0.193** (0.095)
Years of Schooling	0.067*** (0.004)	0.030*** (0.009)	-	-	-	-
10 Years	-	-	0.064 (0.066)	-0.024 (0.064)	0.068 (0.057)	0.008 (0.055)
11 Years	-	-	0.173*** (0.064)	0.035 (0.076)	0.142*** (0.053)	0.055 (0.073)
12 Years	-	-	0.294*** (0.055)	0.142* (0.080)	0.222*** (0.046)	0.132* (0.076)
13 Years	-	-	0.336*** (0.053)	0.183** (0.079)	0.268*** (0.044)	0.177** (0.076)
14 Years	-	-	0.365*** (0.063)	0.207* (0.114)	0.279*** (0.055)	0.131 (0.119)
15 Years	-	-	0.396*** (0.081)	0.162 (0.124)	0.323*** (0.073)	0.107 (0.126)
16 Years	-	-	0.651*** (0.059)	0.349*** (0.122)	0.548*** (0.052)	0.272** (0.125)
17 Years	-	-	0.568*** (0.059)	0.270** (0.119)	0.490*** (0.050)	0.218* (0.122)
18 Years	-	-	0.656*** (0.059)	0.357*** (0.120)	0.572*** (0.051)	0.297** (0.123)
Experience	0.045*** (0.007)	0.042*** (0.007)	0.040*** (0.007)	0.039*** (0.007)	0.024*** (0.007)	0.023*** (0.007)
Experience <sup>2</sup> × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	-0.078*** (0.024)	-0.065*** (0.023)	-0.060*** (0.023)	-0.055** (0.023)	-0.043* (0.023)	-0.039* (0.023)
Tenure	-	-	-	-	0.020*** (0.005)	0.020*** (0.005)
Tenure <sup>2</sup> × 10 <sup>-2</sup>	-	-	-	-	-0.015 (0.024)	-0.014 (0.025)
Constant	4.740*** (0.084)	4.994*** (0.118)	5.306*** (0.071)	5.295*** (0.070)	5.383*** (0.064)	5.378*** (0.064)
Adjusted-R <sup>2</sup>	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.32	0.32
<i>Total Returns to Diploma over Upper Secondary:</i>						
Junior College Completed	-	0.197*** (0.074)	-	0.141 (0.116)	-	0.129 (0.123)
University Dropout	-	0.275*** (0.076)	-	0.214** (0.093)	-	0.195* (0.100)
University Completed	-	0.410*** (0.083)	-	0.324*** (0.112)	-	0.292** (0.117)

*Source:* Japanese Household Panel 1993-1997, own calculations.

*Notes:* Regression includes four year dummies; 2,184 observations of 735 individuals. Dependent variable is gross yearly labor earnings, including bonuses, units of 10,000 Yen. Robust standard errors in parentheses.

\*: significant at least at the 10%-level.  
 \*\*: significant at least at the 5%-level.  
 \*\*\*: significant at least at the 1%-level.



Table 4: Sheepskin Effects by Firm Size

	(1)			(2)		
	Small Firm	Large Firm	Difference	Small Firm	Large Firm	Difference
Upper Secondary Dropout	0.153** (0.071)	0.142 (0.208)	0.011 (0.217)	0.142** (0.064)	0.085 (0.203)	0.056 (0.211)
Upper Secondary Completed	0.095 (0.093)	0.235* (0.124)	-0.139 (0.152)	0.084 (0.092)	0.162 (0.126)	-0.078 (0.154)
<i>Marginal Effects over Upper Secondary:</i>						
Junior College Completed	-0.071 (0.108)	-0.140 (0.132)	0.069 (0.162)	0.049 (0.136)	-0.124 (0.130)	0.172 (0.187)
University Dropout	0.230*** (0.042)	-0.112 (0.091)	0.341*** (0.098)	0.277*** (0.068)	-0.084 (0.093)	0.360*** (0.121)
University Completed	0.192* (0.114)	0.036 (0.120)	0.155 (0.156)	0.276** (0.117)	0.039 (0.120)	0.236 (0.167)
10 Years	0.015 (0.068)	0.086 (0.169)	-0.070 (0.180)	0.018 (0.057)	0.096 (0.156)	-0.078 (0.164)
11 Years	0.060 (0.089)	0.019 (0.148)	0.040 (0.170)	0.040 (0.082)	0.061 (0.144)	-0.021 (0.164)
12 Years	0.129 (0.101)	0.155 (0.141)	-0.025 (0.169)	0.106 (0.098)	0.165 (0.132)	-0.058 (0.162)
13 Years	0.157 (0.102)	0.190 (0.138)	-0.032 (0.167)	0.125 (0.099)	0.211 (0.129)	-0.085 (0.160)
14 Years	0.164 (0.139)	0.318* (0.187)	-0.153 (0.224)	0.051 (0.151)	0.301* (0.180)	-0.249 (0.233)
15 Years	-0.108 (0.127)	0.330* (0.197)	-0.438* (0.230)	-0.216 (0.137)	0.347* (0.187)	-0.563** (0.233)
16 Years	0.216 (0.168)	0.479** (0.186)	-0.262 (0.242)	0.096 (0.168)	0.470*** (0.178)	-0.373 (0.243)
17 Years	0.033 (0.156)	0.449** (0.184)	-0.416* (0.231)	-0.066 (0.157)	0.455*** (0.176)	-0.520** (0.233)
18 Years	0.296* (0.155)	0.481*** (0.186)	-0.184 (0.233)	0.172 (0.159)	0.486*** (0.178)	-0.313 (0.236)
Experience	0.036*** (0.011)	0.039*** (0.008)	-0.003 (0.012)	0.021** (0.010)	0.027*** (0.009)	-0.006 (0.013)
Experience <sup>2</sup>	-0.077** (0.035)	-0.031 (0.024)	-0.045 (0.040)	-0.047 (0.031)	-0.018 (0.030)	-0.029 (0.041)
Tenure	-	-	-	0.024*** (0.006)	0.017** (0.008)	0.007 (0.009)
Tenure <sup>2</sup>	-	-	-	-0.053 (0.033)	-0.028 (0.035)	-0.024 (0.045)
Constant	5.392*** (0.095)	-0.164 (0.158)	-	5.428*** (0.090)	-0.152 (0.141)	-
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>		0.33			0.35	
<i>Total Returns to Diploma over Upper Secondary:</i>						
Junior College Completed	0.024 (0.141)	0.095 (0.181)	-0.070 (0.222)	0.133 (0.164)	0.038 (0.179)	0.095 (0.242)
University Dropout	0.325*** (0.100)	0.123 (0.154)	0.202 (0.181)	0.361*** (0.113)	0.078 (0.152)	0.282 (0.193)
University Completed	0.287** (0.146)	0.271 (0.172)	0.016 (0.218)	0.360** (0.148)	0.201 (0.171)	0.158 (0.226)

Source: Japanese Household Panel 1993-1997, own calculations.

Notes: Regression includes four year dummies; 2,184 observations of 735 individuals.

Dependent variable is gross yearly labor earnings, including bonuses, units of 10,000 Yen.

Robust standard errors in parentheses.

\*: significant at least at the 10%-level.

\*\*: significant at least at the 5%-level.

\*\*\*: significant at least at the 1%-level.

## IZA Discussion Papers

No.	Author(s)	Title	Area	Date
578	I. N. Gang F. L. Rivera-Batiz M.-S. Yun	Economic Strain, Ethnic Concentration and Attitudes Towards Foreigners in the European Union	1	09/02
579	I. N. Gang M.-S. Yun	Decomposing Inequality Change in East Germany During Transition	4	09/02
580	I. N. Gang J. Landon-Lane M.-S. Yun	Gender Differences in German Upward Income Mobility	1	09/02
581	P. Cahuc F. Malherbet	Unemployment Compensation Finance and Labor Market Rigidity	5	09/02
582	P. Cahuc C. Gianella D. Goux A. Zylberberg	Equalizing Wage Differences and Bargaining Power: Evidence from a Panel of French Firms	6	09/02
583	P. Cahuc F. Fontaine	On the Efficiency of Job Search with Social Networks	5	09/02
584	C. J. Heinrich P. R. Mueser K. R. Troske	Welfare to Temporary Work: Implications for Labor Market Outcomes	3	09/02
585	M. Cervellati U. Sunde	Human Capital Formation, Life Expectancy and the Process of Economic Development	3	09/02
586	P. Díaz-Vázquez D. Snower	On-the Job Training and the Effects of Insider Power	3	09/02
587	H. Bonin W. Kempe H. Schneider	Kombilohn oder Workfare? Zur Wirksamkeit zweier arbeitsmarktpolitischer Strategien	3	09/02
588	M. Frölich	Nonparametric IV Estimation of Local Average Treatment Effects with Covariates	6	09/02
589	S. Jurajda K. Terrell	Job Growth in Early Transition: Comparing Two Paths	4	09/02
590	H. Görg E. Strobl F. Walsh	Why Do Foreign-Owned Firms Pay More? The Role of On-the-Job Training	2	10/02
591	H. Görg E. Strobl	Spillovers From Foreign Firms Through Worker Mobility: An Empirical Investigation	1	10/02
592	J. Wagner	Testing Lazear's Jack-of-All-Trades View of Entrepreneurship with German Micro Data	5	10/02
593	T. K. Bauer P. J. Dross J. P. Haisken-DeNew	Sheepskin Effects in Japan	1	10/02