

A Service of



Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft Leibniz Information Centre

Sookram, Sandra; Schneider, Friedrich G.; Watson, Patrick Kent

#### **Working Paper**

Characteristics of the household sector of the hidden economy in an emerging economy

Working Paper, No. 0605

#### **Provided in Cooperation with:**

Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Department of Economics

Suggested Citation: Sookram, Sandra; Schneider, Friedrich G.; Watson, Patrick Kent (2006): Characteristics of the household sector of the hidden economy in an emerging economy, Working Paper, No. 0605, Johannes Kepler University of Linz, Department of Economics, Linz

This Version is available at: https://hdl.handle.net/10419/73259

#### Standard-Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die Dokumente auf EconStor dürfen zu eigenen wissenschaftlichen Zwecken und zum Privatgebrauch gespeichert und kopiert werden.

Sie dürfen die Dokumente nicht für öffentliche oder kommerzielle Zwecke vervielfältigen, öffentlich ausstellen, öffentlich zugänglich machen, vertreiben oder anderweitig nutzen.

Sofern die Verfasser die Dokumente unter Open-Content-Lizenzen (insbesondere CC-Lizenzen) zur Verfügung gestellt haben sollten, gelten abweichend von diesen Nutzungsbedingungen die in der dort genannten Lizenz gewährten Nutzungsrechte.

#### Terms of use:

Documents in EconStor may be saved and copied for your personal and scholarly purposes.

You are not to copy documents for public or commercial purposes, to exhibit the documents publicly, to make them publicly available on the internet, or to distribute or otherwise use the documents in public.

If the documents have been made available under an Open Content Licence (especially Creative Commons Licences), you may exercise further usage rights as specified in the indicated licence.





# DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMICS JOHANNES KEPLER UNIVERSITY OF LINZ

### Characteristics of the Household Sector of the Hidden Economy in an Emerging Economy

by

SCHNEIDER, Friedrich\*), SOOKRAM Sandra and WATSON, Patrick Kent

Working Paper No. 0605 July 2006

> Johannes Kepler University of Linz Department of Economics Altenberger Strasse 69 A-4040 Linz - Auhof, Austria www.econ.jku.at

#### CHARACTERISTICS OF THE HOUSEHOLD SECTOR OF THE HIDDEN ECONOMY IN AN EMERGING ECONOMY

Sandra Sookram, Friedrich Schneider, Patrick Kent Watson<sup>1</sup>

Sir Arthur Lewis Institute of Social & Economic Studies, University of the West Indies, St. Augustine, Trinidad & Tobago

#### **Abstract**

Using the case study of Trinidad and Tobago we investigate for an emerging economy the socio-economic, demographic, and attitudinal characteristics that influence the propensity of individuals in the household sector to participate in the hidden economy and their perception of the risk of detection by tax authorities in doing so. To this end we analyze data gathered from a unique cross-sectional field survey covering 570 households. Our econometric results using multinomial logit and ordered probit models suggest that individual household members are motivated to undertake hidden economic activity because they believe taxes are too high, their incomes are too low, they have dependents to support, and they believe that the resulting tax evasion will go undetected.

JEL CLASSIFICATION NUMBERS: C25, C51, E26.

**KEYWORDS:** Hidden economy, multinomial logit and ordered probit models, Emerging Economies.

*E-mail addresses:* <u>ssookram@fss.uwi.tt</u> (S. Sookram), Friedrich <u>Schneider@jku.at</u> (Friedrich Schneider) and <u>pkwatson@fss.uwi.tt</u> (P.K. Watson).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Corresponding author. Tel: (868) 662-6965, Fax: (868) 645-6329.

#### 1. Introduction

Work done on the hidden economy<sup>2</sup> in emerging economies has generally concluded that, on the positive side, it is a lifeline to the poor, while on the negative side it is a drain on government revenues. Formulation of appropriate policies, one way or the other, would surely benefit from detailed information about the characteristics of household sector participants and their attitudes to paying taxes, since that sector is very likely a most significant source of participation in hidden economic activity.

There are two major objectives of this study. The first is to determine the socio-economic, demographic and attitudinal characteristics that influence an individual household member's propensity to participate in the hidden economy of an emerging economy using the case of Trinidad & Tobago. The second objective is to find out whether and to what extent some of these same features play a role in influencing that person's perception of the risk of detection by tax authorities of involvement in hidden economy activity in an emerging economy. To achieve these objectives, a cross-sectional field survey of private households was carried out in Trinidad and Tobago during the period October-November, 2003 and, in this paper, the data collected are analyzed.

The concept of the hidden economy is well known though its definition is subject to some controversy (Schneider and Enste 2000, 2003). In this paper we concentrate solely on the 'household sector' of the hidden economy, a notion borrowed from Fleming et al. (2000), who divide the hidden economy into four (4) sectors as follows:

"The criminal sector is defined as illegally produced goods and services, such as the production and trade of illicit narcotics. The irregular sector is defined as legally produced goods and services

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Gërxhani and Schram (2001) and Gerxhani (2002, 2003).

which evade legal reporting requirements, such as tax evasion. The household sector is defined as household production. And the informal sector is defined as 'economic activities that circumvent the costs and are excluded from the benefits<sup>3</sup> of law, such as unregulated microenterprise." (Fleming et al., 2000, p. 390-1)

This study differs for several reasons from previous studies done on the hidden economy using Trinidad and Tobago data<sup>4</sup> as well as data from other emerging economies. First, it employs data collected at the micro level from a direct survey of the household sector. This may be compared to another micro-study by Lloyd-Evans and Potter (2002), which focused on certain occupations and on small geographical areas and therefore could not yield samples which could be used to make general conclusions about household sector participation in the hidden economy of Trinidad and Tobago. The questionnaire used in this study was formulated specifically to obtain data from hidden economy participants. It was therefore strong on assuring the anonymity of the respondents and ensuring that the data requested for all of the sensitive questions (age, income earned etc) were categorized, thus increasing the probability of a truthful response. The current study may also be compared with studies of emerging economies which employ macroeconomic (time series) data and methods to estimate the size of the hidden economy (Maurin et al. 2005). Such a study could not yield details about the characteristics of the participants as is done here.

A second distinguishing feature of this study is that it employs a multinomial logit model to explain the level of participation by households in the hidden economy activity, using as 'explanatory' variables a host of socio-economic, demographic and attitudinal attributes. Modeling the decision to participate in such activity is new to the literature. Studies which

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Feige (1990) adds to this exclusion from the 'rights incorporated in the laws and administrative rules covering property relationships, commercial licensing, labor contracts, torts, financial credit and social security systems'.

<sup>4</sup> See Rampersad (1987), Lloyd-Evans and Potter (2002) and Maurin et al (2005).

investigate the hidden economy through the direct survey method have generally modeled the participation decision using binomial logit (Schneider et al. 2001) and probit (Kim 2005) specifications and ordered probit specification (Schneider and Savasan 2005). In the few studies that employ the multinomial logit (Hill 1983 and Neitzert 1998) the choices are generally classified as no employment, employment in the formal economy and hidden economy employment. More recently, Dimova et al. (2005) uses a multinomial logit model with the following choices: public sector employment, private sector employment, informal sector employment and no employment.

A final distinguishing feature of the study is its novel approach to eliciting information about and modeling a person's perception of the level of risk of detection by the tax authorities using an ordered probit model. We know of no similar study in the extant literature. Most of the studies on tax evasion which employ ordered probit models model various areas in tax evasion, but not risk perception. For example, tax morale is studied by Torgler and Murphy (2005) using an ordered probit model, with the dependent variable scaled for an individual's level of tax morale. Cummings et al. (2005) investigate tax evasion and code the dependent variable according to the amount of times the respondent evades taxes. Even more recently, (Tedds 2006) uses a similar categorization of the dependent variable to examine tax compliance of firms worldwide.

The rest of this paper proceeds as follows. In the next section 3 some theoretical considerations are done and five empirical testable hypotheses are developed.. In sector 4 a review and discussion of some basic frequency distributions of the data obtained from the survey are presented. Following this, in sector 5 a multinomial logit model of participation in hidden economic activity is specified, estimated and analyzed. In sector 6, this in turn is followed by the

specification estimation and analysis of an ordered probit model of the perceived level of risk involved in hidden economy participation. Some policy lessons are drawn from these exercises and the paper is then concluded.

## 3. Some Theoretical Considerations of the Participation for People in the Shadow Economy

#### 3.1. Model of time allocation

In the traditional theory of labour supply, individuals can merely spend their time at work and pursue leisure activities, according to their personal preference. In these models, there is no differentiation between regular and illicit work. Becker (1965) extends the problem of efficient time allocation by the problem of deciding between different occupations. Thus, time is a scarce good that has to be distributed optimally between work and leisure by the individual. The author divides work into that carried out in the official economy and that in the household. This is not yet a differentiation into official and unofficial sectors. However, Becker at least distinguishes between the official sector and the self-sufficiency economy (part of the underground economy). Other adaptations of Becker's model explicitly consider illicit labour supply. An example here is the model by de Gijsel (1984), which is based on the theory of multiple occupations. Starting from a micro-economic decision problem, de Gijsel investigates the influence of various factors on illicit labour supply. However, these hypotheses have not yet been proven either in theory or empirically<sup>5</sup>. A few of the statements seem questionable. The thesis, that illicit labour supply decreases when the official rates of pay increase, only considers individual utility. The decline of employment on the macro-economic level due to higher wages, and the increasing demand for

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The hypotheses are not proven analytically here. Numerous models exist, which, under different presumptions, come to contradictory conclusions. See Trockel (1987); Werner (1990). For an extensive overview on model variations, empirical results, and further literature, see Andreoni, Erard, and Feinstein (1998).

illicit work resulting from higher costs in the official economy, are ignored. Therefore, it may seem sensible to take secondary effects into account<sup>6</sup>.

In de Gijsel's model, the increased rate of unemployment is a cause for the rise in shadow economy work as, in the official economy, a higher employment risk is positively correlated with a higher income risk. This induces the individuals to engage in shadow economic activities. If there is extensive unemployment insurance, the income risk is negligible; indeed, the influence of the rate of unemployment is negligible too. <sup>7</sup> This supply-side reaction contradicts the demand for shadow economy work that arises, especially in times of full employment and production with long waiting and delivery periods.

#### 3.2. Models of tax evasion

Another way to model deviant behaviour is via the theory of tax evasion. If this theory is combined with labour supply models, the decision to evade taxes depends on whether to opt for the official or the unofficial sector. <sup>8</sup> In the pioneering approach by Allingham and Sandmo (1972), the decision to evade taxes results from the individual utility maximization. Under the assumption of insecurity, the authors analyse the decision on which part of the income should be concealed. Those involved have to pay the highest tax rate if they declare their whole income. As an alternative, they can reveal part of their income, with the risk of possibly being detected and prosecuted. In this model, the whole income is exogenously given and the declared income is the decision variable. The individuals maximize their "Neumann-Morgenstern utility function" by

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> These correlations are illustrated by Neck. Schneider, and Hofreither (1989). They stress the problems of making policy implications with these models, as one has to consider numerous restrictions when applying these models to reality. See the omnibus volume by Schäfer (1984); Carlberg (1984); Wiegard (1984).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> However, there are incentives for unemployed individuals to abuse the system, depending on the kind of transfer payments.

<sup>§</sup> See also, e.g., Allingham and Sandmo (1972); Andreoni, Erard, and Feinstein (1998); Mettelsiefen (1984); Petersen (1984a: 132ff.); Sandmo (1981); Shishko and Rostker (1976). In crime theory, Becker (1968) additionally considers the probability of being detected as well as the severity of the punishment.

choosing the optimal taxable income. Allingham and Sandmo come to the – expected – conclusion that the higher the risk of being detected and the greater the punishment, the higher is the taxable part of the income. However, this model cannot clarify completely the correlation between tax rate and declared income.

Anderson (1977) tries to eradicate this deficit and combines the theory of tax evasion with the neoclassical theory of labour supply. The individuals maximize their Neumann-Morgenstern-utility function by selecting the taxable income and the labour supply. As the latter is variable, the total income is now determined endogenously. Anderson shows that a rise in the tax burden has a negative effect on the labour supply and the declarable or official income.

Isachsen and Strom (1980) try to model explicitly the shadow economy by combining the theory of tax evasion with the model of time allocation. In contrast to Andersen, individuals here can divide their time between official and unofficial (shadow economy) work as well as leisure. Thus, these now become the decision variables. Whereas the income gained in the official economy is taxed directly, shadow economy work is not. Therefore, tax evasion results from unofficial (shadow economy) work. Isachsen und Strom conclude that a higher marginal tax rate leads to al lower supply of official labour. In this model, the marginal tax burden causes a rise in shadow economic activities. However, one has to differentiate between income and substitution effects of the tax burden regarding the labour supply.

Nevertheless, the survey results are ambiguous. Sandmo (1981) illustrates that the results rely strongly on the model's specifications. <sup>9</sup> Depending on the structure of the model and its assumptions or the data, the results differ considerably. In some models, higher taxation leads to an increase in shadow economy work, whereas in others that consider the probability of being detected and severity of punishment, it decreases. It is assumed that higher taxation results in a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See also Bös and Felderer (1989); Cowell (1989, 1990); Hackmann (1984).

higher undeclared share of income and thus a higher fine. Under the assumption of risk aversion, the costs of the expected punishment outweigh the utility from illicit work, in this case.

#### 3.3. Empirically Testable Hypotheses

From these theoretical consideration we formulate the following empirically testable hypotheses, using our data sample.

- 1. The lower the chance of detection by the tax authority is, the more people abt to demand and supply services in the shadow economy, ceteris paribus, that means, the higher is the participation in shadow economy activities of those people.
- 2. The higher the average and or marginal tax burden, the stronger is incentive to participate or being engaged in shadow economy activities, ceteris paribus.
- 3. The lower the income earned in the official economy is, the higher is the incentive to be engaged in shadow economy activities, ceteris paribus.
- 4. The more people are unemployment, the higher is the incentive to be engaged in shadow economy activities, ceteris paribus.
- 5. The lower the amount of hours (per week) the work in the official economy is, the higher is the incentive to be engaged in shadow economy activities, ceteris paribus.

These hypotheses we will be tested in the following sections 4, 5 and 6.

#### 4. Data Description for our Empirical Investigation

The sample for this study consists of 570 households, which is equivalent to 0.0005% of the population of Trinidad and Tobago. This percentage compares favourably with similar surveys on the hidden economy. A study of the Australian hidden economy (Schneider et al.

2001) uses 0.0001% (2,040) of the population, while studies of two emerging economies, Albania (Gerxhani 2003) and Bulgaria (Vitosha Research 2004), employ 0.0004% (1,340) and 0.0001% (1,080) of the population respectively. The random walk method (Kazemier and van Eck 1992) is employed in this study to assist in the sampling process. With this method interviewers are asked to replace addresses by other addresses when there is non-response from households or when they are uncooperative. See Appendix 1 for further details of the Sample Frame and Design.

The level of participation in the hidden economy is defined over four levels: 'No participation' (respondent does not participate at all in the hidden economy); 'Supply only' (respondent supplies, but does not demand, goods and services in the hidden economy); 'Demand only' (respondent demands, but does not supply, goods and services in the hidden economy) and 'Dual Participation' (respondent supplies and demands goods and services in the hidden economy). A list of socio-economic, demographic and attitudinal attributes, which are assumed to influence the level of participation, is shown in Table 1. Each attribute is made up of two or more mutually exclusive components which we shall refer to as 'modalities' (for instance the modalities of the sex attribute are 'male' and 'female'). Table 1 also shows the full listing of the modalities, including a 'reference' modality<sup>10</sup>, for each attribute.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> The reference modality is the factor that is not used in the estimation of the model.

**Table 1**Attributes and their Modalities

Attribute	Reference Modality`	Remaining Modalities
Sex	Female	Male
Age	Over 60 years	15-25 years
		26-35 years
		36-45 years
		46-60 years
Marital Status	Single	Married
		Divorced
		Separated
Has Dependents	No	Yes
Area of Residence	Rural	Urban
		Sub-urban
Living Arrangements	Living with relatives	Owns home
		Renting
Level of Education	Primary	Secondary
	,	Tertiary
		Vocational
Employment Status:	Unemployed	Full Time
1 3	1 5	Part Time
		Retired
		Full Time Student
Sector of Employment	Agricultural Sector	Services Sector
1 3		Construction Sector
		Manufacturing Sector
		Other Sector
Employer	Self-employed	Government
		Private Enterprise
Time spent in the Formal Economy	Over 10 hours	Less than 4 hours
1		4-6 hours
		7-9 hours
Household Income	Over \$10,000	Less than \$1,000
		\$1,001-\$5,000
		\$5,001-\$10,000
Opinion on Income Earned in the Formal	High	Very Low
Economy		Low
		Acceptable
Perception of Level of Risk of detection by	High Risk	No Risk
Tax Authority		Low risk
Opinion on Income Tax Burden	Not too high	Too High
Opinion on Red Tape and Government	Not excessive	Excessive
Regulation		

Table A1 in Appendix 2 shows the frequency distribution of the modalities of each attribute as well as the distribution of these modalities by the level of participation. Of the 570 interviewees, 240 (42%) admitted to 'demand only' activity. The second most popular level was

'No participation', accounting for 174 respondents (31%), followed by 'Supply Only' with 92 respondents (16%) and, finally, 'Dual Participation' with 64 respondents (11%). Respondents with dependents have a strong tendency to participate in the hidden economy at all levels. They account for about 73% of the 'supply only' 'demand only' and 'dual participation' groups, which is quite a high rate. At the same time, they account for only 66% of the sample and for 57% of the 'no participation group'. This means that, relative to the group without dependents, they have a strong tendency to participate in hidden economy activity. Similarly, the respondents who think that there is little risk of detection by the tax authority, and who comprise 32% of the sample, provide 49% of the 'supply only' activity and as much as 53% of 'dual participation activity'. On the other hand, a person's sex or employment status does not seem to influence participation since participation rates approximately reflect the same proportions that make up the sample.

#### 5. Empirical Investigation of the Decision to Participate in the Shadow Economy

A multinomial logit model is used to explain the level of activity in the hidden economy ('No participation', 'Supply only', 'Demand only' and 'Dual Participation'). Each level is interpreted as a 'category' of the dependent variable in the model and it is assumed that the categories cannot be ordered. The 'dual participation' category is designated as the benchmark so that the corresponding vector of coefficients in the model is normalized to zero<sup>11</sup>. The estimated coefficients of the model are listed in Table 2 below:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The purpose of this normalization is to identify the model's parameters. See Greene (2003) for a more detailed discussion of the estimation procedure.

 Table 2

 Multinomial Logit Model Estimates: Comparison with 'Dual Participation'

Attributes (modalities in Italies)         No Participation         Supply Only         Demand Only           Sex: Mule         0.088 (0.379)         1.047 (0.707)         0.161 (0.367)           Age: 15-25 years         1.705 (1.131)         22.270*** (0.000)         1.418 (1.061)           26-35 years         1.207 (0.961)         21.702**** (1.072)         0.766 (0.963)           36-45 years         1.207 (0.961)         21.702**** (1.174)         0.766 (0.968)           46-60 years         0.686 (0.933)         20.966*** (1.254)         0.409 (0.852)           Marital Status: Married/Common Law         1.041** (0.465)         -0.318 (1.545)         0.838 (0.948)           Separated         0.325 (0.799)         0.483 (1.496)         0.193 (0.423)           Area of Residence: Urban         0.898 (0.805)         0.913 (1.241)         1.558** (0.780)           Area of Residence: Urban         -0.443 (0.373)         -6.12** (0.653)         0.026 (0.363)           Living Arrangements: Cowns Home         -0.665 (0.522)         -0.773 (0.779)         -0.237 (0.515)           Renting         -1.019* (0.567)         -2.284** (1.079)         -0.782 (0.560)           Level of Education: Secondary         0.077 (0.477)         0.955 (0.770)         0.331 (0.416)           Veclosinal         -0.069 (0.715)	Multinomial Logit Model Estimates: Comparison with 'Dual Participation'					
Age: 15-25 years	Attributes (modalities in Italics)	No Participation	Supply Only	<b>Demand Only</b>		
20-35 years	Sex: Male	0.088 (0.379)	1.047 (0.707)	0.161 (0.367)		
36-45 years	Age: 15-25 years			1.418 (1.061)		
Marital Status: Married/Common Law		1.915* (1.036)	22.287*** (1.072)	0.766 (0.965)		
Marital Status: Married/Common Law   1.041** (0.466)   0.087 (0.774)   0.662 (0.450)   Divorced   0.164 (1.055)   0.318 (1.545)   0.838 (0.948)   Separated   0.325 (0.799)   0.483 (1.496)   0.193 (0.749)   Has Dependents   -0.974** (0.433)   0.343 (0.776)   -0.139 (0.423)   Area of Residence: Urban   0.898 (0.805)   0.913 (1.241)   1.558** (0.780)   0.580 (0.793)   -1.612** (0.653)   0.026 (0.362)   0.026 (0.362)   0.026 (0.362)   0.026 (0.362)   0.026 (0.362)   0.026 (0.362)   0.026 (0.362)   0.026 (0.362)   0.026 (0.362)   0.026 (0.362)   0.026 (0.362)   0.026 (0.	36-45 years	1.207 (0.961)	21.702*** (1.119)	0.766 (0.881)		
Divorced Sparated         0.164 (1.055)         −0.318 (1.545)         0.838 (0.948)           Bas Dependents         0.974** (0.433)         0.483 (1.496)         0.193 (0.749)           Area of Residence: Urban         0.898 (0.805)         0.913 (1.241)         1.558** (0.780)           Living Arrangemenis: Owns Home         −0.665 (0.522)         −0.773 (0.779)         −0.237 (0.515)           Renting         −1.019* (0.667)         −2.284** (1.079)         −0.232 (0.560)           Level of Education: Secondary         0.077 (0.437)         0.965 (0.770)         0.331 (0.416)           Terriary         −0.171 (0.804)         0.126 (1.536)         −0.841 (0.794)           Vocational         −0.069 (0.715)         −0.151 (1.423)         −0.242 (0.696)           Employment Status: Full Time         1.884 (1.873)         17.507**** (0.799)         19.960*** (0.510)           Part Time         2.044 (1.923)         18.197*** (0.000)         9.224*** (0.000)           Sector of Employment: Manufacturing         −1.570 (1.104)         −21.464**** (0.000)         −0.588 (1.053)           Services         −1.053 (0.881)         −2.33**** (1.469)         −1.134 (0.997)           Employer: Private Enterprise         −5.70 (0.460)         −0.028 (0.742)         −0.788* (0.469)           Employer: Private Enterprise	46-60 years	0.686 (0.933)	20.966*** (1.254)	0.409 (0.852)		
Ras Dependents	Marital Status: Married/Common Law	1.041** (0.466)	0.087 (0.774)	0.662 (0.450)		
Has Dependents	Divorced	0.164 (1.055)	-0.318 (1.545)	0.838 (0.948)		
Area of Residence: Urban         0.898 (0.805)         0.913 (1.241)         1.558** (0.780)           Sub-Urban         -0.443 (0.373)         -1.612** (0.653)         0.026 (0.363)           Living Arrangements: Owns Home         -0.665 (0.522)         -0.773 (0.779)         -0.237 (0.515)           Renting         -1.019* (0.567)         -2.284** (1.079)         -0.782 (0.560)           Level of Education: Secondary         0.077 (0.437)         0.965 (0.770)         0.331 (0.416)           Yocational         -0.069 (0.715)         -0.151 (1.423)         -0.242 (0.696)           Employment Status: Full Time         1.884 (1.873)         17.507***(0.799)         19.960***(0.510)           Part Time         1.884 (1.873)         17.507***(0.090)         19.324***(0.000)           Services         -1.530 (0.881)         23.075****(0.790)         19.960****(0.503)           Services         -1.053 (0.881)         23.075****(1.388)         -0.452 (0.870)           Construction         -1.942**(1.033)         24.533***(1.480)         -0.919 (1.132)           Employer: Private Enterprise         0.570 (0.460)         -0.028 (0.742)         0.788* (0.444)           Government         0.859** (0.477)         0.232 (0.800)         0.776* (0.459)           Time Spent in the Formal Economy:         Less than 4 ho	Separated	0.325 (0.799)	0.483 (1.496)	0.193 (0.749)		
Sub-Urban         -0.443 (0.373)         -1.612** (0.653)         0.026 (0.363)           Living Arrangements: Owns Home Renting         -0.665 (0.522)         -0.773 (0.779)         -0.237 (0.515)           Level of Education: Secondary         0.077 (0.437)         0.965 (0.770)         0.331 (0.416)           Tertiary         -0.171 (0.804)         0.126 (1.536)         -0.841 (0.794)           Vocational         -0.069 (0.715)         -0.151 (1.423)         -0.242 (0.696)           Employment Status: Full Time         1.884 (1.873)         17.507*** (0.799)         19.960*** (0.510)           Part Time         2.044 (1.923)         18.197*** (0.000)         19.324*** (0.000)           Sector of Employment: Manufacturing         -1.570 (1.104)         -21.464*** (0.000)         -0.558 (1.053)           Services         -1.053 (0.881)         23.075**** (1.388)         -0.452 (0.870)           Services         -1.942* (1.033)         24.533*** (1.469)         -1.134 (0.997)           Employer: Private Enterprise         0.570 (0.460)         -0.028 (0.742)         0.788* (0.444)           Government         0.859* (0.477)         0.232 (0.800)         0.776* (0.459)           Times Spent in the Formal Economy:         1.465 (1.372)         -0.479 (1.806)         -1.889 (1.299)           4-6 hours	Has Dependents	-0.974** (0.433)	0.343 (0.776)	-0.139 (0.423)		
	Area of Residence: <i>Urban</i>	0.898 (0.805)	0.913 (1.241)	1.558** (0.780)		
Renting	Sub-Urban	-0.443 (0.373)	-1.612** (0.653)	0.026 (0.363)		
Renting	Living Arrangements: Owns Home	-0.665 (0.522)	-0.773 (0.779)	-0.237 (0.515)		
Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary         0.077 (0.437)         0.965 (0.770)         0.331 (0.416)           Tertiary Vocational         -0.171 (0.804)         0.126 (1.536)         -0.841 (0.794)           Employment Status: Full Time Part Time         1.884 (1.873)         17.507*** (0.799)         19.960**** (0.510)           Sector of Employment: Manufacturing Services         -1.570 (1.104)         -2.1464*** (0.000)         -0.558 (1.053)           Services Services         -1.053 (0.881)         23.075**** (1.388)         -0.452 (0.870)           Construction         -1.942* (1.033)         24.533*** (1.469)         -1.134 (0.997)           Employer: Private Enterprise O.570 (0.460)         -0.028 (0.742)         0.788* (0.444)           Government Ossey* (0.477)         0.232 (0.800)         0.776* (0.459)           Time Spent in the Formal Economy:         Less than 4 hours         3.174** (1.567)         -0.479 (1.806)         -1.889 (1.299)           4-6 hours         0.140 (0.704)         0.758 (1.054)         0.411 (0.85)           7-9 hours         -0.318 (0.434)         -1.304* (0.677)         -0.361 (0.416)           Household Income: Less than \$1.000         1.465 (1.372)         -0.384 (1.959)         1.343 (1.349)           \$1,001-\$5,000         -0.143 (0.635)         -0.756 (1.073)         -0.026 (0.613)		-1.019* (0.567)	-2.284** (1.079)	-0.782 (0.560)		
Tertiary Vocational         -0.171 (0.804) -0.069 (0.715)         -0.151 (1.423) -0.242 (0.696) -0.242 (0.696)           Employment Status: Full Time Part Time         1.884 (1.873) 17.507*** (0.799)         19.960*** (0.510) 19.324*** (0.000)           Sector of Employment: Manufacturing Services         -1.570 (1.104) -21.464*** (0.000)         -0.558 (1.053) 23.07**** (1.388)           Services         -1.053 (0.881) 23.075*** (1.388)         -0.452 (0.870) 24.533**** (1.469)         -1.134 (0.997) 1.134 (0.997)           Employer: Private Enterprise O.570 (0.460) -1.267 (1.146)         22.840*** (0.000) 0.776* (0.459)         -0.716 (0.459)           Time Spent in the Formal Economy:         Services O.318 (0.434) 0.738 (1.054) 0.776* (0.459)         -0.776* (0.459)           Less than 4 hours O.318 (0.434) 0.738 (1.054) 0.758 (1.054) 0.	Level of Education: Secondary	0.077 (0.437)		0.331 (0.416)		
Employment Status: Full Time		-0.171 (0.804)	0.126 (1.536)	-0.841 (0.794)		
Employment Status: Full Time	Vocational	-0.069 (0.715)	-0.151 (1.423)	-0.242 (0.696)		
Part Time	Employment Status: Full Time	1 /		`		
Sector of Employment: Manufacturing Services   -1.570 (1.104)   -21.464*** (0.000)   -0.558 (1.053)     Services   -1.053 (0.881)   23.075*** (1.388)   -0.452 (0.870)     Construction   -1.942* (1.033)   24.533*** (1.469)   -1.134 (0.997)	1 7		( )			
Services   -1.053 (0.881)   23.075*** (1.388)   -0.452 (0.870)   -0.452 (0.870)   -1.134 (0.997)   -1.134 (0.459)   -1.134 (0.459)   -1.134 (0.459)   -1.134 (0.459)   -1.134 (0.459)   -1.134 (0.459)   -1.134 (0.459)   -1.134 (0.459)   -1.134 (0.459)   -1.134 (0.459)   -1.134 (0.459)   -1.134 (0.459)   -1.134 (0.457)   -1.134 (0.458)   -1.134 (0.477)   -1.134				\ /		
Construction         -1.942*(1.033)         24.533*** (1.469)         -1.134 (0.997)	1 2			` /		
	Construction			` /		
Employer: Private Enterprise Government         0.570 (0.460) (0.859* (0.477)         -0.028 (0.742) (0.800)         0.776* (0.449) (0.459)           Time Spent in the Formal Economy:         Less than 4 hours         3.174** (1.567) (1.567)         -0.479 (1.806) (1.806) (-1.889 (1.299) (1.299) (1.406 hours)         -1.889 (1.299) (1.299) (1.290) (1.406 hours)         0.140 (0.704) (0.704) (0.758 (1.054) (0.615) (0.416) (0.416) (0.416)         0.411 (0.685) (0.416) (		` /	,			
Government         0.859* (0.477)         0.232 (0.800)         0.776* (0.459)           Time Spent in the Formal Economy:         1.74** (1.567)         -0.479 (1.806)         -1.889 (1.299)           4-6 hours         0.140 (0.704)         0.758 (1.054)         0.411 (0.685)           7-9 hours         -0.318 (0.434)         -1.304* (0.677)         -0.361 (0.416)           Household Income: Less than \$1,000         1.465 (1.372)         -0.384 (1.959)         1.343 (1.349)           \$1,001-\$5,000         -0.143 (0.635)         -0.756 (1.073)         -0.026 (0.613)           \$5,001-\$10,000         -0.347 (0.611)         0.126 (1.019)         0.064 (0.589)           Opinion on Income Earned in the Formal         1.00         <			` ` `			
Time Spent in the Formal Economy:           Less than 4 hours         3.174** (1.567)         -0.479 (1.806)         -1.889 (1.299)           4-6 hours         0.140 (0.704)         0.758 (1.054)         0.411 (0.685)           7-9 hours         -0.318 (0.434)         -1.304* (0.677)         -0.361 (0.416)           Household Income: Less than \$1,000         1.465 (1.372)         -0.384 (1.959)         1.343 (1.349)           \$1,001-\$5,000         -0.143 (0.635)         -0.756 (1.073)         -0.026 (0.613)           \$5,001-\$10,000         -0.347 (0.611)         0.126 (1.019)         0.064 (0.589)           Opinion on Income Earned in the Formal         Economy: Very Low         3.334* (1.711)         4.538** (2.197)         2.685 (1.652)           Low         0.692 (1.319)         0.684 (1.834)         -0.122 (1.251)           Acceptable         0.833 (1.295)         0.437 (1.772)         0.464 (1.229)           Perception of Level of risk of Detection by         1.087*** (0.386)         0.019 (0.782)         -0.648 (0.476)           Low Risk         -0.607 (0.483)         -0.196 (0.782)         -0.648 (0.476)           Opinion on Income Tax Burden: Too High         0.584 (0.357)         2.211*** (0.782)         0.311 (0.340)           Opinion on Red Tape and Government         0.391 (0.346)				` /		
Less than 4 hours         3.174** (1.567)         -0.479 (1.806)         -1.889 (1.299)           4-6 hours         0.140 (0.704)         0.758 (1.054)         0.411 (0.685)           7-9 hours         -0.318 (0.434)         -1.304* (0.677)         -0.361 (0.416)           Household Income: Less than \$1,000         1.465 (1.372)         -0.384 (1.959)         1.343 (1.349)           \$1,001-\$5,000         -0.143 (0.635)         -0.756 (1.073)         -0.026 (0.613)           \$5,001-\$10,000         -0.347 (0.611)         0.126 (1.019)         0.064 (0.589)           Opinion on Income Earned in the Formal         Economy: Very Low         3.334* (1.711)         4.538** (2.197)         2.685 (1.652)           Low         0.692 (1.319)         0.684 (1.834)         -0.122 (1.251)           Acceptable         0.833 (1.295)         0.437 (1.772)         0.464 (1.229)           Perception of Level of risk of Detection by         -0.607 (0.483)         -0.196 (0.782)         -0.648 (0.476)           Low Risk         -1.087*** (0.386)         0.019 (0.672)         -0.190 (0.364)           Opinion on Income Tax Burden: Too High         0.584 (0.357)         2.211*** (0.782)         -0.11 (0.333)           Constant         -1.212 (2.572)         -64.824*** (2.687)         -19.62*** (1.816)           Hausman Tests of				, ,		
4-6 hours         0.140 (0.704)         0.758 (1.054)         0.411 (0.685)           7-9 hours         -0.318 (0.434)         -1.304* (0.677)         -0.361 (0.416)           Household Income: Less than \$1,000         1.465 (1.372)         -0.384 (1.959)         1.343 (1.349)           \$1,001-\$5,000         -0.143 (0.635)         -0.756 (1.073)         -0.026 (0.613)           \$5,001-\$10,000         -0.347 (0.611)         0.126 (1.019)         0.064 (0.589)           Opinion on Income Earned in the Formal         Economy: Very Low         3.334* (1.711)         4.538** (2.197)         2.685 (1.652)           Low         0.692 (1.319)         0.684 (1.834)         -0.122 (1.251)           Acceptable         0.833 (1.295)         0.437 (1.772)         0.464 (1.229)           Perception of Level of risk of Detection by         Tax Authority: No Risk         -0.607 (0.483)         -0.196 (0.782)         -0.648 (0.476)           Low Risk         -1.087*** (0.386)         0.019 (0.672)         -0.190 (0.364)           Opinion on Income Tax Burden: Too High         0.584 (0.357)         2.211*** (0.782)         0.311 (0.340)           Opinion on Red Tape and Government         Regulations: Excessive         0.391 (0.346)         -0.613 (0.590)         -0.071 (0.333)           Constant         -1.212 (2.572)         -64.824****		3.174** (1.567)	-0.479 (1.806)	-1.889 (1.299)		
7-9 hours         -0.318 (0.434)         -1.304* (0.677)         -0.361 (0.416)           Household Income: Less than \$1,000         1.465 (1.372)         -0.384 (1.959)         1.343 (1.349)           \$1,001-\$5,000         -0.143 (0.635)         -0.756 (1.073)         -0.026 (0.613)           \$5,001-\$10,000         -0.347 (0.611)         0.126 (1.019)         0.064 (0.589)           Opinion on Income Earned in the Formal         Economy: Very Low         3.334* (1.711)         4.538** (2.197)         2.685 (1.652)           Low         0.692 (1.319)         0.684 (1.834)         -0.122 (1.251)           Acceptable         0.833 (1.295)         0.437 (1.772)         0.464 (1.229)           Perception of Level of risk of Detection by         Tax Authority: No Risk         -0.607 (0.483)         -0.196 (0.782)         -0.648 (0.476)           Low Risk         -1.087*** (0.386)         0.019 (0.672)         -0.190 (0.364)           Opinion on Income Tax Burden: Too High         0.584 (0.357)         2.211*** (0.782)         0.311 (0.340)           Opinion on Red Tape and Government         Regulations: Excessive         0.391 (0.346)         -0.613 (0.590)         -0.071 (0.333)           Constant         -1.212 (2.572)         -64.824*** (2.687)         -19.62*** (1.816)           Hausman Tests of IIA         -585.234 (1.000)	4-6 hours					
Household Income: Less than \$1,000	7-9 hours					
\$1,001-\$5,000         -0.143 (0.635)         -0.756 (1.073)         -0.026 (0.613)           \$5,001-\$10,000         -0.347 (0.611)         0.126 (1.019)         0.064 (0.589)           Opinion on Income Earned in the Formal         Economy: Very Low         3.334* (1.711)         4.538** (2.197)         2.685 (1.652)           Low         0.692 (1.319)         0.684 (1.834)         -0.122 (1.251)         0.437 (1.772)         0.464 (1.229)           Perception of Level of risk of Detection by           Tax Authority: No Risk         -0.607 (0.483)         -0.196 (0.782)         -0.648 (0.476)           Low Risk         -1.087*** (0.386)         0.019 (0.672)         -0.190 (0.364)           Opinion on Income Tax Burden: Too High         0.584 (0.357)         2.211*** (0.782)         0.311 (0.340)           Opinion on Red Tape and Government         Regulations: Excessive         0.391 (0.346)         -0.613 (0.590)         -0.071 (0.333)           Constant         -1.212 (2.572)         -64.824*** (2.687)         -19.62***(1.816)           Hausman Tests of IIA         -585.234 (1.000)         19.125 (1.000)         0.0001 (1.000)           Observations         511         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1	Household Income: Less than \$1,000	1.465 (1.372)	-0.384 (1.959)	1.343 (1.349)		
Opinion on Income Earned in the Formal Economy: Very Low         3.334* (1.711)         4.538** (2.197)         2.685 (1.652)           Low         0.692 (1.319)         0.684 (1.834)         -0.122 (1.251)           Acceptable         0.833 (1.295)         0.437 (1.772)         0.464 (1.229)           Perception of Level of risk of Detection by Tax Authority: No Risk         -0.607 (0.483)         -0.196 (0.782)         -0.648 (0.476)           Low Risk         -1.087*** (0.386)         0.019 (0.672)         -0.190 (0.364)           Opinion on Income Tax Burden: Too High         0.584 (0.357)         2.211*** (0.782)         0.311 (0.340)           Opinion on Red Tape and Government         Regulations: Excessive         0.391 (0.346)         -0.613 (0.590)         -0.071 (0.333)           Constant         -1.212 (2.572)         -64.824*** (2.687)         -19.62***(1.816)           Hausman Tests of IIA         -585.234 (1.000)         19.125 (1.000)         0.0001 (1.000)           Observations         511           Likelihood Ratio         192.1 (0.000)         0.0000           McFadden's Pseudo-R²         0.17	\$1,001-\$5,000					
Opinion on Income Earned in the Formal           Economy: Very Low         3.334* (1.711)         4.538** (2.197)         2.685 (1.652)           Low         0.692 (1.319)         0.684 (1.834)         -0.122 (1.251)           Acceptable         0.833 (1.295)         0.437 (1.772)         0.464 (1.229)           Perception of Level of risk of Detection by         Tax Authority: No Risk         -0.607 (0.483)         -0.196 (0.782)         -0.648 (0.476)           Low Risk         -1.087*** (0.386)         0.019 (0.672)         -0.190 (0.364)           Opinion on Income Tax Burden: Too High         0.584 (0.357)         2.211*** (0.782)         0.311 (0.340)           Opinion on Red Tape and Government         Regulations: Excessive         0.391 (0.346)         -0.613 (0.590)         -0.071 (0.333)           Constant         -1.212 (2.572)         -64.824*** (2.687)         -19.62***(1.816)           Hausman Tests of IIA         -585.234 (1.000)         19.125 (1.000)         0.0001 (1.000)           Observations         511           Likelihood Ratio         192.1 (0.000)         0.0001 (1.000)           McFadden's Pseudo-R²         0.17	\$5,001-\$10,000	-0.347 (0.611)	0.126 (1.019)	0.064 (0.589)		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Opinion on Income Earned in the Formal	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, , ,		
Acceptable         0.833 (1.295)         0.437 (1.772)         0.464 (1.229)           Perception of Level of risk of Detection by Tax Authority: No Risk         -0.607 (0.483)         -0.196 (0.782)         -0.648 (0.476)           Low Risk         -1.087*** (0.386)         0.019 (0.672)         -0.190 (0.364)           Opinion on Income Tax Burden: Too High         0.584 (0.357)         2.211*** (0.782)         0.311 (0.340)           Opinion on Red Tape and Government         Regulations: Excessive         0.391 (0.346)         -0.613 (0.590)         -0.071 (0.333)           Constant         -1.212 (2.572)         -64.824*** (2.687)         -19.62***(1.816)           Hausman Tests of IIA         -585.234 (1.000)         19.125 (1.000)         0.0001 (1.000)           Observations         511           Likelihood Ratio         192.1 (0.000)           McFadden's Pseudo-R²         0.17		3.334* (1.711)	4.538** (2.197)	2.685 (1.652)		
Perception of Level of risk of Detection by         Tax Authority: No Risk $-0.607 (0.483)$ $-0.196 (0.782)$ $-0.648 (0.476)$ Low Risk $-1.087^{***} (0.386)$ $0.019 (0.672)$ $-0.190 (0.364)$ Opinion on Income Tax Burden: Too High $0.584 (0.357)$ $2.211^{***} (0.782)$ $0.311 (0.340)$ Opinion on Red Tape and Government       Regulations: Excessive $0.391 (0.346)$ $-0.613 (0.590)$ $-0.071 (0.333)$ Constant $-1.212 (2.572)$ $-64.824^{***} (2.687)$ $-19.62^{***} (1.816)$ Hausman Tests of IIA $-585.234 (1.000)$ $19.125 (1.000)$ $0.0001 (1.000)$ Observations $511$ Likelihood Ratio $192.1 (0.000)$ McFadden's Pseudo-R <sup>2</sup> $0.17$	Low	0.692 (1.319)	0.684 (1.834)	-0.122 (1.251)		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Acceptable	0.833 (1.295)	0.437 (1.772)	0.464 (1.229)		
Low Risk         -1.087*** (0.386)         0.019 (0.672)         -0.190 (0.364)           Opinion on Income Tax Burden: Too High         0.584 (0.357)         2.211*** (0.782)         0.311 (0.340)           Opinion on Red Tape and Government         Regulations: Excessive         0.391 (0.346)         -0.613 (0.590)         -0.071 (0.333)           Constant         -1.212 (2.572)         -64.824*** (2.687)         -19.62***(1.816)           Hausman Tests of IIA         -585.234 (1.000)         19.125 (1.000)         0.0001 (1.000)           Observations         511           Likelihood Ratio         192.1 (0.000)           McFadden's Pseudo-R²         0.17	Perception of Level of risk of Detection by					
Opinion on Income Tax Burden: Too High         0.584 (0.357)         2.211*** (0.782)         0.311 (0.340)           Opinion on Red Tape and Government         Regulations: Excessive         0.391 (0.346)         -0.613 (0.590)         -0.071 (0.333)           Constant         -1.212 (2.572)         -64.824*** (2.687)         -19.62***(1.816)           Hausman Tests of IIA         -585.234 (1.000)         19.125 (1.000)         0.0001 (1.000)           Observations         511           Likelihood Ratio         192.1 (0.000)         0.17	Tax Authority: No Risk	-0.607 (0.483)	-0.196 (0.782)	-0.648 (0.476)		
Opinion on Red Tape and Government         Regulations: Excessive         0.391 (0.346)         -0.613 (0.590)         -0.071 (0.333)           Constant         -1.212 (2.572)         -64.824*** (2.687)         -19.62***(1.816)           Hausman Tests of IIA         -585.234 (1.000)         19.125 (1.000)         0.0001 (1.000)           Observations         511           Likelihood Ratio         192.1 (0.000)           McFadden's Pseudo-R²         0.17	Low Risk	-1.087*** (0.386)		-0.190 (0.364)		
Regulations: Excessive         0.391 (0.346)         -0.613 (0.590)         -0.071 (0.333)           Constant         -1.212 (2.572)         -64.824*** (2.687)         -19.62*** (1.816)           Hausman Tests of IIA         -585.234 (1.000)         19.125 (1.000)         0.0001 (1.000)           Observations         511           Likelihood Ratio         192.1 (0.000)           McFadden's Pseudo-R²         0.17	Opinion on Income Tax Burden: Too High	0.584 (0.357)	2.211*** (0.782)	0.311 (0.340)		
Regulations: Excessive         0.391 (0.346)         -0.613 (0.590)         -0.071 (0.333)           Constant         -1.212 (2.572)         -64.824*** (2.687)         -19.62*** (1.816)           Hausman Tests of IIA         -585.234 (1.000)         19.125 (1.000)         0.0001 (1.000)           Observations         511           Likelihood Ratio         192.1 (0.000)           McFadden's Pseudo-R²         0.17	Opinion on Red Tape and Government					
Constant         -1.212 (2.572)         -64.824*** (2.687)         -19.62*** (1.816)           Hausman Tests of IIA         -585.234 (1.000)         19.125 (1.000)         0.0001 (1.000)           Observations         511           Likelihood Ratio         192.1 (0.000)           McFadden's Pseudo-R²         0.17		0.391 (0.346)	-0.613 (0.590)	-0.071 (0.333)		
Hausman Tests of IIA       -585.234 (1.000)       19.125 (1.000)       0.0001 (1.000)         Observations       511         Likelihood Ratio       192.1 (0.000)         McFadden's Pseudo-R²       0.17						
Observations511Likelihood Ratio192.1 (0.000)McFadden's Pseudo-R²0.17			` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` ` `	` ,		
Likelihood Ratio 192.1 (0.000) McFadden's Pseudo-R <sup>2</sup> 0.17		()	. ,	()		
McFadden's Pseudo-R <sup>2</sup> 0.17						

Standard errors in parentheses. \* significant at 10%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 1%.

First of all one should note that the Likelihood-Ratio test<sup>12</sup> provides general support for our model ( $\chi^2$  statistic of 192.1 and associated p-value=0.000). Moreover, the McFadden's Pseudo-R<sup>2</sup> is calculated as 0.17 and the McKelvey-Zavoina R<sup>2</sup> as 0.13, which are acceptable values<sup>13</sup>. More importantly, the Hausman specification test (Hausman and McFadden 1984) provides no evidence that the IIA assumption has been violated (p-value =1 in all three cases), which is necessary for the validity of the multinomial logit model.

The estimated coefficients in Table 2 represent the effect of the corresponding attribute's modality, relative to the excluded modalities, on the probability of selecting any of the three categories of participation shown in the table, relative to the probability of dual participation in the hidden economy. The results show none of the attributes Sex, Level of Education, Household income and 'Opinion on Red Tape' helps to explain the level of participation in the hidden economy. This is not altogether surprising given some of the tentative conclusions drawn in the previous section. All of the remaining attributes are significant at least at the 10% level in at least one of the modalities.

Perhaps the most noteworthy finding is that persons who believe that the chance of detection by the tax authority is low are more apt to demand and supply services in the hidden economy, as opposed to no participation at all (strongly significant negative coefficient). This is consistent with other studies (Andreoni et al. 1998, Jackson and Milliron 1986) where it is found that the perceived likelihood of being detected by the tax authorities is a key predictor of tax evasion, which is arguably the main motive for participation in hidden economic activity

<sup>12</sup> Using the log likelihood of the full model (lnL<sub>1</sub>) with the one from the constant only model (lnL<sub>0</sub>) the likelihood ratio test statistic is computed as follows:  $\chi^2 = -2 \ln(\frac{L_0}{L}) = 2(\ln L_1 - \ln L_0)$ 

23.05.2006, SW Paper 4\_neu.doc

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Several authors (see Long 1997, Long and Freese 2006) prefer the McKelvey-Zavoina to the McFadden R<sup>2</sup> on the grounds that it more closely approximates the R<sup>2</sup> obtained from regressions on the underlying latent variable.

(Schneider et al 2001). In fact, we also verify that people are very likely to supply services in the hidden economy because they believe the tax burden is too high and that the income they earn in the formal economy is very low (highly significant positive coefficient in both cases). If the perception that the risk of detection is low, then it is easy to understand why such a perception leads to intensified activity in the hidden economy. We look at this phenomenon in more detail in the next section.

The highly significant positive coefficient attached to the 'Supply only' category of each age modality indicates the strong preference, at any age, for supplying goods and services in the hidden economy as opposed to both demanding and supplying. This is at odds with studies by Schneider et al (2001) and Gerxhani (2002) conducted in Australia and Albania, respectively, which both confirm that certain age categories are more influential than others in the supply of services to the hidden economy. Married respondents are inclined not to participate (positive coefficient, significant at 5%). This result is similar to that obtained by Anderson (1998) in his study of Mongolia's hidden economy, but different to that of Gerxhani (2002), who did not find any relationship between marital status and participation in the hidden economy (although our results are similar to hers in that all other 'Marital Status' modalities were not significant). Persons with dependents are more apt to dual participation then no participation, given the significantly negative coefficient. This is not surprising: people with 'more mouths to feed' are more likely to attempt to earn (tax-free) income in the hidden economy as well as to seek the cheaper goods and services there.

Persons who live in sub-urban areas are more prone to dual participation in the hidden economy, in preference to 'supply only' activity (significant negative coefficient), while those who live in urban areas tend toward 'demand only' (significant positive coefficient). Studies

done on the influence of 'area of residence' on the hidden economy (Portes and Sassen-Koob 1987, Sassen-Koob 1989) provide evidence that hidden economic activity is likely to emerge in areas where particular industries make up a substantial portion of the regional economy since these industries permit hidden activity to thrive. In Trinidad and Tobago, geographical distances are never too much to cover, and people – especially professionals – prefer to live in suburbia and travel to work in the urban and rural centres, where most of the industrial areas (for example the Point Lisas Industrial Estate) are located. Indeed it should not be a surprise that sub-urban dwellers both buy and, especially, sell in the hidden economy. Many of them are professionals whose services are easily saleable there, and the activity goes undetected by the government. Possibly good motives for doing so include high mortgages, the cost of children's education, and other similar compelling expenses.

Persons who rent their homes seem to have a strong preference to be both demanders and suppliers in the hidden economy<sup>14</sup> rather than be suppliers only (the 'supply only' coefficient is significantly negative), and there is also some evidence that they are inclined to 'dual participation' rather than not participate at all (coefficient is negative but significant only at 10%). This is a reasonable result since individuals who do not own their homes are very likely to be suppliers of services to the hidden economy to earn extra income and they are also the ones who would demand services there since this work is usually provided at lower prices than in the official economy.

Persons of all sectors of economic activity will participate in the hidden economy. However, personnel belonging to the non manufacturing sectors are strongly inclined toward

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The extant literature (Mirus et al. 1994 and Mogensen et al. 1995) suggests that homeowners are the key demanders of services in the hidden economy, such as construction services. See in their study of Denmark's shadow economy

'supply only' activity (highly significant positive coefficients) while those in the manufacturing sector are more likely than persons of the other sectors to 'dual participation' in the hidden economy (highly significant negative coefficient). This result is consistent with the findings of Marcelli et al. (1999) and Losby and Edgcomb (2002) who found that respondents from the services and construction industries were more likely to be involved in the supply of hidden work. Persons employed by private enterprise are marginally more likely to be involved in the demand for services in the hidden economy, which seems to be at odds with results obtained by Hart (1970, 1973) who observes that self-employed persons tend to be major *suppliers* in the hidden economy, since they have more freedom in reporting their incomes and they could underreport or not report their income at all. There is some ambiguity in the results for government employees who seem, marginally, not to want to participate and also demand services in the hidden economy (positive coefficient in both cases, but significant only at 10%).

Hypothetically, the amount of time individuals spend at their formal jobs impacts negatively on their participation in hidden activity, since it is likely that individuals with more time on their hands may spend that time in the hidden economy. Yet we find here that persons who spend less than 4 hours per day tend not to participate (significant positive coefficient) while those that spend between 7 to 9 hours per day at their formal jobs are marginally more likely toward dual participation (as opposed to supply only) in the hidden economy (negative coefficient, significant at 10%). One possible explanation is provided by Schneider and Enste (2003) who find that some hidden economy workers work in the hidden economy even during their regular hours in the official economy. It is also possible that the rarity of certain skilled labour (electricians, plumbers, doctors, accountants) make such skills demanded in large amounts in both the formal and hidden economies. Many such persons work outside of 'normal'

working hours to take advantage of buoyant demand for their skills. Those who work less than 4 hours per day in the formal economy may be skills demanded and supplied in both the hidden and formal economies.

#### 6. Empirical Investigation of the Perception of Risk

It is often argued that tax evasion is a major motivating factor behind participation in the hidden economy and, to some extent, this is verified in this study (see above). However, it may also be argued that an individual will be less inclined to such participation if he/she believes that he will be caught by the relevant authorities. What determines his/her perception of the level of risk involved? An ordered probit model is employed in this section to investigate the determinants of the perception of the level of risk of detection by the tax authorities ordered, from the lowest to the highest levels of perception, as follows:

No perceived risk of detection
Low perceived risk of detection
Average perceived risk of detection
High perceived risk of detection
Risk of detection perceived as certain

The independent variables are the very same modalities of the attributes appearing in Table 1 except that the risk perception attribute is now the dependent variable, and the 'participation' variable, which was the dependent variable in the Multinomial Logit Model, appears as an independent attribute in this model with the modalities shown in Table 3 below:

Table 3
Participation Attribute and its Modalities

Attribute	Reference Modality`	Remaining Modalities
Nature of Participation in Hidden	Dual Participation	No Participation
Economy		Supply only
		Demand only

The socio-economic/demographic predictors have often been used in tax evasion studies. In this study it was hypothesized that these would affect the level of risk of participation since other studies have shown that some of these variables do influence an individual's tendency to evade taxes (Warneryd and Walerud 1982). For instance, a person who is employed part-time may experience greater financial strain and may be more prone to taking the risk of tax evasion (Mason and Calvin 1978) through hidden economy participation.

Table 4 summarizes the results of the ordered probit model for the perception of the level of risk of detection when participating in the hidden economy.

Table 4
Ordered Probit Results-Perception of Level of Risk of Detection

Attributes (modalities in italics)	non of Level of I
Sex: Male	-0.125 (0.109)
Age: 15-25 years	-0.239 (0.336)
26-35 years	-0.416 (0.320)
36-45 years	-0.502* (0.304)
46-60 years	-0.353 (0.302)
Marital Status: Married/Common Law	0.045 (0.137)
Divorced	0.139 (0.296)
Separated	0.226 (0.239)
Has Dependents	-0.044 (0.123)
Area of Residence: Urban	-0.024 (0.194)
Sub-Urban	-0.182* (0.109)
Living Arrangements: Owns Home	-0.028 (0.138)
Renting	-0.209 (0.163)
Level of Education: Secondary	0.274** (0.128)
Tertiary	-0.157 (0.265)
Vocational	-0.080 (0.216)
Employment Status: Full Time	-1.957** (0.846)
Part Time	-1.908** (0.857)
Sector of Employment: Other	0.017 (0.302)
Manufacturing	-0.303 (0.278)
Services	-0.322 (0.205)
Construction	-0.101 (0.274)
Employer: Private Enterprise	0.037 (0.131)
Government	0.064 (0.138)
Time Spent in the Formal Economy: Less than 4 hours	-0.562 (0.484)
4-6 hours	-0.356* (0.188)
7-9 hours	0.016 (0.120)
Household Income: Less than \$1,000	-0.202 (0.328)
\$1,001-\$5,000	-0.055 (0.185)
\$5,001-\$10,000	-0.063 (0.178)
Opinion on Income Earned in the	0.003 (0.170)
Formal Economy: Very Low	-0.572 (0.427)
Low	-0.609 (0.407)
Acceptable	-0.420 (0.399)
Opinion on Income Tax Burden: Too High	-0.055 0.107)
Opinion on Red Tape and Government Regulations:	0.022 0.107)
Excessive	0.267*** (0.098)
Participation in Hidden Activity: No Participation	-0.244 (0.287)
Supply Only	-0.128 (0.163)
Demand Only	0.120 (0.266)
Thresholds: $\mu_0$	-4.258 (1.006)
$\mu_1$	-3.213 (1.001)
$\mu_2$	-2.216 (0.999)
$\mu_3$	-1.363 (0.999)
Observations	509
Likelihood Ratio	62.11 (0.008)

Standard errors in parentheses. \* significant at 10%; \*\* significant at 5%; \*\*\* significant at 1%

The likelihood ratio statistic, a measure of the overall goodness of fit of the model, provides evidence of a strong fit (p-value of 0.008). The results show none of the modalities of the following ten attributes was significant: Sex, Marital Status, 'Has Dependents', Living Arrangements, Sector of Employment, Employer, Household Income, Opinion on Income Earned in the Formal Economy, Opinion on Income Tax burden and Participation in the Hidden Economy. All of the remaining attributes are significant at least at the 10% level in at least one of the modalities.

Coefficients of the following attributes all have a negative sign: Age, Area of residence, Employment status, Time Spent in Formal Economy. The negative signs in the model are an indication that respondents view participation the hidden economy as a low risk activity. The significant age group is the 36-45 age group, the largest participants in the hidden economy, both as demanders and suppliers of services (see Table 1). Sub-urban residents, who in the Logit model were prone to dual participation, clearly believe that the risk of so doing is low. The positive coefficients are attached to the Education attribute (secondary level) and to the 'opinion on Red Tape' modality. The latter result is easy enough to explain: those who do not participate do so because they believe that dodging the burdensome government regulations more than compensates for their fear of detection by the Tax Authority.

#### 7. Policy lessons and Conclusion

In this paper, we establish the socio-economic, demographic and attitudinal characteristics that influence an individual household member's propensity to participate in the hidden economy of an emerging economy using the case of Trinidad & Tobago. We also determine the extent to which some of these same features influence that person's perception of

the risk of detection by tax authorities of involvement in hidden economy activity. Individual household members are motivated to undertake hidden economic activity because they believe taxes are too high, their incomes are too low, they have dependents to support, and they believe that the resulting tax evasion will go undetected. They are further motivated by other socioeconomic circumstances, such as the number of dependents they have to support.

In emerging, and even in developed economies, it is recognized that there are both negative and positive features of the hidden economy. Any effort to formalize the hidden economy cannot ignore both these aspects and the fact that the factors motivating participation in it reflect genuine concerns about malfunctioning of the formal system. Policy recommendations, therefore, should aim to support the positive aspects and suppress the negative ones. Negative aspects include the loss of government revenue and, more generally, the misallocation of public funds because the size and structure of the hidden economy are unknown. On the positive side, there is the creation of income and employment, and even, eventually, an increase in government revenues resulting through the application of largely indirect taxes to such activity. Policies should therefore be dynamic and must contain a wide variety of tools and instruments, which can be used in different situations and circumstances as they appear.

Any policy measure should start with a strengthening of trust in government and government institutions. Tax-payers must believe that they are getting value for their tax dollars, that the tax net is all-inclusive so that they are not being unduly punished by the tax authority. Changing of attitudes is a very important factor in the effort to reduce such activity, since control measures (and these are always implemented in the midst of inadequate resources) cannot achieve this by themselves. At the same time, measures must be put in place by the tax authority to detect tax evasion (including increasing audits and penalties). The aim should be to transform

hidden economic activity into legal activity by reducing the administrative burden, simplifying legislation and reducing the tax burden for households.

#### REFERENCES

Allingham, Michael G. and Sandmo, Agnar. 1972. Income tax evasion: A theoretical analysis. *Journal of Public Economics* 1: 323 – 38.

Andersen, Peter. 1977. Tax evasion and labor supply. *Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 79, no. 3: 375 – 83.

Anderson, J.H. 1998. The Size, Origins, and Character of Mongolia's Informal Sector during the Transition. *Policy Research Working Paper 1916*. Washington: World Bank

Andreoni, James, Brian Erard and Jonathan Feinstein. 1998. Tax Compliance. *Journal of Economic Literature* 36: 818-860.

Becker, Gary S. 1965, A theory of the allocation of time. *The Economic Journal* 75, no. 299: 493 – 517.

Becker, Gary S. 1968. Crime and punishment: an economic approach. *Journal of Political Economy* 76: 169-217.

Bös, Dieter and Felderer, Bernhard, eds. 1989. *The political economy of progressive taxation*. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer.

Carlberg, Michael. 1984. Industrielle Arbeit, Eigenarbeit und Freizeit – Die Auswirkungen des technischen Fortschritts, der Besteuerung, der Arbeitszeitverkürzung und der Transaktionskosten auf die Allokation der Zeit. In Schäfer, *Schattenökonomie*, 62 – 78.

Cowell, Frank A. 1989. The consequences of progressive income taxation fort he shadow economy. In Dieter Bös and Berhard Felderer, eds., The political economy of progressive taxation 177-9. Berlin, Heidelberg, New York: Springer 1989.

Cowell, Frank A. 1990. *Cheating the government. The economics of evasion*. Cambridge, London: MIT Press.

Cummings, R.G., J. Martinez-Vazquez, M. McKee and B. Torgler. 2005. Effects of Tax Morale on Tax Compliance: Experimental and Survey Evidence. *Working Paper*. U.S.A.: Georgia State University.

De Gijsel, Peter. 1984. Ökonomische Theorie des Schwarzarbeitsangebots und der Mehrfachbeschäftigung. In Gretschmann *et a.*, *Schattenwirtschaft. Wirtschafts- und sozialwissenschaftliche Aspekte, internationale Erfahrungen*, 76 – 96.

Dimova, R., I.N. Gang and J. Landon-Lane. 2005. The Informal Sector During Crisis and Transition. *Research Paper NO. 2005/X*. Sweden: Expert Group on Development Issues and Finland: UNU-WIDER

Feige, E.L. 1990. Defining and Estimating Underground and Informal Economies: The New Institutional Economics Approach. *World Development* 18(7): 989-1002.

Fleming, M.H., J. Roman and G. Farrell. 2000. The Shadow Economy. *Journal of International Affairs* 53(2): 387-409.

Gerxhani, K. 2002. Tax Evasion in Albania: An Institutional Vacuum? Presented at 'Unofficial Activities in Transition Countries: Ten Years of Experience'. October 18-19, 2002, Zagreb, Croatia: Institute of Public Finance.

Gërxhani, K. 2003. Informal Sector in Developed and Less Developed Countries: A Literature Survey. *Discussion Paper*, Tinbergen Institute, Amsterdam Institute for Advanced Labor Studies (AIAS)/Amsterdam School for Social Science, Research (ASSR). Netherlands: University of Amsterdam.

Gërxhani, K. and A. Schram. 2001. Tax Evasion and the Source of Income: An

experimental study in Albania and the Netherlands. *Mimeo*. Holland: University of Amsterdam.

Greene, W. 2003. Econometric Analysis, 5th edition. U.S.A.: Prentice Hall.

Hackmann, Johannes. 1984. Fördert die progressive Besteuerung die Schattenwirtschaft? In Schäfer, *Schattenökonomie*, 102-21.

Hart, K. 1970. Small scale entrepreneurs in Ghana and Development Planning. *Journal of Development Studies* 6: 104-120.

Hart, K. 1973. The Informal Income Opportunities and Urban Employment in Ghana. *Journal of Modern African Studies* 11: 61-69.

Hausman, J. and D. McFadden. 1984 A Specification Test for the Multinomial Logit Model.

Econometrica 52: 1219-40.

Hill, M.A. 1983. Female Labor Force Participation in Developing and Developed Countries – Consideration of the Informal Sector. *The Review of Economics and Statistics* 65(3):459-468.

Isachsen, Arne J. and Strom, Steinar. 1980. The hidden economy; the labour market and tax evasion. *Scandinavian Journal of Economics* 82: 304 – 11.

Jackson, B, and V. Milliron. 1986. Tax Compliance Research: Findings, Problems and Prospects. *Journal of Accounting Literature* 5: 125-165.

Kazemier, B. and R. van Eck. 1992. Survey Investigations of the Hidden Economy. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 13: 569-587.

Kim, B-Y. 2005. Poverty and Informal Economy Participation. *Economics of Transition* 13(1): 163-185.

Lloyd-Evans, S. and R.B. Potter. 2002. *Gender, Ethnicity and the Informal Sector in Trinidad*. New Hampshire, England: Ashgate Publishing Limited.

Losby, J.L. and E.L. Edgcomb. 2002. Informal Economy Literature Review. *Working Paper*, U.S.A.: ISED Consulting and Research and The Aspen Institute.

Marcelli, E. M. Pastor, and P. Jossart. 1999. Estimating the Effects of Informal Economic Activity. Evidence from Los Angeles. *Journal of Economic Issues* 33 (3): 579-607.

Mason, R. and L.D. Calvin. 1978. A Study of Admitted Income Tax Evasion. *Law and Society Review* 73-79.

Maurin, A. S. Sookram and P.K. Watson. 2005. Measuring the Size of the Hidden Economy in Trinidad and Tobago. Forthcoming in: *International Economic Journal*.

Mettelsiefen, Bernd. 1984. Besteuerung und Schattenwirtschaft. In Gretschmann et al., *Schattenwirtschaft*, 45 – 75.

Mirus, R., R.S. Smith and V. Karoleff. 1994. Canada's underground economy revisited: update and critique. *Canadian Public Policy* 20(3): 235-252.

Mogensen, G.V., H.K. Kvist, E. Kormendi and S. Pedersen. 1995. The Shadow Economy in Denmark 1994: Measurement and Results. *Study No. 3*. Copenhagen: The Rockwool Foundation Research Unit.

Neck, Reinhard, Schneider, Friedrich, and Hofreither, Markus F. 1989. The consequences of progressive income taxation fort he shadow economy: some theoretical considerations. In Bös and Felderer, *The political economy of progressive taxation*, 149 – 76.

Neizert, M. 1998. Informalization of the Labour Force - Final Report of the Informal Sector Sub-Project. *ELTAS Analysis Series #IA10*. Canada: Laurentian University.

Petersen, Hans-Georg. 1984a. Ursachen und Konsequenzen einer wachsenden Schattenwirtschaft. In Walter A. Koch, and Hans-Georg Petersen, eds., *Staat, Steuern und Finanzausgleich. Probleme nationaler und internationaler Finanzwirtschaften im zeitlichen Wandel, 132 ff.* Berlin: Duncker & Humblot.

Portes, A. and S. Sassen-Koob. 1987. Making It Underground: Comparative Materials on the Informal Sector in Western Market Economies. *American Journal of Sociology* 93: 30-61.

Rampersad, M. 1987. Measurement of the Contribution of the Informal Sector to the Economy of Trinidad and Tobago. *Mimeo*. Trinidad and Tobago: Central Statistical Office.

Sandmo, Agnar. 1981. Income tax evasion, labour supply, and the equity-efficiency trade off. *Journal of Public Economics* 16: 265 – 88.

Sassen-Koob, S. 1989. New York City's Informal Economy. In: *The Informal Economy: Studies in Advanced and Less Developed Countries*, edited by A. Portes, M. Castells, and L. A. Benton, 60-77. Baltimore, MD: The Johns Hopkins University Press.

Schäfer, Wolf, ed. 1984a. *Schattenökonomie. Theoretische Grundlagen und wirtschaftspolitische Konsequenzen.* Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.

1984b. Gleichgewicht, Ungleichgewicht und Schattenwirtschaft. In Schäfer, *Schattenökonomie*, 38 – 61.

Schneider, F. and D.H. Enste. 2003. *The shadow economy: an international survey*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Schneider, F., V. Braithwaite and M. Reinhart. 2001. Individual Behavior in Australia's Shadow Economy: Facts, Empirical Findings and some Mysteries. *Working Paper No. 19*, Australia: Australian National University.

Schneider, F. and F. Savasan. 2005. The Size of Shadow Economies of Turkey (and of her Neighbouring Countries) Including an Informal Hiring and Sectoral Analysis of the Turkish Shadow Economy. *Working Paper*, Turkey: Afyon Kocatepe University.

Scott Long, J. 1997. Regression Models for Categorical and Limited Dependent Variables. Taipei, Taiwan: Hun-chi Publication.

Scott Long, J. and J. Freese. 2006. *Regression Models for Categorical and Dependent Variables Using STATA*. (2<sup>nd</sup> Ed.), Texas, U.S.A.: Stata Press.

Shisko, Robert and Rostker, Bernhard. 1976. The economics of multiple job holding. *The American Economic Review* 66, no. 3: 298-308.

Tedds, L.M. 2006. Tax Non-Compliance and Corporate Governance: A Comparative Study. *Working Paper*. Canada: University of Manitoba.

Torgler, B. and K. Murphy. 2005. Tax Morale in Australia: What Shapes it and has it Changed Over Time? *Working Paper No. 58*, Australia: Australia: Australia National University.

Trockel, Jochen. 1987. Die Schattenwirtschaft in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland. Eine ökonomische Analyse am Beispiel der Bauwirtschaft. Bergisch-Gladbach, Cologne: Josef Eul.

Vitosha Research Agency. 2004. The Hidden Economy in Bulgaria (General Population). Sofia: Center for the Study of Democracy.

Warneryd, K. and B. Walerud. 1982. Taxes and Economic Behavior: Some Interview Data on Tax Evasion in Sweden. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 2: 187-211.

Werner, Christian. 1990. Die Beschäftigungswirkungen der Schattenwirtschaft. Pfaffenweiler: Centaurus.

Wiegard, Wolfgang. 1984. Schwarzarbeit und Besteuerung. In Schäfer, *Schattenökonomie*, 122 – 56

#### **APPENDIX 1**

#### **Sample Frame and Design**

The sample frame used in this paper is the list of enumeration districts (ED) obtained from the Central Statistical Office (CSO) of Trinidad and Tobago. To facilitate the selection of the cluster of households to be enumerated within an ED, each ED is given a measure of size that is a multiple of approximately five households. Based on the 1990 Census of Population and Housing (Trinidad and Tobago), approximately 48,600 clusters were obtained, which were allocated among nine domains proportional to the size of the population in each domain.

The sample design for the survey of the hidden economy is a two-stage stratified cluster sample consisting of EDs (primary units) at the first stage and non-compact clusters of households (ultimate sampling units) at the second stage. The first stage involves the selection of a 'grand sample' of clusters of households with the primary sampling units, which consists of nine replicates chosen with an overall sampling fraction of 1/25. Systematic selection of the primary sampling units is done with the probability of selection proportionate to size. From this 'grand sample' one-third of the nine replicates constitute the second stage sample, which is used to obtain survey data. From each sample ED, a non-compact cluster of households is selected systematically with a random start, and an interval equal to the number of clusters allocated to the ED. From these selected clusters, households are randomly selected for interview.

In the event of absence or non-response from a household a substitution was made from the same cluster. This is the so-called random walk method commonly used by commercial research institutes. The use of this method ensures a less expensive survey since non-responses are replaced by responses and no recalls are necessary.

Appendix 2 Table A1

Frequency Distributions (%)

No. of Respondents →  Sex: Male  Age: 15-25 years 26-35 years 36-45 years 46-60 years  Marital Status: Married/Common Law Divorced Separated  Has Dependents: Yes  Area of Residence: Urban Sub-Urban  Living Arrangements: Owns home Renting  Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary Vocational	Frequencies (570) 56.5 12.3 22.3 33.1 28.6 58.6 3.3 4.7 66.1 11.1 52.3	Participation (174) 55.5 15.2 29.4 28.0 23.7 56.67 1.90 4.29 56.94	Only (92) 63.164 9.47 21.05 32.63 31.58 54.74 3.16 5.26	(240) 55.76 10.30 16.67 34.85 32.42 60.61	Participation (64) 57.81 7.81 15.63 32.81 35.94
Age: 15-25 years 26-35 years 36-45 years 46-60 years  Marital Status: Married/Common Law Divorced Separated  Has Dependents: Yes  Area of Residence: Urban Sub-Urban Living Arrangements: Owns home Renting  Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary	56.5 12.3 22.3 33.1 28.6 58.6 3.3 4.7 66.1 11.1 52.3	55.5 15.2 29.4 28.0 23.7 56.67 1.90 4.29	63.164 9.47 21.05 32.63 31.58 54.74 3.16	55.76 10.30 16.67 34.85 32.42 60.61	57.81 7.81 15.63 32.81 35.94
Age: 15-25 years 26-35 years 36-45 years 46-60 years  Marital Status: Married/Common Law Divorced Separated  Has Dependents: Yes  Area of Residence: Urban Sub-Urban Living Arrangements: Owns home Renting  Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary	12.3 22.3 33.1 28.6 58.6 3.3 4.7 66.1 11.1 52.3	15.2 29.4 28.0 23.7 56.67 1.90 4.29	9.47 21.05 32.63 31.58 54.74 3.16	10.30 16.67 34.85 32.42 60.61	7.81 15.63 32.81 35.94
26-35 years 36-45 years 46-60 years  Marital Status: Married/Common Law Divorced Separated  Has Dependents: Yes Area of Residence: Urban Sub-Urban Living Arrangements: Owns home Renting Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary	22.3 33.1 28.6 58.6 3.3 4.7 66.1 11.1 52.3	29.4 28.0 23.7 56.67 1.90 4.29	21.05 32.63 31.58 54.74 3.16	16.67 34.85 32.42 60.61	15.63 32.81 35.94
36-45 years 46-60 years  Marital Status: Married/Common Law Divorced Separated  Has Dependents: Yes Area of Residence: Urban Sub-Urban Living Arrangements: Owns home Renting Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary	33.1 28.6 58.6 3.3 4.7 66.1 11.1 52.3	28.0 23.7 56.67 1.90 4.29	32.63 31.58 54.74 3.16	34.85 32.42 60.61	32.81 35.94
46-60 years  Marital Status: Married/Common Law Divorced Separated  Has Dependents: Yes Area of Residence: Urban Sub-Urban Living Arrangements: Owns home Renting Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary	28.6 58.6 3.3 4.7 66.1 11.1 52.3	23.7 56.67 1.90 4.29	31.58 54.74 3.16	32.42 60.61	35.94
Marital Status: Married/Common Law Divorced Separated  Has Dependents: Yes Area of Residence: Urban Sub-Urban Living Arrangements: Owns home Renting Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary	58.6 3.3 4.7 66.1 11.1 52.3	56.67 1.90 4.29	54.74 3.16	60.61	
Divorced Separated  Has Dependents: Yes Area of Residence: Urban Sub-Urban Living Arrangements: Owns home Renting Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary	3.3 4.7 66.1 11.1 52.3	1.90 4.29	3.16		
Separated  Has Dependents: Yes  Area of Residence: Urban Sub-Urban Living Arrangements: Owns home Renting Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary	4.7 66.1 11.1 52.3	4.29			57.81
Has Dependents: Yes Area of Residence: Urban Sub-Urban Living Arrangements: Owns home Renting Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary	66.1 11.1 52.3			4.24	3.13
Area of Residence: <i>Urban</i> Sub-Urban Living Arrangements: Owns home Renting Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary	11.1 52.3	36.94		5.15	6.25
Sub-Urban Living Arrangements: Owns home Renting Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary	52.3		72.63	72.73	73.02
Living Arrangements: Owns home Renting Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary		9.00	9.47	12.42	7.81
Renting Level of Education: Secondary Tertiary	50 1	47.39	54.74	56.36	60.94
Level of Education: Secondary  Tertiary	52.1	45.50	53.68	56.97	56.25
Tertiary	19.1	18.01	21.05	20.91	28.13
	55.8	52.61	51.58	57.27	45.31
	5.4	5.69	7.37	5.15	10.94
	8.2	9.48	8.42	7.88	7.81
Employment Status: Full Time	78.9	76.78	73.91	82.01	78.13
Part Time	15.3	18.96	20.65	11.59	15.63
Retired	3.0	1.42	2.17	4.27	3.13
Full Time Student	0.35	0.47	0	0.30	0
Sector of Employment: Services	73.3	72.00	70.7	75.0	73.33
Construction	8.5	6.00	17.4	7.4	11.48
Manufacturing	6.1	5.00	4.7	7.5	6.67
Other	5.0	10.04	2.3	5.5	3.28
Employer: Government	30.2	29.65	28.7	31.1	29.51
Private Enterprise	38.2	37.19	35.6	39.5	34.43
Time Spent In the Formal Economy:					
Less than 4 hours	1.1	0.49	3.4	1.9	3.28
4-6 hours	11.4	13.79	9.1	9.8	8.20
7-9 hours	56.2	54.68	56.8	58.5	63.93
Household Income: Less than \$1,000	3.3	4.43	2.3	2.6	1.64
\$1,001-\$5,000	52.2	55.17	50.0	51.1	52.46
\$5,001-\$10,000	31.9	27.09	31.8	34.6	31.15
Opinion on Income Earned in the					
Formal Economy: Very Low	14.0	16.26	9.1	11.6	3.28
Low	39.2	41.87	48.9	37.4	52.46
Acceptable	44.9	40.39	38.6	49.0	40.98
Perception of Level of Risk of					
detection by Tax Authority: No Risk	18.1	17.96	21.7	16.4	20.31
Low risk	32.5	21.84	41.3	39.1	42.19
Opinion on Income Tax Burden: <i>Too</i> high	67.2	71.43	67.4	62.5	57.81
Opinion on Red Tape and Government Regulation: Excessive			44.0		

#### ARBEITSPAPIERE 1991-2006

#### des Instituts für Volkswirtschaftslehre, Johannes Kepler Universität Linz

- 9101 WEISS, Christoph: Price inertia and market structure under incomplete information. Jänner 1991. in: Applied Economics, 1992.
- 9102 BARTEL, Rainer: Grundlagen der Wirtschaftspolitik und ihre Problematik. Ein einführender Leitfaden zur Theorie der Wirtschaftspolitik. Jänner 1991; Kurzfassung erschienen unter: Wirtschaftspolitik in der Marktwirtschaft, in: Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft, 17. 1991,2, S. 229-249
- 9103 FALKINGER, Josef: External effects of information. Jänner
- 9104 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich; Mechanik und Ökonomie: Keplers Traum und die Zukunft. Jänner 1991, in: R. Sandgruber und F. Schneider (Hrsg.), "Interdisziplinarität Heute", Linz, Trauner, 1991
- 9105 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Manpower training programs and employment stability, in: *Econo*mica, 63, 1995, S. 128-130
- 9106 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Partial retirement and the earnings test. Februar 1991, in: Zeitschrift für Nationalökonomie / Journal of Economics, 57. 1993,3, S. 295-303
- 9107 FALKINGER, Josef: The impacts of policy on quality and price in a vertically integrated sector. März 1991. Revidierte Fassung: On the effects of price or quality regulations in a monopoly market, in: Jahrbuch für Sozialwissenschaft.
- 9108 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael, WEISS, Christoph R., ZWEI-MÜLLER, Josef: Farm income, market wages, and off-farm labour supply, in: *Empirica*, 18, 2, 1991, S. 221-235
- 9109 BARTEL, Rainer, van RIETSCHOTEN, Kees: A perspective of modern public auditing. Pleading for more science and less pressure-group policy in public sector policies. Juni 1991, dt. Fassung: Eine Vision von moderner öffentlicher Finanzkontrolle, in: Das öffentliche Haushaltswesen in Österreich, 32. 1991,3-4, S. 151-187
- 9110 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and LENZELBAUER, Werner: An inverse relationship between efficiency and profitability according to the size of Upper–Austrian firms? Some further tentative results, in: Small Business Economics, 5. 1993,1, S. 1-22
- 9111 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Wirtschaftspolitische Maßnahmen zur Steigerung der Effizienz der österreichischen Gemeinwirtschaft: Ein Plädoyer für eine aktivere Industrie- und Wettbewerbspolitik. Juli 1991, in: Öffentliche Wirtschaft und Gemeinwirtschaft in Österreich, Wien, Manz, 1992, S. 90-114
- 9112 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Unequal promotion on job ladders, in: *Journal of Labor Economics*, 15. 1997.1.1. S. 70-71
- 9113 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Bargaining with reasonable aspirations. Oktober 1991, in: *Theory and Decision*, 37, 1994, S 311-
- 9114 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Gender wage differentials and private and public sector jobs. Oktober 1991, in: *Journal of Population Economics*, 7. 1994, S. 271-285
- 9115 BRUNNER, Johann K., WICKSTRÖM, Bengt-Arne: Politically stable pay-as-you-go pension systems: Why the social-insurance budget is too small in a democracy. November 1991, in: Zeitschrift für Nationalökonomie = Journal of Economics, 7. 1993, S. 177-190.
- 9116 WINTER-EBMER; Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Occupational segregation and career advancement. Dezember 1991, in: *Economics Letters*, 39. 1992, S. 229-234

- 9201 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Ecological objectives in a market economy: Three simple questions, but no simple answers? Jänner 1992, in: Giersch, H. (Hrsg.), Environmental economics, Heidelberg, Springer-Verl., 1993
- 9202 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The federal and fiscal structures of representative and direct democracies as models for a European federal union: Some preliminary ideas using the public-choice approach, in: *Journal des Economistes et des Etudes Humaines*, 3, 1993.2
- 9203 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The development of the shadow economy under changing economic conditions: Some tentative empirical results for Austria. Revised version. März 1992.
- 9204 HACKL, Franz, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, WITHERS, Glenn: The public sector in Australia: A quantitative analysis. März 1992, in: Gemmell, N. (ed), *The growth of the public sector*, Aldershot, Elgar, 1993, S. 212-231
- 9205 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The federal and fiscal structures of western democracies as models for a federal union in former communist countries? Some thoughts using the public-choice approach. April 1992, in: Wagner, H.-J. (ed.), On the theory and policy of systematic change, Heidelberg, Springer-Verl., 1993, \$135.154
- 9206 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Endogenous growth, human capital, and industry wages. in: *Bulletin of Economic Research*, 4/1994, 289-314.
- 9207 BARTEL, Rainer: Gleichgewicht, Ungleichgewicht und Anpassung in der komparativen Statik. August 1992; 1. Teil erschienen unter: Auf welchen Grundlagen beruhen unsere ökonomischen Aussagen? in: Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft, 19, 2, 1993, S. 153-170; 2. Teil erschienen unter: Neoklassische Rationierung, in: WiSt, 23, 3, 1993, S. 151-154
- 9208 WEISS, Christoph R.: Market structure and pricing behaviour in Austrian manufacturing. August 1992. in: *Empirica*, 21. 1994, S. 115-131.
- 9209 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Unemployment and individual pay: Wage curve or compen-sating differentials? erscheint u.d.T.: Wage Curve, Unemployment Duration and Compensating Differentials, in: *Labour Economics*, 3/1996,4, S. 425-434
- 9210 SCHUSTER, Helmut: Chaostheorie und Verkehrswissenschaft? September 1992, in: Österreichische Zeitschrift für Verkehrswissenschaft, 1-2, 38. 1992, S. 48-51
- 9211 BARTEL, Rainer, PRUCKNER, Gerald: Strukturelle und konjunkturelle Charakteristika der Budgetpolitik von Bund und Gesamtstaat in Österreich. Oktober 1992, in: Wirtschaftspolitische Blätter, 40. 1993, 2, S. 134-154
- 9212 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Foreign direct investment and exports: A time series approach. Oktober 1992
- 9213 HACKL, Franz, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Austrian economic policy since 1945: An ex-ploratory analysis. Oktober 1992, in: Paldam, M. (ed.), Economic development of small open economies in Europe and South America, Basingstoke, Macmillan, forthcoming 1994
- 9214 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Die Kunst als Wirtschaftsfaktor vernachlässigbar oder beach-tenswert? Oktober 1992, in: *Musicologica Austriaca*, 11. 1993,1, S. 19-29
- 9215 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Measuring the size and the development of the shadow economy: Can the causes be found and the obstacles be overcome? November 1992, in: Brandstätter, Hermann and Güth, W. (eds.), Essays on Economic Psychology, Heidelberg, Springer-Verl., 1994, S. 208-211
- 9216 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Public choice economic theory of politics: A survey in selected areas. Dezember 1992, in: Brandstätter, Hermann and Güth, W. (eds.), Essays on

Economic Psychology, Heidelberg, Springer-Verl., 1994, S. 188-192

\*\*\*

- 9301 SCHUSTER, Helmut: Energiepolitik im Spannungsfeld zwischen Wirtschaft und Umwelt. Jänner 1993, in: Friedrich Schneider (Hrsg.), Energiepolitik in Österreich, Linz, Trauner, 1993
- 9302 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Motivation to migrate and economic success. März 1993, erscheint u.d.T.: Motivation for Migration and Economic Success, in: *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 15. 1994, S. 282-284
- 9303 LANDESMANN, Michael and GOODWIN, Richard: Productivity growth, structural change and macroeconomic stability. März 1993
- 9304 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Foreign outward direct investment and exports in Austrian manufacturing, März 1993
- 9305 BARTEL, Rainer: Zur Ökonomie der öffentlichen Finanzkontrolle. April 1993, erschienen unter: Öffentliche Finanzkontrolle als politische Machtkontrolle. Eine ökonomische Fundierung, in: *Politische Vierteljahresschrift*, 34. 1993,4, S. 613-639
- 9306 HACKL, Franz: Die Internalisierung von überbetrieblichen Leistungen der Landwirtschaft aus allokationstheoretischer Sicht. April 1993.
- 9307 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, FAL-KINGER, Josef: Retirement of spouses and social security reform, in: European Economic Review, 40/1996, S. 471-472
- 9308 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Abilities, needs, and the size of the cake: an axiomatic bargaining approach to redistributive taxation. Juli 1993.
- 9309 HACKL, Franz, PRUCKNER, Gerald: Touristische Präferenzen für den ländlichen Raum: Die Problematik ihrer empirischen Erfassung und Internalisierung. Juli 1993. Ersch. in: Gesellschaftliche Forderungen an die Landwirtschaft / Gesellschaft für Wirtschafts- und Sozialwissenschaften des Landbaues (GEWISOLA), hrsg. von Konrad Hagedorn ... 1994, Schriften der GEWISOLA, Bd. 30
- 9310 NECK, Reinhard, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Steuersystem und Schattenwirtschaft. Juli 1993.
- 9311 POINTNER, Johannes und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Österreich im internationalen Writschaftssystem, August 1993, in: Ewald Nowotny und Günther Winckler (Hrsg.), Grundzüge der Wirtschaftspolitik Österreichs. 1994.
- 9312 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The Relationship between efficiency and profitability with respect to the size of firms: an empirical investigation for Austria. September 1993.
- 9313 ÖTSCH, Walter: Die mechanistische Metapher in der Theoriengeschichte der Nationalökonomie. September 1993.
- 9314 BARTEL, Rainer: Wirtschaftspolitische Kontrolle und Beratung: Grundlagen, Probleme, Erfordernisse. September 1993, erschienen als: Kontrolle und Beratung in der Wirtschaftspolitik, in: Wirtschaftspolitische Blätter, 41. 1994,4, S. 442-462
- 9315 BARTH, Erling and ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Relative wages under decentralized and under corporatist bargaining systems, in: Scandinavian Journal of Economics, 97. 1995,3, S. 369-384
- 9316 FALKINGER, Josef and ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: The impact of income inequality on product diversity and economic growth. Oktober 1993.
- 9317 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Anreizorientierte Systeme im Gesundheitswesen unter besonderer Berücksichtigung des stationären Sektors. Oktober 1993.
- 9318 HORSTMANN, Winfried and SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Deficits, bailout and free riders: Fiscal elements of European constitution. Oktober 1993.
- 9319 BARTEL, Rainer: Egoismus, Altruismus, Ineffizienz und Kontrolle im öffentlichen Bereich: Ein kurzer Blick auf die Argumente und ihre Implikationen. November 1993, in: Wirtschaft und Gesellschaft, 20. 1994,2, S. 231-246
- 9320 BURGER, Christina: Theorien der Koalitionsbildung und ihre Anwendbarkeit auf österreichische Regierungen. November 1003

9321 BARTEL, Rainer: Konjunkturelle Selbststabiliseriung oder kompensatorische Nachfragepolitik? Ein Leitfaden für Studenten. Dezember 1993, tw. erschienen unter: Konjunkturprobleme - Selbstheilung oder Staatseingriffe?, in: WISO, 17.
 1994,4, S. 111-39, erscheint tw. unter: Lohnindexierung - Effiziente Institution zur Stabilisierung der Wirtschaft?, in: WiSt, 26. 1997,3, S. 154-156

\*\*\*

- 9401 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Immigration and the Earnings of Young Native Workers. Jänner 1994, in: Oxford Economic Papers, 48. 1996, S. 473-491
- 9402 KUNST, Robert, HAUSER, Michael: Fractionally Integrated Models With ARCH Errors. Jänner 1994.
- 9403 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Internal Markets and Firm-Specific Determination of Earnings in the Presence of Immigrant Labor, in: *Economics Letters*, 48. 1995, S. 185-191
- 9404 SCHUSTER, Helmut: Energie und Umwelt. März 1994.
- 9405 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Testing for Ownership Advantages of Direct Investing Firms. März 1994.
- 9406 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Determinanten der Steuerhinterziehung und der Schwarzarbeit im internationalen Vergleich. März 1994.
- 9407 FALKINGER, Josef: Social Stability and the Equity-Efficiency Trade-off. April 1994.
- 9408 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Do Immigrants Displace Native Workers? Mai 1994, erscheint in: Journal of Population Economics, 1998.
- 9409 FALKINGER, Josef: How to overcome free-riding: Rewarding deviations from average. Mai 1994. Revidierte Fassung: Efficient Private Provision of Public Goods by Rewarding Deviations from Average, in: *Journal of Public Economics*, 62. 1996,3, S. 413-422
- 9410 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Wealth distribution, innovations, and economic growth. Mai 1994.
- 9411 GANTNER, Manfried, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Budgetausgliederungen - eine polit-ökonomische Analyse. Juni 1994.
- 9412 AIGINGER, Karl: The use of game theoretical models for empirical research - A survey of testing non-cooperative game theory with real world data in recent industrial organization literature. Juni 1994.
- 9413 FALKINGER, Josef: The private provision of public goods when the relative size of contribution matters. Juli 1994, in: *Finanzarchiv*, 51, 1994, S. 358 371.
- 9414 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Sex discrimination and competition in product and labour markets, in: Applied Economics, 27. 1995.9, S. 849-857
- 9415 FALKINGER, Josef, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: The cross-country Engel curve for product diversification, August 1994, in: Structural Change and Economic Dynamics, 7. 1996,1, S. 79-97
- 9416 FALKINGER, Josef: Tax evasion, consumption of public goods and fairness, August 1994, in: *Journal of Economics Psychology*, 16, 1995, S. 63 72.
- 9417 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Einige Gedanken zur Harmonisierung indirekter Steuem in der Europäischen Union, September 1994.
- 9418 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Firm size, earnings and displacement risk, Oktober 1994, erscheint in: Economic Inquiry, 2000
- 9419 WEISS, Christoph: Labour market adjustment in U.S. manufacturing: Does market structure matter? Oktober 1994.
- 9420 WEISS, Christoph: State dependence, symmetry and reversibility of off-farm employment, November 1994.
- 9421 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Is there a European public choice perspective?, Dezember 1994.

- 9501 BARTEL, Rainer: Reform des öffentlichen Sektors Grundlagen und Grundsätze, Jänner 1995.
- 9502 RIESE, Martin: The GINI-index as a measure of the goodness of prediction, Jänner 1995, in: *Bulletin of Economic Research*, 49. 1997,2, S. 127-135.

- 9503 AIGINGER, Karl, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf und ZWEI-MÜLLER, Josef: Eastern European Trade and the Austrian Labour Market, in: Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv, 132. 1996,3, S. 476-500
- 9504 WEISS, Christoph: Size, Growth, and Survival of Upper Austrian Farms in the 1980s, Februar 1995. in: Sotte, F. and Zanoli, R.: "The Regional Dimension of Agricultural Economics and Politics", forthcoming (1995).
- 9505 BARTEL, Rainer: Umweltpolitik in den Reformländern Europas. Voraussetzungen und Erfordernisse, Februar 1995.
- 9506 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Foreign Outward Direct Investment and Exports in Austrian Manufacturing: Substitutes or Complements?. March 1995.
- 9507 BURGER, Christina, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: How Valuable is the Health of the Elderly- Evaluation of the Treatment of Alzheimer's Disease; April 1995.
- 9508 BRUNNER, Johann, RESE, Martin: Measuring the Severity of Unemployment, April 1995.
- 9509 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Volkswirtschaftliche Aspekte der Mitarbeiterbeteiligung, Mai 1995.
- 9510 ÖTSCH, Walter: Erwartungen und Framing. Keynes und die "Anomalien" der Erwartungsnutzentheorie, Mai 1995.
- 9511 ÖTSCH, Walter: Die Herausforderung des Konstruktivismus für die ökonomische Theorie, Mai 1995, in: Birger P. Priddat und Gerhard Wegner, Hrsg., Zwischen Evolution und Institution, Metropolis-Verl., Marburg, 1996, S. 35 - 55
- 9512 ÖTSCH, Walter: Kreativität und Logik im ökonomischen Handlungsmodell, Mai 1995.
- 9513 WEISS, Christoph: Determinants of Farm Survival and Growth, Mai 1995.
- 9514 BARTEL, Rainer: Zum Verhältnis von Ökonomie und Politik des öffentlichen Sektors. Einige kurze Anmerkungen, Juni 1005
- 9515 KUNST, Robert M.: The Myth of Misspecification. Some Metaphors, Juni 1995.
- 9516 VAN DER BURG, Brigitte, SIEGERS, Jacques, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Gender and Promotion in the Academic Labour Market. Juli 1995.
- 9517 FALKINGER, Josef, FEHR, Ernst, GÄCHTER, Simon, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: A simple mechanism for the efficient private provision of public goods experimental evidence, August 1995, erscheint in: American Economic Review, 1999.
- 9518 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Some Elements of a European Federal Union: A Public Choice Approach, September 1995.
- 9519 BRUNNER, Johann, FALKINGER, Josef: Nonneutrality of taxes and subsidies for the private provision of public goods, September 1995.
- 9520 WEISS, Christoph: Product Market Power and Dynamic Labour Demand, September 1995.
- 9521 LANDESMANN, Michael, PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Technological Competition and Trade Performance, October, 1995.

- 9601 WEISS, Christoph: Exits From a Declining Sector: Econometric Evidence From a Panel of Upper-Austrian Farms 1980-90., Jänner 1996.
- 9602 BÖS, Dieter und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Private-public partnership: Gemeinschaftsunternehmen zwischen Privaten und der öffentlichen Hand, Februar 1996.
- 9603 GÄCHTER, Simon, FEHR, Ernst, KMENT, Christiane: Does Social Exchange Increase Voluntary Cooperation?, Februar 1996.
- 9604 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef, BRUNNER, Johann: Heterogeneous consumers, vertical product differentiation and the rate of innovation, März 1996.
- 9605 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The Contributions of Werner W. Pommerehne to Public Choice, März 1996.
- 9606 SEDJAV, Tsagaan-Uvgun: Wissenschaftlich-technologische Entwicklungsfragen der Mongolei, April 1996, Wissenschaftlicher Betreuer: o.Univ.-Prof. Dr. Helmut Schuster, B.Com.

- 9607 KEUSCHNIGG, Christian u. KOHLER Wilhelm: Innovation, Capital Accumulation and Economic Transition, revised version April 1996.
- 9608 AIGINGER, Karl: Beyond Trade Balances: the competitive race between the US, Japan and Europe, Juni 1996.
- 9609 POMMEREHNE, Werner W., HART, Albert und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Tragic Choices and Collective Decision-Making: An Empirical Study of Voter Preferences for Alternative Collective Decision-Making Mechanisms, Juli 1996
- 9610 BARTEL, Rainer, POINTNER, Johannes, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Österreich im internationalen Wirschaftssystem, Juli 1996, erschienen in: E.Nowotny und G. Winckler (Hg.), Grundzüge der Wirtschaftspolitik Österreichs, 2. Aufl., Manz-Verlag, Wien 1997, S. 49-98.
- 9611 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, VOLKERT, Jürgen: Die Realisierung ökologisch-orientierter Wirtschaftspolitik - eine Unmöglichkeit? Überlegungen aus Sicht der Neuen Politischen Ökonomie, Juli 1996
- 9612 AIGINGER, Karl, WEISS, Christoph R.: Does it Pay to be Flexible? Empirical Evidence on the Relation- ship between Labour Demand Flexibility and Profit Margins, Juli 1996.
- 9613 WEISS, Christoph R.: Beneficial Concentration in a Menu Cost Model: A Note, August 1996.
- 9614 GUSENLEITNER, Markus, WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: The Distribution of Earnings in Austria, 1972-1991, Allgemeines Statistisches Archiv, 3/98.
- 9615 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf:: Benefit Duration and Unemployment Entry: Quasi-Experimental Evidence for Austria, Oktober 1996.
- 9616 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf:: Potential Unemployment Benefit Duration and Spell Length: Lessons from a Quasi-Experiment in Austria, in: Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 60. 1998,1, S. 33-45
- 9617 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, FREY, Bruno S.: Warum wird die Umweltökonomik kaum angewendet?, November 1996.
- 9618 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Aktuelle Ergebnisse über die Schattenwirtschaft (Pfusch) in Österreich, November 1996.
- 9619 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die langfristige Entwicklung der Transformationsländer Osteuropas: Welche Rolle spielt die Integration der Märkte?, Dezember 1996.
- 9620 BRÜNNER, Johann K., PRINZ, Christopher, WIRTH, Friedrich: Die Zukunft der gesetzlichen Pensionsversicherung, Dezember 1996.
- 9621 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, GAWEL, Erik: Umsetzungsprobleme ökologisch orientierter Steuerpolitik: Eine polit-ökonomische Analyse, Dezember 1996.

- 9701 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Hält der EURO, was er verspricht? Ökonomische Überlegungen zur Stabilität und zur Einführung des EURO, Jänner 1997.
- 9702 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Welche Chancen hat Österreich als Wirtschaftsstandort im EU- und Globalisierungskontext derzeit und in Zukunft?. Jänner 1997.
- 9703 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Ökonomische Analyse des umlagefinanzierten Pensionsversicherungssystems, Jänner 1997.
- 9704 PFAFFERMAYR, Michael, WEISS, Christoph R.: On Market Power and Investment Behaviour, January 1997.
- 9705 LANDESMANN, Michael A., STEHRER, Robert: Industrial Specialisation, Catching-up and Labour Market Dynamics, January 1997.
- 9706 BARTEL, Rainer: Taking even introductory textbooks seriously. A note on the importance of a usual neglect, February 1997
- 9707 KUNST, Robert M.: Decision bounds for data-admissible seasonal models. March 1997.
- 9708 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf, ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Intra-firm Wage Dispersion and Firm Performance, Kyklos, 1999.
- 9709 PRITZL, F. J. Rupert und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Korruption, März 1997.

- 9710 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Empirical Results for the Size of the Shadow Economy of Western European Countries Over Time, März 1997
- 9711 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und VOLKERT, Jürgen: No Chance for Incentive-orientated Environmental Policies in Representative Democracies? A Public Choice Approach, März 1997.
- 9712 FALKINGER, Josef: Wachstum, Verteilung und Beschäftigung, März 1997.
- 9713 PRITZL, F. J. Rupert und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Zur Politischen Ökonomie autokratischer politischer Systeme - Ein theoretischer und empirischer Ansatz, April 1997.
- 9714 SCHUSTER, Helmut: Das Phänomen der strukturellen Arbeitslosigkeit und Maßnahmen zu seiner Bekämpfung, Mai 1997.
- 9715 BARTEL, Rainer: Paradigmatik versus Pragmatik in der (Umwelt-)Ökonomie. Eine epistemologische Sicht, Mai 1997.
- 9716 BERGER, Helge und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Does the Bundesbank Yield in Conflicts? Frey and Schneider Revisited, Juni 1997.
- 9717 RIESE, Martin und BRUNNER, Johann K.: Interpreting risk with demographic statistics, Juni 1997.
- 9718 KUNST, Robert M.: Asymptotics for Unit-Root Processes with Underspecified Deterministic Structures, Juni 1997.
- 9719 GAWEL, Erik und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Implementation Problems of Eco-Taxation: A Political-Economy Analysis, Juli 1997
- 9720 PRITZL, Rupert und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Political Economy of Autocratic Political Regimes: A Theoretical and Empirical Approach, Juli 1997
- 9721 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Unknown Wage Offer Distribution and Job Search Duration, *Economics Letters*, 1998.
- 9722 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Optimal Taxation of Income and Bequests, August 1997
- 9723 KEUSCHNIGG, Christian and KOHLER, Wilhelm: Eastern Enlargement of the EU: How Much is it Worth for Austria?, November 1997
- 9724 HOFER, Helmut, KEUSCHNIGG, Christian und Wilhelm KOHLER, A Dynamic Applied General Equilibrium Model for the Austrian Economy With Special Emphasis on the Eastern EU Enlargement, November 1997.

- 9801 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf und Klaus F. ZIMMERMANN: East-West Trade and Migration: The Austro-German Case, Jänner 1998, erscheint in: Jaime de Melo, Riccardo Faini und Klaus F. Zimmermann (eds.): Trade and Factor Mobility, Cambridge (CUP).
- 9802 ICHINO, Andrea und Rudolf WINTER-EBMER: The Long-Run Educational Cost of World War 2: An Application of Local Average Treatment Effect Estimation, Jänner 1998.
- 9803 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Deregulierung und Privatisierung als Allheilmittel gegen ineffiziente Produktion von öffentlichen Unternehmen? Ein Erklärungsversuch mit Hilfe der ökonomischen Theorie der Politik, Jänner 1998.
- 9804 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Märkte, Moral und Umwelt: Was sagt die Ökonomie dazu?, Jänner 1998.
- 9805 LENK, Thomas, FUGE, Heidi und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Zurück zu mehr Föderalismus: Ein Vorschlag zur Neugestaltung des Finanzausgleichs in der BRD unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der ökonomischen Theorie der Politik, Jänner 1998.
- 9806 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Stellt das starke Anwachsen der Schwarzarbeit eine wirtschaftspolitische Herausforderung dar? Einige Gedanken aus volkswirtschaftlicher Sicht, Jänner 1998.
- 9807 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Einige grundlegende Elemente einer europäisch-föderalen Verfassung unter Zuhilfenahme der konstitutionellen ökonomischen Theorie, Jänner 1998.
- 9808 LANDESMANN, Michael: Vertical produkt differentiation and international trade: an econometric analysis, März 1998.
- 9808a BARTEL, Rainer: Öffentliche Finanzen, Finanzkontrolle und gesellschaftliche Wohlfarht. Volkwirtschaftliche Thesen, Antithesen und mögliche Synthesen, März 1998. Erschienen in

- überarbeiteter Version in: F. Klug (Hrsg.), Wesen und staatspolitische Funktion der öffentlichen Finanzkontrolle, Schriftenreihe des Instituts für Kommunalwissenschaften an der Universität Linz, Bd. 107, S. 85-127.
- 9809 AIGINGER, Karl und PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Product quality, cost asymmetry and the welfare loss of oligopoly, Februar 1998.
- 9810 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die Ost-Erweiterung der EU: Eine österreichische Perspektive, April 1998.
- 9811 BERGER, Mathias und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Schattenwirtschaft und Steuerhinterziehung: Ökonomische und psychologische Aspekte, April 1998.
- 9812 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und STIEGLER, Harald: Controlling als effizienzsteigerndes Instrument der Universitätsführung Zauber- oder Leerformel?, April 1998.
- 9813 KUNST, Robert M.: Some aspects of modeling seasonality in economic time series, Juni 1998.
- 9814 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Fifty Years Later: A New Marshall Plan for Eastern Europe?, Juli 1998.
- 9815 RAPHAEL, Steven und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Identifying the Effect of Unemployment on Crime, September
- 9816 ICHINO, Andrea und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Lower and Upper Bounds of Returns to Schooling: An Exercise in IV Estimation with Different Instruments, September 1998, erscheint in: European Economic Review, 1999.
- 9817 PÖLL, Günther und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Schattenwirtschaft, Juli 1998.
- 9818 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Kapitaldeckungsverfahren versus Umlageverfahren: Grundsätzliches zur Systemdiskussion, August 1998.
- 9819 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und ENSTE, Dominik: Increasing Shadow Economies all over the world - Fiction or Reality? A Survey of the Global Evidence of its Size and of its Impact from 1970 to 1995, November 1998.
- 9820 LENK, Thomas und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Zurück zu mehr Föderalismus: Ein Vorschlag zur Neugestaltung des Finanzausgleichs in der Bundesrepublik Deutschland unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der neuen Bundesländer, November 1998
- 9821 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die Bedeutung der EU-Osterweiterung für verschiedene Sektoren der österreichichen Wirtschaft, November 1998.
- 9822 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die pan-europäische Integration: Herausforderungen für die Wirtschaftswissenschaft, November 1008
- 9823 ATKINSON, Anthony B.: The Changing Distribution of Income: Evidence and Explanations (1. K.W. Rothschild Vorlesung), November 1998.
- 9824 PECH, Susanne und PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Strategic Environmental Taxation in the Presence of Involuntary Unemployment and Endogenous Location Choice, November 1998.
- 9825 BARTEL, Rainer: Reform und Öffnung Osteuropas, November 1998.
- 9826 ÖTSCH, Walter: Zur Geschichte und Zukunft von Grundkategorien des ökonomischen Denkens: Raum, Zeit, Objekt und Ich, November 1998.
- 9827 ÖTSCH, Walter: "Äußere" und "Innere" Glücksmodelle in der Theoriegeschichte der Ökonomie, November 1998, erscheint in: Zinn, Bellebaum und Schaaf: Ökonomie und Glück, Frühjahr 1999
- 9828 ÖTSCH, Walter: Konstruktivismus und ökonomische Theorie, November 1999, erscheint in: Lehmann und Pillath: Handbuch der Evolutorischen Ökonomik, Springer Verlag, 1999.

\*\*\*

9901 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf and ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef: Firm Size Wage Differentials in Switzerland: Evidence from Job Changers, Jänner 1999, erscheint in: *American Economic Review, Papers & Proceedings*, 1999.

- 9902 BRANDSTÄTTER, Eduard, KÜHBERGER, Anton und SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: "Surprise in Decision making under Uncertainty, Jänner 1999.
- 9903 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und WAGNER, Alexander: "The Role of International Monetary Institutions after the EMU and after the Asian Crises: Some Preliminary Ideas Using Constitutional Economics", Februar 1999
- 9904 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Transfers zwischen den Generationen, Februar 1999.
- 9905 LACKÓ, Mária: Hidden Economy An Unknown Quantity? Comparative Analysis of Hidden Economies in Transition Countries in 1989-1995, Februar 1999
- 9906 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Trade and Wages: What Can Factor Contents Tell Us? Februar 1999.
- 9907 LANDESMANN, Michael und STEHRER Robert: The European Unemployment Problem: A Structural Approach, März 1999.
- 9908 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Das Verhältnis von Innovation und Beschäftigung aus wirtschaftlicher Sicht – Jobkiller oder Jobwunder?, Mai 1999.
- 9909 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und LENK, Thomas: Zurück zum Trennsystem als Königsweg zu mehr Föderalismus in Zeiten des "Aufbau Ost", Juni 1999.
- 9910 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Die Entwicklung der Sozialpolitik in repräsentativen und in direkten Demokratien: Königsweg oder Sackgasse? Einige Bemerkungen aus der "Public Choice"-Perspektive, Juni 1999.
- 9911 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Ist Schwarzarbeit ein Volkssport geworden? Ein internationaler Vergleich des Ausmaßes der Schwarzarbeit von 1970 bis 1997, Juni 1999.
- 9912 FELBERMAYR, Gabriel, und KOHLER, Wilhelm: Zur ökonomischen Logik spekulativer Attacken, Juli 1999.
- 9913 FERSTERER, Josef und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Returns to Education - Evidence for Austria, August 1999.
- 9914 BARTEL, Rainer: Social economic issues in sexual orientation
   Where do we stand?, September 1999.
- 9915 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und ENSTE, Dominik: Shadow Economies: Sizes, Causes, and Consequences, September 1999.
- 9916 BARTEL, Rainer: Ökonomische Rationalität im System der öffentlichen Finanzkontrolle. Die Funktionalität des neuen Oö. Landesrechnungshofs. September 1999.
- 9917 FERSTERER, Josef und Rudolf WINTER-EBMER: Are Austrian Returns to Education Falling Over Time?, Oktober 1999.
- 9918 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und WINNER, Hannes: Ein Vorschlag zur Reform der österreichischen Unternehmensbesteuerung, November 1999.
- 9919 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Induzieren ökologische Steuerreformen einen Lenkungseffekt oder nur volle Staatskassen? Einige volkswirtschaftliche Überlegungen, November 1999.
- 9920 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Wer gewinnt, wer verliert durch die Osterweiterung der EU?, November 1999.
- 9921 DRÈZE, Jacques: On the Macroeconomics of Uncertainty and Incomplete Markets, November 1999.
- 9922 STIGLBAUER, Alfred M. und WEISS, Christoph R.: Family and Non-Family Succession in the Upper-Austrian Farm Sector, Dezember 1999.
- 9923 HOLZLEITNER, Christian: Linear Profit-Sharing in Regulatory Contracts, Dezember 1999.
- 9924 ÖTSCH, Walter: Objekt, Subjekt und Wert. Zur Kulturgeschichte in Georg Simmels "Philosophie des Geldes", Dezember 1999.

- 0001 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Die Osterweiterung der EU aus der Sicht bestehender Mitgliedsländer: Was lehrt uns die Theorie der ökonomischen Integration?, Jänner 2000.
- 0002 FERSTERER, Josef und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Smoking, Discount Rates, and Returns to Education, Jänner

- 0003 BARTEL, Rainer: Quo vadimus. Grundgedanken zum Verhältnis von Wirtschaft, Staat und Gesellschaft, Februar 2000
- 0004 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und FREY, Bruno S.: Informal and Underground Economy, Februar 2000.
- 0005 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und FELD, Lars P.: State and Local Taxation, Februar 2000.
- 0006 ZWEIMÜLLER, Josef und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Firmspecific Training - Consequences for Job Mobility, März 2000.
- SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Schattenwirtschaft Tatbestand, Ursachen, Auswirkungen, April 2000
- 0008 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The Increase of the Size of the Shadow Economy of 18 OECD Countries: Some Preliminary Explanations, April 2000.
- O009 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und AHLHEIM, Michael: Allowing for Household Preferences in Emission Trading – A Contribution to the Climate Policy Debate, Mai 2000
- 0010 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Illegal Activities, but still value added ones (?): Size, Causes, and Measurement of the Shadow Economies all over the World, Mai 2000.
- 0011 WEICHSELBAUMER, Doris: Is it Sex or Personality? The Impact of Sex-Stereotypes on Discrimination in Applicant Selection, Mai 2000.
- 0012 FELBERMAYR, Gabriel, und KOHLER, Wilhelm: Effizienzund Verteilungswirkungen der Handelsliberalisierung, Juni 2000.
- 0013 EGGER, Peter und PFAFFERMAYR, Michael: Trade, Multinational Sales, and FDI in a Three-Factors Model, Juni 2000
- 0014 LANDESMANN, Michael und STEHRER, Robert: Potential Switchovers in Comparative Advantage: Patterns of Industrial Convergence, Juni 2000.
- 0015 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und WAGNER, Alexander: Korporatismus im europäischen Vergleich: Förderung makroökonomischer Rahmenbedingungen?, Juli 2000.
- 0016 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich und LENK, Thomas: Grundzüge der föderalen Finanzverfassung aus ökonomischer Perspektive: Trennsystem vs. Verbundsystem, Juli 2000.
- 0017 HOLZLEITNER, Christian: Efficient Cost Passthrough, August 2000.
- 0018 HOLZLEITNER, Christian: Evolution of Regulatory Contracts in the Real World - A Change for Good?, August 2000.
- 0019 KOHLER, Wilhelm: International Fragmentation: A Policy Perspective, August 2000.
- 0020 KOHLER, Wilhelm: A Specific-Factors View on Outsourcing, August 2000.
- 0021 WEICHSELBAUMER, Doris: Sexual Orientation Discrimination in Hiring, September 2000.
- 0022 KOHLER; Wilhelm: Internationale Migration: Anmerkungen aus der Sicht der Außenwirtschaftstheorie, Oktober 2000.
- 0023 AIGINGER, Karl und DAVIES, S.W.: Industrial Specialisation and geographic Concentration: Two sides of the same coin? Not for the European Union, Oktober 2000.
- 6024 EGGER, Hartmut und EGGER, Peter: Outsourcing and Skill-Specific Employment in a Small Economy: Austria and the Fall of the Iron Curtain, Oktober 2000.
- 6025 KOHLER, Wilhelm: An Incumbent Country View on Eastern Enlargement of the EU - Part I: A Gerneral Treatment, November 2000
- 6026 KOHLER, Wilhelm: An Incumbent Country View on Eastern Enlargement of the EU - Part II: The Austrian Case, November 2000.
- 0027 FREY, Bruno S.: What are the sources of happiness?, November 2000
- 0028 RIESE, Martin: Weakening the SALANT-condition for the Comparison of mean durations, Dezember 2000
- 0029 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Long-term consequences of an innovative redundancy-retraining project: The Austrian Steel Foundation, Dezember 2000.
- 0030 BRUNNER, Johann K. und PECH, Susanne: Adverse Selection in the annuity market when payoffs vary over the time of retirement, Dezember 2000.

- 0101 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Osterweiterung der EU: Die Mitgliedschaft wird teurer – Wird sie auch wertvoller?, Jänner 2001.
- 0102 STEHRER, Robert: Industrial specialisation, trade, and labour market dynamics in a multisectoral model of technological progress, Jänner 2001.
- 0103 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich; SALHOFER, Klaus; SCHMID, Erwin, und STREICHER, Gerhard: Was the Austrian Agricultural Policy Least Cost Efficient?, März 2001.
- 0104 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich; KIRCHLER, Erich und MACIEJOVSKY, Boris: Social Representations on Tax Avoidance, Tax Evasion, and Tax Flight: Do Legal Differences Matter?, März 2001.
- 0105 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich; PITLIK, Hans, und STROTMANN, Harald: On the Politicization of Intergovernmental Fiscal Relations in Germany after Unification, März 2001.
- 0106 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Privatisierung und Deregulierung in Österreich in den 90er Jahren: Einige Anmerkungen aus Sicht der Neuen Politischen Ökonomie, März 2001.
- 0107 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich; BRAITHWAITE, Valerie, and REINHART, Monika: Individual Behavior in the Cash / Shadow Economy in Australia: Facts, Empirical Findings and some Mysteries, März 2001.
- 0108 BRUNELLO, Giorgio; LUCIFORA, Claudio, und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: The Wage Expectations of European College Students, März 2001.
- 0109 BRUNNER, Johann K. und PECH, Susanne: Die Dritte Säule der Altersvorsorge - Sparen und Versichern?, Juni 2001.
- 0110 STÖGER, Klaus und WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Lehrlingsausbildung in Österreich: Welche Betriebe bilden Lehrlinge aus? Juli 2001.
- 0111 HEIJDRA, Ben J.; KEUSCHNIGG, Christian, und KOHLER, Wilhelm: Eastern Enlargement of the EU: Jobs, Investment and Welfare in Present Member Countries, Oktober 2001
- 0112 BRUNNER, Johann und BUCHEGGER, Reiner: Gesundheitsgüter und Gesundheitsdienstleistungen in Österreich, Dezember 2001.
- 0113 MALINVAUD, Edmond: On methodolgy in macroeconomics – with application to the demand for unskilled labour, November 2001.

- 0201 KOHLER, Wilhelm: The Distributional Effects of International Fragmentation, April 2002.
- 0202 WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf and WIRZ, Aniela: Public Funding and Enrolment into Higher Education in Europe, April 2002.
- 0203 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Issues of US-EU Trade Policy, May 2002.
- 0204 BRUNNER, Johann K. und PECH, Susanne: Adverse selection in the annuity market with sequential and simultaneous insurance demand, May 2002.
- 0205 Stiglbauer, Alfred, Stahl, Florian, Winter-Ebmer, Rudolf and Josef Zweimüller: Job Creation and Job Destruction in a Regulated Labor Market: The Case of Austria, July 2002.
- 0206 BÖHEIM, René und TAYLOR, Mark P: Job search methods, intensity and success in Britain in the 1990s, July 2002.
- 0207 BURGSTALLER, Johann: Are stock returns a leading indicator for real macroeconomic developments?, July 2002.
- 0208 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Aspects of International Fragmentation,
- 0209 PECH Susanne: Tax incentives for private life annuities and the social security reform: effects on consumption and on adverse selection, August 2002.
- 0210 BRUNELLO, Giorgio and WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Why Do Students Expect to Stay Longer in College? Evidence from Europe, August 2002.
- 0211 RIESE, Martin: A New Class of Ageing Distributions, December 2002.
- 0212 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Welfare Effects of Pension Finance Reform, December 2002.

0301 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and BAJADA, Christopher: The Size and Development of the Shadow Economies in the Asia-Pacific, April 2003.

- 0302 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, CHAUDHURI, Kausik and CHATTERJEE, Sumana: The Size and Development of the Indian Shadow Economy and a Comparison with other 18 Asian Countries: An Empirical Investigation, April 2003.
- 0303 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, WAGNER, Alexander F. and DUFOUR, Mathias: Satisfaction not guaranteed - Institutions and sastisfaction with democracy in Western Europe, April 2003
- 0304 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and WAGNER; Alexander, F.: Tradeable permits Ten key design issues, April 2003.
- 0305 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Factor Price Frontiers with International Fragmentation of Multistage Production, April 2003.
- 0306 BURGSTALLER, Johann: Interest Rate Transmission to Commercial Credit Rates in Austria, May 2003.
- 0307 WEICHSELBAUMER, Doris and WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: The effects of competition and equal treatment laws on the gender wage differential, July 2003.
- 0308 MAYR, Karin: Immigration and Majority Voting on Income Redistribution - Is there a Case for Opposition from Natives?, July 2003.
- 0309 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Optimum taxation of income from labour and capital in a dynamic two-person economy, September 2003.
- 0310 BRUNNER, Johann K.: Optimale direkte und indirekte Steuern bei unterschiedlicher Anfangsausstattung, September 2003.
- 0311 WEICHSELBAUMER, Doris and WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: A meta-analysis of the international gender wage gap, September 2003.
- 0312 WEICHSELBAUMER, Dors and WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Rhetoric in Economic Research: The Case of Gender Wage Differentials, September 2003.
- 0313 DULLECK, Uwe, FRIJTERS, Paul and WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Reducing Start-up costs for New Firms. The Double Dividend on the Labor Market, October 2003.
- 0314 Aiginger, Karl: Insufficient investment into future growth: the forgotten cause of low growth in Germany. November 2003
- 0315 FELBERMAYR, Gabriel J. and LICANDRO, Omar: The underestimated virtues of the two-sector AK model, December 2003.
- 0316 KOHLER, Wilhelm: Eastern Enlargement of the EU: A Comprehensive Welfare Assessment, December 2003.
- 0317 RODRIK, Dani: Growth Strategies, December 2003.

\*\*\*

- 0401 FELBERMAYR, Gabriel and KOHLER, Wilhelm: Immigration and Native Welfare, February 2004.
- 0402 FELBERMAYR, Gabriel: Specialization on a Technologically Stagnant Sector Need Not Be Bad for Growth, March 2004.
- 0403 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and KLINGLMAIR, Robert: Shadow Economies around the World: What do we know?, April 2004.
- 0404 BELKE, Ansgar and SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Privatization in Austria: Some Theoretical Reasons and Performance Measures, June 2004
- 0405 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and BURGER, Christina: Formal and Informal Labour Markets: Challenges and Policy in the Central and Eastern European new EU Members and Candidate Countries, June 2004.
- 0406 SCHOR, Juliet: Sustainable Consumption and Worktime Reduction, June 2004.
- 0407 FELBERMAYR, Gabriel: Does Trade Cause Divergence? Dynamic Panel Data Evidence, Juni 2004.
- 0408 BUCHEGGER, Reiner and WÜGER Michael: Private Expenditures for Children in Austria - Variations in Results applying different Models, July 2004.
- 0409 MAYR, Karin: The Fiscal Impact of Immigrants in Austria A Generational Accounting Analysis, July 2004.

- 0410 HALLA, Martin: Unterhalt, Obsorge und Scheidungsanwälte: Eine ökonometrische Untersuchung der einvernehmlichen Scheidung in Österreich., August 2004.
- 0411 RAFERZEDER, Thomas and WINTER-EBMER Rudolf: Who is on the Rise in Austria: Wage Mobility and Mobility Risk, September 2004.
- 0412 PECH, Susanne: Adverse Selection with individual- and jointlife annuities, November 2004.
- 0413 LICHTENECKER, Ruperta: Gender Budget Analyse: Akademische Übung oder politische Relevanz?, December 2004
- 0414 PECH, Susanne: Portfolio decisions on life annuities and financial assets with longevity and income uncertainty, December 2004.
- 0415 HACKL, Franz, HALLA, Martin and PRUCKNER, Gerald, J.: The Fallacy of the Good Samaritan: Volunteering as a Weird Way of Making Money. December 2004.

- 0501 BUCHEGGER, Reiner and RIEDL, René: Asymmetric Information as a Cause for Market Failure - Application Service Providing (ASP) in Austria, January 2005.
- 0502 SCHNEEWEIS, Nicole and WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: Peer Effects in Austrian Schools, March 2005.
- 0503 BURGSTALLER, Johann: When and why do Austrian companies issue shares?, April 2005.
- 0504 BÖHEIM, René, STIGLBAUER, Alfred and WINTER-EBMER, Rudolf: When and how to create a job: The survival of new jobs in Austrian firms, May 2005.
- 0505 HALLA, Martin, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Taxes and Benefits: Two Distinct Options to Cheat on the State?, August 2005
- 0506 BRUNNER, Johann and PECH, Susanne: Optimum Taxation of Life Annuities, November 2005.
- 0507 SCHUSTER, Helmut: Reduktionismus, interaktionistischer Eigenschafts-Dualismus und Epiphänomenalismus, Dezember 2005.
- 0508 DULLECK, Uwe and KERSCHBAMER, Rudolf: Price Discrimination via the Choice of Distribution Channels, December 2005
- 0509 DULLECK, Uwe and KERSCHBAMER, Rudolf: Experts vs. Discounters: Consumer Free Riding and Experts Withholding Advice in Markets for Credence Goods, December 2005.
- 0510 BURGSTALLER, Johann: Interest rate pass-through estimates from vector autoregressive models, December 2005.
- 0511 HACKL Franz, HALLA Martin and PRUCKNER, Gerald, J.: Coasian Payments for Agricultural External Benefits – An Empirical Cross Section Analysis, December 2005.
- 0512 BÖHEIM René and MAYR, Karin: Immigration and Public Spending, December 2005.

- 0601 LICHTENECKER, Ruperta: Umwelttechnikindustrie-Zukunftsmarkt China, Jänner 2006
- 0602 BURGSTALLER, Johann: The cyclicality of interest rate spreads in Austria: Evidence for a financial decelerator?, July 2006
- 0603 DREHER, Axel and SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Corruption and the Shadow Economy: An Empirical Analysis, July 2006.
- 0604 SAVASAN, Fatih and SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: What Determines Informal Hiring? Evidence from the Turkish Textile Sector, July 2006.
- 0605 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich, SOOKRAM Sandra and WATSON, Patrick Kent: Characteristics of the Household Sector of the Hidden Economy in an Emerging Economy, July 2006.
- 0606 BELKE, Ansgar, BAUMGÄRTNER, Frank, SETZER, Ralph and SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: The Different Extent of Privatisation Proceeds in EU Countries: A Preliminary Explanation Using a Public Choice Approach, July 2006.

- 0607 DELL'ANNO, Roberto and SCHNEIDER, Friedrich: Estimating the Underground Economy by Using MIMIC Models: A Response to T. Breusch's critique, July 2006.
- 0608 SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and TORGLER, Benno: What Shapes Attitudes Toward Paying Taxes? Evidence from Multicultural European Countries, July 2006.
- 0609 DREHER Axel, MÉON, Pierre-Guillaume, SCHNEIDER, Friedrich and WEILL, Laurent: Does the shadow economy raise observed aggregate efficiency? A cross-country comparison, July 2006.